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## 1 Git example

In order to show the features of Git within MATLAB, an example has been made. The following example can be divided into two parts, the first one is focused on Git and GitHub commands, whereas the second part is a continuation of the first one but centered on the branches.

### 1.1 Git and GitHub commands

This example starts with a script named 'Example.m', where the following commentary has been added.

```
%% Example
```

The figure below shows the directory where the script has been stored. It is important to note, that the script is in a folder named 'Git example', and at the same time it is inside the folder 'TFG_Jofre'. This last folder has been tracked by Git and also saved in GitHub, as it can be seen in Figure 2.

■ / Users • joff • Documents • Universitat GRETA • Github • TFG_Jofre • Git example
Figure 1: Directory of the 'Example.m' stored in the internal memory of the computer


Figure 2: List of files inside the 'TFG_Jofre' in GitHub repository before the example commit

Since 'Example.m' has not been tracked by git yet, it is shown as a dot in the 'Current Folder' section within the MATLAB main window program, see Figure 3.


Figure 3: Status of the 'Example.m' file as null

A right-click has been made over the script name and then a click over the 'Source control' option, and the Figure 4 pop-up has appeared. It should be pointed out that the figure below diverges from

Figure 9 （report），because in this case the file has not been tracked whereas in the other image it has．


Figure 4：Source control options for a file not tracked by Git

From this point the status has changed to a＇+ ＇sign，as seen in image 5 ．This plus sign means that the file has been added and moved to the staging area，see Figure 1 （report），but not committed．

| Current Folder | Git |
| :---: | :---: |
| Name v | N |
| Example．m | な |

Figure 5：Status of the＇Example．m＇file as added

A commit has been made using the＇Source control＇options of Figure 9 （report）．It has been named as follows．


Figure 6：Commit window in Matlab for the first commit

| Current Folder | (\%) |
| :---: | :---: |
| - Namev | Git |
| \# Example.m | - |

Figure 7: Status of the 'Example.m' after the first commit

The script has been modified as follows, and the status has changed to a blue square. It means that 'Example.m' has been modified but not captured by Git.

```
%% Example
disp('Hello world');
```



Figure 8: Status of the 'Example.m' file after the modification

Using the same procedure followed in the first commit, a second commit has been realized, see Figures 9 and 10.


Figure 9: Commit window in Matlab for the second commit

| Current Folder | Cit |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\square$ | Name v |
| 国 | Example.m |

Figure 10: Status of the 'Example.m' file after the second commit

Finally, using once again the menu from Figure 9 (report), a push has been made. It is noteworthy to mention that the files within the 'TFG_Jofre' folder are being tracked by Git and stored in GitHub.


Figure 11: List of files inside the 'TFG Jofre' repository in GitHub after the push action

### 1.2 Branching

The second part of the example starts once the file has been stored in GitHub. Nevertheless, this part follows the example shown in Figure 7 (report). In this case, the main branch is intended to be indirectly modified by means of a second branch.
In terms of branches, the script only exists in the master branch. Therefore, at the beginning of this part, of the example, the code of the main branch is as follows.
Master branch code:

```
%% Example
disp('Hello world');
```

Moreover, by clicking on the 'Branches' option in the 'Source control' menu, it can be seen that the current branch is the main (master), see Figure 12.


Figure 12: Branches pop-up window, where there is only one branch named 'main'

On the other hand, using the branch creation tool, which is located at lower part of the branches window, a new branch tagged 'BranchA' has been created.


Figure 13: Lower part of the branches window, where a new branch has been created with the name 'BranchA'

Consequently, two branches exist for the file 'Example.m'. In order to modify a branch, the user must switch to the desired one. In this case, it has been switched to the 'BranchA'. It is noteworthy to mention that the code for each of the branches looks as follows.

## Master branch code:

```
%% Example
disp('Hello world');
```

Branch 'A' code:

```
%% Example
disp('Hello world');
```

Furthermore, the new branch can be seen in the branches window, Figure 14.


Figure 14: Branches pop-up, after the creation of the master (main) and 'BranchA'

A new modification has been implemented in the branch 'A' code, in consequence, the modified code is:
Branch 'A' code:

```
%% Example
disp('Hello world');
disp('Git is an outstanding tool');
```

This new version of the code has been committed using the same procedure explained in subsection 1.1.


Figure 15: Branches pop-up window of the highlighted merging button

Once the merging process had been successfully completed, the branch 'A' has been deleted using the button of Figure 16.


Figure 16: Branches pop-up window, where the only existing branch and the delete button highlighted

Finally, the master branch was modified indirectly, and the resultant code in the main branch is:
Master branch code:

```
%% Example
disp('Hello world');
disp('Git is an outstanding tool');
```


## 2 Object oriented programming example

The main features of objected oriented programming used in the thesis are shown in this example. It is based on a specific group of classes from 'CodiCante', which can be accessed: https://github. com/Jof-syntax/CodiCanteUML. The set of classes create an interesting group to be discussed. The aim of the set is to select and execute an specific solver chosen by the user. Furthermore, the group's structure can be seen in the following UML.


Figure 17: UML for factory group in 'Codi Cante'

In order to fully comprehend the signs and information provided in the previous image, it is important to see the 4.4 subsection (report).

## Solver.m

The main class of the group is the Solver.m file. As it can be seen in the following script, the class is composed of three properties and three methods.

Regarding the properties' attributes, since the access is protected, the class and subclasses can access the information. Nevertheless, the 'solution' property has also a public Get-access, it means that Matlab can displays in the command window the name and value of the property.

On the other hand, the first method is static (does not need an obj) and its aim is to call the SolverFactory.m and execute the specific solver type. The second method is intended to be called from the child subclasses, it avoids the definition in each subclass. In case of further modifications, e.g. more inputs needed, the init() Solver.m's function has only to be modified. It avoids the modification of an specific init() function for each subclass. Finally, the last method defines and abstract function with protected access. The objective is to avoid the definition of a generalized computation function, instead a specific compute function for each solver type can be defined in each subclass.

```
classdef Solver < handle
    % solution is the output of the problem
    properties (GetAccess = public, SetAccess = protected)
        solution
    end
```

```
    % A and B are input matrices
    properties (Access = protected)
        A
        B
    end
    methods (Static, Access = public)
    function obj = create(cParams)
            % Run the SolverFactory.create(), which selects the specific solver
            obj = SolverFactory.create(cParams);
            % Execute of the abstract function 'compute()' defined in the
subclasses
            obj.compute();
    end
    end
    methods (Access = protected)
        function init(obj,cParams)
            % Stores the parameters A and B as object properties
            obj.A = cParams.A;
            obj.B = cParams.B;
        end
    end
    methods (Abstract, Access = protected)
        % Function created in the Solver class but defined in another subclass (
    Abstract)
        compute(obj);
    end
```

end

## SolverFactory.m

The script below works as a switch, where depending on the user's input the executed function can be the 'DirectSolver' or the 'IterativeSolver' subclasses. It is important to note that the method is static and there is no constructor in the class. Consequently, the class is called as SolverFactory.create(cParams) in the Solver.m class. The output of the class is the execution of the chosen solver.

```
classdef SolverFactory < handle
    methods (Access = public, Static)
        function computeSolver = create(cParams)
            % Switch chooses between 'direct' or 'iterative' option
            switch cParams.type
                case 'direct'
                    % Executes the DirectSolver.m script
                    computeSolver = DirectSolver(cParams);
                case 'iterative'
                    % Executes the IterativeSolver.m script
                    computeSolver = IterativeSolver(cParams);
                otherwise
```



```
                % Error when the switch do not have to possibility to choose
    between 'direct' or 'iterative'
                error('Invalid solver type.');
        end
        end
    end
end
```


