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Abstract: Roles are meant to capture dynamic and temporal aspects of real-world objects. The role concept has been used with many semantic meanings: dynamic class, aspect, perspective, interface or role. This paper identifies common semantics of different role models found in the literature. Moreover, it presents a conceptual modelling pattern for the role concept that includes both the static and dynamic aspects of roles. A conceptual modelling pattern is aimed at representing a specific structure of knowledge that appears in different domains. In particular, we adapt the pattern to the UML. The use of this pattern eases the definition of roles in conceptual schemas. In addition, we describe the design of schemas defined using our pattern in order to implement them in any object-oriented language. We also discuss the advantages of our approach over previous ones.

1. Introduction

Accurate and complete conceptual modelling is an essential premise for a correct development of an information system. Reusable conceptual schemas facilitate this difficult and time-consuming activity. The use of patterns is a key aspect to increase the reusability in all stages of software development.

A pattern identifies a problem and provides the specification of a generic solution to that problem. The definition of patterns in conceptual modelling may be regarded in two different ways: conceptual modelling patterns and analysis patterns.

In this paper, we will distinguish between a conceptual modelling pattern that is aimed at representing a specific structure of knowledge encountered in different domains (for instance the MemberOf relationship), and an analysis pattern that specifies a generic and domain-dependent knowledge required to develop an application for specific users. Authors do not always make this distinction. For example, to Fowler, in [10], patterns correspond to our conceptual modelling patterns while to Fernandez and Yuan, in [9], patterns correspond to our definition of analysis patterns. For a further discussion on analysis patterns see Teniente in [30].

The goal of this paper is to propose a conceptual modelling pattern for roles. A role is meant to capture dynamic and temporal aspects of real-world objects. There are some dynamic situations from the real world that are not well suited just with the
basic modelling language constructs. For example, when we want to model situations
where an entity can present different properties depending on the context where it is
used.

Although definitions of the role concept abound in the literature of conceptual
modelling [2][4][5][10][14][25][26] a non-uniform and globally accepted definition
is given. Roles are difficult to represent. They are not merely refined names for
the participants in events. Rather, roles have their own characteristics that require them to
be treated different from entity types in conceptual schemas.

We identify common semantics of role models found in the literature and present a
pattern that fulfils them. The use of this pattern eases the definition of roles in
conceptual schemas. We also discuss the design and the implementation in object-
oriented languages of conceptual schemas that use our pattern. We adapt the pattern
to the UML. As far as we know, ours is the first approach that allows the definition of
roles by using the standard UML.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows: the next section presents the Role
Pattern. Section 3 comments related work and compare it with our proposal. Finally,
conclusions and further work are presented.

2. Roles as entity types Pattern

In order to describe the role pattern we adopt the template proposed by Geyer-Schulz
and Hahsler in [12] to describe conceptual modelling patterns (called by the authors
analysis patterns). They adopt a uniform and consistent format, in contrast to Fowler
in [10] who uses a very free format for pattern writing. Geyer-Schulz and Hahsler
stress that adhering to a structure for writing patterns is essential since patterns are
easier to teach, learn, compare, write and use once the structure has been understood.

Their template preserves the typical context/problem/forces/solution structure of
design patterns but adapted for the description of conceptual modelling patterns. The
template includes the following sections: (1) Pattern Name. (2) Intent: what the
pattern does and what problems it addresses. (3) Motivation: a scenario that illustrates
the problem and how the pattern contributes to the solution in the specific scenario.
(4) Forces and Context that should be resolved by the pattern. (5) Solution:
description of all relevant structural and behavioural aspects of the pattern. (6)
Consequences: how the pattern achieves its objectives and the existing trade-off. (7)
Design and implementation: how the pattern can be realized in the design stage. (8)
Known uses: examples of the pattern.

Following this template, next sections present the Roles as entity types Pattern.

2.1 Intent

The intent is the representation of roles that entities play through their life span and
the control of their evolution.
2.2 Motivation

The concept of role appears very frequently in conceptual modelling. However, the possibilities that offer conceptual modelling languages to deal with them are very limited and cover only a small part of the role features (see, for example, what UML supports in [6] and [28]).

