Synthetic magnetic fluxes and topological order in one-dimensional spin systems
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Engineering topological quantum order has become a major field of physics. Many advances have been made by synthesizing gauge fields in cold atomic systems. Here we carry over these developments to other platforms which are extremely well suited for quantum engineering, namely, trapped ions and nano-trapped atoms. Since these systems are typically one-dimensional, the action of artificial magnetic fields has so far received little attention. However, exploiting the long-range nature of interactions, loops with nonvanishing magnetic fluxes become possible even in one-dimensional settings. This gives rise to intriguing phenomena, such as fractal energy spectra, flat bands with localized edge states, and topological many-body states. We elaborate on a simple scheme for generating the required artificial fluxes by periodically driving an $XY$ spin chain. Concrete estimates demonstrating the experimental feasibility for trapped ions and atoms in wave guides are given.
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I. INTRODUCTION

When an electric charge moves on a closed loop in the presence of a magnetic field, its wave function picks up a geometric phase according to the magnetic flux through the contour. This can give rise to intriguing phenomena, such as the famous fractal energy spectrum, known as Hofstadter butterfly [1], predicted for particles on a two-dimensional (2D) lattice. Apart from its aesthetic appeal, the intimate relation to topological order has triggered immense interest in this phenomenon. In recent years, engineering topological quantum systems with cold atoms in artificial gauge fields has become a major field of research [2–17].

However, since the effect of a magnetic field is trivial in one spatial dimension, as any loop encloses zero flux, promising platforms such as trapped ions [18,19] or atoms coupled to wave guides [20–23] have been excluded from quantum simulations of the Hofstadter model. On the other hand, an interesting property offered by these systems is long-range spin-spin interactions. From a formal perspective, a spin flip interaction is analog to the hopping of a particle. Accordingly, a complex-valued spin flip interaction represents the hopping of a charged particle in the presence of a magnetic field. While in a short-ranged system, back- and forward hopping would simply cancel the magnetic flux through any loop, the presence of long-range connections changes the situation, allowing for nontrivial loops as illustrated in Fig. 1. In this article, we elaborate on this idea and design a spin chain model with nonvanishing magnetic fluxes. We show that it exhibits a Hofstadter-like fractal energy spectrum and reveal topological phases by calculating edge states and Chern numbers. For the engineering of the model with trapped ions or atoms coupled to wave guides, we resort to simple periodic driving techniques, which allow for simultaneous control over strengths and complex phases of individual spin-spin interactions.

The approach to topological order envisaged in this article is based on three observations: First, we resort to the formal analogy between the hopping of a particle and a spin-flip interaction. Second, we notice that by assigning complex coupling strengths to the spin-spin interactions they can mimic the hopping of charged particles within a magnetic field. Finally, we exploit the long-range character of spin-spin interactions in systems of trapped ions or atoms coupled to nanofibers. This is the crucial ingredient which allows us to design spin chains with loops of nonzero “magnetic” fluxes. In our picture, a closed loop is given by a sequence of spin flips which returns to the initial configuration. The flux is given by a summation over the complex phases of each interaction. While the general idea of this scheme holds for any long-range interaction, an appealing and geometrically simple interpretation is possible for spin chains with only nearest-neighbor (NN) and next-nearest-neighbor (NNN) interactions: As illustrated in Fig. 2, such a chain can be mapped onto a triangular ladder with NN interactions, indicating an intriguing analogy between dimensionality and the range of interactions.

An interesting feature of our mapping is the possibility of controlling the number of particles via the spin polarization. A sufficiently strong magnetic field which polarizes all but one spins leads to the realization of single-particle physics. In the presence of more than one spin flip, the spin chain maps onto a system of strongly interacting hard-core bosons. In both the single-particle and the many-body configurations, it is natural to ask for topological phenomena, if artificial magnetic fluxes are attached to the spin chain. Our respective analysis starts by considering the strongly polarized chain, with only one spin

![FIG. 1. (Color online) Loops in a 1D lattice: The green loop (dotted) is trivial, as phases on forward and backward links would always cancel each other. The situation is different for the red loop, where long-range connections are exploited.](image-url)
We develop a driving protocol which is able to simultaneously adjust absolute value and complex phase of different couplings. Despite its versatility, our protocol is extremely simple, as it consists only of piecewise constant, local energy offsets. It can be implemented with an overhead that is independent of the number of atoms involved.

