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“People always fear change. People feared electricity when it was invented, didnt they? People feared coal, they feared gas-powered engines. There will always be ignorance, and ignorance leads to fear. But with time, people will come to accept their silicon masters.”

Bill Gates
Prosthesis have always been a necessity for the human being. In this thesis, EMG signals are being collected, analyzed and processed in order to implement a real time algorithm capable to differentiate two different states of a bionic hand. This document explains how to obtain an algorithm with almost no false positives, and pretends to explain why after this work, I can say that working with EMG signals is something way more complicated that what someone could think.
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Project management
Chapter 1

Context

1.1 Introduction

In the world we are living, there is a great number of human injuries which end up with the amputation of an extremity. During the history, there has been created several types of prosthesis that allowed people to, somehow, carry on with their daily life. These prostheses have gone from simple wooden legs to first attempts of bionic hands.

These last prosthesis, bionics, are allowed to interpret the thinking of the patient in order to represent these thoughts into a movement like done with the human biologic extremity.

The main target of this project is to achieve the simulation of a bionic hand that is capable to work in real time. This is, obtaining real data experimentally from a forearm and decide the kinematics of a robotic hand according to the characteristics of these signals.

1.2 Reading the mind

Saying that a robotic arm is capable of construing the mind of a person and represent it seems something related to neuroscience and medicine, and that, in order to achieve such a thing, brain scans would be needed. Nevertheless, reality is far away from that.

Bionic prosthesis construe the signal from the brain, that is true, but they do not analyze directly this organ, but the signals spread from it along communication channels: nerves and muscles.
Then as mentioned, these prostheses read the electrical signals from nerves and muscles, construe them using machine learning algorithms and artificial neuronal networks to finally reproduce the desired movement into the robotic arm or hand.

It is important to remark that there is a difference of functions between the two signals. Nerves are in charge of control and response of the hand, while muscles are the direct effectors from these nerve signals in charge of creating the mechanical effect which is the movement of the hand.

1.3 Actors involved

During the development of this project there is a set of people involved in it, either directly or indirectly. We describe these people in the following lines.

1.3.1 Developer

The developer is the person in charge of carry out the project. This is the most involved and implicated person in the project, since is the person in charge of the planification and development of the project, as well as the one defending the project in the oral presentation and the writer of the memory. It is responsible for delivering and finish all the task on time or carry out the actuation plan of necessary.

1.3.2 Director and support

The director is the person in charge of the developer. His duty is to, using its expertise in the field, lead the developer and help him to take the right decisions. Some other important people in the development of the project are two researches from the university of Warsaw, who will help on obtaining the signals and the signal processing of the signals. These persons are Dr. in Ewa Piatkowska-Janko and PhD candidate Richard Gomolka.

1.3.3 Beneficiaries

In the case of this project there are two types of beneficiaries. The first one is really clear: amputees, which will have better products to continue their life in a more regular way. The other group of people who can benefit from this project is everyone in the scientific field, since this project can be used for further investigation along with the collected data.
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State of the art

2.1 Actual state

Actually this field is found under development. There are already some prosthesis capable of interpret basic movements, but these movements are slow in addition of being very limited.

We can say hence, that is a sector which is in a very primitive state having in mind what it wants to achieve (real movement of a human hand). Nowadays, the target of the research are the algorithms and methods of signal processing which have to be used for the right detection and filtering of the nerve in EMG signals[1], [2], [3], [4], [5], .

If we take a look at the most interesting results, we can appreciate how the decoding of the signals can achieve to move some fingers separately[6].

In addition, the ideal would be to achieve that these kinds of prostheses have an affordable price for the middle class, since the mean price for these prosthesis is around 10,000 euros.

Another remarkable thing to talk about in this section is the hardware used to read these signals from the body. There are actually two sources of information for bionic purposes: muscles and nerves.

2.1.1 Muscle signals

The first one seems a little weird since we are talking about amputees, but however, muscles from the forearm react in different ways against different hand movements, so we can take advantage of the electrical signals from these muscles to reproduce the
movement, a.k.a, EMG signals. The actual greatest problem with this kind of signals is the noise recorded also in the signal.

The method for obtaining these signals is by the use of electrodes. In order to record one signal, three electrodes are required: one reference, one positive and one negative. The reference electrode though, can be shared among the other pairs of electrodes as shown in the picture below (Fig. 2.1 (image taken from the Rehabilitation institute of Chicago):

![Figure 2.1: EMG electrode display](image)

### 2.1.2 Nerve signals

The second type seems to be the more legit one, but it has a really, really big problem: nerves uses to be under the muscle, so in order to record them, either the noise is exaggeratedly big (and makes impossible the processing) or surgery is required. In the second case, there is also the trouble that nerves are really sensible and fragile, and it is really easy to cause permanent damage on it. The first thought would be to needle the nerve, but this is almost the same as cutting it, so it is directly discarded. However, to solve this problem some cuffs have been designed[7], and not only do they detect electrical signals, but also its direction (to see if the signals are action or response signals).

### 2.2 Scope of the project based on the state of the art

Seeing in what is the study focused, in this project different investigations and research with good results in different parts of the bionic hand want to be studied, in order to
merge them and achieve very efficient results.

Designing a new data obtaining system or a new signal processing algorithm would be really expensive, either in the economic field as in time. This is like this since the actual algorithms are researches carried out by professional research teams in periods of years. Moreover, digital signal processing knowledges which are not obtained during the computer engineering degree are required.

In the economic field, the university does not have enough resources to afford the costs, even less for an exchange student. However, some materials to read biological signals can be obtained.
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Scope of the project

3.1 Main goal

The main goal of the project is to design a software capable of process signals from the forearm and classify them into different movements of a real hand, and then translate this classifications into robotic hand kinematics.

As mentioned during the document, during the development of this project, several methods of signal processing for EMG signals in the field of bionic hand will be studied. Then, based on these studies, the algorithm will be implemented, merging all the good results from these previous studies in order to achieve an optimal result.

Afterwards, the kinematics for the robotic hand will be correlated to the algorithm output and finally, a real time simulation is going to take place.

3.2 Stages

1. During the first stage of the project, current algorithms are going to be studied, and a selection of the best will be made.

2. Next, basic movements of the hand will be defined to read as EMG signals to have a database to work with. Basic movements will be wanted, which together, can cover most of the main movements of a hand.

3. Once the movements are defined, the reading of these movements using electrodes will be registered to obtain EMG signals.
4. When the database is obtained, the signals will be processed and filtered, so afterwards we can classify the different types of signals into different movements using a classification algorithm.

5. With the classification algorithm giving good results, the kinematics of the hand can be implemented, and hence do the real time simulation can take place.

3.3 Risks

During the development of the project, there can be some things not going according to the plan. If these situation occurred, here we present some alternatives:

3.3.1 Not having access to the signal recording laboratory

There is no access to the laboratory to record signals from the forearm.

**Solution:** We would use some set of data provided by other papers on the matter. To do the real time simulation, we would use some of these data as training data for the algorithm, and some other as simulated real time data.

3.3.2 Delay in implementation time

The signal processing, filtering and classification takes longer than expected

**Solution:** We would invest more hours per day. If this would not be enough we would end up using a simpler algorithm with less cases to classify.
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Temporal plannification

The development time for this project is around 5 months: from March until July, both from year 2016. In the following pages, the planification of this project is explained, bearing in mind flexibility, unexpected events and incidents.

In order to solve these kind of delays, actuation plans are presented.

4.1 Task definition

In this section of the document, as its name indicates, the different tasks of the project are defined and explained.

4.1.1 Project management

In this section of the project which is carried out in the subject GEP from FIB, the time and tasks of the project are defined, as well as the budget and the impact of it. The subject has a total of 7 subtasks to deliver:

- Scope of the project
- Temporal planification
- Economic management and sustainability
- Preliminary presentation
- Contextualization and bibliography
- Specification
• Oral presentation and final document

As indicated in the subject definition, the estimated time for this task is 75 hours.

4.1.2 Movement definition

Before reading the EMG signals in order to relate them to mechanical movements of a robotic arm, we must find a set of movements of interest. To do that, a set of basic movements will be defined, this is: movements that together shape the totality of movements of the hand.

Some more complex movements will be recorded as well to see how the algorithm deals with these kind of movements apart of the previously defined basic ones.

To do this, we will work with people with bionic and biological signal reading experience. For this task, a time of 15 hours is expected.

4.1.3 Signal reading

In this task, the EMG signals of the arm will be read. If possible, from different people. The EMG signals are electrical signals generated by the activity of the muscle. This signals will be recorded using a set of electrodes which can be sticked on the skin.

Since the lectures take some sessions to be recorded (sometimes there are problems with the equipment or the noise in the signals is too high) 30 hours will be taken for this task.

4.1.4 Study the state of the art

Since the bionic matter is completely under development, the observation of the different techniques used to decipher the signals with best results until the moment is required, as well as find which are the most important muscles to record, data structures used and more previous knowledges which are not obtained during the degree.

Even being still under development, several information and documents can be found about bionic and biological signal processing, hence the estimated time for this task is 50 hours.
4.1.5 Obtained signal processing

This task is the most important on the project. In the existing documentation about bionics, they continuously talk about the filtering and processing of the signal as mentioned before.

During this stage, which has a length of 340 hours, the following subtasks are defined:

4.1.5.1 Determinate the algorithm to use

During this subtask, the different algorithms and data structures explained in the scientific papers studied in the previous task will be evaluated and selected.