There is a non-uniform and globally accepted definition of roles. We illustrate here some of the most relevant ones:

- “It is a defined behaviour pattern which may be assumed by entities of different kinds”, Bachman and Day in [1].
- “Role classes capture the temporal and evolutionary aspects of the real-world objects”, Dahchour et al. in [5].
- “A role of an object is a set of properties which are important for an object to be able to behave in a certain way expected by a set of other objects”, Depke et al. in [6].
- “Roles are founded; defined in terms of relationship to other things, and lacks of “semantics rigidity” (something is semantically rigid if its existence is tied to its class)”, Guarino in [14].

To summarize the above definitions, we could say that roles are useful to model the properties and behaviour of entities that evolve over time. The entity type Person is an illustrative example. During his or her life, a person may play different roles, for example he or she may become a student, an employee, a project manager, and so forth. Moreover, a person may have different properties and behaviour depending on the role or roles he/she is playing in a certain instant of time.

For instance, consider the following scenario: let Maria be a person who starts studying at a University (Maria plays the role of student). After some years of study she registers to a second university degree (Maria plays twice the role of student) and starts to work in a company (Maria plays the role of employee). In that company she may become a project manager (now Maria through her employee role plays the role of project manager). Note that, in this scenario, if we ask for the telephone number of Maria, the answer is not trivial since depending on the role or roles she is playing it may be her personal or her company phone number.

Taking into account the complexity of the notion of role and the lack of support for roles in present conceptual modelling languages, it is clear that a pattern to define such a common construct is needed in conceptual modelling.

2.3 Forces and Context

Our definition of the role concept is refined by describing the set of features that roles must meet, most of which have been identified by Steinmann [27]. In our case, these features are the forces that influence and should be resolved by the pattern.

We describe them using some examples over the scenario introduced above:

1. Ownership. A role comes with its own properties, i.e., an instance of Employee has its own properties which may be different than the ones of the entity type that plays such a role.
2. Dependency. The existence of the role depends on the entity type to which it is associated to, i.e., it is not possible to create an instance of Student not related to an instance of Person. An instance of a role is related to a unique instance of its entity type.

3. Diversity. An entity may play different roles simultaneously, i.e., an instance of Person may play simultaneously the role of Student and Employee.

4. Multiplicity. An instance of an entity type may play several instances of the same role type at the same time, i.e., a person may register to more than a University, and thus, more than an instance of Student is created.

5. Dynamicity. An entity may acquire and relinquish roles dynamically, i.e., a person may become a student, after some years become an employee, finish his/her studies, become a project manager, start another degree and so forth.

6. Control. The sequence in which roles may be acquired and relinquished can be subject to restrictions, i.e., a person may not become an employee when he/she is older than 65 years.

7. Roles can play roles. This mirrors that an instance of Person can play the role of Employee and an instance of Employee can also play the role of ProjectManager.

8. Role identity. Each instance of a role has its own role identifier, which is different from that of all other instances of the entity to which is associated with. This solves the so-called counting problem introduced by Wieringa et al in [31]. It refers to the fact that we need to distinguish the instances of the roles from the instances of the entity types that play them. For example, if we want to count the total people that are students in a university (i.e. every person who are registered to at least a program in such university), the total number is less than the total number of registered students in such university (in this case a person is counted twice if he or she is registered at two programs).

9. Delegation. Roles do not inherit from their entity types. Instead, instances of roles have access to some properties of their corresponding entities through a delegation mechanism, i.e., Person delegates the name, phone, country and address properties to Student but neither the birthDate nor the age properties. Therefore, Student cannot have access to the last two referred properties.

2.4 Solution

We divide the solution of our role pattern in two subsections. The first one deals with the structural aspects of roles while the second one deals with their evolution.

2.4.1 Structural Aspects of Roles

Since roles have their own properties, we represent roles as entity types with their own attributes, relationships and generalisation/specialisation hierarchies. For practical reasons we call role entity types (or simply role if the context is clear) the
entity types that represent roles and natural entity types \(^1\) (or simply entity types) the entity types that may play those roles.

We define the relationship between a role entity type and its natural entity type by means of a RoleOf relationship. This relationship relates a natural entity type with a role entity type to indicate that the natural entity type may play the role represented by the role entity type. In the relationship we also specify the properties (attributes and associations) of the natural entity type that are delegated to the role entity type. Note that, since roles may play other roles, the same entity type may be a role entity type in a RoleOf relationship and a natural entity type in a different RoleOf relationship.

