MMP1 drives tumor progression in large cell carcinoma of the lung through fibroblast senescence
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Large cell carcinoma (LCC) is a rare and aggressive lung cancer subtype with poor prognosis and no targeted therapies. Tumor-associated fibroblasts (TAFs) derived from LCC tumors exhibit premature senescence, and coculture of pulmonary fibroblasts with LCC cell lines selectively induces fibroblast senescence, which in turn drives LCC cell growth and invasion. Here we identify MMP1 as overexpressed specifically in LCC cell lines, and we show that expression of MMP1 by LCC cells is necessary for induction of fibroblast senescence and consequent tumor promotion in both cell culture and mouse models. We also show that MMP1, in combination with TGF-β1, is sufficient to induce fibroblast senescence and consequent LCC promotion. Furthermore, we implicate PAR-1 and oxidative stress in MMP1/TGF-β1-induced TAF senescence. Our results establish an entirely new role for MMP1 in cancer, and support a novel therapeutic strategy in LCC based on targeting senescent TAFs.

1. Introduction

Lung cancer is the leading cause of cancer-related deaths worldwide, and is histologically classified into non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) and small cell lung cancer (SCLC); NSCLC is further subdivided into adenocarcinoma (ADC), squamous cell carcinoma (SCC), and other less frequent subtypes such as large cell carcinoma (LCC) [1,2]. Although all these lung cancer subtypes are epithelial in origin, it is clear that the aberrant stroma that surrounds cancer cells is a key driver of tumor progression [3]. NSCLC stroma is desmoplastic and rich in tumor-associated fibroblasts (TAFs) that exhibit an activated/myofibroblast-like phenotype [4]. Indeed, TAFs are the most abundant stromal cell type, and we and others have reported that TAFs in NSCLC exhibit distinct phenotypic alterations according to the histologic subtype of the tumors from which they are derived [5–7], suggesting that the mechanisms by which TAFs contribute to tumor progression are likely to be cancer subtype-dependent.

Acquisition of a senescent phenotype is emerging as a major tumor-
promoting process in TAFs. Senescence is a cell state that arises in response to stress in which cells acquire a permanent growth arrest, yet remain metabolically active. Senescent cells can also display an increase in the secretion of pro-inflammatory and other soluble factors known as the senescence-associated secretory phenotype (SASP) [8,9]. Notably, senescent TAFs have been identified in several solid tumors, in which aggressive tumor progression has been linked to the SASP of senescent TAFs [10–15]. In agreement with these previous observations, LCC tumors often show an aggressive tumor phenotype [16], and we previously reported that TAFs isolated from LCC patients are more likely to be senescent [17], suggesting that senescence of LCC-TAFs could be related to the enhanced invasive and proliferative characteristics of LCC tumors [17].

LCC is a rare NSCLC subtype and, unlike ADC or SCC, it lacks targeted therapies and specific molecular markers, and indeed LCC is commonly diagnosed by exclusion of ADC and SCC markers. These limitations have rendered the study of LCC challenging, and our overall understanding of its tumor biology very limited [2,16,18]. We previously showed that pulmonary fibroblasts became senescent upon indirect coculture with LCC cell lines but not with non-LCC cell lines, revealing that LCC cells secrete factors that induce paracrine senescence in fibroblasts. We also showed that senescent pulmonary fibroblasts enhanced the growth and dissemination of LCC cells in culture and in vivo compared to control (non-senescent) fibroblasts [17], further implicating fibroblast senescence in the aggressive nature of LCC. However, the identity of factor(s) produced by LCC cells that induce senescence of pulmonary fibroblasts has remained unknown.

The work presented here identifies matrix metalloproteinase-1 (MMP1) as the key LCC-secreted factor underlying paracrine senescence in fibroblasts and consequent enhancement of tumor-promoting traits. These results establish an entirely new role for MMP1 in cancer, in contrast with its well-known function of proteolytic degradation of fibrillar collagens [19,20], and define a new paradigm for MMP1-dependent paracrine activation of protumorigenic senescent fibroblasts in LCC progression. Moreover, we identify a new therapeutic strategy for this poorly understood cancer type based on targeting senescent fibroblasts.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Cell culture

The normal pulmonary fibroblast cell line CCD-19Lu (ATCC) was maintained as described [17]. Unless otherwise indicated, fibroblasts were seeded at 5.2 × 10^3 cells/cm^2 collagen-coated culture plates [17]. In some experiments, CCD-19Lu fibroblasts were exposed to 4SU/ml rMMP1, 2.5 ng/ml recombinant human TGF-β1 (R&D Systems), or both in the presence or absence of 4 mM n-acetyl cysteine (NAC) (Sigma) for 7 days. A randomly selected panel of NSCLC cell lines derived from LCC (H460, H1299 and H661) or non-LCC patients (H1437, H358, H1703, A549 and H23) (ATCC) was used in coculture experiments and/or microarray expression analysis (Supplementary Table 1). Cancer cells were cultured as described [17,21]. Primary mouse skin fibroblasts were obtained from tissue explants as reported [17]. Cells were routinely tested for mycoplasma contamination.