## DirectSolver.m \& IterativeSolver.m

The following classes are subclasses of Solver.m, as it can be see in the heading of the scripts (classdef XXX < Solver). They allow the computation of the solution by means of the compute() function. In this case, the input information is provided by the properties of the Solver.m class. Both cases show a similar structure. On the one hand, a public method, which initiates the problem by calling the init() Solver.m's function. On the other hand, a protected method, which computes the actual solution of the problem.

```
classdef DirectSolver < Solver
    methods (Access = public)
        % The constructor initiates the script by calling the init() parent's
    function, which recovers the A and B matrices from cParams
        function obj = DirectSolver(cParams)
            obj.init(cParams);
            end
    end
    methods (Access = protected)
            function obj = compute(obj)
            A = obj.A;
            B = obj.B;
            % The solution is computed by means of the '/' Matlab operator
            obj.solution = A\B;
            end
    end
end
```

```
classdef IterativeSolver < Solver
    methods (Access = public)
    % The constructor initiates the script by calling the init() parent's
    function
        function obj = IterativeSolver(cParams)
            obj.init(cParams);
        end
    end
    methods (Access = protected)
```

```
            function obj = compute(obj)
            A = obj.A;
            B = obj.B;
                % The solution is computed by means of the pcg Matlab function (
    iterative function)
            obj.solution = pcg(A, B);
        end
    end
end
```

It is important to note that the classes are defined in a general way, so as to allow the re-usability in other projects. The intention to write a generalized code is further discussed in the Clean Code section, see section 5 (report).

## 3 Testing example

In order to show the testing techniques used in MATLAB, an example is provided. The following example can be divided into three parts. The first one is focused on how to write tests, the second part is an example of code coverage, and finally, the third one shows two examples of UMLs.

### 3.1 Testing

During the refactoring of the 'CodiCante', tests for each class have been created. The tests can be seen in: https://github.com/Jof-syntax/CodiCanteUML . It is remarkable that these tests follow the static stored data scheme described in subsection 4.1 (report). On the other hand, the script structure of each test has been done following the next three points:

- Compute current results: By means of the tested class, the outputs are obtained using the same inputs that had been used to compute the expected results.
- Load expected results: These outputs are the reference values to check the current outputs results. They are obtained at the beginning of the refactoring and are considered as the correct values of the program.
- Verify results: Comparison of the current and expected results, where the comparison's answer is a string in the command window.

In order to better comprehend the previous points, the example of the 'GliderAnalyser.m' test function is provided below.

```
function TestGliderAnalyser()
    % Obtain current results
    InputData = load('TestData/Input data/TestClassDataGliderAnalyser.mat').
    cParams;
    test = GliderAnalyser(InputData);
    test.compute();
    % Load stored results (expected)
    expectedResult = load('TestData/Outputdata/ResultTestGliderAnalyser.mat').test
    ;
    % Verify the expected and current results
    computeError(test, expectedResult, 'TestGliderAnalyser');
end
```

Depending on the comparison result, the outputs of this test function can be Figure 16 or 17 (report) from Section 4 (report). Furthermore, the called function 'computeError(test, expectedResult, Name)' is defined as follows.

```
function computeError(test, expectedResult, Name)
    % test: Object of current results
    % expectedResult: Object of expected results
    % Name: String that appears to indicate the executed test
    if isequaln(test, expectedResult)
        % Satisfactory message in command window
        cprintf('green',[Name,' --> ']);
        cprintf('green',' PASSED. \n');
    else
        % Unsatisfactory message in command window
        cprintf('red',[Name,' --> ']);
        cprintf('red',' FAILED. \n');
    end
end
```


### 3.2 Code coverage

The coverage of the tests presented in subsection 3.1 has been done by means of the functions shown in section 4.2 (report). For this specific example, a repository containing the repository from subsection 3.1 and the two new functions has been created. It can be found in: https://github.com/Jof-syntax/TestRunnerCodiCante . The aim of this new repository is to allow the easy execution of the 'TestRunner.m', so as to avoid the unnecessary move of scripts inside the folders.

Since all the tests are specific cases of the 'testGliderAnalyser.m' test function, the coverage has been made tracking it. On the other hand, the results from this coverage are provided below:

SolverTest. $m$ From this function, the results are shown in the command window, see the figure below.

| Name | Passed | Failed | Incomplete | Duration | Details |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \{'SolverTest/testGliderAnalyser'\} | true | false | false | 0.62801 | \{1×1 struct $\}$ |

Figure 18: Result from 'SolverTest.m' function

From figure 18, it can be seen that the test has been satisfactory. It means that the test has been executed without problems and the output results from the expected and current are the same.

TestRunner.m This function has generated a plugin, whose results are recovered in the following table.

| FILE NAME | LINE COVERAGE | EXECUTABLE LINES | EXECUTED LINES | MISSED LINES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CGComputer.m | 100\% | 22 | 22 | 0 |
| CheckSafety.m | 100\% | 14 | 14 | 0 |
| ConservativeForcesComputer.m | 100\% | 49 | 49 | 0 |
| DOFSplitterComputer.m | 100\% | 24 | 24 | 0 |
| Dimension.m | 100\% | 11 | 11 | 0 |
| DirectSolver.m | 100\% | 4 | 4 | 0 |
| DisplacementComputer.m | 100\% | 39 | 39 | 0 |
| DynamicSolver.m | 100\% | 54 | 54 | 0 |
| ExternalInfluence.m | 100\% | 15 | 15 | 0 |
| ForcesComputer.m | 100\% | 47 | 47 | 0 |
| GliderAnalyser.m | 100\% | 44 | 44 | 0 |
| GliderData.m | 100\% | 40 | 40 | 0 |
| GliderGeometry.m | 100\% | 24 | 24 | 0 |
| GliderMass.m | 100\% | 22 | 22 | 0 |
| GliderMaterial.m | 100\% | 29 | 29 | 0 |
| IterativeSolver.m | 0\% | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| MatrixAndVectorSplitter.m | 100\% | 13 | 13 | 0 |
| NodeForceComputer.m | 100\% | 68 | 68 | 0 |
| NotConservativeForcesComputer.m | 100\% | 45 | 45 | 0 |
| PlotBarStress.m | 100\% | 47 | 47 | 0 |
| ResultComputer.m | 100\% | 17 | 17 | 0 |
| Solver.m | 100\% | 4 | 4 | 0 |
| SolverFactory.m | 42.85\% | 7 | 3 | 4 |
| SolverTest.m | 100\% | 8 | 8 | 0 |
| StiffnessMatrixComputer.m | 100\% | 55 | 55 | 0 |
| StressComputer.m | 100\% | 44 | 44 |  |
| TOTAL FILES | LINE COVERAGE | EXECUTABLE LINES | EXECUTED LINES | MISSED LINES |
| 27 | 97.74\% | 755 | 738 | 17 |

Table 1: Percentage results from 'TestRunner.m' function

Looking at the previous table, the global coverage has only been $97.74 \%$. Nevertheless, this result can be explained as a consequence of the 'inputs'. They only take into consideration the 'direct' solving method. It can be seen in the table, specifically the files 'SolverFactory.m' and 'IterativeSolver.m'. These functions are shown below for reference proposes. Furthermore, the executable lines have been highlighted depending on the case with green or red.

```
classdef SolverFactory < handle
        methods (Access = public, Static)
            function computeSolver = create(cParams) % la funcio es diu create
            switch cParams.type
                case 'direct'
                    case 'iterative'
                    computeSolver = IterativeSolver(cParams);
                    otherwise
            end
        end
    end
end
```

Figure 19: Source coverage display of 'SolverFactory.m' function

```
classdef IterativeSolver < Solver
    methods (Access = public)
        function obj = IterativeSolver(cParams)
            obj.init(cParams);
        end
            end
            methods (Access = protected)
            function obj = compute(obj)
                A = obj.A;
            end
    end
    end
```

Figure 20: Source coverage display of 'IterativeSolver.m' function

It is remarkable that the number of executed and not executed lines coincide with the 'Executed lines' and 'Missed lines' respectively, from table 1. Moreover, it is noteworthy to mention that these test mistakes have been made in purpose in order to show the scope of the source coverage in MATLAB. Nevertheless, the not executed lines can be avoided by adding two extra tests. One that covers the iterative case (cParams.type='iterative') and another test that executes the otherwise option (cParams.type!='iterative' AND cParams.type!='direct'). Consequently, the covered lines from 'TestRunner.m' function ought to be $100 \%$.