Although this representation may be expressed in many conceptual modelling languages, in this work, we only adapt it to the UML. Note that in the definition of the pattern we use the UML 2.0 [19] and OCL 2.0 [18] versions.

To be able to represent the RoleOf relationship we use the extension mechanisms provided by the UML, such as stereotypes, tags and constraints. Stereotypes allow us to define (virtual) new subclasses of metaclasses by adding some additional semantics. A stereotype may also define additional constraints on its base class and add some new properties through the use of tags.

The \(<\langle\text{RoleOf}\rangle\rangle\) stereotype allows us to define a RoleOf relationship between the natural and role entity types. The base class of the stereotype is the Association metaclass, which represents association relationships among classes. The \(<\langle\text{RoleOf}\rangle\rangle\) stereotype also includes the properties\(^2\) to delegate from the natural entity type to the role entity type. They are represented with a multi-valued tag, called delegatedProperties. We may pack this stereotype in a new UML Profile [19] for Roles. Figure 1 shows the definition of the \(<\langle\text{RoleOf}\rangle\rangle\) stereotype.

\[
\begin{array}{c|c|c}
\text{\(<\langle\text{stereotype}\rangle\rangle\)} & \text{\(<\langle\text{stereotype}\rangle\rangle\)} & \text{\(<\langle\text{metaclass}\rangle\rangle\)} \\
\text{RoleOf} & \text{delegatedProperties}[\star]: \text{String} & \text{Association} \\
\end{array}
\]

Figure 1. Definition of the RoleOf stereotype.

The multiplicity of the role towards its entity type is ‘1’ (since a role can only be related to a single instance of the entity type) and its settability is readOnly (the role instance must always be related to the same instance of the entity type).

As an example, figure 2 shows the extended example introduced in section 2.2 specified in the UML. The figure illustrates a natural entity type, Person, with its own properties, playing two roles: Student and Employee. The role Student is a generalisation of the domestic and foreign students. The role Employee may play also the role of ProjectManager that manages a set of tasks. Person delegates the

\(^1\) The natural entity type of a role relationship has sometimes been called object class [5][32][31], ObjectWithRoles [13], natural type [14] [27], base class[4][21], entity type [1], entity class [2], base role [24], or core object [3].

\(^2\) A property in UML 2.0 [19] represents both the attributes and associations of an entity type.
properties of name, phone and country (represented as attributes) and address (represented as an association) to Student, and the name and the derived age attribute to Employee. Employee delegates its name, employee number and the date of expiration of contract to ProjectManager. Note that Employee has its own phone number different from the Person's phone number, i.e., there is no delegation of the phone attribute from Person to Employee. Therefore the answer to the question: “which is the phone of Maria?” will vary depending on whether we are considering Maria as an instance of Person or Student. The stereotyped operations shown in the figure will be taken up in the following section.

Figure 2. Example of RoleOf relationships in the UML.
To complete the definition of the static aspects of roles we must attach some constraints to the <<RoleOf>> stereotype in order to control the correctness of its use. The constraints are the following:

- A stereotyped <<RoleOf>> association is a binary association with multiplicity '1' and setability readOnly in a member end.
- Each value of the delegatedProperty tag must be the name of a property of the natural entity type.
- A role entity type can only be related throughout a RoleOf relationship to at most an entity type.
- No cycles of roles are permitted; a role entity type may not be related throughout a direct or indirect RoleOf relationship to itself.

Delegated properties from the natural entity types to the role entity types may be considered as implicit properties of the role entity type. However, in order to facilitate the use of this delegated properties (for instance, in OCL expressions) we may need to include them explicitly in the role entity type. In this case, we add an extra property in the role entity type for each delegated property. This extra properties are labeled with the <<delegated>> stereotype to distinguish them from the own properties of the role entity type. In addition, they are derived. Their derivation rule always follows the general form:

```plaintext
class RoleEntity\Type::delegated\Property \:: Type
derive: naturalEntity\Type.property\Name
```

Figure 3 illustrates the **Student** role entity type explicitly including its delegated properties.