From all these algorithms, we will study which are the most efficient and which are more useful for our project bearing in mind the time and knowledges that we have. It has to be considered that the final product should work in real time.

This stage is crucial for the project, from it depends the performance of the final product. Therefore, 80 hours will be dedicated on it.

4.1.5.2 Implementation

This is the longest subtask of the project, in which the software in charge of interpret and classify the signals will be developed according to the algorithms and data structures selected in the previous subtask.

Since we know from experience that the implementation of a software is very time expensive, a total amount of 160 hours will be assigned to this stage.

4.1.5.3 Analysis, interpretation and evaluation of results

Once the required software has been implemented, the results obtained from it will be analyzed.

In order to evaluate the efficiency of the algorithm, the following requisites will be considered:

- Processing time
- Clearness of processed signals
• Amount of hit and miss when interpreting signals

• Possible hardware optimization of the code

The first one indicates the speed in which the algorithm can process the signals. The second one will tell us the quality of the filtering and processing on the signal. The third is probably the most important one, telling us how many times the algorithm worked well or bad. Finally in the last section, we will evaluate which is the portability of the code into some hardware platform with the ability to optimize code via hardware, such as FPGA, Arduino or other embedded systems. The time for this subtask is 100 hours.

4.1.6 Software-hardware bridge

During this stage of the project the kinematics of a bionic hand will be implemented from the results of the previous task. A total of 20 hours will be invested.

4.1.7 Real time simulation

Finally, and if the equipment is available from the medical university, some real time tests will be done on the algorithm. This way we will be able to evaluate if the project achieves the main target of interpreting and classify signals in real time. 20 hours will be dedicated to this task.

4.1.8 Final stage

During this stage the memory of the project will be redacted as well as the possible paper for it. Also, the defense of the presentation will held. The estimated time is 50 hours for this task.

4.2 Time estimation per task

In the following table, a summary of the assigned hours is shown:

4.3 Task dependencies
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task</th>
<th>Assigned time(hours)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project management</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Movement definition</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Signal reading</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study state of the art</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Determinate algorithms to use</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implementation</td>
<td>160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analysis, interpretation and evaluation of results</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Software-Hardware bridge</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Real Time simulation</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final Stage</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>600</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.1: Time estimation per task

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task</th>
<th>Dependent task(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project management</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Movement definition</td>
<td>Project management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Signal reading</td>
<td>Movement definition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study state of the art</td>
<td>Project management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Determinate algorithms to use</td>
<td>Study state of the art, Signal reading</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implementation</td>
<td>Determinate algorithms to use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analysis, interpretation and evaluation of results</td>
<td>Implementation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Software-Hardware bridge</td>
<td>Implementation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Real Time simulation</td>
<td>Software-Hardware bridge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final Stage</td>
<td>Real Time simulation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.2: Task dependencies

4.4 Gantt diagram

![Gantt diagram](image-url)
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Resources

During the development of this project different types of resources are going to be used. Among them we can find human, software and hardware resources, which will all be described below.

5.1 Human resources

This project will be developed by a student in computer science, specialized in the matter of computer engineering. Moreover, a couple of experts in electromyogram and medicine will also temporarily collaborate in the obtainment of the signals needed in the project.

5.2 Software resources

During the development of the project we will mainly work with the tool Matlab for the treatment and study of the signals. Anyway, the following tools shall also be used:

- Google Drive: online platform for data storage and office documents creation and edition.
- Texmaker: LaTeX text compiler and editor
- Atenea: Learning platform
- Adobe Reader and Okular: PDF file viewer
- FIBs Rac: Tool for the academic management and virtual campus.
- GanttProject: Tool to generate Gantt diagrams
• LibreOffice: Set of programs for office documents

5.3 Hardware resources

The expected hardware resource in this project are rather low. Since the project consist mainly on signal study and processing, only the following materials are going to be used:

• Personal computer: ASUS GL552JX-XO265 along with a Logitech G5 mouse.
• Electrodes: for the electromyogram and nerve signal reading.
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Deviation and acting plan

In this kind of project it is very common for deviations to happen on the original plan, since it is very hard to know for sure the time which is going to be spent in every task before the project starts. However we are applying a Scrum methodology, which allows us to control in some way the possible deviations. These are the steps to follow in case of a deviation:

- In case a task ends before expected: the next task is started without problem.
- In case a task ends after expected: If the delay is not much, the task is finished and the next one is started. Nevertheless if the delay is too big the tasks Implementation, Result analysis and Real time simulation will be prioritized. If some of these prioritized stages takes way longer than expected, we will focus on implementation and result analysis.

These deviations could affect a little bit on hardware resources, especially in the use of the personal computer. However the difference in the budget would be minimum (less than 1), so we can depreciate it. We can say that this planification guarantees the end of the project by the assigned date.
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Economic management

7.1 Budget

As shown in the table found in the appendix, section Project budget, the budget for the project has been estimated taking the resources specified before and using the planification explained in the previous section. In the making of this table, either hardware and software resources, as well as human resources have been beared in mind. In some tasks, incidentals have been also considered, and so has been the contingency. As seen in the table, first of all direct costs for every task are specified for hardware, software and human resources.

For those hardware and software which will be further used out of the project because of its use life, a proportion has been applied over the total price in order to calculate which part of its life will be used. Regarding those software resources which prices are not specified or are either 0, this is because those softwares are Free Software, and hence the price for them is 0.

As for what human resources concerns and as mentioned before, there is just one student of computer engineering working on the project, but at a given point there will be a collaboration from two experts in the matter who will help on the setting up of the electrodes for free.

Indirect costs (in this case electricity for working during no-light hours and Internet) are applied. Luckily in Poland these costs are rather low as shown in the budget.

Moreover incidentals are also considered. These costs have been applied only on those tasks where there is a real risk of exceeding the planified resources, this is, on signal reading, state of the art study, implementation, results analysis and final stage.
Finally a low contingency (3%) and VAT (21%) are applied on the total cost.

7.2 Management Control

During the development of the project some deviations may occur, causing some tasks to take longer than expected. These deviations can be rectified with an action plan. However, we need to establish some way to calculate these deviations over the initial budget.

We did not applied deviations to all the tasks, only to those we think that have a real risk to suffer some unexpected incident. These tasks are the following: signal reading, state of the art studying, implementation, result analysis and final stage. After the project has been carried out, the real working time of these stages will be compared with the theoretical time specified in this document. If the difference is huge, the reason why this happened will be studied and hence avoided in future works.

Finally, since the project is rather short and the tasks have been well specified, a very low contingency of 3% has been applied on the budget.
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Sustainability and social commitment

In order to make a study of the sustainability of the project along with the social commitment, three different scopes are going to be considered: economic, social and environmental. In order to rate these aspects, we are going to answer some question and base the final score on these answers.

8.1 Economic scope

In the economic scope, this project has a mark of 9.2. The reasons for this mark are the following:

- The cost of the project is really studied for every kind of resource;
- It is just a scientific study, and hence it does not require any kind of maintenance;
- It is a very cheap project, so it would be really good if launched in the market;
- The project pretends to create a solution for the arm movements of a bionic hand, so it presents a solution in the market.
- If carried out by a more experimented engineer, the development time would be less.
8.2 Social scope

In the social scope, this project has a mark of 9.5. Here there are the reasons why it obtained this mark:

- Personally, the project provides me of a set of new knowledges which will help me in my future working live
- The target of the project (disabled people with an amputated hand) will benefit from this project by having access to cheaper bionic prosthesis.
- There are no third parties in which this project affects on a negative way.
- This project has been presented to solve a problem in the society.

8.3 Environmental scope

In the environmental scope, this project has a mark of 8.2. Below are the reasons for this mark.

- This project, by itself, has no impact on the environment, but only the electricity used by the computer during the working hours;
- In the future, it is possible that this project is used for the mass productivity of bionic arms, hence this mass production could have an impact on the environment;
- For the development of this project, several works can be used as a base for the project;
- Most likely, the project will not produce any negative impact on environment;
- Most likely, the project can be reused for further work in the subject.
Part II

Development
Chapter 9

Movement definition and signal readings

During the development of the project, different signal readings have been carried on. Because of this, in every reading session we fixed mistakes committed in the previous one; either right placement of the electrodes or wrong movements to record among others. In the following sections within this chapter, we will describe which movements where recorded in every session, as well as the usage done of the available material. Furthermore, the mistakes and the impact of those in the readings, will be explained and discussed. However, the detailed explanation of how these issues were solved will be explained in the next chapter.

Therefore, in this chapter we will focus on the obtainment of the signals, the issues this obtainment can generate and the solutions we have found.

9.1 EMG signals and Lab equipment

The first thing to know about the recording of our EMG signals is which equipment was used and understanding how EMG recordings are made. In this section we will explain with detail which equipment was disposed during the recordings, as well as how EMG signals are recorded.

9.1.1 EMG signals

Before talking about how EMG signals are recorded, it is important to understand what they are exactly. In a very simple way, we can refer to EMG samples as electrical
signals generated by muscles. However, what is really happening at a very low physiological level is that every muscle cell has an ionic difference between its parts, and, when this cell is excited, an electrical perturbation occurs. When this happens with many muscle cells, an electrical signal is generated, and thus we can record it with electrodes as detailed in the previous chapter (exposed in figure 2.1).