In summary, the purpose of our article is to introduce a spin model mimicking hopping in the presence of magnetic fields. The long-range character of spin-spin interactions allows us to create loops with nonvanishing flux even in a 1D setting. We study topological properties of this model, in both the single-particle and many-body regime. We find a fractal energy spectrum, localized edge states, and quantum states of a single particle in a lattice. For \( |S_z| < N - 2 \), that is, in the many-body regime, a mapping onto a free hopping model of a single particle in a lattice. For \(|S_z| = N - 2\) and \(|S_z| = N\), the Jordan-Wigner transformation additionally produces occupation-dependent tunneling terms. Thus, it is more convenient to interpret the long-range spin chain as a system of hardcore bosons.

A key ingredient to our model are complex phases in the interaction parameters, \( J_{ij} \), and a polarizing transverse field \( h \):

\[
H = - \sum_{i \neq j} (J_{ij} \sigma_i^+ \sigma_j^- + \text{H.c.}) + h \sum_i \sigma_i^z. \tag{1}
\]

This Hamiltonian commutes with the spin polarization \( S_z = \sum_i \sigma_i^z \), so we can work in sectors of fixed \( S_z \). The last term of the Hamiltonian then reduces to a constant controlling the spin polarization. For sufficiently strong \( |h| \), all but one spins are aligned in the \( z \) direction, that is \( S_z = N - 2 \), where \( N \) is the total number of spins. In this subspace, the spin-flip interaction of the XY model maps onto a free hopping model of a single particle in a lattice. For \( |S_z| < N - 2 \), that is, in the many-body regime, a mapping onto a free fermion model can be achieved via a Jordan-Wigner transformation if interactions are restricted to nearest neighbors. In the presence of long-range interactions, the Jordan-Wigner transformation additionally produces occupation-dependent tunneling terms. Thus, it is more convenient to interpret the long-range spin chain as a system of hardcore bosons.

II. MODEL

We consider an XY spin chain with possibly long-range and complex-valued spin-spin interactions \( J_{ij} \), and a polarizing transverse field \( h \):

\[
H = - \sum_{i \neq j} (J_{ij} \sigma_i^+ \sigma_j^- + \text{H.c.}) + h \sum_i \sigma_i^z. \tag{1}
\]

This Hamiltonian commutes with the spin polarization \( S_z = \sum_i \sigma_i^z \), so we can work in sectors of fixed \( S_z \). The last term of the Hamiltonian then reduces to a constant controlling the spin polarization. For sufficiently strong \( |h| \), all but one spins are aligned in the \( z \) direction, that is \( S_z = N - 2 \), where \( N \) is the total number of spins. In this subspace, the spin-flip interaction of the XY model maps onto a free hopping model of a single particle in a lattice. For \( |S_z| < N - 2 \), that is, in the many-body regime, a mapping onto a free fermion model can be achieved via a Jordan-Wigner transformation if interactions are restricted to nearest neighbors. In the presence of long-range interactions, the Jordan-Wigner transformation additionally produces occupation-dependent tunneling terms. Thus, it is more convenient to interpret the long-range spin chain as a system of hardcore bosons.
and \( i + 2 \) forming a triangle. The magnetic flux associated to the \( i \)th triangle is \( \Phi_i = (-1)^i (\phi_{i+1,i} + \phi_{i+2,i+1} + \phi_{i,i+2}) \). Through gauge invariance, the fluxes \( \Phi_i \) uniquely define the physics. As a convenient choice, we will set phases on NNN links to a constant \( \theta \), \( \phi_{i+1,i} = \theta \), while every second NN bond is equipped with a spatially dependent phase:

\[
\phi_{i+1,i} = \frac{2\pi (p+1)q}{2q} \delta_{i+1 \mod 2, 0}, \text{ with } p \text{ and } q \text{ two coprime integers.}
\]

With this choice, the flux through squares, as shown in Fig. 2, is constant: \( \Phi = 2\pi (p/2q) \).