### 3.3 UMLs

In the following pages, two UML examples are provided. The first UML example corresponds to the final version of "Codi Cante", which can be found in: https://github.com/Jof-syntax/ CodiCanteUML . It allowed the refactoring of 'codi cante' in a swift manner. On the other hand, the second example corresponds to the FEM functionality of the Swan code, which can be found in: https://github.com/SwanLab/Swan/blob/master/FEM/FEM.m. This UML helped in the process of understanding the way Swan code works. It is remarkable that some of the boxes are highlighted in yellow, in order to shown that these are functions instead of classes.



Note: Since the number of classes that appear is considerable, the association relation has been omitted.

## 4 Hashin Shtrikman Bounds

The purpose of the present section consists on the derivation of bounds for the effective elastic moduli of multiphase materials in ' N ' dimensions using the original work "A variational approach to the theory of elastic behaviour of multiphase materials" by Z. Hashin and S. Shtrikman [1].

## Introduction to the variational principle

Let an elastic deformed body with known stress $\left(\sigma_{i j}^{o}\right)$ and strain $\left(\epsilon_{i j}^{o}\right)$ tensor fields have $N$ dimensions as volume $(V)$ and $N-1$ dimensions as boundery $(\Gamma)$. Hooke's law is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{i j}^{o}=\lambda_{o} \epsilon_{k k}^{o} \delta_{i j}+2 \mu_{o} \epsilon_{i j}^{o}=L_{o}: \epsilon_{i j}^{o} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Lamé parameters $\lambda_{o}$ and $\mu_{o}$ represent the Lamé constant and shear modulus in ' N ' dimensions, respectively, which for simplicity are taken constant throughout the body. Besides that, the subscripts are whole numbers from 1 to N , a repeated subscript denotes summation and $\delta_{i j}$ is the Kronecker delta. On the other hand, the strains are given in terms of displacements by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon_{i j}^{o}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial u_{i}^{o}}{\partial x_{j}}+\frac{\partial u_{j}^{o}}{\partial x_{i}}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let part or whole of the body be modified with a new material and $u_{i}^{o}(\Gamma)$ be held fixed. As a consequence, the new stress and strains fields are unknown matrices in the new body.

Also allow the Hooke's law for the new body be defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{i j}=\lambda \epsilon_{k k} \delta_{i j}+2 \mu \epsilon_{i j} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, let the stress polarization tensor $\left(p_{i j}\right)$ be defined as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{i j}=L: \epsilon_{i j}=L_{o}: \epsilon_{i j}+p_{i j} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $L$ and $L_{o}$ are given by (1) and (3), respectively. It is remarkable that $p_{i j}$ gives information of both, the new stress caused by the insertion of the new material and the loss of it when mass is subtracted from the original one. Define also

$$
\begin{align*}
u_{i}^{\prime} & =u_{i}-u_{i}^{o} \\
u_{j}^{\prime} & =u_{j}-u_{j}^{o} \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

Consequently

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon_{i j}^{\prime}=\epsilon_{i j}-\epsilon_{i j}^{o} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is remarkable that $\sigma_{i j}$ and $\epsilon_{i j}$ can be found from (4) and (6) once $p_{i j}$ and $\epsilon_{i j}^{\prime}$ are found.
Let the variational principle be defined as sum of volume integrals

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{p}=U_{o}+U_{p \epsilon^{o}} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
U_{o}=\frac{1}{2} \int \sigma_{i j}^{o}: \epsilon_{i j}^{o} d V  \tag{8}\\
U_{p \epsilon^{o}}=\frac{1}{2} \int p_{i j}: \epsilon_{i j}^{o} d V \tag{9}
\end{gather*}
$$

While the first equation (8) is the strain energy associated to the original body, equation (9) is the energy caused by $p_{i j}$ that would have the original body if the stress $p_{i j}$ had been applied.

On the other hand, by means of equation (6), $U_{p}$ can be expanded as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{p}=U_{o}-\frac{1}{2} \int-p_{i j}:\left(-\epsilon_{i j}+\epsilon_{i j}^{\prime}+2 \epsilon_{i j}^{o}\right) d V \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking into consideration (4), the variational principal involving $p_{i j}$ and $\epsilon_{i j}^{\prime}$ can be formulated as

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{p}=U_{o}-\frac{1}{2} \int\left(p_{i j}: \frac{1}{L-L_{o}}: p_{i j}-p_{i j}: \epsilon_{i j}^{\prime}-2 p_{i j}: \epsilon_{i j}^{o}\right) d V \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is subjected to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial\left(L_{o}: \epsilon_{i j}^{\prime}+p_{i j}\right)}{\partial x_{j}}=0 \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{i}^{\prime}(\Gamma)=0 \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

It can be proven that the variational principle is stationary for

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{i j}=L: \epsilon_{i j}-L_{o}: \epsilon_{i j} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is noteworthy to mention that the result match with equation (4).
Finally, regarding equations (1) and (3), the variational principal $\left(U_{p}\right)$ is an absolute maximum when

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda>\lambda_{o}, \quad \mu>\mu_{o} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

and an absolute minimum when

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda<\lambda_{o}, \quad \mu<\mu_{o} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

It has been proven that the variational principle has an absolute maximum and an absolute minimum when part or whole of the original body is modified with another material.

## General bounds for the effective moduli of multi-phase materials

Let the quasi-homogenity of the multi-phase material be obtained from any reference cube in the composite material which is large compared to the size of the non-homogeneities, but small compared to the hole body. Consequently, the volume average of a quantity such as strain, displacement, stress or phase volume fraction is the same for the whole body and the reference cube.

Furthermore, let the elastic strain energy in a reference cube of unit volume be represented as follows.

$$
\begin{equation*}
U=\frac{1}{2}\left(N^{2} \kappa^{*} \epsilon^{o 2}+2 \mu^{*} e_{i j}^{o} e_{i j}^{o}\right) \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the mean strains $\epsilon_{i j}^{o}$ are split into isotropic and deviatoric forms

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon_{i j}^{o}=\epsilon^{o} \delta_{i j}+e_{i j}^{o} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

being

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon^{o}=\frac{\epsilon_{k k}}{N} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, $\kappa^{*}$ and $\mu^{*}$ are the effective bulk and shear moduli.
Besides that, the bulk parameter ( $\kappa$ ) for the problem is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa=\lambda+\frac{2}{N} \mu \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Imposing the variational principle from the previous part to the unit volume and assuming that the displacements $u_{i}^{o}(\Gamma)$ are impressed on a homogeneous body whose elastic moduli are $\kappa_{o}$ and $\mu_{o}$, from the theory of elasticity follows that the strains throughout the body are constant and equal to $\epsilon_{i j}^{o}$. Therefore, if $u_{i}^{o}(\Gamma)=\epsilon_{i j}^{o} x_{j}$ (being $\epsilon_{i j}^{o}$ constant and $x_{j}$ the Cartesian co-ordinates of an inertial system) in composite body is prescribed, the strains have to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon_{i j}=\epsilon_{i j}^{o}+\epsilon_{i j}^{\prime} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

By definition of (21), since $\epsilon_{i j}^{\prime}$ is a deviation from the mean strain $\epsilon_{i j}^{o}$, the following statement must be true.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\epsilon}_{i j}^{\prime}=0 \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, the volume is divided into $r^{\text {th }}$ phases, where each division is denoted by $V_{r}$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{r=1}^{r=n} \frac{V_{r}}{V}=1 \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, the polarization tensor $p_{i j}$ is considered constant within the division.