9.1.2 Lab Equipment

Now that we understand how EMG signals are recorded, we are ready to know which equipment was used for our readings. In our case, we use a set of academic material from National Instruments. In this set we can basically find the following devices:

- **NI ELVIS II (User manual)** Board capable of reading EMG (among others) signals and transform them for further use in a computer

- **EKG sensor 200**: Captures signals from electrodes, amplifies them so afterwards can be send to the NI ELVIS II.

- **Hand dynamometer**: This device allows to record strength applied by the hand, either from whole hand or the climp between two fingers.

Pictures of these equipment can be seen in the Appendix.

As for what the software refers, we have been usign a software designed by the same company called LabView, which allows to take the channels from the NI Elvis II and monitor them in real time. Moreover, with this software platform we could export the data files in a Matlab format, in order to be processed and studied afterwards.

9.2 EMG signal readings

What we want to achieve by reading this kind of signal is a signal with which we can easily or clearly decide the state of the hand. Thus, we aim to get some clear signals with the minimum amount of noise.

Since we want to get information from the hand we want to measure the muscles in charge of moving the fingers; this is, most of the muscles in the forearm. Therefore, let us take a look at the different sessions that were carried out in order to get these data.
9.2.1 First session: constant rhythm finger flexions

This session was our first contact with the lab equipment and was the first time we were facing an EMG recording. By then we thought that it would be a good idea to record 10 flexions for every single finger, each flexion every one second. Also, the clamp between the thumb with all the other fingers (one by one) was recorded. It is important to remark that these flexions were mere impulses, this is, the flexion of the finger was not kept for a whole second.

![Electrode spots for first session](image)

**Figure 9.1:** Electrode spots for first session

Regarding the number of electrodes and its position, we did the following deployment:

1. **Reference electrode:** placed in the middle of the forearm, serves as reference for all the other electrodes.

2. **Inner wrist tendons:** Two electrodes were placed over the tendons in the wrist in order to check the strength on the contraction of each finger. This movement is caused by the contraction of both flexor carpi muscles.

3. **Upper-outer forearm:** The other two electrodes where placed in the outer part of the forearm, close to the elbow. With this, we can read the strength on the extension of each finger, given by the activity by the smaller forearm extensors.

Regarding Figure 9.1, there are some things we have to remark. The first thing we must notice is the different types of electrodes used during the recording. Also, it is important to point out that the electrode close to the elbow, are not well stuck on the skin surface.
Furthermore, we used different types of electrodes, the wires were moving during the recording and, as expectable, the movement of a finger causes a very small EMG reaction from the muscle. Because of these issues among others, the first recording session resulted in some poor signals. However, with these signals we could start to work on the filtration and processing of the signals, as well as getting to know the main characteristics of the EMG signals produced by our equipment.

9.2.2 Second session: whole fist contraction

As mentioned before, we could work somehow with the signals from the first session but one of the main problems was that those were weak. We needed some sort of signal that could be easily differentiated from the baseline (relaxed position of the arm). In addition, we also noticed that there were no appreciable differences between the flexion of every particular finger. For all these reasons we opted to register the whole fist contraction, with full strength, for the next session.

Moreover we deemed that this time, instead of with impulses, we should work with some sort of signal that kept the EMG signal activated for a while. This way we could be able to study the nature of the EMG in a more accurate way, since the impulses were not providing enough characteristics of the signals.

Therefore, for the second session of EMG recording, we read the EMG signals of the forearm for fist contractions of 2 seconds, in intervals of 2 seconds. This means that the whole cycle is around 4 seconds.

![Figure 9.2: Electrodes spots for second session](image)
The position of the electrodes, as shown in figure 9.2 vary a little from the previous display. In this session we had:

1. **Reference electrode:** The reference electrode was this time placed a little closer to the elbow than before. There is not a particular reason for it to be closer, but the thing is that in this spot, there is no muscular activity during the recording, and there are neither veins nor nerves that can cause noise. In figure 9.2, these are the electrodes with a **black pin**.

2. **Flexor carpi:** Instead of the wrist tendons, which provide a very low EMG signal, for this session we opted to locate the electrodes right on the muscles in charge of the contraction of the four last fingers: the flexor carpi muscles. In figure 9.2 we can identify these electrodes as the ones with the **red pin**.

3. **Extensor carpi:** These electrodes are located in the same place as in the previous reading, right on the Extensor carpi muscle set, close to the elbow by the outer part of the arm. Those are not very visible in figure 9.2, but we can see a part of an electrode with a **green pin**.

We can also see in the picture that there is a **dynamometer**. It was used basically to see the strength (in newtons) applied by the hand. The purpose of this signal was to correlate somehow the power of the EMG with the strength delivered by the fist, but it was not used in the end.

In this session, we obtained very pleasant signals. The noise was basically the same, but this time the electrodes were well located and we avoided some noise generated by the movement of the wires. In addition, since the movement recorded was the whole fist, the power of the EMG when the muscles were active was way more powerful than the power generated by the finger flexion, as we did in session one.

With these signals, we were able to find out the last characteristics we needed from the EMG and start to design and test the **RT-algorithm**, which let’s not forget is the main target of this project.

The only problem with the signals obtained was that they were too much periodic. With these I mean that in a real case of a bionic arm, the movements are rather aperiodic, so we needed some signals with different timings to test the RT-algorithm in a reliable way.
9.2.3  Third session: RT dataset

This was the third and last session of EMG recording for this project. In this session we also registered the whole fist flexion, but this time we used different timings. We had a total of 3 dataset, both with 2 signals as in the previous sessions. The first dataset contains different duration of contraction, but it could not be used due to some strange really high noise that appeared during the session. The second and third dataset contain contractions of 4 seconds, but with different level of strengths.

In this session there was no a very strict time synchronisation, but that was the intended purpose of this session: to produce signals to test if the algorithm could detect the cycles in which the muscle is activated.

The deployment of the electrodes was the same as in the previous session. However, this time we glued the wires on the table in order to avoid wire noise, which seemed to be the one causing the biggest distortion.
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Processing and filtration of the obtained signals

Before talking about the filtration we applied on the obtained EMG signals, let us talk a little bit about the nature of these signals.

In most of the cases, when we look at a raw EMG signal, we can appreciate two kind of silhouettes: a baseline usually free of noise, and cycles with the activated muscle in which the power increases significantly.

The baseline of these signals has a high dependence on the equipment which is being used, but this does not mean that it does not depend of many other things such as skin preparation, electrodes used, movements recorded... etc. However, the standard baseline noise uses to be lower than 5 microvolts.

When an activation of the muscle occurs, the shape of the EMG changes to some spikes with random shape. With this we know that EMG signals are stochastic signals.

Finally, regarding the active muscle power and frequencies, the power is found between plus and minus 5000 microvolts (for athletes) and the higher frequencies use to be between 20 and 150 Hz [8].

Having said that, let’s see what signals we obtained from the reading sessions and how we dealt with them in every situation.

10.1 First session - dealing with poor signals

As we have mentioned in the previous section, the first session of EMG readings was not pretty good. There were many factors that carried weight to this signals with a
lot of noise and a rather poor signal. The most remarkable ones would be bad quality electrodes, wire noise and bad selection of movements to record.

To get a better idea of what we are talking about, let’s take a direct look at the signals we obtained during this first reading and discuss the different characteristics, noises and problems of it and how to fix them (Fig 10.1).

In this figure above, we can see how the signal is basically a noisy signal with a little distortion every 1 second (the period we determined). This is, in fact, really far from looking as a real good EMG signal.

10.1.1 First filters and envelope

We can appreciate the noise caused at the end of the signal by the devices we have used. If we take a closer look (i.e: at the numeric data) we can see that this drop in the signal is nothing more than a really zero-close values. Also, there is some noise at the beginning, but this can not be appreciated in the image due to its resolution.

Because of this, one of the first thing we had to do to the signal was cropping it by the beginning an by the end. This way, we can obtain a ”clean” EMG signal. However, now we have to deal with all the noise in the signal, caused by many different things such as device noise (50 Hz in Europe), wire noise, electrode-skin noise... etc.

In order to filter all these kinds of noise, as well as identifying them, we followed some signal processing methods proposed in ”The ABC of EMG”[8] . The first thing we did was apply a Notch filter at 50Hz in order to reduce the noise of the devices. That can be appreciated in the next figure:
Figure 10.2: Before Notch filter  
Figure 10.3: After Notch filter

We can see that, in figure 10.2, on the left side, there is a notorious peak of power at 50 Hz. Against this noise, the rest of the signal appears to be nothing. Yet applying the Notch filter gives us a really good improvement on the frequency spectrum of the signal, as can be seen in figure 10.3, on the right side. Please note that the scale on the Y axis is different in the second image. The second image was not kept in the same scale since otherwise the valley can not be appreciated.

Nevertheless, we can see that, instead of a big peak, we have a smooth valley in the 50 Hz. This means that the parameters of the Notch filter have not been correctly adjusted. Despite this fact, we achieved to happen with the good parameters, and thus achieve a good application of the Notch filter.

With this, we justify the application of the Notch filter at 50Hz on our signals.