III. RESULTS

A. Fractal energy spectrum

In the single-particle configuration, that is, for \( S_z = N - 2 \), the model can be solved by Fourier transformation; see Appendix A. As in the Harper-Hofstadter model, the presence of magnetic flux leads to a splitting of the dispersion \( E(k) \) into \( 2q \) bands, restricted to a magnetic Brillouin zone, \( k \in [-\pi/2q, \pi/2q] \). Alternatively, the model is solved in real space by diagonalizing a \( N \times N \) matrix. A typical band structure for odd \( q \) is shown in Fig. 3(a), with flat bands separated by large gaps. The band structure is slightly different in the case of even \( q \), where two bands touch in Dirac cones at \( E = 0 \), as shown in Fig. 3(c).

The occurrence of flat bands in one dimension is remarkable. It sensibly depends on the topology of the chain, and most of the gaps disappear if, for instance, the diagonal couplings in Fig. 2 (red lines) are set to zero, turning the triangular ladder of the gaps disappear if, for instance, the diagonal couplings in

\[
E/J = \frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{\pi}{2} = \frac{3\pi}{2}.
\]

\[
\text{FIG. 3. (Color online) (a–d) Energy spectra (for } S_z = N - 2 \text{) as a function of a constant NNN phase } \phi_{i+1,i} = \theta. \text{ (a, b) A system of } N = 102 \text{ spins with a flux } p/q = 1/3. \text{ (c, d) } N = 104 \text{ spins at } p/q = 1/4. \text{ In panels (a and c) we assume periodic boundary conditions, while in panels (b and d) the boundary is open. In the latter case, edge states fill the gaps. They are localized at the ends of the chain, as seen from the wave function amplitude for selected states plotted in (e).}
\]

\[
\text{FIG. 4. (Color online) Fractal energy spectrum: the energy levels (in units of } J \text{) are plotted as a function of the flux } \Phi \text{ (in units of } 2\pi), \text{ for a single spin flip, } S_z = N - 2 \text{ (blue), and two spin flips, } S_z = N - 4 \text{ (red). We assume periodic boundary conditions and } \theta = 0 \text{ in a system of } N = 100 \text{ spins.}
\]

\[
N = 100 \text{ spins. Even this moderate system size clearly reveals the fractal nature of the spectrum.}
\]

In contrast, the energy spectrum for \( S_z = N - 4 \), that is, for two spin flips, shown by the red dots in Fig. 4, exhibits significantly fewer gaps and covers almost entirely the gapped regions of the butterfly. It is noteworthy that, due to interactions, the energies at \( S_z = N - 4 \) are not simply the sum of the energies at \( S_z = N - 2 \). For a measurement of the butterfly spectrum, one has to distinguish levels with different magnetization. By a sufficiently strong energy shift via the transverse field \( h \), it is possible to energetically separate spectra with different \( S_z \). Since the width of the spectrum is of the order of \( J \), full separation requires \( |h| \gg |J| \).

B. Topological flat bands

The appearance of a butterfly spectrum is intimately related to quantum Hall physics and the occurrence of edge states. To visualize edge states in the single-particle spectrum (\( S_z = N - 2 \)), we consider the energy levels’ dependence on the constant NNN phase \( \theta \) for open and periodic boundary conditions; see Figs. 3(a)–3(d). The gaps found for periodic boundary conditions (a–c) are filled by edge states, if the boundary is open (b+d). As seen in Fig. 3(e), the edge states in our 1D system localize at the ends of the spin chain.