![Diagram of role entity type](image-url)

**Figure 3.** Example of the **Student** role entity type
2.4.2 Role Acquisition and Relinquishment

So far, we have introduced a representation of the static part of the Role Pattern. Nevertheless, this is not enough since role instances may be added or removed dynamically from an entity during its lifecycle and the creation and deletion of roles may be subject to user-defined restrictions.

Since roles are represented as entity types we may define constraints on roles in the same way as we define constraints on entity types. Some of the constraints are inherent to our role representation (for example, that a person must play the role of Employee to play the role of ProjectManager, is already enforced by the schema). Other restrictions involved may be expressed by means of the predefined constraints of the UML. For example, to restrict that an Employee cannot play more than twice the ProjectManager role simultaneously it is enough to define a cardinality constraint in the relationship. The definition of the rest of constraints requires the use of a general-purpose language [7], commonly the OCL in the case of the UML. For instance, we could specify the OCL constraints to control that:

- A Person can only play the role of Employee if he/she is between 18 and 65 years old:
  
  ```
  context Employee inv:
  self.age>=18 and self.age<=65
  ```

- Any task of a ProjectManager must finish before his contract expires
  
  ```
  context Task inv:
  self.dueDate<self.projectManager.dateExpirationContract
  ```

These OCL constraints are static, and thus, the role instances must satisfy them at any time. However, many of the restrictions that may be involved in the evolution of roles only apply at particular times, concretely they only need to be satisfied when the role is acquired or when it is relinquished. To specify such constraints we use the notion of creation-time constraints defined by Olivé in [17] and, in a similar way, we define the deletion-time constraints.

Creation-time constraints must hold when the instances of some entity type are created (in our case when the role is created). Deletion-time constraints must hold when the instances of some entity type are deleted (in our case when the role is deleted). These constraints are represented as operations, also called constraint operations, attached to the entity types and identified by a special stereotype. The creation-time constraint operations are marked with the stereotype <<InitIC>>. We define the stereotype <<DelIC>> for the deletion-time constraint operations.

These operations return a Boolean that must be true to indicate that the constraint is satisfied. If the operation returns false (i.e., the constraint is not satisfied) then the creation or deletion event of the role is not accomplished. When appropriate, the operations are automatically executed by the information system.

As an example, we have defined the following restrictions in figure 2:

- A Person cannot start to work as Employee if he/she is studying two university degrees simultaneously. Note that this does not imply that a Person that is already an Employee may apply for two degrees.
  
  ```
  context Employee : mayBeHired() : Boolean
  body: self.person.student->size()<=2
  ```
• An employee may not be fired if he or she is in paternity/maternity leave.
  
  ```scala
  context Employee :: mayBe Fired () : Boolean
  body self.state<->'MaternityLeave'
  ```

• An employee may not become a new project manager if he/she still holds
  more than ten pending tasks.
  
  ```scala
  context ProjectManager :: notTooManyPendingTasks(): Boolean
  body self.employee.projectManager.tasks->
      select(dueDate>Today)->size()<=10
  ```

2.5 Consequences

Our pattern of roles achieves the objectives proposed in Section 2.3 since it fulfils the
role features outlined before:

• Ownership. As roles are represented as classes, they may have their own
  properties.

• Dependency. The cardinality '1' with the tag {readOnly} ensures that all role
  instances depend on a unique instance of the natural entity type.

• Diversity. As the RoleOf relationship is an association, entity types may have
  many RoleOf relationships.

• Multiplicity. This is obtained by the cardinality at the RoleOf relationship

• Dinamicity. Entities are related to their roles through an association. Thus, an
  entity may acquire or retract instances of a role many times.

• Control. The sequence in which roles may be acquired and relinquished can be
  subjected to restrictions.

• Roles can play roles. Roles are represented by ordinary classes. So, they can
  be participants of a RoleOf relationship.

• Role identity. As roles are represented as classes, their instances have their
  own identifier.

• Delegation. The delegatedProperty tag of the RoleOf relationship allows the
  definition of the delegation mechanism.

A trade-off that one may find in our representation is that we do not consider that
objects of unrelated types can play the same role neither that a role for a certain time
remain unconnected to any entity (e.g., vacant position of a department manager).