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{i j}=p_{i j}^{r} \quad \text { in } \quad V_{r} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Transforming all tensors from (11) into isotropic and deviatoric parts, introducing (24) and using (22) yields the result

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{p}=U_{o}+U^{\prime}-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{r=1}^{r=n}\left[\frac{\left(p^{r}\right)^{2}}{\kappa_{r}-\kappa_{o}}+\frac{f_{i j}^{r} f_{i j}^{r}}{2\left(\mu_{r}-\mu_{o}\right)}-2 N p^{r} \epsilon^{o}-2 f_{i j}^{r} e_{i j}^{o}\right] \frac{V_{r}}{V} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
U_{o} & =\frac{1}{2}\left(N^{2} \kappa_{o} \epsilon^{o 2}+2 \mu_{o} \epsilon_{i j}^{o} \epsilon_{i j}^{o}\right)  \tag{26}\\
U^{\prime} & =\frac{1}{2} \int\left(N p \epsilon^{\prime}+f_{i j} \epsilon_{i j}^{\prime}\right) d V \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

By means of the Fourier methods and making use of (13) and (51), $U^{\prime}$ can be evaluated in terms of the polarization field (24). The resultant equation is provided below

$$
\begin{equation*}
U^{\prime}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha_{o}\left[\sum_{r=1}^{r=n}\left(p^{r}\right)^{2} \frac{V_{r}}{V}-\left(\sum_{r=1}^{r=n} p^{r} \frac{V_{r}}{V}\right)^{2}\right]+\beta_{o}\left[\sum_{r=1}^{r=n} f_{i j}^{r} f_{i j}^{r} \frac{V_{r}}{V}-\sum_{r=1}^{r=n} f_{i j}^{r} \frac{V_{r}}{V} \sum_{r=1}^{r=n} f_{i j}^{r} \frac{V_{r}}{V}\right]\right) \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha_{o}$ and $\beta_{o}$ are

$$
\begin{gather*}
\alpha_{o}=-\frac{1}{2 \mu_{o}+\left(\kappa_{o}-\frac{2}{N} \mu_{o}\right)}  \tag{29}\\
\beta_{o}=-\frac{\left(\kappa_{o}+2 \mu_{o}\right)(N-1)}{\left(N^{2}+N-2\right) \mu_{o}\left(\kappa_{o}-\frac{2}{N} \mu_{o}\right)} \tag{30}
\end{gather*}
$$

Introducing (28) into (25), $U_{p}$ becomes

$$
\begin{gather*}
U_{p}=U_{o}-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{r=1}^{r=n}\left[\frac{\left(p^{r}\right)^{2}}{\kappa_{r}-\kappa_{o}}-\alpha_{o}\left(p^{r}\right)^{2}+\frac{f_{i j}^{r} f_{i j}^{r}}{2\left(\mu_{r}-\mu_{o}\right)}-\beta_{o} f_{i j}^{r} f_{i j}^{r}-2 N p^{r} \epsilon^{o}+\ldots\right. \\
\left.\ldots-2 f_{i j}^{r} e_{i j}^{o}\right] \frac{V_{r}}{V}-\frac{\alpha_{o}}{2}\left(\sum_{r=1}^{r=n} p^{r} \frac{V_{r}}{V}\right)^{2}-\frac{\beta_{o}}{2} \sum_{r=1}^{r=n} f_{i j}^{r} \frac{V_{r}}{V} \sum_{r=1}^{r=n} f_{i j}^{r} \frac{V_{r}}{V} \tag{31}
\end{gather*}
$$

Regarding the maximum condition (15), whenever $\kappa_{o}$ and $\mu_{o}$ satisfy the following inequalities, the energy inequality is also satisfied

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa_{r}>\kappa_{o}, \quad \mu_{r}>\mu_{o} \quad \text { which implies } \quad U_{p}<U \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, from (16), whenever $\kappa_{o}$ and $\mu_{o}$ are lower than $\kappa_{r}$ and $\mu_{r}$, the following inequalities are satisfied

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa_{r}<\kappa_{o}, \quad \mu_{r}<\mu_{o} \quad \text { which implies } \quad U_{p}>U \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

From this point a myraid of bounds on the effective elastic moduli have been found. Nevertheless, in order to obtain the best bounds for a polarization tensor of the type ( 24 ), $U_{p}$ must be maximized for condition (32) and minimized for condition (33). Therefore,

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{\partial U_{p}}{\partial p^{r}}=0 \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \sum_{r=1}^{r=n}\left[-\frac{p^{r}}{\kappa_{r}-\kappa_{o}}+\alpha_{o} p^{r}\left(1-\frac{V_{r}}{V}\right)+N \epsilon^{o}\right] \frac{V_{r}}{V}=0 \\
\frac{\partial U_{p}}{\partial f_{i j}^{r}}=0 \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \sum_{r=1}^{r=n}\left[-\frac{f_{i j}^{r}}{2\left(\mu_{r}-\mu_{o}\right)}+\beta_{o} f_{i j}^{r}\left(1-\frac{V_{r}}{V}\right)+e_{i j}^{o}\right] \frac{V_{r}}{V}=0 \tag{35}
\end{array}
$$

Introducing (34) and (35) into (31), $U_{p}$ can be refactored for the extreme cases as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{U}_{p}=U_{o}+\frac{1}{2}\left(N \hat{p} \epsilon^{o}+\hat{f}_{i j} e_{i j}^{o}\right) \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the mean values $\hat{p}$ and $\hat{f}$ can be determined from (34) and (35) by solving for $p^{r}$ and $f_{i j}^{r}$ and obtaining the mean values by means of (24). The results are

$$
\begin{align*}
\hat{p} & =\epsilon^{o} \frac{N A}{1+\alpha_{o} A}  \tag{37}\\
\hat{f_{i j}} & =e_{i j}^{o} \frac{B}{1+\beta_{o} B} \tag{38}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
A & =\sum_{r=1}^{r=n} \frac{\frac{V_{r}}{V}}{\frac{1}{\kappa_{1}-\kappa_{o}}-\alpha_{o}}  \tag{39}\\
B & =\sum_{r=1}^{r=n} \frac{\frac{V_{r}}{V}}{\frac{1}{2\left(\mu_{1}-\mu_{o}\right)}-\beta_{o}} \tag{40}
\end{align*}
$$

In order to find bounds for the effective bulk modulus let a mean strain system of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon_{i j}^{o}=\epsilon^{o} \delta_{i j} \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

be applied to the composite material. Then, it can be proven from (17), (32), (33), (36) and (37) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa^{*} \lessgtr \kappa_{o}+\frac{A}{1+\alpha_{o} A} \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

In line with the previous deduction, the bounds for the effective shear can be found imposing a purely deviatoric form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon_{i j}^{o}=e_{i j}^{o}, \quad e_{k k}^{o}=0 \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

then from (17), (32), (33), (36) and (38),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu^{*} \lessgtr \mu_{o}+\frac{1}{2} \frac{B}{1+\beta_{o} B} \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the upper inequality signs applies for the conditions (32) and the lower signs for the conditions (33).

Finally, it can be proven that equations (42) and (44) are equivalent to inequalities (45) when $\kappa_{o}=\kappa_{A}, \mu_{o}=\mu_{A}$ for the lower bounds and $\kappa_{o}=\kappa_{B}, \mu_{o}=\mu_{B}$ for the upper bounds being $n=2$.