Another measure of topological order, routinely applied to 2D systems, are Chern numbers, that is, the winding numbers of the energy bands subjected to periodic boundary conditions [46]. These numbers are robust in the sense that only a perturbation which closes the energy gap can modify their integer value. It is possible to adapt the definition of Chern numbers
to 1D systems if two parameters are available on which the Hamiltonian periodically depends. In the single-particle configuration ($S_z = N - 2$), one parameter is naturally given by the wave vector $k$ of the bands. As a second parameter, we can take the phase $\theta$. The dependence of the eigenstates $|u(k, \theta)\rangle$ on these parameters is measured by the Berry connection, a two-component vector field defined as $A_{\mu}(k, \theta) = (u(k, \theta)|\partial_{\mu}|u(k, \theta))$, where $\mu = (k, \theta)$. The Chern number is then obtained as the integral of the Berry curvature over the full parameter space. For those bands which are not separated by a gap, we have to consider the non-Abelian Berry connection, $A^\mu_{\nu}(k, \theta) = (u_{\nu}(k, \theta)|\partial_{\mu}|u_{\nu}(k, \theta))$, where each component is a $N_{\text{deg}} \times N_{\text{deg}}$ matrix, obtained from the $N_{\text{deg}}$ quasidegenerate bands $|u_{\nu}(k, \theta)\rangle$, $r = 1, \ldots, N_{\text{deg}}$. For calculating the Chern numbers, we follow the method established in Ref. [47] using a discrete parameter space. As an example, we have listed the results for $p = 1$ and $q = 3, 4, 5$ in Table I.

### C. Interacting Chern insulator behavior

Our analysis so far has demonstrated that the single-particle bands, i.e., for $S_z = N - 2$, are topological. However, it is not obvious how these bands are filled if $S_z$ is reduced, as our model is bosonic and becomes strongly interacting in the presence of more than one spin flip.

By exact diagonalization, we have studied the many-body scenario for magnetic fluxes $\Phi = 1/(2q)$ at different $S_z$. The most remarkable results are summarized in Fig. 5: In polarization sectors $S_z/N = 1 - 2n$, with $n = n/(2q)$), we find gapped ground states for sufficiently small integer values of $n$. These $S_z$ are precisely the configurations which would allow for filling $n$ single-particle bands, and $n$ takes the role of a filling factor.

We have checked the topological properties of these states by calculating their Chern number. In the definition of many-body Chern numbers, the wave vector $k$ is replaced by the twist angle of twisted boundary conditions [48]. Those ground states for which the gap appears to be robust against increasing the system size are found to have nonzero Chern numbers. Remarkably, these nonzero Chern numbers correspond to those obtained by filling $n$ single-particle bands of Table I (compare Table I and Fig. 5). With this, our system provides an example of a strongly interacting Bose system which is topologically equivalent to a noninteracting Fermi system, similar to interacting integer quantum Hall states of two-component Bose gases [28–31].

By spin inversion symmetry our analysis equally holds for the filling factors $\nu = 1 - n/(2q)$, where $(2q - n)$ bands are filled. On the other hand, no topological order survives close to half-filling, that is, near $S_z = 0$: As seen from Fig. 5, the ground state gap becomes smaller near half-filling and may vanish for large systems. This finding might in principle be due to topological quasidegeneracies in fractional Chern insulators, but we can exclude this option as the corresponding Chern numbers are zero. For the Hofstadter ladder, a Mott insulating phase is exhibited near $\nu = 1/2$ [64].

### IV. EXPERIMENTAL IMPLEMENTATION

The realization of long-range spin-spin interactions with trapped ions has been proposed in Ref. [18] and has nowadays become a highly developed experimental routine [19,49–53]. A promising new platform are atoms coupled to nanophotonic systems [20,21], with the perspective of better scalability than trapped ions. On both platforms, spin chains with long-range interactions described by the Hamiltonian $H$ of Eq. (1) can be implemented. Naturally, though, the couplings $J_{ij}$ are real-valued and characterized by either exponential or algebraic decay law. In the following, we describe a driving protocol which modifies the couplings in the desired way. It allows for equipping the system with artificial magnetic fluxes by rendering $J_{ij}$ complex valued, and we can individually control the interaction strengths.

The central idea of periodic driving is based on adding fast local potentials to a system. For our purposes, we may simply take piecewise constant, local energy offsets which can be implemented by applying laser fields that give rise to AC stark shifts [54]. Remarkably, the whole set of information about complex phases and strengths in the effective couplings can be encoded simply in the times at which the energy offsets change. Our scheme goes beyond those used for atoms in optical lattices, where only nearest-neighbor couplings are relevant. A precise description of the driving protocol will be given in Appendix B. Here let us only explain the general idea of our shaking scheme.