In the former, we could define a common supertype for all the natural entity types,
which will be in charge of playing the role (for instance, if we need Client to be role
of both Company and Person (understood as a physical person), we could define a
common supertype for Company and Person, called LegalPerson, that plays the role
of Client. In the latter, we believe that roles unconnected to any entity implies
considering roles just as interfaces. We discuss the limitations of this approach in
Section 3.
2.6 Design and Implementation

There are some design patterns useful for designing and implementing the role concept in object oriented languages [10]. However, most of them are unable to deal with our proposed role semantics completely. A well-known pattern close to our role defined semantics is the Role Object Pattern [3]. This pattern is especially well suited for role implementation when roles are deemed as a specialization (or a kind of specialization) of its entity type (see Pelechano et al. in [24] as an example of the use of this pattern).

Nevertheless, this pattern is not entirely appropriate for designing our conceptual modelling pattern. We encounter two main problems in the Role Object Pattern. First, it uses a common superclass for all the roles of the entity type. In our approach, the roles are independent entity types so they do not need to present any common properties that justifies this superclass. Secondly, all the roles are forced to have the same delegated properties; it is not possible to define different delegated properties for each role.

This is the reason why we advocate here for an adapted version of this pattern that it takes into account our complete role semantics, including the delegation mechanism and the creation-time and deletion-time constraints.

Given a natural entity type and the set of its roles, we create a class for the natural entity type and a class for each role. We create a different relationship between the natural entity type and each of its roles. This relationship will be used to navigate from the natural entity type to its roles and vice versa. We add to the natural entity type two new operations addRole and deleteRole in charge of adding (deleting) roles to the natural entity after checking the creation-time (deletion-time) constraints.

The problem of the design of the delegated properties may be regarded as the same problem as that of the design of the derived information. In general, from the design and/or implementation point of view, there are two different approaches to deal with derived information. The attributes may be computed if they are calculated by means of an operation or may be materialized if they are explicitly stored in the class. In this case, for each delegated property we add an extra operation to the role class that returns the value of the property of the natural entity type. The operation access the property of the natural entity type navigating through the relationship.

```
NaturalEntityType
Properties
Operations
addRole()
deleteRole()

RoleEntityType1
Properties
Operations
opPropertyDelegated1()
...

...  

RoleEntityTypeN
```

Figure 4. Summarized class diagram of the design
Figure 4 summarizes our proposal. In figure 5 we apply the proposed design pattern to a part of the conceptual schema of figure 2. Note that Employee is both a role for the Person entity type and a natural entity type for the ProjectManager role, and thus, it presents both a reference to Person (as a role entity type) and the operations addRole and deleteRole (as a natural entity type). We could add to the natural entity type other useful operations when dealing with roles, such as hasRole or getRole.

This structure can be directly implemented in any common object-oriented language. As an example, we show part of the implementation of Person and Employee classes in the Java language:

```java
public class Person {
    public String name;
    public PhoneNumber phone;
    public Date birthDate;
    public Address address;

    Vector roles = new Vector();

    public double age() { //Age calculation
        return 0;
    }

    public void addRole(Object o) {
        if (o instanceof Employee)
            roles.addElement(o);
    }
}

public class Employee {
    public int id;
    public String name;
    public Date hireDate;
    public Date expirationContract;
    public PhoneNumber phone;
    public Address address;

    public void addRole(Employee e) {
        roles.addElement(e);
    }
}
```

Figure 5. Example of an application of the design
// Checking maybeHired constraint
int i=0; int numSt=0; Object o2;
while (i<rols.size() && numSt<2)
{
    o2=rols.get(i);
    if(o2 instanceof Student) numSt++;
    i++;
}
if(numSt<2) {rols.add(o); ((Employee) o).naturalEntityType=this;}
else System.out.println("Error 2St");
...
}

public void deleteRole(Object o)
{
    // Checking maybeFired constraint
    if(! ((Employee) o).state.equals("MaternityLeave"))
    {rols.removeElement(o); ((Employee) o).naturalEntityType=null;}
    // ...
}

public class Employee
{
    public int emp;
    public String category;
    public Object naturalEntityType;
    ...
    // Delegated properties
    public String name() { return ((Person) naturalEntityType).name; }
    public double age() { return ((Person) naturalEntityType).age; }
}

It is important to notice that the Person class has a single multivalued attribute to store all the roles of that person, instead of having a different multivalued attribute for each of its roles (an attribute for the student instances, another for the employee instances...). We can use a single attribute since all the classes in Java are implicit subclasses of the class Object. When dealing with the attribute we make the appropriate castings to the specific role class.