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\theta}{2 \mu_{B}-2 \mu_{U B}^{*}} & \leqslant \frac{1}{2 \mu_{B}-2 \mu_{A}}+\frac{(1-\theta)\left(\kappa_{B}+2 \mu_{B}\right)(N-1)}{\mu_{B}\left(N^{2}+N-2\right)\left(\kappa_{B}+2 \mu_{B}-\frac{2 \mu_{B}}{N}\right)} \\
\frac{1-\theta}{2 \mu_{L B}^{*}-2 \mu_{A}} & \leqslant \frac{1}{2 \mu_{B}-2 \mu_{A}}+\frac{\theta\left(\kappa_{A}+2 \mu_{A}\right)(N-1)}{\mu_{A}\left(N^{2}+N-2\right)\left(\kappa_{A}+2 \mu_{A}-\frac{2 \mu_{A}}{N}\right)}  \tag{45}\\
\frac{\theta}{\kappa_{B}-\kappa_{U B}^{*}} & \leqslant \frac{1}{\kappa_{B}-\kappa_{A}}+\frac{1-\theta}{\kappa_{B}+2 \mu_{B}-\frac{2 \mu_{B}}{N}} \\
\frac{1-\theta}{\kappa_{L B}^{*}-\kappa_{A}} & \leqslant \frac{1}{\kappa_{B}-\kappa_{A}}+\frac{\theta}{\kappa_{A}+2 \mu_{A}-\frac{2 \mu_{A}}{N}}
\end{align*}
$$

## Proofs and relations of the variational principle

In order to fully understand the steps carried out in the previous parts, the following relations and proofs are provided.

## Strain relation

First, let (5) be differentiated with its orthogonal direction as follows.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial u_{i}^{\prime}}{\partial x_{j}}=\frac{\partial u_{i}}{\partial x_{j}}-\frac{\partial u_{i}^{o}}{\partial x_{j}} \\
& \frac{\partial u_{j}^{\prime}}{\partial x_{i}}=\frac{\partial u_{j}}{\partial x_{i}}-\frac{\partial u_{j}^{o}}{\partial x_{i}} \tag{46}
\end{align*}
$$

Second, combine the previous equations and multiply the resultant expression by a factor of 0.5 .

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial u_{i}^{\prime}}{\partial x_{j}}+\frac{\partial u_{j}^{\prime}}{\partial x_{i}}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial u_{i}}{\partial x_{j}}+\frac{\partial u_{j}}{\partial x_{i}}\right)-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial u_{i}^{o}}{\partial x_{j}}+\frac{\partial u_{j}^{o}}{\partial x_{i}}\right) \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, regarding equation (2), equation (47) becomes (6).
The stationary value

The proof relies on the derivation of the Variational principle $\left(U_{p}\right)$. It can be done with an extra parameter $\lambda$ following the restricted optimization method. In this differentiation the parameter $\lambda$ is maximized, while $p$ and $\epsilon$ minimized. Therefore

$$
\begin{gather*}
\max \lambda \min p \epsilon \quad U_{o}-\frac{1}{2} \int\left(p_{i j}: \frac{1}{L-L_{o}}: p_{i j}-p_{i j}: \epsilon_{i j}^{\prime}-2 p_{i j}: \epsilon_{i j}^{o}\right) d V+\ldots \\
\ldots+\lambda \frac{\partial\left(L_{o}: \epsilon_{i j}^{\prime}+p_{i j}\right)}{\partial x_{j}} \tag{48}
\end{gather*}
$$

In order to simplify the calculus, $\lambda \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}}$ is replaced by $\epsilon_{\lambda}$.
The next consist on the differentiation of $U_{p}$ for each of the terms.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{\partial U_{p}}{\partial p_{i j}}=0 \quad & \Longrightarrow \quad \frac{-p_{i j}}{L-L_{o}}+\frac{\epsilon_{i j}^{\prime}}{2}+2 \epsilon^{o}+\epsilon_{\lambda}=0 \\
\frac{\partial U_{p}}{\partial \epsilon_{i j}^{\prime}}=0 \quad & \Longrightarrow \quad \frac{p_{i j}}{2}+\epsilon_{\lambda} L_{o}=0  \tag{49}\\
\frac{\partial U_{p}}{\partial \epsilon_{\lambda}}=0 \quad & \Longrightarrow \quad p_{i j}+L_{o} \epsilon_{i j}^{\prime}=0
\end{array}
$$

Solving the system for $p_{i j}$ and applying the relation (6), the stationary condition (14) is obtained.

## Subsidiary condition in terms of $u_{i}^{\prime}$

On the one hand, the subsidiary condition (12) can be expanded by means of equation (2) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial j}\left(L_{o}: \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial u_{j}^{\prime}}{\partial j i}+\frac{\partial u_{i}^{\prime}}{\partial j j}\right)\right)+\frac{\partial p_{i j}}{\partial j}=0 \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, replacing $L_{o}$ with its definition in (1), the following equation is obtained.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\lambda_{o}}{2}+\mu_{o}\right) \frac{\partial u_{j}^{\prime}}{\partial j i}+\mu_{o} \frac{\partial u_{i}^{\prime}}{\partial j j}+\frac{\partial p_{i j}}{\partial j}=0 \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\underline{\hat{U}_{p} \text { deduction from } U_{p}}$
Insulating $\epsilon^{o}$ and $e_{i j}^{o}$ from (34) and (35) respectively, and introducing the results in (31) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{U}_{p}=U_{o}-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{r=1}^{r=n}\left(N \hat{p}^{r} \frac{V_{r}}{V}\right) \epsilon^{o}+N \hat{p} \epsilon^{o}-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{r=1}^{r=n}\left(\hat{f}_{i j}^{r} \frac{V_{r}}{V}\right) \epsilon^{o}+\hat{f}_{i j} e_{i j} \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

Simplifying the summation expressions as the mean values $\hat{p}$ and $\hat{f}_{i j}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{U}_{p}=U_{o}-\frac{N}{2} \hat{p} \epsilon^{o}+N \hat{p} \epsilon^{o}-\frac{1}{2} \hat{f}_{i j} e_{i j}+\hat{f}_{i j} e_{i j} \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, equation (53) can be simplified into (36).
$\underline{H S}$ inequalities deduction from absolute energy

By means of the inequalities (32) and (32), and making use of expressions (17) and (36), the equation below is obtained

$$
\begin{equation*}
U \lessgtr U_{o}+\frac{1}{2}\left(N \hat{p} \epsilon^{o}+\hat{f}_{i j} e_{i j}^{o}\right) \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

Expanding this expression with the bulk and shear elastic strain energy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2}\left(N^{2} \kappa^{*}\left(\epsilon^{o}\right)^{2}+2 \mu^{*} e_{i j}^{o} e_{i j}^{o}\right) \lessgtr \frac{1}{2}\left(N^{2} \kappa^{o}\left(\epsilon^{o}\right)^{2}+2 \mu_{o} e_{i j}^{o} e_{i j}^{o}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(N \hat{p} \epsilon^{o}+\hat{f}_{i j} e_{i j}^{o}\right) \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

Introducing (37) in the previous equation and splitting it into its isotropic part as

$$
\begin{equation*}
N^{2} \kappa^{*}\left(\epsilon^{o}\right)^{2} \lessgtr N^{2} \kappa^{o}\left(\epsilon^{o}\right)^{2}+N \frac{N A}{1+\alpha_{o} A}\left(\epsilon^{o}\right)^{2} \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the same deduction with (38), the deviatoric part is obtained

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \mu^{*} e_{i j}^{o} e_{i j}^{o} \lessgtr 2 \mu_{o} e_{i j}^{o} e_{i j}^{o}+\frac{B}{1+\beta_{o} B} e_{i j}^{o} e_{i j}^{o} \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, the previous equations can be simplified and consequently (42) and (44) are obtained.