Quite generally, the time-dependent Hamiltonian has the form

$$H(t) = H + \sum_i \hbar v_i(t) \sigma_i^z. \tag{2}$$

With the frequencies $v_i(t) = v_i(t + T)$ varying periodically in time, it is natural to transform the Hamiltonian to the Floquet bases via a gauge transformation $H = U^\dagger \hat{H} U - i U^\dagger U$ using $U = e^{i \sum \chi_i(t) \sigma_i z}$ with $\chi_i(t) = \int_0^T dt' v_i(t')$. This transformation leaves the Hamiltonian in the $XY$ model form.
of Eq. (1), but it modifies the coupling parameters. Provided the periodicity $T$ of the functions $v_i(t)$ is short compared to the time scale of the bare dynamics of the system, the evolution of the system is well described using time-averaged effective couplings \([32]\)

$$J_{ij}^{\text{eff}} = \frac{J_{ij}}{T} \int_0^T dt e^{2i\nu_{ij}(t)}.$$  

(3)

The crucial idea of our shaking protocol is to choose all frequencies $\nu_i$ to be an integer multiple of some basis frequency $\nu_0 = \frac{\pi}{\Delta}$. With this, two spins with offsets $\nu_i \neq \nu_j$ do not accumulate any contribution to the effective coupling $J_{ij}^{\text{eff}}$ over a time period which is a multiple of the basic time window $\Delta$, since

$$\int_0^{T=\Delta} e^{2i(\nu - \nu_j)t} dt = 0 \text{ for } \nu \in \mathbb{N}.$$  

On the other hand, if $\nu_i = \nu_j$ during a time interval $\Delta$, the strength of the effective coupling, $|J_{ij}^{\text{eff}}|$, can be adjusted. Finally, nontrivial phases $\psi_{ij} = 2(\nu_i - \nu_j)\tau_{ij}$ are obtained for $\nu_i \neq \nu_j$ during intervals with a duration $\tau_{ij}$ not being a multiple of $\Delta$. The whole sequence of operations has the duration $T$ and is repeated periodically. These simple basic ingredients are sufficient to implement our model.

We have worked out a precise protocol, presented in Appendix B, which allows us to engineer our model for arbitrary fluxes in arbitrarily large systems. We use the described scheme to equalize NN and NNN interaction strengths and to control the complex phases. We neglect interactions beyond NNN, assuming exponentially fast decaying interactions available in atomic systems coupled to nanophotonic crystals \([19,49,50]\). Atomic systems coupled to nanophotonic crystals may achieve equally large distances between the spins. Therefore, individual addressing of the spins is no major problem in both platforms. An important experimental requirement concerns the different energy scales which need to be well separated: For the validity of the time-averaged description, concerns the different energy scales which need to be well separated. For the reality of the energy spectrum, edge states could serve as a direct detection of the topological order: Here one needs to measure the energy levels as a function of the tunable parameter $\theta$. For the many-body scenario, detection of the energy gap would already be a strong hint for topological order. For sufficiently small systems, Chern numbers could be reconstructed through full state tomography \([55,56]\).

V. SUMMARY AND OUTLOOK

In summary, we have studied a mapping between an XY spin chain and particles hopping on a 1D lattice. Depending on the spin polarization, the spin chain represents single-particle physics or a strongly interacting bosonic system. We have shown that a chain with long-range interactions can be mapped onto a higher-dimensional geometric structure, e.g., a triangular ladder in the case of nearest-neighbor and next-nearest-neighbor interactions. Then the presence of complex-valued interactions can give rise to loops with nonvanishing magnetic flux even in one dimension, and the system potentially exhibits similar physics as the Hofstadter model for a charged particle in a plane with perpendicular magnetic field. In particular, we have found for the single-particle configuration a fractal energy spectrum, edge states, and a band structure with nonzero Chern numbers. For certain many-body configurations, the ground state remains topological with nonzero Chern numbers. It is topologically equivalent to fermionic filling of the single-particle bands, although the system is strongly interacting and bosonic.