2.7 Known Uses

The role concept appears frequently in many different domains of the real world, since in each domain we can find entity types that present some properties that evolve over time.

Papazoglou et al. in [23] note that roles can be useful for several type of applications based on the use of object-oriented technology and they describe two examples of broad types of application that need role support: security and workflows.
3. Related Work

Previous research can be grouped in four basic approaches to representing roles.

The first approach represents a role as a label assigned to a participant in an event [17]. This representation does not achieve our objectives because roles come with their own properties, which may be different than those of the entity types playing them, that cannot be defined within the label.

A second approach considers that roles and entities can be combined into a single hierarchy [1][4][26]. Role entity types are represented as subtypes of the natural entity type. For instance, if Person were a natural entity type, then Student, Employee and ProjectManager roles would appear as subtypes. Quite obviously, such a solution requires dynamic and multiple classification, since a person can change his/her role and play several roles simultaneously. However we would like to make emphasis of three important features that specialization does not cover. First, what we have defined as multiplicity: an entity may play the same role more than once at the same time (i.e., specializations does not allow to define that a Person plays simultaneously twice the role of Employee). The second one is delegation; with specialization we cannot restrict which attributes are delegated to the roles because they inherit all the attributes of their supertype. And finally, with specialization the role and the entity type have the same identifier, therefore the counting problem mentioned before is not solved. A further discussion on this topic can be seen in [27].

A third approach suggest that roles are only partial specifications of the entities playing them, and then the properties of roles are the very properties of interfaces (interfaces as types, in the sense of Java and UML) as Steinman in [29] or Lea and Marlowe in [16]. This alternative does not allow the multiplicity feature since an entity may not play the same interface more than once at the same time. On the other hand, roles do not have their own separated state (the whole state is shared in the natural entity type), since interfaces do not have their own attributes. Besides, when an instance of the natural entity type is created it acquires automatically all the roles, and thus, we cannot control nor restrict the evolution of the roles the instance of the natural entity type plays. Therefore, we consider that interfaces do not cover everything one might expect from the role concept.

The last approach, and also our approach, represents a role as a distinct element from an entity type but coupled to it [3][8][21][25][24][27]. However, most of these approaches use different semantics that the ones presented in this paper. For instance, some solutions are based on the fact that the instance of a natural entity type and role instances share the same object identifier as Papazoglou et al in [21], among others. These solutions neither solve the counting problem mentioned before. Others, as Pernici in [25] do not allow roles to play roles.

Our alternative suggesting roles as separated entity types fulfils our role semantics. We believe that one of the advantages of our approach is its simplicity, since we represent the roles and its evolution with already existing constructs (entity types and constraints). In addition to this, our pattern describes a representation of roles in the standard UML (Depke et al in [6] also represent roles in the UML but with a non-standard extension). Moreover, our approach is complete in the sense that includes the design and the implementation of the pattern.
4. Conclusions and Further Work

This paper identifies the most important features of roles and presents the Role Pattern, a conceptual modelling pattern for roles. We have adapted the pattern to allow the specification of roles in conceptual schemas in the UML. To our knowledge, ours is the first standard extension of the UML to define roles in conceptual schemas in this language. The pattern can be easily implemented in any UML CASE tool in order to allow designers to use the role concept in their conceptual schemas.

The pattern includes the static aspects of roles as well as their evolution. We define roles as entity types (role entity types) related to natural entity types by means of a RoleOf relationship that includes the delegation of properties from the natural entity types to the role entity types. We have extended the UML by means of the <<RoleOf>> stereotype to be able to represent such kind of relationships. To specify the role evolution we use two special kinds of constraints: creation-time constraints and deletion-time constraints. We have also discussed the design and implementation of conceptual schemas specified using the pattern.

It would be interesting to study which taxonomies appearing in conceptual schemas should be better specified by using RoleOf relationships. This could be done by comparing the specification of the same case study with and without the use of roles. Moreover, we would like to automate our approach by means of an application that given the conceptual schema (for instance, represented in XMI [20]) would generate automatically the corresponding classes in the target object oriented language. These are directions in which our work can be continued.
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