## Deduction of Allaire inequalities from HS inequalities

First, recovering the shear equation (44) and replacing the parameter $\mu_{o}$ for $\mu_{A}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu^{*}-\mu_{A}=\frac{1}{2} \frac{B}{1+\beta_{o} B} \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

Introducing (40), imposing the case $v_{r}=1-\theta$ (being $\theta$ the porosity) and expanding the denominator from the left hand side

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mu^{*}-\mu_{A}\right)\left(1+\beta_{o} \frac{1-\theta}{\frac{1}{2\left(\mu_{B}-\mu_{A}\right)}-\beta_{o}}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \frac{1-\theta}{\frac{1}{2\left(\mu_{B}-\mu_{A}\right)}-\beta_{o}} \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

being $\mu_{B}$ the shear from the other material. Then, simplifying and ordering the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1-\theta}{2\left(\mu^{*}-\mu_{A}\right)}=\frac{1}{2\left(\mu_{B}-\mu_{A}\right)}-\theta \beta_{o} \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, replacing $\beta_{o}$ for (30) and taking into account the inequalities from (33)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1-\theta}{2 \mu_{L B}^{*}-2 \mu_{A}} \leqslant \frac{1}{2 \mu_{B}-2 \mu_{A}}+\frac{\theta\left(\kappa_{A}+2 \mu_{A}\right)(N-1)}{\mu_{A}\left(N^{2}+N-2\right)\left(\kappa_{A}+2 \mu_{A}-\frac{2 \mu_{A}}{N}\right)} \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, the upper bound can be found by means of equation (44), replacing the parameter $\mu_{o}$ for $\mu_{B}$, imposing the case $v_{r}=\theta$ and following the same procedure used for the lower bound. The result is provided below

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\theta}{2 \mu_{B}-2 \mu_{U B}^{*}} \leqslant \frac{1}{2 \mu_{B}-2 \mu_{A}}+\frac{(1-\theta)\left(\kappa_{B}+2 \mu_{B}\right)(N-1)}{\mu_{B}\left(N^{2}+N-2\right)\left(\kappa_{B}+2 \mu_{B}-\frac{2 \mu_{B}}{N}\right)} \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, the bulk equation (42) needs to be modified by changing the parameter $\kappa_{o}$ for $\kappa_{A}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa^{*}-\kappa_{A}=\frac{A}{1+\alpha_{o} A} \tag{63}
\end{equation*}
$$

Introducing (39), imposing the case $v_{r}=1-\theta$ and expanding the denominator from the left hand side

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\kappa^{*}-\kappa_{A}\right)\left(1+\alpha_{o} \frac{1-\theta}{\frac{1}{\kappa_{B}-\kappa_{A}}-\alpha_{o}}\right)=\frac{1-\theta}{\frac{1}{2\left(\kappa_{B}-\kappa_{A}\right)}-\alpha_{o}} \tag{64}
\end{equation*}
$$

being $\kappa_{B}$ the bulk from the other material. Then, simplifying and ordering the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1-\theta}{\kappa^{*}-\kappa_{A}}=\frac{1}{\kappa_{B}-\kappa_{A}}-\theta \alpha_{o} \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, replacing $\alpha_{o}$ for (29) and taking into account the inequalities from (32)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1-\theta}{\kappa_{L B}^{*}-\kappa_{A}} \leqslant \frac{1}{\kappa_{B}-\kappa_{A}}+\frac{\theta}{\kappa_{A}+2 \mu_{A}-\frac{2 \mu_{A}}{N}} \tag{66}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, the bulk upper bound can be found by means of equation (42), replacing the parameter $\kappa_{o}$ for $\kappa_{B}$, imposing the case $v_{r}=\theta$ and following the same procedure used for the lower bound. The result is provided below

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\theta}{\kappa_{B}-\kappa_{U B}^{*}} \leqslant \frac{1}{\kappa_{B}-\kappa_{A}}+\frac{1-\theta}{\kappa_{B}+2 \mu_{B}-\frac{2 \mu_{B}}{N}} \tag{67}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 5 Swan code modified scripts

```
classdef MaterialInterpolation < handle
    properties (Access = protected)
        nstre
        ndim
        pdim
        nElem
        muFunc
        dmuFunc
        kappaFunc
        dkappaFunc
        matProp
        isoMaterial
    end
    methods (Access = public, Static)
        function obj = create(cParams)
            f = MaterialInterpolationFactory;
            obj = f.create(cParams);
        end
    end
    methods (Access = public)
        function mp = computeMatProp(obj,rho)
            mu = obj.muFunc(rho);
            kappa = obj.kappaFunc(rho);
            dmu = obj.dmuFunc(rho);
            dkappa = obj.dkappaFunc(rho);
            mp.mu = mu;
            mp.kappa = kappa;
            mp.dmu = dmu;
            mp.dkappa = dkappa;
        end
    end
    methods (Access = protected)
        function init(obj,cParams)
            obj.nElem = cParams.nElem;
            obj.pdim = cParams.dim;
            obj.computeNDim(cParams);
            obj.saveYoungAndPoisson(cParams);
            obj.createIsotropicMaterial();
            obj.computeMuAndKappaIn0();
            obj.computeMuAndKappaIn1();
            end
            function computeNDim(obj,cParams)
            switch cParams.dim
                case '2D'
                    obj.ndim = 2;
                    case '3D'
                    obj.ndim = 3;
            end
```

```
end
function saveYoungAndPoisson(obj,cParams)
    cP = cParams.constitutiveProperties;
    obj.matProp.rho1 = cP.rho_plus;
    obj.matProp.rho0 = cP.rho_minus;
    obj.matProp.E1 = cP.E_plus;
    obj.matProp.EO = cP.E_minus;
    obj.matProp.nu1 = cP.nu_plus;
    obj.matProp.nu0 = cP.nu_minus;
end
function createIsotropicMaterial(obj)
    s.pdim = obj.pdim;
    s.ptype = 'ELASTIC';
    obj.isoMaterial = Material.create(s);
end
function computeMuAndKappaIn0(obj)
    EO = obj.matProp.EO;
    nuO = obj.matProp.nu0;
    obj.matProp.muO = obj.computeMu(EO,nuO);
    obj.matProp.kappa0 = obj.computeKappa(EO,nuO);
end
function computeMuAndKappaIn1(obj)
    E1 = obj.matProp.E1;
    nu1 = obj.matProp.nu1;
    obj.matProp.mu1 = obj.computeMu(E1,nu1);
    obj.matProp.kappa1 = obj.computeKappa(E1,nu1);
end
function computeSymbolicInterpolationFunctions(obj)
    [muS,dmuS,kS,dkS] = obj.computeSymbolicMuKappa();
    obj.muFunc = matlabFunction(muS);
    obj.dmuFunc = matlabFunction(dmuS);
    obj.kappaFunc = matlabFunction(kS);
    obj.dkappaFunc = matlabFunction(dkS);
end
function [muS,dmuS,kS,dkS] = computeSymbolicMuKappa(obj)
    [muS,dmuS] = obj.computeMuSymbolicFunctionAndDerivative();
    [kS,dkS] = obj.computeKappaSymbolicFunctionAndDerivative();
end
function mu = computeMu(obj,E,nu)
    mat = obj.isoMaterial;
    mu = mat.computeMuFromYoungAndNu(E,nu);
end
function k = computeKappa(obj,E,nu)
    mat = obj.isoMaterial;
    k = mat.computeKappaFromYoungAndNu(E,nu);
end
function computeNstre(obj)
    ndim = obj.ndim;
    obj.nstre = 3*(ndim-1);
end
```