Once we had the signal clean of the noise from the equipment, we though it would be a good a idea to smooth the signal somehow, and to obtain something similar to an envelope of the function. To do this, we chose to use the Root Mean Square (RMS from now on) algorithm.

\[
X_{RMS} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} |X_n|^2}
\]

Figure 10.4: RMS basic formula

Where:

- \(X_n\) is the current sample of the chunk to be processed
- \(X_{RMS}\) is the chunk which is being processed and for which we will obtain the RMS value.
Chapter 10 Processing and filtration of the obtained signals

For this signal, we chose a window size of **50 samples**. At the beginning we wanted to use the built-in \texttt{rms(X)} function in Matlab, but it was not working well and gave us some errors, so we implemented our own RMS function. After applying it to the signal filtered with the Notch filter, we obtained the results in figure 10.5

![Figure 10.5: Raw signal, RMS filtered signal, and superposition of both](image)

In the figure 10.5, we can appreciate the raw signal (already cropped), the signal after applying the RMS filter and the superposition of both signals. Notice that there are also some orange circles. These circles are meant to point, more or less, where the cycle (i.e: the flexion of the finger) starts.

As expected, we have obtained an envelope of the EMG by applying this filter. However, although it is a very beautiful signal, it is not useful for our purpose of analyzing the nature of EMG signals and build a RT algorithm. Moreover we can see in the image how the theoretical cycle does not correspond to the cycle in the signal in an exact way. This shows us the delay between the order delivered by the persons (i.e: the brain) and the actuation of the muscle.

10.1.2 Adjusting the frequency spectrum

Now, it is known that the important frequencies on the EMG spectrums are focused between 10Hz and 200Hz, the rest can be considered noise or simply not relevant frequencies. Therefore a **low pass** and a **high pass** filters are required in order to clean the signal from noise.
This is why, as proposed in the papers \[1\], \[2\], \[9\], \[10\], \[11\], we designed a code which applies the following filters to the signal:

- Low pass filter
- High pass filter
- Notch filter
- Moving average

In order to implement the low and high pass filters we used the Butterworth filter, both for its good effectivity and simplicity when applied in Matlab with the function `butter(N, Wn)`, where \(N\) is the order of the filter and \(Wn\) the normalized cutoff frequency. The order was set in both filters to the value 6, and the cutoff frequencies it depends on the type of filter.

### 10.1.2.1 Adjusting Notch filter

Since all these filters were merged altogether into a function, it was possible to vary the cutoff frequencies (among other parameters that we will explain later) for both low and high pass filters. As what the Notch filter concerns, we have already explained that we set it at 50 Hz, however, the Matlab function `iirnotch(w0, bw)` as we can see has two parameter: \(w0\) is the cutoff frequency, and \(bw\) bandwidth. In the previous chapter we have mentioned the impact of the parameters of this function to the output signal. We show now in some figures the impact of different values for the bandwidth.

However, before the pictures it is important to understand how we tuned the parameters. First we have the cutoff or Notch frequency \((w0)\) calculated as

\[
W_0 = \frac{f_n}{f_s}
\]

Where \(f_n\) is the frequency we want to attenuate (e.g: 50 Hz) and \(f_s\) is the sampling frequency (2KHz in our case).

This value we use it for the cutoff frequency but we also use it for the bandwidth, multiplying it by a factor \(\alpha\). This factor \(\alpha\) is the number shown in the following pictures, showing the impact of different \(\alpha\) on the Notch filter:

We can see how for small values of \(\alpha\) such as 0.05 or 0.005 we still have a peak at 50Hz, and how for high values such as 0.5 and 0.2 we have somehow of a valley around 50Hz, destroying some possible information.
Chapter 10 Processing and filtration of the obtained signals

Hence with this examples (Fig 10.6, Fig 10.7, Fig 10.8 and Fig 10.9), we could find a right value for $\alpha$ which balances between a good filtration of the 50Hz and the right amount of information which is destroyed. This value is set to $\alpha = 0.07$.

For this first dataset we also designed a very simple algorithm to detect cycles in the EMG signals we had. By cycles, we mean to detect whether the muscle is activated or not, but since these activations are cyclic in our signals we refer to them as cycles.

This very simple algorithm worked on the whole filtered signal, so it is important to remark that it is not a RT algorithm. For this algorithm called detect_cycles.m we take a window size of 300 samples and just calculate the mean every 300 samples, compare it with a threshold set to 0.01, and consider it active if the mean is greater than this threshold. Afterwards we store the approximate locations of the beginning and the end of the cycles to be able to see them individually.

The algorithm worked well enough with some signals of the dataset, and since we also had a way to quickly filter EMG signals using the function called emg_qp, in which we
summarized all the filters explained above and included a moving average, we were ready to take some other readings. In the figure below (Fig 10.10, we can see a very simple flowchart describing the function:

10.2 Second session - stronger, longer signals

As explained in the previous chapter, the signals obtained during the second session were way better than the ones from the first session, basically because of the movement recorded and the locations of the electrodes. Below, we can see the raw signal (cropped) obtained during this session:
We can see in figure 10.11 that in most of the cycles the energy of the signal grows significantly, even for being a raw signal. However, the baseline is still pure noise, and this means that the rest of the signal will improve if we apply the filters. Let’s take a look at how the signal evolves after the application of the different filters.

![Signal after high pass and low pass filters](image)

**Figure 10.12:** Signal after high pass and low pass filters

In figure 10.12 the impact of applying high pass and low pass filter can be appreciated. If we compare this signal with the raw signal, the first we must notice is that the mean value of the filtered signal is more or less 0, instead of being around 1.

Also, if we look at the shape of the wave, we can see how some distortions and noises between the active cycles have disappeared, and the signal is somehow symmetric on the horizontal axis.

Nevertheless we still have the baseline as a continuous noise. One of the causes for this issue is the noise of the equipment at 50Hz. Previously we watched what was the impact of the Notch filter on the frequency spectrum, but have not seen yet any example of its impact on the signal in the time domain.

In the figure below (figure 10.13), we can see the impact of the Notch filter on the signal already filtered with both low and high pass filters. As mentioned before, it can be seen how the noise in the baseline has diminished, as well as some of the random peaks during the cycles.

This will be very helpful when we design the final RT algorithm, since it simplifies a lot the detection of the activation of the muscle, and also gives us some good reference values to calculate and detect this activation of the muscle.

It is important to remark that the filter functions in Matlab add some zeros at the beginning and end of the signal, thus after every filter the signal needs to be cropped.
by around 150 samples by edge, making a total of 300 samples (15% of the sampling frequency). This will be important at the time of designing the RT algorithm.

Now the next thing that would be needed is to get somehow the envelope of the function in order to simplify the work of the algorithm when detecting cycles. We have already seen one way in which the envelope of the signal can be obtained: the RMS.

However the RMS algorithm can be somehow a problem for an algorithm if we want it to work fast, since it has to deal with some float operations that consume a lot of CPU time (such as $\sqrt{}$) compared to other operations like, for example, addition or division.

This is why at a given point the RMS equation was replaced by a simple moving average algorithm. In our case, we used the function $\text{movavg}(\text{Asset, Lead, Lag, Alpha})$ provided by Matlab. For this function, we determined that the perfect values were 200 for both $\text{Lead}$ and $\text{Lag}$, and 'e' to alpha, which means exponential mean.

With these values, we achieve a smooth enough signal without using many memory resources since a window of 200 samples is not a big deal. Moreover, we replace the float point operations from the RMS to simple additions and division, which are way faster to process by a CPU. In addition, With the exponential mean we assure a value which has a real meaning of a chunk of data.

In figure 10.14 the definitive signal can be seen. We say definitive since the moving average is the last filter we decided to apply, since we don’t want something that takes a lot computation time to process.
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We can see that the baseline now, at least before the first cycle, is a really low value, close to zero if compared with the cycles. However, we can also notice that between the cycles this value is a little higher.

Another curious characteristic of the signal is the difference between the peaks of different cycles. It can be seen that, somehow, the pattern of a strong cycle followed by lower once, is repeated along the signal.

These two characteristics are due to the fatigue in the muscles. When the muscle is activated and then relaxed, it takes some time for it to get to a completely relaxed state, and even more after a full strength fist flexion. The second phenomenon is because every time a flexion is done, the muscle gets a little tired, hence the next flexion will not be so strong as the previous one. However, during the recording of the signals, the patient was reminded every some cycles to go full strength again. However, the fatigue is also a factor of this phenomenon. This can be seen in a perfect way on the 7th cycle (highest peak of the signal).

Another impact of the fatigue during the recordings can be seen in the frequency spectrum, since when fatigue hits, the frequencies use to move a little to lower frequencies.

However, after all this filters and processes, the signal looks now good enough in order to be processed by an algorithm detecting when the muscle is activated or not. Having this so, we can start to design the RT algorithm.
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Designing the real time algorithm

11.1 Introduction to Real Time processing

At the time of building a RT algorithm we need to make clear some concepts. The first thing we need to understand is what we mean by real time.

A real time algorithm or system is one that must guarantee a response to an input with such a speed that it affects the environment at that time. In our case, this means that the algorithm must response to the signals it is reading as if it was our very own hand.

Another thing that we must keep in mind, is the fact that now we can not work with the whole signal, since what we want to achieve is to develop an algorithm which is able to read, process and react to signals which are provided in real time.

In real time hardware, these signals are read using AD-converters (Analog to Digital converters), storing the data in some memory buffer until the algorithm can read it. This means that our algorithm can not work with a very big amount of data.

Something else that has to be bear in mind is the fact that bionic arms are devices which the owner must be able to carry everywhere, hence a portable battery is required. Because of this, we also need an algorithm which energy consume is low. This is somehow bounded to the low processing time.