2.2. Recombinant protein production

The proMMP1 catalytic domain expression construct was a generous gift from H. Nagase [22]. ProMMP1 catalytic domain was expressed, refolded, and purified [23], and proteolytically activated to produce the active MMP1 catalytic domain (rMMP1).

2.3. Knock-down of MMP1 and PAR-1

MMP1 was stably knocked-down in LCC cancer cells with two lentiviral shRNA contracts (shMMP1 #1 and #2), and a non-target Scramble control (Scr) shRNA was used as a control as described [24]. PAR-1 was transiently knocked-down in control fibroblasts by siRNA.

2.4. Measurement of MMP activity in conditioned media

Culture media of parental and MMP1-knocked down LCC cells were collected and assayed for MMP activity using an MMP colorimetric substrate assay (EnzoLife Sciences).

2.5. Heterotypic coculture of cancer cells and fibroblasts

Transwells were used as described [17]. CCD-19Lu fibroblasts were seeded in the collagen-coated bottom Transwell plate, and cancer cells were seeded on the Transwell insert at 2.2 × 10^4 cells/cm^2 in serum-free medium for 9 days. Bare (cell-free) inserts were used as controls. For flow cytometry experiments, cocultures were conducted in duplicates and, after 9 days, were stimulated with 10% FBS or 0% FBS for 24 h. For rescue experiments, 45 U/ml rMMP1 was added to the cocultures in every medium change (2–3 days).

2.6. Conditioned medium (CM) of fibroblasts

Fibroblasts were maintained for 4 additional days in serum-free fibroblast culture medium following coculture (upon removing the Transwell insert) or stimulation with rMMP1, TGF-β1 or both. The CM was centrifuged and stored until use [17].

2.7. Senescence-associated beta-galactosidase (SA-βgal)

SA-βgal activity was detected as described [17]. For each experiment, the average percentage of SA-βgal+ cells under basal (control) conditions SA-βgal+ were substracted.

2.8. qRT-PCR

RNA extraction and reverse transcription were conducted as reported [6].

2.9. Flow cytometry

Cell cycle analysis was performed as reported [17].

2.10. Western blot

Western blot analysis of p16INK4a and PAR-1 was performed as reported [6].

2.11. TGF-β activity reporter assay

The activity of bioactive TGF-β was monitored using the TGF-β-inducible p(CAGA)_{12} luciferase-reporter as described [6,25].

2.12. Cancer cell growth and invasion

The effect of the CM on cancer cell growth and invasion was assessed as described [17]. In brief, to assess cancer cell growth, cancer cells were stimulated with CM for 3 days, and their nuclei were fluorescently stained and counted to measure cell density as the average nuclear density/image. To assess cell invasion we used the Matrigel Transwell invasion assay, in which CM was added to the lower Transwell compartment, and cancer cells that invaded the Matrigel layer through the other side of the Transwell porous membrane were stained with crystal violet and quantified as positive crystal violet staining area/image.
2.13. In vivo tumorigenicity and tumor dissemination

In vivo tumorigenic assays were carried out as reported [17], using protocols approved by the Ethics Committee of the Fondazione IRCCS INT according to EU Directive 2010/63/EU. Scramble control or shMMP1 H460 cells (1 × 10^6) were diluted 1:1 with 0.1 mL of CultiXtreme Basement Membrane (Trevigen) and subcutaneously injected into both flanks of C57BL-6 nude female mice (Charles River Laboratories) 8 weeks old (n = 5/cell condition). Tumor growth was monitored for ~25 days by assessing tumor volume [17]. At the end of the observation period or when tumors reached 300 mm³, mice were euthanized, tumor xenografts were paraffin-embedded forSentrator staining, and lungs were removed and dissociated to assess tumor dissemination by flow cytometry [17].

2.14. Histologic analysis

Fibroblast senescence assessment through biotin-linked sudan black B analogue (Sentrator) staining was conducted following manufacturers’ instructions [26]. Quantitative image analysis was carried out with ImageJ [27] under the guidance of our pathologist (JR). Fibroblasts were identified through their spindle-shaped nuclei as reported [5,28].