end
methods (Access = protected, Abstract)
computeMuSymbolicFunctionAndDerivative(obj)
computeKappaSymbolicFunctionAndDerivative(obj)
end
end

```
classdef MaterialInterpolationFactory < handle
    methods (Access = public, Static)
        function obj = create(cParams)
            switch cParams.typeOfMaterial
            case 'ISOTROPIC'
                switch cParams.interpolation
                case 'SIMPALL'
                        if ~isfield(cParams,'simpAllType')
                                cParams.simpAllType = 'EXPLICIT';
                        end
                        switch cParams.simpAllType
                                    case 'EXPLICIT
                                    obj = SimpAllInterpolationExplicit(cParams);
                                case 'IMPLICIT'
                                    switch cParams.dim
                                    case '2D'
                                    obj = SimpAllInterpolationImplicit2D(
    cParams);
                                case '3D'
                                    obj = SimpAllInterpolationImplicit3D(
    cParams);
                                    otherwise
                                    error('Invalid problem dimension.');
                                    end
                                otherwise
                                    error('Invalid SimpAll type.');
                                    end
                                case 'SIMP_Adaptative'
                            obj = SimpInterpolationAdaptative(cParams);
                case 'SIMP_P3'
                        obj = SimpInterpolationP3(cParams);
                                    otherwise
                                    error('Invalid Material Interpolation method.');
                        end
            otherwise
                        error('Invalid type of material');
            end
            end
    end
end
```

classdef SimpAllInterpolationExplicit < MaterialInterpolation
methods (Access = public)

```
    function obj = SimpAllInterpolationExplicit(cParams)
        obj.init(cParams);
        obj.computeNstre();
        obj.computeSymbolicInterpolationFunctions();
    end
end
methods (Access = protected)
    function [mS,dmS] = computeMuSymbolicFunctionAndDerivative(obj)
        mS = obj.computeSymMu();
        dmS = diff(mS);
    end
    function [kS,dkS] = computeKappaSymbolicFunctionAndDerivative(obj)
        kS = obj.computeSymKappa();
        dkS = diff(kS);
    end
    function mu = computeSymMu(obj)
        m0 = obj.matProp.mu0;
        m1 = obj.matProp.mu1;
        k0 = obj.matProp.kappa0;
        k1 = obj.matProp.kappa1;
        eta0 = obj.computeEtaMu(m0,k0);
        eta1 = obj.computeEtaMu(m1,k1);
    c = obj.computeCoeff(m0,m1,eta0,eta1);
    mu = obj.computeRationalFunction(c);
    end
    function kappa = computeSymKappa(obj)
        m0 = obj.matProp.mu0;
        m1 = obj.matProp.mu1;
        k0 = obj.matProp.kappa0;
        k1 = obj.matProp.kappa1;
        eta0 = obj.computeEtaKappa(m0);
        eta1 = obj.computeEtaKappa(m1);
        c = obj.computeCoeff(k0,k1,eta0,eta1);
        kappa = obj.computeRationalFunction(c);
    end
    function etaMu = computeEtaMu(obj,mu,kappa)
        N = obj.ndim;
        num = -mu*(4*mu - kappa*N^2 - 2*mu*N^2 + 2*mu*N);
        den = 2*N*(kappa + 2*mu);
        etaMu = num/den;
    end
    function etaKappa = computeEtaKappa(obj,mu)
    N = obj.ndim;
    num = 2*mu*(N-1);
    den = N;
    etaKappa = num/den;
end
end
methods (Access = protected, Static)
```

```
function c = computeCoeff(f0,f1,eta0,eta1)
    c.n01 = -(f1 - f0)*(eta1 - eta0);
    c.n0 = f0*(f1 + eta0);
    c.n1 = f1*(f0 + eta1);
    c.d0 = (f1 + eta0);
    c.d1 = (f0 + eta1);
end
function f = computeRationalFunction(s)
    rho = sym('rho','positive');
    n01 = s.n01;
    n0 = s.n0;
    n1 = s.n1;
    d0 = s.d0;
    d1 = s.d1;
    num = n01*(1-rho)*(rho) + n0*(1-rho) + n1*rho;
    den = dO*(1-rho) + d1*rho;
    f = num/den;
end
end
end
```

```
classdef SimpAllInterpolationImplicit < MaterialInterpolation
    properties (Access = protected)
        dmu0
        dmu1
        dk0
    dk1
end
methods (Access = protected)
function [mS,dmS] = computeMuSymbolicFunctionAndDerivative(obj)
    s.f0 = obj.matProp.mu0;
    s.f1 = obj.matProp.mu1;
    s.df0 = obj.dmu0;
    s.df1 = obj.dmu1;
    [mS,dmS] = obj.computeParameterInterpolationAndDerivative(s);
end
function [kS,dkS] = computeKappaSymbolicFunctionAndDerivative(obj)
    s.f0 = obj.matProp.kappa0;
    s.f1 = obj.matProp.kappa1;
    s.df0 = obj.dk0;
    s.df1 = obj.dk1;
    [kS,dkS] = obj.computeParameterInterpolationAndDerivative(s);
end
function [f,df] = computeParameterInterpolationAndDerivative(obj,s)
    c = obj.computeCoefficients(s);
    rho = sym('rho','positive');
    fSym = obj.rationalFunction(c,rho);
    dfSym = obj.rationalFunctionDerivative(c,rho);
    f = simplify(fSym);
    df = simplify(dfSym);
end
```

```
            function c = computeCoefficients(obj,s)
            f1 = s.f1;
            f0 = s.f0;
            df1 = s.df1;
            df0 = s.df0;
            c1 = sym('c1','real');
            c2 = sym('c2','real');
            c3 = sym('c3','real');
            c4 = sym('c4','real');
            coef = [c1 c2 c3 c4];
            r1 = obj.matProp.rho1;
            r0 = obj.matProp.rho0;
            eq(1) = obj.rationalFunction(coef,r1) - f1;
            eq(2) = obj.rationalFunction(coef,r0) - f0;
            eq(3) = obj.rationalFunctionDerivative(coef,r1) - df1;
            eq(4) = obj.rationalFunctionDerivative(coef,r0) - df0;
            c = solve(eq,[c1,c2,c3,c4]);
            c = struct2cell(c);
            c = [c{:}];
            end
    end
    methods (Access = protected, Static)
        function r = rationalFunction(coef,rho)
            c1 = coef(1);
            c2 = coef (2);
            c3 = coef(3);
            c4 = coef (4);
            num = (c1*rho^2 + c2*rho + 1);
            den = (c4 + rho*c3);
            r = num/den;
                end
            function dr = rationalFunctionDerivative(coef,rho)
            c1 = coef(1);
            c2 = coef (2);
            c3 = coef (3);
            c4 = coef (4);
            n1 = c2 + 2*rho*c1;
            d1 = c4 + rho*c3;
            dr1 = n1/d1;
            n2 = -c3*(c1*rho^2 + c2*rho + 1);
            d2 = (c4 + rho*c3)^2;
            dr2 = n2/d2;
            dr = dr1 + dr2;
        end
    end
end
```

```
classdef SimpAllInterpolationImplicit2D < SimpAllInterpolationImplicit
    methods (Access = public)
        function obj = SimpAllInterpolationImplicit2D(cParams)
            obj.init(cParams);
            obj.computeNstre();
```

```
            obj.computeSymbolicInterpolationFunctions();
            obj.dmuO = obj.computeDmuO();
            obj.dmu1 = obj.computeDmu1();
            obj.dk0 = obj.computeDKappa0();
            obj.dk1 = obj.computeDKappa1();
        end
end
methods (Access = protected)
    function [pMu,pKappa] = computePolarizationTensorAsMuKappa(eMatrix,
eInclusion, nuMatrix,nuInclusion)
    coef = obj.compute2Dcoefficients(eMatrix,eInclusion,nuMatrix,
nuInclusion);
    [p1,p2] = obj.computeP1P2(coef);
    [pMu,pKappa] = obj.computePkappaPmu(p1, p2);
    end
    function dmuO = computeDmuO(obj)
        E1 = obj.matProp.E1;
        EO = obj.matProp.EO;
        nu1 = obj.matProp.nu1;
        nuO = obj.matProp.nu0;
        muO = obj.matProp.muO;
        [pMu0,~] = obj.computePolarizationTensorAsMuKappa(E0,E1,nu0,nu1);
        dmuO = -mu0*pMu0;
    end
    function dmu1 = computeDmu1(obj)
        E1 = obj.matProp.E1;
        EO = obj.matProp.EO;
        nu1 = obj.matProp.nu1;
        nuO = obj.matProp.nu0;
        mu1 = obj.matProp.mu1;
        [pMu1,~] = obj.computePolarizationTensorAsMuKappa(E1,E0,nu1,nu0);
        dmu1 = mu1*pMu1;
    end
    function dkappaO = computeDKappa0(obj)
        E1 = obj.matProp.E1;
        EO = obj.matProp.EO;
        nu1 = obj.matProp.nu1;
        nu0 = obj.matProp.nu0;
        kappa0 = obj.matProp.kappa0;
        [~,pKappa0] = obj.computePolarizationTensorAsMuKappa(E0,E1,nu0,nu1);
        dkappa0 = -kappa0*pKappa0;
    end
    function dkappa1 = computeDKappa1(obj)
        E1 = obj.matProp.E1;
        EO = obj.matProp.EO;
        nu1 = obj.matProp.nu1;
        nu0 = obj.matProp.nu0;
        kappa1 = obj.matProp.kappa1;
        [~,pKappa1] = obj.computePolarizationTensorAsMuKappa(E1,E0,nu1,nu0);
        dkappa1 = kappa1*pKappa1;
    end
end
```

```
    methods (Access = protected, Static)
    function coef = compute2Dcoefficients(eMatrix,eInclusion,nuMatrix,
    nuInclusion)
        coef.a = (1 + nuMatrix)/(1 - nuMatrix);
        coef.b = (3 - nuMatrix)/(1 + nuMatrix);
        coef.gam = eInclusion/eMatrix;
        coef.tau1 = (1 + nuInclusion)/(1 + nuMatrix);
        coef.tau2 = (1 - nuInclusion)/(1 - nuMatrix);
        coef.tau3 = (nuInclusion*(3*nuMatrix - 4) + 1)/(nuMatrix*(3*nuMatrix -
    4) + 1);
    end
    function [p1,p2] = computeP(s)
        a = s.a;
        b = s.b;
        gam = s.gam;
        tau1 = s.tau1;
        tau2 = s.tau2;
        tau3 = s.tau3;
        p1 = 1/(b*gam+tau1)*(1+b)*(tau1-gam);
        p2 = 0.5*(a-b)/(b*gam+tau1)*(gam*(gam-2*tau3)+tau1*tau2)/(a*gam+tau2
    );
    end
    function [pMu,pKappa] = computePKappaMu(p1, p2)
            pMu = p1;
            pKappa = 2*p2 + p1;
        end
    end
```