Knowing all this, what must be done now is to find a solution that guarantees the following points, keeping all of them in mind:

- Very quick response
- Work with pieces of signal, instead of whole signal
• AD-converters timing
• Available samples of data
• Low energy consumption

However, in our case, we are not going to build a physical bionic arm but carry out a simulation, just to develop the algorithm. This means that there are some things that can not be tested, hence we will not focus on them especially. These things are basically AD-converter timing and low energy consumption.

Consequently, we will focus on developing an algorithm that brings a very quick response to an estimated number of real time incoming signal chunks provided by Matlab. In order to achieve this, we will make use of the built-in function of Matlab \texttt{pause(n)}, where \( n \) is the number of seconds.

### 11.2 Algorithm requirements and characteristics

To simplify things, we will assume that the time required by the AD-converters to obtain the data is lower than the time required by the algorithm to process one chunk of signal. This means that the algorithm will always have data to process in the buffer. In a real device though, the algorithm should probably wait some time before getting the data it needs. This would allow the CPU to just turn off until the buffer is full in order to save energy.

The other characteristic of the algorithm that needs to be defined is the number of samples that are going to be processed in one cycle of the algorithm; this is, the size of a chunk in samples.

As mentioned before, every time a filter is applied to the signal, we need to crop it since the filtering functions add some zero-close values at the beginning and at the end of the signal. We determined that this value is around 300 samples, although it slightly depends on the number of samples of the signal.

This means that the number of chunks that the algorithm needs must be greater than 300. However, before determining the required number of samples, we need to make a selection of the filters that will be applied on the signal.
11.2.1 Filters selection

The filter selection is a very important step for the algorithm, since it is the step in which, basically, the selection of filters according to all the requirements for a real time algorithm has to be made. This means that we have to choose only the most necessary filters in order to make the signal processable by the code.

Nevertheless, there are some filters that are completely necessary. These are basically those which adjust the frequency spectrum of the signal. Particularly, we are talking about:

- Low pass filter
- High pass filter
- Notch filter

In the previous chapter, we have seen how the signal improves with just these low CPU cost algorithm: We go from a noisy raw signal full of distortions to something smooth and clean of many of the previous noises.

The next step is to consider if we apply the RMS algorithm or not. We explained before that float point operations are very exhaustive for the CPU, and also, it was showed how the moving average can also achieve something similar of an envelope of the signal.

However if we are to replace the RMS by the moving average, we have to keep in mind that we can not work with the whole signal, and before, we were using a window of 200 for this moving average. Remembering that the chunk size will be greater than 300 samples, but can not exceed this number by many samples (since we would gain lag and lose real time effect), we have to think in an unusual way to implement this averaging in our real time code.

However, let’s assume for the time that we will use the moving average (and we will), and let us include it in our must-be-applied filter list. Updating our list with the values for each filter and adding modulus, we obtain the following table:

Note that in the row of the moving average there is a *. This is because, as mentioned, the moving average will be applied in an unusual way, and we still don’t know the samples with which we will dispose for this averaging.

Now, we would like to remind that every time that a filter is applied, the signal needs to be cropped in order to avoid false zero-close values. In that case, we found out that both
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Filter</th>
<th>parameters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High pass filter</td>
<td>20 Hz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low pass filter</td>
<td>150 Hz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notch filter</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modulus</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moving average</td>
<td>200 samples*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 11.1: Filters applied in the real time algorithm

low and high pass filters, and also the Notch one, require 120 samples to be cropped by filter. This is a total of 360 samples that need to be cropped in order to get a realistic value.

11.2.2 Structure of the algorithm

Now that we know what filters will be applied it is time to discuss what will be the structure of the real time algorithm.

It is clear that it will have a main bucle in which we will obtain chunks, process them, obtain some values and decide, according to these values, whether the arm is activated or not.

However, we will need to compare this values from the filtration with some other values, otherwise there is no way we can know the difference between the two states of the arm: activated or deactivated. This is why first of all a calibration is required.

But what should this calibration do? If we wanted to achieve an algorithm with quantitative results (i.e: more than one state, depending on the strength applied) we would need to know two states on the calibration, the two limits: relaxed position and full strength position.

Despite this fact, we will just have two states, and thus only one state must be measured during the calibration. In our case, we chose the relaxed position as a reference point, since we will focus on detecting activation and not deactivation of the arm. Moreover, this state is the most common for our hands, and would allow to save battery during repose moments.

Once the calibration is done, the main body and bucle of the algorithm can start. In this bucle, we will need to obtain data, process it, and decide, comparing to the values obtained during the calibration, if the arm is activated or not.

Therefore, the first step would be to obtain the data (chunk) to be processed. Then a function that applies the filters mentioned in table 11.1 (except for the moving average)
will be called. With this we will obtain a processed chunk of data. This means that we
will have a bunch of processed samples, but with this we can not directly work; we need
to obtain some characteristics from this chunk. There is when we apply the mean: We
apply the Matlab built-in function \texttt{mean(A)} to the processed chunk.

This way we obtain a value which can be compared to the reference values (values
obtained during calibration). However, using only one chunk of data is not a good
option since maybe this chunk is an exception within the set of the previous chunks.
By exception we mean a distortion or noise that alters the current state of the signal
seeming it to be what it is not. For example, we could be in a moment of repose and a
noise caused by friction or electromagnetic waves alters our signal creating a very brief
peak. This is known as a \textbf{false positive}.

Consequently, some previous values are used also to decide the current state of the arm
in order to avoid false positive and to bear in mind the previous state of the arm. This
number was set to 5 chunks in total. The response time delay will be discussed in the
detailed explanation of the algorithm

With this, we have built the main body of our algorithm. In picture 11.1, a diagram is
shown in order to understand in a more visual way the behaviour and structure of the
code:

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{AlgorithmDiagram.png}
\caption{Algorithm diagram}
\end{figure}
Now we still have to answer to the question: what number of samples will a chunk have? In order to solve this problem, we focused on the percentages of one second, which is the same as saying, focusing on percentages of the sampling frequency.

At the beginning, 500 samples were used, but with this number, the mean had a little lack of meaning. More samples were needed in order for the algorithm to take into account greater amounts of data.

Therefore, the number of samples was set to 600. It is important to remark that from these 600 samples, 360 samples are going to be cropped because of the filtering. Hence this leaves us with a total of 240 effective samples, which is around the 10% of the sampling frequency. This leaves us with a time delay of 0.3 seconds per chunk. However, as has been mentioned, the number of effective samples is 240, therefore the real delay is around 0.12 seconds.

Nevertheless, this is not the exact delay value, since as we have already mentioned, more than one chunk is used by the decision. The final result is 5 chunks, which means that the final time delay is 0.6 seconds.

### 11.3 Algorithm detailed explanation

The first thing to mention is that in the purpose of this section is not to explain the code line per line and explain why every command was used but to explain how the main functions of the algorithm work. To take a direct look at the code, please address to Appendix B.

In order to explain the algorithm in a proper way, the different functions will be detailed in the order they appear in the algorithm. This way, one can follow the explanation in a chronological way looking at the main algorithm.

#### 11.3.1 Calibration - emg_calibrate.m

In this function of the code we want to obtain certain aspects and characteristics of the repose state of the arm in order to have some values with which the processed chunks can be compared.

To do this, we will work with frames of 600 samples as explained before. In the case of the calibration, the window shift will be 600 samples as well, which means that every chunk has completely different samples.
Now, we thought that a calibration of 5 seconds would be enough to ensure a good and reliable reference values. However, which values do we want to obtain? In the first version of the algorithm, only the mean of all the processed chunks (including the mean for every chunk) was collected.

Nevertheless, after some readings and considerations, we realised that a simple mean was not enough to guarantee a truthful result. Thus, the standard deviation (calculated with the Matlab built-in function) was included. Note that the standard deviation is the one calculated out of the mean of all processed chunks.

11.3.2 Processing chunks - filter_chunk.m

In this section not only the filtration of the chunks will be explained but also some characteristics of the main bacle.

The first thing that requires explanation is the way the chunks are used in this part of the code. In the calibration, the window shift was 600 so that a sample was never reused in another chunk. In this part of the code though, the window shift is just 200 samples, meaning that from the total 600 samples of a chunk, 400 are taken from the last chunk.

This is done so that every chunk keeps some part of the previous chunk in order to avoid false positives and to keep somehow the slope of the signal in every chunk. In other words, we always keep some information from the past. Having said that, we can now focus on the function itself.

If we compare the code we find in this function with the code in the file emg_qp.m we can see that there is not much difference. Basically we take the chunk from both signals and apply the filters we mentioned the "Algorithm requirements and characteristics" section, for exception of modulus and moving average, which are applied afterwards as we already explained.

11.3.3 Taking decisions - check_active.m

This is the most important part of our algorithm, in which the activation of the arm is decided based on the data we have. It is important to remark again that we keep the last 5 values that have been processed. This values are stored in the vectors values_1 and values_2 for the first and second signal respectively. At the first iteration, this vectors are filled with the reference values obtained from the calibration.
It is very important to remark that until the very last version of the algorithm, only the first signal was used, both during the calibration and during the bucle itself. Moreover, only 3 signals were stored in the first two versions of the algorithm. In total, we had 3 different versions, which differences will be mainly discussed in this section since it is where the changes were made.