2.15. Transcriptional analysis of selected cell lines

Cell line selection and culture conditions, sample preparation, gene expression experiments, and data normalization and processing are described elsewhere [21]. Transcriptional profiles of randomly selected LCC (H460, H661) and non-LCC (A549, H1437, H358, H1703, and H23) cell lines grown on tissue culture plastic are deposited in the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) as GSE158597, and were analyzed to identify 1052 differentially expressed probesets that correspond to 678 annotated genes (Supplementary Table 2).

2.16. Gene expression analysis of cell lines with public databases

The expression of MMP1 and TGFB1 was analyzed in an extended panel of LCC (n = 10) and non-LCC (7 ADC, 4 SCC) cell lines (Supplementary Table 1) using publicly available datasets in R [29].

2.17. Statistical analysis

Two group comparisons were performed with two-tailed Student t-test (SigmaPlot). Statistical significance was assumed at P < 0.05, whereas P < 0.1 was interpreted as marginally significant. All experiments were conducted as triplicates. The percentages of tumor-free mice were compared with log-rank test (GraphPad Prism v5.0.). All data shown are mean ± s.e.m.

Further details on the methods and additional methodology are included in Supplementary Material.

3. Results

3.1. MMP1 is selectively overexpressed in LCC cell lines, and is necessary for the paracrine induction of fibroblast senescence

To identify the factor(s) secreted by LCC cells that induce senescence of cocultured fibroblasts, we evaluated gene expression differences between a randomly selected panel of cultured LCC (H460, H661) and non-LCC cell lines (i.e. ADC and SCC: H1437, H358, H1703, A549 and H23). Hierarchical gene clustering revealed similarities between LCC lines and differences from non-LCC lines, and identified 678 differentially expressed genes in LCC as compared to non-LCC (Fig. 1A). Subsequent KEGG-based pathway enrichment analysis reported 22 statistically significant over-represented pathways, among which KEGG Pathways in cancer was the largest with 23 genes (Fig. 1B, Supplementary Table 3).

Within the latter 23 genes, the largest LCC-upregulated gene coding for a secreted factor was MMP1 (Supplementary Table 4). MMP1 over-expression in H460 and H661 LCC cells was confirmed by qRT-PCR (Supplementary Fig. S1A). Likewise, we examined MMP1 expression in an extended cell line panel using the Sanger database (Supplementary Table 1) and found that it was upregulated in LCC cell lines compared to non-LCC cell lines (Fig. 1C); consistent results were obtained with the CCLE database (Supplementary Fig. S1B).

To assess whether expression of MMP1 by LCC cells was required for induction of senescence in cocultured fibroblasts, we knocked-down MMP1 expression in three LCC cell lines (H460, H1299 and H661) by shRNA using two different plasmids, whereas shScramble (Scr) was used as a control (Fig. 1D and E, Supplementary Fig. S1C), and analyzed three standard senescence markers: induction of senescence-associated beta-galactosidase (SA-β-gal), permanent growth arrest, and expression of cell cycle inhibitor CDKN2A (p16INK4a) in fibroblasts cocultured with LCC lines with reduced MMP1 expression (Fig. 1F). Knocking-down MMP1 did not affect proliferation of LCC cells (Supplementary Fig. S1D), but did significantly reduce both the specific MMP activity in the conditioned medium of LCC cell lines (Supplementary Fig. S1E) and their ability to induce senescence of cocultured fibroblasts as assessed by SA-β-gal (Fig. 1G and H). Consistently, fibroblasts cocultured with knocked-down MMP1 LCC cells (H460, H1299) exhibited a marked drop in the percentage of G0/G1 arrested cells upon stimulation with 10% FBS compared to serum-free medium (0% FBS), indicating that they re-entered proliferation with serum stimulation; in contrast, fibroblasts cocultured with Scr LCC cells maintained G0/G1 arrest in agreement with a senescent phenotype (Fig. 1I and J, Supplementary Fig. S1F). Likewise, a marked drop in CDKN2A mRNA and corresponding p16INK4a protein expression was observed in fibroblasts cocultured with knocked-down MMP1 H460 cells upon 10% FBS with respect to 0% FBS (Fig. 1K and L), whereas such drop was significantly attenuated in fibroblasts cocultured with Scr cells. Consistent results were obtained upon coculture with the LCC cell line H661 (Supplementary Fig. S1G). These findings show that expression of MMP1 in LCC cells is responsible for paracrine induction of fibroblast senescence.