end

```
classdef SimpAllInterpolationImplicit3D < SimpAllInterpolationImplicit
    methods (Access = public)
        function obj = SimpAllInterpolationImplicit3D(cParams)
            obj.init(cParams);
            obj.computeNstre();
            obj.dmuO = obj.computeDmu0();
            obj.dmu1 = obj.computeDmu1();
            obj.dk0 = obj.computeDKappa0();
            obj.dk1 = obj.computeDKappa1();
            obj.computeSymbolicInterpolationFunctions();
            end
    end
    methods (Access = protected)
        function [dMu,dKappa] = computePolarizationParametersAsMuKappa(obj,
    eMatrix,eInclusion,nuMatrix,nuInclusion)
            [m1,m2] = obj.compute3Dcoefficients(eMatrix,eInclusion,nuMatrix,
    nuInclusion);
            [dMu,dKappa] = obj.computeDkappaDmu(m1,m2);
        end
```

```
    function dmu0 = computeDmu0(obj)
        E1 = obj.matProp.E1
        E0 = obj.matProp.E0;
        nu1 = obj.matProp.nu1;
        nu0 = obj.matProp.nu0;
        mu0 = obj.matProp.mu0;
        mu1 = obj.matProp.mu1;
        [dMu0, ~] = obj.computePolarizationParametersAsMuKappa(E0,E1, nu0,nu1);
        qmu0 = dMu0/(mu0*(mu1-mu0));
        dmu0 = mu0*(mu1-mu0)*qmu0;
    end
    function dmu1 = computeDmu1(obj)
        E1 = obj.matProp.E1;
        E0 = obj.matProp.E0;
        nu1 = obj.matProp.nu1;
        nu0 = obj.matProp.nu0;
        mu0 = obj.matProp.mu0;
        mu1 = obj.matProp.mu1;
        [dMu1,~] = obj.computePolarizationParametersAsMuKappa(E1,E0,nu1,nu0);
        qmu1 = dMu1/(mu1*(mu0-mu1));
        dmu1 = mu1*(mu1-mu0)*qmu1;
    end
    function dkappa0 = computeDKappa0(obj)
        E1 = obj.matProp.E1;
        E0 = obj.matProp.E0;
        nu1 = obj.matProp.nu1;
        nu0 = obj.matProp.nu0;
        kappa0 = obj.matProp.kappa0;
        kappa1 = obj.matProp.kappa1;
        [~,dKappa0] = obj.computePolarizationParametersAsMuKappa(E0,E1,nu0, nu1
);
        qKappa0 = dKappa0/(kappa0*(kappa1-kappa0));
        dkappa0 = kappa0*(kappa1-kappa0)*qKappa0;
    end
    function dkappa1 = computeDKappa1(obj)
        E1 = obj.matProp.E1;
        E0 = obj.matProp.E0;
        nu1 = obj.matProp.nu1;
        nu0 = obj.matProp.nu0;
        kappa0 = obj.matProp.kappa0;
        kappa1 = obj.matProp.kappa1;
        [~,dKappa1] = obj.computePolarizationParametersAsMuKappa(E1, E0,nu1,nu0
);
        qKappa1 = dKappa1/(kappa1*(kappa0-kappa1));
        dkappa1 = kappa1*(kappa1-kappa0)*qKappa1;
end
end
methods (Access = protected, Static)
    function [m1,m2] = compute3Dcoefficients(eMatrix,eInclusion, nuMatrix,
nuInclusion)
    mu = eMatrix/(2*(1+nuMatrix));
    muI = eInclusion/(2*(1+nuInclusion));
    mu2 = mu - muI;
    lambda = eMatrix*nuMatrix/((1+nuMatrix)*(1-2*nuMatrix));
```

```
lambdaI = eInclusion*nuInclusion/((1+nuInclusion)*(1-2*nuInclusion));
lam2 = lambda - lambdaI;
m1n = 15*mu*mu2*(nuMatrix - 1)
m1d= = 15*mu*(1-nuMatrix) +2*mu2*(5*nuMatrix - 4);
m1 = m1n/m1d;
m2n = lam2*(15*mu*lambda*(1-nuMatrix) + 2*lambda*mu2*(5*nuMatrix
-4)) - 2*mu2*(lambda*mu2-5*mu*nuMatrix*lam2)
m2d = 5*mu2*(3*mu*lambda*(1-nuMatrix) -3*mu*nuMatrix*lam2-lambda*
mu2*(1-2*nuMatrix));
            m2 = m2n/m2d;
        end
        function [dMu,dKappa] = computeDkappaDmu(m1,m2)
            dMu = m1;
            dKappa = m1*m2+2/3*m1;
        end
    end
end
```
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