In the very first version of the algorithm, as mentioned in other sections, only a threshold with the reference value was considered. The decision was taken comparing the mean of the last three values with this threshold, which was set to \(2\) times the reference value. However, doing this we had some false positive.

In the next version, significant modifications were applied. The first one was that instead of the last 3 values, we switched to use the last 5 values in the signal to take the decision. Moreover not only the threshold comparison was carried out but also a standard deviation was made.

To do this standard deviation comparison, the first thing we did was to calculate a weighted mean of the stored values. These weights were set to 0.4, 0.3, 0.15, 0.1 and 0.05, giving more importance to the most recent value. If we take a look at the plot of these values, we can appreciate that it looks like a gaussian distribution in figure 11.2:

![Figure 11.2: Weights applied in the mean](image)
Once the mean is calculated, it is afterwards compared to the reference value plus some standard deviations: $ref_1 + 3*std_1$; where $ref_1$ is the reference value and $std_1$ is the standard deviation of the calibration.

The threshold was also modified, and instead of calculating the mean and then compare, just the sum of the values is performed and then compared to a value based on the reference value. In this version, the value is set to $(4*(3*ref_1))$. A 12 is not put since this value was obtained by adjusting multiplications of the value obtained from $3*ref_1$.

Another thing that must be explained is that the deciding function has two cases in it. As can be seen in the code, within the two conditions, the comparisons performed are the same but with different values as thresholds. This is done because while the strength is carried out, the muscle has ups and downs. If we take a look at figure 10.14 from the previous chapter, we can see how one cycle starts with a peak, which is followed by a valley and ends with another peak. With these low values for deactivation of the arm, we prevent the hand to deactivate during these valleys.

Another solution would be to just reduce the activation values, but this would suppose a greater number of false positives, which, with this implementation of the algorithm was reduced to 1.

However, until this point only one signal was used to perform the decision, and since we have two signals, it would be useful to use the information provided by both of them. Therefore the next step was to join the two signals and perform tests based on parameters from both.

In order to implement these changes, the first thing to do was to thing of a way to merge the two signals. The first thing that was tried was to do the mean between the two values from the two different signals and compare them to the mean of the reference values, but that would suppose too much computation time and thus was discarded.

Also the addition of the two signals was considered, but then changes on the reference points and comparison systems would had been to be applied as well.

Therefore we opted to just compare the signals separately and focus the decision on the activation by one of them. However, we wanted to add an statistical test to the check function because it brings information about the similarity of the chunk with the resting value, and hence it can be used for future work to do a quantitative algorithm. To do so, we used the Matlab built-in function $ttest2(x, y)$.

This function assumes that both values are composed by the same distribution (e.g: gaussian) and compares, within a confidence range, if this null hypothesis is true. This function returns two values: $[h, p]$. If $h=0$ it accepts the null hypothesis for normal
distributions with equal means, otherwise if $h=1$ the test rejects the null hypothesis at the p-value for error level. And p-value ($p$ of the test), which tells the probability of observing the statistic test as more extreme than the observed value under the null hypothesis (i.e: the test error of rejection of the null hypothesis).

In our case, only $p$ was used, independently from the state of the arm, we assumed that it meant activated if it was greater than $10^{-4}$. This value was statistically determined and means that the difference between the signal exists (significant difference at the p-value) and the probability of this decision is greater than 99.99% which means a very high significance.

Note also that to calculate these last p value, we used the addition of the two signals compared with the mean of the references. This is the main reason why the p value threshold is set that low.

The version of the algorithm combining the two signals, carrying state-dependent decisions on direct threshold and std based thresholds; and independent comparison with p value from statistical test, is the the final version of the algorithm. The number of false positives with this version was reduced to 0 for the second session signals.

### 11.4 Other aspects of the algorithm

As can be seen in the algorithm, besides the calculations needed for the bionic arm simulation, there a few more things that are done. One of these things is the recording of the initial and ending positions of the cycles.

This was done in order to analyze the nature and characteristics of the EMG especially when the muscles are activated. Some characteristics worth to investigate with this additional tool is the frequency spectrum and fatigue on the active signals. With this data (cycles from the real time algorithm) one can use the function `normalize_cycles(cycles, s1, s2)` where, for better results, $s1$ and $s2$ should be the signals $avS1$ and $avS2$ from `emg_qp.m`
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Chapter 12

Results and conclusions

12.1 Results

12.1.1 About EMG

During the development of this project, the main thing which with we have worked was EMG signals. Therefore, it is important to start the results section talking about what has been obtained in this matter during this thesis.

Since we had different sessions for recording EMG signals, and every session had something that the other did not have, we have been able to work with some different types of signals. Not only this, but also we learned some good ways and methods in order to obtain good signals to work with.

Moreover, the filtration applied to this signals was completely satisfactory, since it allowed the algorithm to achieve its goals. Regarding the goals specified over EMG signals, we have learned the nature and characteristics of them, and we have learned how to filter these signals in order to obtain something clear in a few steps and in quite a fast and efficient way.

During the explanation of the project, the evolution of the EMG signals could be appreciated, either by the changes realised in the recording methods or by the filters applied. In addition, we have learned the impact of the fatigue on the EMG signals, and thus some measures in order to apply it to the algorithm has been considered. We will talk about this in future work.

Therefore, the goals as for the EMG signals were successfully achieved.
12.1.2 About the RT algorithm

The algorithm that has been developed during the project has successfully achieved the requisites exposed as main goals of the project, this is, being able to recognise simple movements for a bionic hand limbs from EMG signals.

Moreover, the platform that has been used to develop such algorithm, is not meant to design real time algorithms, and, despite this fact, the algorithm is capable to run at real time without any appreciable delay from the human sight. It is true that Matlab carries out multithread operations automatically, but some cheap microchip can run multithread operations as well.

The results for the real time simulation (this is, with the first dataset) were very satisfactory. In the figure 12.1 the signal read by the algorithm is shown. This signal is the resultant signal from the processing applied in the algorithm (mean ans modulus included) for the signal from the forearm contractors.

![Figure 12.1: Signal interpreted by the algorithm](image)

In the case of figure 12.1 only one false positive was detected as positive (marked with red shadow) and one relaxed position was determined as active (the period between the first and the second cycle). The rest of small peaks between cycles were successfully detected as false positive, and hence still detected as relaxed positions.

However, this relaxed period between the two signals has a really high value. This is very rare for a noise, so most likely it was caused by a bad relaxed position by the
patient. Regarding the false positive detected as active value, we can say that it is quite a high peak (rarely seen) and that is the reason why it was detected as positive.

With this results we can say that the algorithm that has been developed has successfully reach the requirements stated at the beginning of this document.

12.2 Conclusions

As in the previous chapter about results, we will start talking about what we have learned about EMG signals, and afterwards we will talk about the code.

12.2.1 Conclusions about EMG signals

The first thing to mention about this signals is that, as any other physiological signal, it is by default a very noisy signal. As we could see in the figures while we were describing the reading sessions, we could see how the first signals were full of noise and distortion.

As we discussed this could be caused either by the accidents such as skin, muscle, and other biologic factor noises, cable noise, bad electrode contact... etc. This is regarding the noise. Other factors that can cause this poor signals are the wrong choice of movement to record, or a not high performance equipment.

However the biological accidents and many of the other noises were completely proven as false during the second session. This teaches us that the set of movements to record, as well as the way they are recorded (electrode placements) are very important at the time to obtain clear signals.

Nevertheless, even in these signals we could still find some constant noise. This noise is caused mainly by the equipment and the cables. This means that the equipment plays also a very important role on taking good signals. One way we could solve this issue is to use preamplified electrodes to reduce the cable noise, or even contact-less electrodes. Another solution, but a little way more drastic is to replace the whole equipment by a high performance equipment, but this suppose a big amount of money.

Also, working with such good equipment can have, depending on the point of view, a bad impact on this thesis. This is, if we work with very high-tech devices, first we are raising by default the price of a physical limb, and plus, this equipment is probably so big that it is impossible to fit into a portable device.

Therefore, the fact of working with rather bad signals can be seen even as a positive point for the thesis, since in a portable, low budget and energy optimal device, the
signals that can be used because of the equipment available are rather low. Hence, our algorithm can work properly on a real device.

Summing up, the concepts learned during this thesis about EMG signals, is that they are tricky signals, that highly depend on the equipment and procedure at the time of being recorded in order to obtain a clean signal. Despite this, the fact that not excellent signals were used, makes our algorithm suitable for a real bionic limb.

### 12.2.2 Conclusions about the algorithm

Real Time algorithms are complicated de per se, but when they get mixed with signal processing and EMG signals, the thing gets even worse. However, during the development of the thesis, the algorithm we built achieved the main goal of the project, which was to recognise basic movements of a hand.

We have learned how to design a software which is able to study statistic properties from calibration values and afterwards use this values as reference for decision functions which worked with signals obtained and processed in real time.

### 12.3 Future work

Regarding the future work, most of the work is based on the algorithm and not in the EMG signal processing. The question to say this is that, if more filters are to be applied to the EMG signals, it would have a negative time on the real time process. Either this or raise the price of the product, since more powerful components would be required.

Therefore let us talk about what we think it can be improved in our algorithm. Until now, we have an algorithm which is capable to detect a very basic move. This is good, since it does it pretty well, but it is still a binary action; this is, for now, we just have two positions for our hand: open or closed. Therefore one of the things to be improved in the algorithm is to turn this binary state into a gradual state.