The spin chain model can be engineered in systems of trapped ions or atoms in wave guides. We provide a simple but powerful driving protocol to engineer the artificial magnetic field and to control the strengths of the couplings. Due to the high degree of controllability in these systems detection of the fractal energy spectrum or of edge states is feasible.

Our analysis is related also to spin chains with Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya interactions \([57–59]\), possibly with long-range character \([60]\). It is also closely connected to studies of ladder systems incorporating the Hofstadter model \([61–64]\) and to the Hofstadter model with long-range hopping \([65]\).

We have focused on the case with nearest-neighbor and next-nearest-neighbor interactions. In view of the tunability of the interactions, a systematic study of the influence of longer-range interactions could be a relevant extension of our work. Another interesting aspect is the extension from an XY model to a Heisenberg model: The $S_i - S_j$ interaction can provide an additional repulsion between the spin flips, which could give rise to fractio nal Chern insulator behavior.
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APPENDIX A: HARPER-LIKE EQUATION

If all but one spins are polarized, the Hamiltonian (1) can be solved by Fourier transformation. Assuming nonzero NN and NNN couplings and magnetic fluxes \( \Phi = \pi p/(2q) \), as shown in Fig. 2, the wave vectors should be restricted to a magnetic Brillouin zone, \( k \in [-\pi/2q, \pi/2q] \). Distinguishing between odd (\( \ell = 1 \)) and even sites (\( \ell = 2 \)), we introduce Fourier-transformed spin-flip operators \( \sigma_{k\ell}^{\pm} \), with \( v \) the band index and \( k \) the wave vector. With this, we obtain a Harper-like Hamiltonian [66] given by

\[
H = -J \sum_{k,\nu} \left[ e^{i(k+\pi/2)v^2} \frac{1}{2} \left( \sigma_{k\nu 1}^{+} \sigma_{k\nu 2}^{-} \sigma_{k\nu 1}^{\pm} \right) \right] + \text{H.c.} \tag{A1}
\]

Since \( \sigma_{k\nu 1}^{\pm} = \sigma_{k\nu (1+2q)}^{\pm} \), the Hamiltonian can be written as a \( 2q \times 2q \) matrix. Diagonalizing this matrix yields the energy bands.

APPENDIX B: DRIVING PROTOCOL

Here we propose a specific shaking protocol which, for arbitrarily large chains, allows for engineering the couplings \( J_{ij}^\text{eff} \) according to our needs. The only ingredients of our protocol are local energy offsets \( v_i(t) \) which can be switched on \( (v_i(t) = v_j \neq 0) \) and off \( (v_i(t) = 0) \). The frequencies \( v_j \) are multiples of a base frequency \( v_0 \), chosen such that during an elementary time window \( \Delta \) no contribution is made to \( J_{ij}^\text{eff} \) for \( v_i \neq v_j \). In our protocol, we use energy offsets \( v_j = v_0, 2v_0, 3v_0, 4v_0, \ldots \) along the chain, that is, \( v_j = (j mod 4) \cdot v_0 \). All information about complex phases and strengths of the effective couplings \( J_{ij}^\text{eff} \) can then be encoded in the times at which the energy offsets of the spins \( i \) and \( j \) are set to zero, as explained below.

As illustrated in Fig. 6(c), the shaking cycle which is repeated periodically consists of seven time intervals. The time intervals I–VI are used for defining the effective couplings \( J_{ij} \). The last time interval (VII) ensures that the time average of the shaking function is zero, \( \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T dt v_i(t) = 0 \). To this end, the energy offset of each spin takes the constant negative value \( -v_j \) during this time interval. The explicit definitions of the shaking functions \( v_i(t) \) in each interval are given in Table II.