The strength one delivers when grabing a bottle of whater is not the same as the strength delivered when holding a heavy grocery bag. When you drink from a bottle of water, you do not want to struggle it, bot just grab it. This is why this step is really important in a future work.

Another issue that is very important is the set that compose the movements of our hand. Closing and opening the fist is important, but in our daily life, we use our hands in many other different ways. It is true that, using our algorithm, many basic functions
can be done without problem since many of these activities consist on one hand holding an object and the other hand interacting with it (such as when someone whisks).

Because of this, it would be very interesting and important to add different types of movements to the algorithm. Nevertheless, this a very hard work. We would like to remind that the first attempt to register EMG signals was moving fingers separately, but the signals looked almost the same. Hence this is not an easy work.

Another thing that could be used to improve the functionality of the prosthesis is the study of the fatigue. During the different signals we have seen the impact of the fatigue on the signal shape and power. Statistical properties on the evolution and characteristics of this fatigue could be used in order to improve the performance of a prosthesis. It could be even possible to delete the fatigue in the resultant movement. With this we mean, act as if the muscles were not tired and perform more strength than what is being read from the muscles.

One important thing which we consider important is the translation of the code. Now we have a code implemented in Matlab, which is a great application for simulation, study of the signals, and first developments. But if this algorithm has to work with real time platforms, it would be really interesting to translate this code into a more suitable language for real time devices, such as C, C++ or Python.
# Appendix A

## Extra figures

### A.1 Project budget

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Price per unit (£)</th>
<th>Useful life (years)</th>
<th>Estimated amortil $</th>
<th>Price (£)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project Management</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computer</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LibreOffice</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GentlProject</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adobe and Okular</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PyB-React</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atena</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human resources</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Movement analysis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human resources</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Signal reading</td>
<td>Twelve</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrodes</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>10.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human resources</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incidents</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Material</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>35000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State of the art study</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human resources</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human resources</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incidents</td>
<td>200%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>66.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Process obtained signs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study of algorithms</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human resources</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recall analysis</td>
<td>Mathlab</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2600</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human resources</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incidents</td>
<td>1000, risk 15%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct cost, total</td>
<td>24,588.4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>24,588.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indirect costs</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electricity</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internet</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A.2 Lab equipment

Figure A.1: NI ELVIS II

Figure A.2: EKG sensor

Figure A.3: Dynamometer
Appendix B

Matlab code

B.1 EMG quick processing function - emg_qp.m

```matlab
% Script for quick EMG processing (NO DYNAMOMETER)

% emg_qp quickly process and EMG signal to have some feedback from it when
% captured in the lab. The parameters are the following:
% - filename: filename of the file containing the EMG
% - sf: sampling frequency of the signal
% - hp_freq: high pass frequency
% - lp_freq: low pass frequency
% - wsize: window size for filtering
% - f_sr: Fourier sampling rate
% - show_evo: if true, shows evolution of signal after filters

function [S1, S2, FS1, FS2, avS1, avS2] = emg_qp(filename, sf, hp_freq, ...
    lp_freq, wsize, f_sr, show_evo)

% Reading signals
load(filename);

% Signals are cropped to avoid lab equipment activation and
% deactivation noise.
S1 = biosignalDev2.ai0.Data;
S1 = S1(100:(size(S1)-200));
S2 = biosignalDev2.ai7.Data;
S2 = S2(100:(size(S2)-200));

if (show_evo)
    figure;
    plot(S1);
    title('Signal 1 RAW');
    figure;
    plot(S2);
    title('Signal 2 RAW');
end
```
% ------------------------ LOW PASS FILTER --------------------------

order = 6;
[b, a] = butter(order, lp_freq/(sf/2));
S1 = filter(b, a, S1);
S2 = filter(b, a, S2);

% Resizing
S1 = S1(50:end);
S2 = S2(50:end);

if (show_evo)
    figure;
    plot(S1);
    title('Signal 1 after low pass');
    figure;
    plot(S2);
    title('Signal 2 after low pass');
end

% ------------------------ HIGH PASS FILTER --------------------------

% Obtaining Butterworth filter
order = 6;
[b, a] = butter(order, hp_freq/(sf/2), 'high');
S1 = filter(b, a, S1);
S2 = filter(b, a, S2);

% Resizing
S1 = S1(400:end);
S2 = S2(400:end);

if (show_evo)
    figure;
    plot(S1);
    title('Signal 1 after high and low pass');
    figure;
    plot(S2);
    title('Signal 2 after high pass');
end

% ------------------------ NOTCH FILTER ---------------------------

% Noch filter can cause a big information loss, uncomment only if you
% know what you are doing
Wo = 50/(sf/2);
[a, b] = iirnotch(Wo, (0.05*Wo));

% Applying 50Hz notch filter to signals
S1 = filter(a, b, S1);
S2 = filter(a, b, S2);

% Resizing
S1 = S1(400:end);
S2 = S2(400:end);

if (show_evo)
  figure;
  plot(S1);
  title('Signal 1 after notch filter');
  figure;
  plot(S2);
  title('Signal 2 after notch filter');
end

% ------------- DELETING EXTREME VALUES AND PLOTTING ----------------
% Deleting 0 close values at beginning and end
S1 = delete_zeros(S1, false);
S2 = delete_zeros(S2, false);

% Cropping signals again to avoid filtering initial noise
S1 = S1(350:size(S1));
S2 = S2(400:size(S2));

FS1 = fft(S1, f_sr);
FS2 = fft(S2, f_sr);

% -------------------- Plotting filtered signals --------------------
figure;
plot(S1);
title('Signal 1: Extensors');
figure;
plot(S2);
title('Signal 2: Wirst tendoms');
cor = xcorr(S1, S1);
figure;
plot(cor);
title('Cross correlation between signal 1 and signal 2');

% ------------------- Plotting fourier transforms -------------------
% First, define axis as power and frequency
sfs1 = size(FS1);
sfs2 = size(FS2);

ps1 = abs(FS1/sfs1(1));
ps1 = ps1(1:sfs1(1)/2+1);
ps1(2:end-1) = 2*ps1(2:end-1);

ps2 = abs(FS2/sfs2(1));
ps2 = ps2(1:sfs2(1)/2+1);
ps2(2:end-1) = 2*ps2(2:end-1);

freq_dom_s1 = sf*(0:(sfs1(1)/2))/sfs1(1);
freq_dom_s2 = sf*(0:(sfs2(1)/2))/sfs2(1);

% Now plotting
figure;
plot(freq_dom_s1(1:int32(end/4)), ps1(1:int32(end/4)));
title('Signal 1 Fourier transform');
xlabel('f (Hz)');
ylabel('|P1(f)|');
figure;

plot(freq_dom_s2(1:int32(end/4)), ps2(1:int32(end/4)));
title('Signal 2 Fourier transform');
xlabel('f (Hz)');
ylabel('|P1(f)|');

% -------------------- Moving Average ------------------------
avS1 = movavg(abs(S1), 200, 200, 'e');
avS2 = movavg(abs(S2), 200, 200, 'e');
figure;
plot(avS1);
title('Signal 1 moving average');
figure;
plot(avS2);
title('Signal 2 moving average');
end
% Bionic Hand Real Time Simulation script
% Author: Ferran Olid Dominguez

% This file is part of the Bachelor thesis carried on by Ferran Olid Dominguez, and is protected under BSD license. Therefore, any use or distribution of this code, partial or complete, must recognize the credits to the original author.

% Given an array containing EMG signals from a human forearm, this script processes these signals in order to detect whether the muscles are active or not, hence recognizing when the fist is closed or not. The signals used in this thesis are EMG signals recorded from the flexor carpi muscles (S1) and smaller forearm extensors (S2).
% The signal S3 is the reading of a Dynamometer recording the strength (in Newtons) of the fist when it closes. It can be used to relate the strength of the muscle signals with the final strength of the arm.

clear;

% Declaring general variables
sf = 2000; % Sampling frequency
filename = '2016_05_04_2ecg_hand_2.mat';
lp_freq = 150; % Low pass frequency
hp_freq = 20; % High pass frequency
wsize = 50; % Window size
chunk_size = 600; % RT simulation chunk size
window_shift = 200; % After calibration, shifting of the window
calib_time = 5; % Seconds of calibration
maxmean = 0; % Mean of the maximums
DEBUG = true; % Debug flag
cycles = zeros(1, 2); % Cycle storage

% Declaring other variables
max_counter = 1;

% Reading data from files
[S1, S2] = read_signals(filename);
hand = imread('images/hand.png');
fist = imread('images/fist.png');