In each of the intervals I–VI, different spin pairs \( i \) and \( j \) are addressed via the simple, elementary sequence shown in Figs. 6(a) and 6(b): Time interval I is used to tailor the interactions that correspond to the upper horizontal links in Fig. 2, that is, \( J_{i,j+2} \) with \( i \) odd. Accordingly, only spins with odd indices are addressed during this interval. Time interval II is used to tailor the interactions that correspond to the lower horizontal links in Fig. 2, that is, \( J_{i,i+2} \) with \( i \) even. Therefore, only spins with even indices are addressed. The following four sections (III–VI) serve for defining the NN couplings, where we distinguish between the real-valued couplings \( J_{2i,2i+1} \) (red links in Fig. 2) addressed in blocks III (for even \( i \)) and IV (for odd \( i \)), and the complex-valued couplings \( J_{2i,2i-1} \) (blue links in Fig. 2) addressed in blocks V (for odd \( i \)) and VI (for even \( i \)). In all cases, the distinction between even and odd \( i \) is crucial for avoiding undesired contributions, as it guarantees that any pair \( i \) and \( j \) addressed simultaneously during one interval either corresponds to a coupling \( J_{ij} \) which is supposed to be adjusted or has a distance \( |i-j| > 2 \) which allows us to neglect the corresponding coupling \( J_{ij} \) due to the fast decay of the bare couplings.

In each time interval I–VI, the elementary sequence shown in Figs. 6(a) and 6(b) is performed. We will now show that simply the timing of the energy drops determines the effective phases and strengths. Without loss of generality, we assume that the elementary sequence occurs within a time interval \( [t_A,t_B] \) with \( t_A \equiv \Delta = 0 \) and \( t_B = t_A + (n+1)\Delta \) with \( n \in \mathbb{N} \). For this time interval, the shaking functions of two spins \( i \) and \( j \) are given by \( v_i(t) = v_j f(t) \) and \( v_j(t) = v_i f(t) \), with \( f(t) = 1 - \Theta(t - [t_A + t_j]) + \Theta(t - [t_A + t_j] + n\Delta) \), where \( \Theta(t) \) is the Heaviside step function. In the function \( f(t) \), the parameter \( t_j \) determines when the energy offset drops to zero, while the (integer) parameter \( n \) determines its duration at zero. Let us now parametrize \( t_j \) by \( t_j = \frac{\omega_j}{2(v_i-v_j)} \) (assuming \( v_i \neq v_j \)). With this, we obtain

\[
\int_{t_A}^{t_B} e^{2[\chi_i(t')-\chi_j(t')]} dt = e^{\psi_{v_i} n\Delta}. \tag{B1}
\]
From this expression we find that the complex phase $\phi_{ij}$ of the coupling is controlled by the timing $\tau_{ij}$, and the duration at zero, $\Delta$, determines the coupling strength.

As shown in Fig. 6(c), the time intervals for tuning different couplings have different durations. We shall note that in intervals I, II, V, and VI, one time unit $\Delta$ is spent for adjusting the complex phase. This leaves $n$ time units in intervals I and II and $m$ time units in intervals III–VII available for tuning the interaction strength. By setting the energy offsets equal to zero during time windows of length $n$ and $m$ respectively, we can achieve a ratio between effective NN couplings $J_{ij}$ and effective NNN couplings $J_{ij}^\text{eff}$ given by $\frac{J_{ij}}{J_{ij}^\text{eff}} = \frac{n}{m} \frac{2}{\pi} \frac{\lambda}{\delta}$.  

APPENDIX C: IMPLEMENTATION IN NANOPHOTONIC SYSTEMS

Cold atoms trapped near 1D photonic crystals as described in Refs. [20,21] are a very promising platform for realizing strong and tuneable long-range interactions for a large number of atoms. The spin states $|g\rangle$ and $|e\rangle$ can be encoded in ground states of atoms with a lambda configuration, where the excited state is adiabatically eliminated. The photonic modes in the crystal mediate an effective atom-atom interaction of the type given in Eq. (1), with exponentially decaying coupling strength

\[ J_{ij} = J e^{-\frac{2\pi |i-j|}{n}}, \]

where $r_{ij}$ are the positions of the atoms with indices $i, j$, and $L$ is the characteristic length of the interaction. The range of the coupling $L$ is tunable through a variation of the system parameters (see Ref. [20] for details). The ratio of the parameters $n$ and $m$ in Fig. 6(c) takes here the value $\frac{n}{m} = e^{\frac{\pi}{2}},$ where $a$ is the atomic spacing. Undesired terms with $|i-j| > 2$ are suppressed by a factor $e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}}$ or more. An even stronger suppression can be achieved by introducing more time intervals.