% Starting RT simulation
% First seconds are for resting position calibrations
disp( sprintf( 'Calibrating... ' ));
iterations = calib_time/(chunk_size/sf);
[rest_pos1, rest_pos2, std1, std2] = emg_calibrate(S1, S2, iterations, ...
lp_freq, hp_freq, sf, chunk_size);
disp( sprintf( 'Calibration done!' ));
disp( sprintf( 'Starting bionic hand algorithm...' ));
if (DEBUG)
    rest_pos1;
    std1;
52  
53  
54  end
55  
56  if(~DEBUG)
57       figure;
58       imshow(hand);
59       hold on;
60  end
61  
62  ssample = size(S1);
63  active = false;
64  values_1 = [1, rest_pos1, rest_pos1, rest_pos1, rest_pos1];
65  values_2 = [1, rest_pos2, rest_pos2, rest_pos2, rest_pos2];
66  monitor = 0;  \% Stores mean, processed values from EMG signal
67  n = 0;
68  if (DEBUG)
69       figure;
70       plot(monitor);
71       hold on;
72  end
73  
74  for i = (iterations*chunk_size):window_shift:ssample
75     \% Getting actual value(s)
76     [actval1, actval2] = filter_chunk(S1(i:int32(i+chunk_size)), ...
77        S2(i:int32(i+chunk_size)), lp_freq, bp_freq, sf);
78     values_1(1) = mean(actval1);
79     values_2(1) = mean(actval2);
80     
81     if (DEBUG)
82       monitor = [monitor, mean(actval1)];
83       plot(monitor, 'b');
84     end
85     
86     if (active)
87        \% Arm is active here--------------------------------------------
88        if (~ttest_check_active(values_1, values_2, rest_pos1, rest_pos2, ...
89           std1, std2, true))
90           active = false;
91        if (~DEBUG)
92           do_move();
93           imshow(hand);
94        end
95        disp( sprintf( 'Hand deactivated!' ) );
96        offval = i;
97        \% Recording cycle
98        cycles(end, :) = [onval, offval];
99        cycles = [cycles; 0, 0];
100       end
101     
102     values_1 = update_values(values_1);
103     values_2 = update_values(values_2);
104     \% Updating maximum
105     maxmean = (maxmean*(max_counter-1) + values_1(1))/(max_counter);
106     max_counter = max_counter + 1;
107  else
if (ttest_check_active(values_1, values_2, rest_pos1, rest_pos2, ... 
    std1, std2, false))
    active = true;
    if (~DEBUG)
        do_move();
        imshow(fist);
    end
    disp( sprintf( ’Hand activated!’ ) );
    onval = i;
    n = n+1;
    if (DEBUG)
        n
    end
end
values_1 = update_values(values_1);
values_2 = update_values(values_2);
end
pause(window_shift/sf); % RT here
end
% Setting positions on cycle matrix
cycles = cycles.*window_shift*(iterations*chunk_size);
### B.3 Reading signals - read_signals.m

```matlab
function [ S1, S2 ] = read_signals( filename )
%READ_SIGNALS Read emg signals from files
4
load(filename);
6
% Cutting ending of the signals (device start and stop noise)
7
S1 = biosignalDev2_ai0.Data;
8
S1 = S1(100:(size(S1)-200));
9
S2 = biosignalDev2_ai7.Data;
10
S2 = S2(100:(size(S2)-200));
11
end
```

### B.4 Calibration function - emg_calibrate.m

```matlab
function [ rest_pos1, rest_pos2, std1, std2 ] = emg_calibrate( S1, S2, ...
% EMG_CALIBRATE Calibrates EMG signals
% Given two EMG signals, this function calculates the means of some
% preprocessed chunks of the EMG data. Actually, it corresponds to
% around 5 seconds of data (i.e: 10k samples)
7
rest_pos1 = 0;
8
rest_pos2 = 0;
9
acc1 = [1];
10
acc2 = [1];
11
for i = 1:iterations
  j = (i-1)*chunk_size+1;
  j1 = i*chunk_size;
  [fs1, fs2] = filter_chunk(S1(j:j1), S2(j:j1), lp_freq, hp_freq, sf);
  mfs1 = mean(fs1);
  mfs2 = mean(fs2);
  rest_pos1 = rest_pos1 + mfs1;
  rest_pos2 = rest_pos2 + mfs2;
  acc1(i) = mfs1;
  acc2(i) = mfs2;
  acc1 = [acc1, 0];
  acc2 = [acc2, 0];
end
26
rest_pos1 = rest_pos1 / iterations;
27
rest_pos2 = rest_pos2 / iterations;
28
std1 = std(acc1(1:end-1));
29
std2 = std(acc2(1:end-1));
end
```
B.5 Processing chunk - filter_chunk.m

```matlab
function [ fs1 , fs2 ] = filter_chunk( S1 , S2 , lp_freq , hp_freq , sf )
% FILTER_CHUNK Filters a chunk of EMG data
%
% Given a chunk of EMG data, this function applies the next signal filters:
%
% - Low pass filter at lp_freq
% - High pass filter at hp_freq
% - Notch filter at 50 Hz (change to 60Hz for american countries)

% ------------------------ LOW PASS FILTER --------------------------
order = 6;
[b, a] = butter(order, lp_freq/(sf/2), 'low');
S1 = filter(b, a, S1);
S2 = filter(b, a, S1);

% Resizing
S1 = S1(120:end);
S2 = S2(120:end);

% ------------------------ HIGH PASS FILTER --------------------------
% Obtaining Butterworth filter
order = 6;
[b, a] = butter(order, hp_freq/(sf/2), 'high');
S1 = filter(b, a, S1);
S2 = filter(b, a, S1);

% Resizing
S1 = S1(120:end);
S2 = S2(120:end);

% --------------------- NOTCH FILTER ----------------------------
Wo = 50/(sf/2);
[a, b] = iirnotch(Wo, (0.05*Wo));

% Applying 50Hz notch filter to signals
S1 = filter(a, b, S1);
S2 = filter(a, b, S2);

% Resizing
S1 = S1(120:end);
S2 = S2(120:end);

% Transposing and "absoluting" signals
S1 = abs(S1');
S2 = abs(S2');

% figure;
% plot(S1);
% title('Signal 1: Extensors');
```
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52  % figure;
53  % plot(S2);
54  % title('Signal 2: Wrist tendons');
55
56  fs1 = S1;
57  fs2 = S2;
58  end

B.6 Value updater - update_values.m

1  function [ values ] = update_values( vals )
2  % UPDATE_VALUES Update values in the vals array
3  % In this case, this function is just a shifting of the values in the
4  % array. However in a real RT algorithm, it should be the one in
5  % charge of reading the values from the sensors and update them in the
6  % vectors of the algorithm
7
8  values = [1, vals(2:end)];
9  end
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B.7 Deciding function - ttest_check_active.m

```matlab
function [ active ] = ttest_check_active( vals1, vals2, ref1, ref2, std1, ...
    std2, actived )
% CHECK_ACTIVE Returns whether the arm is active or not
% This function is the one that decides whether the robotic arm should activate
% or not. To do this it checks the values stored in the "vals" array, and do
% some tests on them, such as scope, threshold values and std. Also, in
% the ttest_version, statistical test is performed and used to
% determinate the activation of the hand
% variables
conditions = zeros(1, 3);
weights = [0.4, 0.3, 0.15, 0.10, 0.05];
refa=ones(1,5)*ref1;
refb=ones(1,5)*ref2;

[h,p]=ttest2(abs(vals1+vals2), mean([refa; refb]));
% Checking threshold and std tests
if (~ actived )
    conditions(1) = (sum(vals1) > (4*(3*ref1))) || ...
        (sum(vals2) > (4*(3*ref2)));
    conditions(2) = (sum(weights .* vals1) > (ref1 + 3*std1)) || ...
        (sum(weights .* vals2) > (ref2 + 3*std2));
else
    conditions(1) = (sum(vals1) >= (2*ref1)) || (sum(vals2) >= (2*ref2));
    conditions(2) = (sum(weights .* vals1) >= (ref1 + 1*std1)) || ...
        (sum(weights .* vals2) >= (ref2 + 1*std2));
end
% Checking ttest value
if p < 0.0001
    conditions(3) = 0;
else
    conditions(3) = 1;
end
active = (sum(conditions) >= 3);
% This tells which muscle is the stronger (remove semicolon)
check=[(vals1+vals2)/[vals1-val2]];
end
```

B.8 Normalize cycles - normalize_cycles.m

```matlab
function [ out1, out2 ] = normalize_cycles( cycles, s1, s2 )

% Normalize_cycles Normalize cycles of EMG data both in width and power
% Given two signals, s1 and s2, and a vector with initial and ending
% positions for cycles, cycles, this function normalize the cycles from
% signals s1 and s2 located within the frames specified in cycles.

% First thing is to look for the widest cycle
max_length = 0;
max_loc = 0;
aux = cycles(:, 2) - cycles(:, 1);

size_cycles = size(cycles) - 1;
out1 = zeros(2000, size_cycles(1));
out2 = zeros(2000, size_cycles(1));
maxpow1 = max(s1);
maxpow2 = max(s2);

% Normalizing
for i = 1:(size(cycles)-1)
    % Normalizing length
    aux = s1(cycles(i, 1):cycles(i, 2));
    aux2 = s2(cycles(i, 1):cycles(i, 2));
    faux = fft(aux);
    faux2 = fft(aux2);
    faux = [faux(1:1000); faux((end-999):end)];
    faux2 = [faux2(1:1000); faux2((end-999):end)];
    out1(:, i) = ifft(faux);
    out2(:, i) = ifft(faux2);

    % Normalizing power
    Mout1 = max(out1(:, i));
    mout1 = min(out1(:, i));
    Mout2 = max(out2(:, i));
    mout2 = min(out2(:, i));
    out1(:, i) = out1(:, i) - mout1;
    out1(:, i) = out1(:, i) ./ Mout1;
    out2(:, i) = out2(:, i) - mout2;
    out2(:, i) = out2(:, i) ./ Mout2;
end
end
```
B.9 Repository

All the code and datasets are available at https://github.com/FerranOlid/Bionic_arm_simulation
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