(a) Losses. The system is subject to losses which limit the coherence time. The two main loss channels are the spontaneous emission of the atoms into free space and the loss of photons due to imperfections of the photonic crystal. As described in Ref. [20], the atoms coupling to light modes in the crystal can be treated in analogy to a cavity QED system with cavity length $L$. For optimized detuning of the classical driving field, the ratio of the coherent interaction rate $J$ to the rate at which the system loses excitations $\Gamma$ is determined by the cooperativity $C$,

\[ \frac{\bar{J}}{\Gamma} = \sqrt{C}. \tag{C1} \]

The cooperativity depends on the characteristic length $L$, $C = \frac{\lambda}{\pi} C_\lambda$, where $\lambda$ is the resonant wavelength of the atomic transition ($\lambda = 2\pi c/\Delta\omega$). Depending on the quality factor $Q$ of the photonic crystal, $C_\lambda$ can take values up to $C_\lambda = 10^5$ (for $Q = 10^4$) or $C_\lambda = 10^5$ (for $Q = 10^5$). For example, a configuration with a distance $a = \frac{3\lambda}{2}$ between the atoms and $L = \frac{3\text{mm}}{3\lambda}$ can be implemented with $n = 3, m = 1$. This yields an effective cooperativity of $C_{\text{eff}} = 80$ for $Q = 10^3$, where we used $\sqrt{C_{\text{eff}}} = J_0/\Gamma = \sqrt{C_{\text{eff}}}$. Hence it should be possible to implement predominantly coherent effective interactions in a system consisting of $O(10^3)$ atoms.

(b) Required energy scales. In the following, we discuss briefly the required parameter regimes. The time-averaged description is valid for $\tau \gg (J, \hbar)$. Therefore the condition

\[ v_0 \gg 3\pi(n + 2m + 2)(J, \hbar) \]

must be fulfilled. Moreover, the shaking procedure must be compatible with the conditions under which the interaction Hamiltonian given in Eq. (1) is valid. As described in Ref. [20], this Hamiltonian is obtained by eliminating the light field in the photonic crystal and the excited state $|e\rangle$. In order to prevent real excitations of the photon field mediating the interactions and in order to avoid the population of the excited atomic states, the maximum energy offset in the shaking protocol has to be small compared to the detuning $\delta_{L}$ of the applied classical laser field with respect to the atomic transition, $\delta_{L} \gg v_0$. Finally, the effective couplings have to be large compared to the decoherence rate $J_{ij}^\text{eff} \gg \frac{1}{t_{\text{dec}}}$.

For the following estimate, we assume the decoherence time to be limited by the loss rate $\Gamma$ discussed above. In summary, we require

\[ \frac{\delta_{L}}{4} \gg v_0 \gg 3\pi(n + 2m + 2)(J, \hbar) \gg \frac{9\pi(n + 2m + 2)^2}{t_{\text{dec}}}. \tag{C2} \]

The bare coupling parameter $J$ is given by $J = \frac{|\Omega|^2\epsilon}{\Delta\omega J_0}$, where $\epsilon$ is the light-atom coupling constant. The parameter and $\Delta_L$ is a detuning (see Ref. [20] for details) which has to be optimized in order to guarantee a minimal loss rate as stated in Eq. (C1) yielding $J = \frac{|\Omega|^2\epsilon}{\delta_{L}} \sqrt{C} \gamma$. This expression is used as an estimate, but the actual optimization is more complicated since $\Delta_L$ also plays a role for tuning the characteristic length $L$. One finds

\[ J \approx \frac{|\Omega|^2}{\delta_{L}} \sqrt{C} \gamma, \]

where $\Omega$ is the Rabi frequency of the applied laser field and $\gamma$ is the atomic line width [for cesium atoms $\gamma/(2\pi) \sim 5$ MHz].

\[ \frac{\lambda}{\text{mm}} \text{, as above (} n = 3, m = 1), \]

we find that the conditions in Eq. (C2) can be fulfilled for a sufficiently large detuning $\delta_{L}$. This estimate suggests that the protocol could be realized in principle in its basic form described above. By optimizing the scheme, an enhanced performance and increased robustness can be achieved.