3.2. MMP1 knock-down in LCC cells abrogates the tumor-promoting effects of cocultured fibroblasts in culture, and impairs tumor growth in vivo

We previously showed that conditioned medium (CM) from fibroblasts cocultured with LCC cell lines enhanced growth and invasion of these LCC cells [17]. Here we found that this effect was strongly attenuated when using CM from fibroblasts cocultured with shMMP1 LCC cells (H460, H1299) (Fig. 2A–D), and similar results were found in cocultures with H661 cells (Supplementary Figs. S2A and S2B). The cytokines IL6 and IL8 are considered key elements of the secretome of senescent cells or SASP [8], and were significantly upregulated in fibroblasts cocultured with H460 and H1299 cells infected with control (Scr) lentivirus at the mRNA level and as a secreted protein (Fig. 2E and F, Supplementary Fig. S2C). MMP1 knock-down in LCC cells was also found to impair tumor growth in vivo. To assess the effects of MMP1 knock-down in vivo, we injected either control (shScr) or shMMP1 H460 cells subcutaneously into the flanks of immunodeficient CD-1 nude mice (Fig. 3A). We first confirmed that control H460 cells in cocultures do induce senescence of primary skin fibroblasts derived from adult mice, and that this induction was significantly attenuated in cocultures with shMMP1 H460 cells (Fig. 3B). Next, we confirmed that MMP1 mRNA of LCC cells remained...
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**B** KEGG-based pathway enrichment analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Fold Change (LCC vs Non-LCC)</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MMP1</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>secreted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSF2RA</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>membrane, secreted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FZD8</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>receptor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLD1</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>intracellular</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAMC1</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>ECM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CASP9</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>intracellular</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPR</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>intracellular</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**C** Sanger (GSE68950)

**D** Fold MMP-1 mRNA

**E** Fold MMP-1 mRNA

**G** Fibroblasts cocultured with H460 cells

**H** Fibroblasts cocultured with H1299 cells

**I** Arrested fibroblasts (0% FBS vs 10% FBS)

**J** Relative change in arrested fibroblasts (0% FBS)

**K** CDK60A mRNA expression in response to 10% FBS

**L** Western Blot

* $P < 0.05$; **$P < 0.01$; ***$P < 0.005$ comparing to Bare

# $P < 0.05$; ## $P < 0.01$; ### $P < 0.005$ comparing to Scr

* $P < 0.05$; **$P < 0.01$; ***$P < 0.005$ in all other relevant pairwise comparisons

(caption on next page)
significantly downregulated in tumor xenografts bearing MMP1
knocked-down H460 cells compared to parental cells at the end of the
observation period using human specific primers (Fig. 3C). In agreement
with our in vitro findings, we found a significant reduction of tumor
growth (Fig. 3D) and tumor take (Fig. 3E) in tumors bearing shMMP1
cells compared to parental cells. We also observed that tumors from
shMMP1 H460 cells exhibited fewer senescent fibroblasts compared to
control H460 cells, as indicated by the significant drop in the percentage
of fibroblasts positively stained with biotin-linked sudan black B (Sen-
tragor) (Fig. 3F), which detects senescent cells in paraffin-embedded
tissue [8]. Notably, tumors from shMMP1 H460 cells also exhibited
significantly fewer lung disseminated tumor cells (DTC) (Fig. 3G)
compared to control H460 cells. Collectively, these observations
strongly support that MMP1 in LCC cells is necessary for the aberrant
accumulation of tumor-promoting senescent fibroblasts.

3.3. Recombinant MMP1 (rMMP1) partially rescues fibroblast
senescence and their enhanced tumor-promoting traits in cocultures

We next assessed whether MMP1 was sufficient to induce fibroblast
senescence and/or to enhance the tumor-promoting traits of the senes-
cent fibroblast secretome. Exposure of control fibroblasts with 45 U/ml
active rMMP1 for 7 days did not significantly increase the proportion of
SA-βgal+ fibroblasts (Fig. 4A) or the mRNA expression of SASP markers
IL6 and IL8 (Fig. 4B and C). Consistently, the CM of fibroblasts treated
with rMMP1 alone did not increase the growth and invasion of H460
(Fig. 4D and F) or H1299 (Fig. 4E and G) LCC cells, and even decreased
invasion in H460, although modestly. However, addition of 45 U/ml
rMMP1 to the media of control fibroblasts cocultured with either
shMMP1 H460 or H1299 cells (Fig. 4H) significantly increased SA-βgal
posivity (Fig. 4I and J). Consistent results were obtained with H661
LCC cells (Supplementary Fig. S3). Supplementation with rMMP1 also
rescued the ability of shMMP1 H460 or H1299 to induce the growth and
invasion-promoting effect of the CM of cocultured fibroblasts (Fig. 4K-
N), although with marginal significance in terms of growth. These re-

results reveal that MMP1 alone is not sufficient to induce fibroblast
senescence or enhance the tumor-promoting traits of their CM, and
supports that it requires cofactor(s).

3.4. Combining rMMP1 and TGF-β1 is sufficient to induce fibroblast
senescence and consequent tumor-promoting effects

Because TAFs from LCC patients exhibit an activated/myofibroblast-
like phenotype [17], and TGF-β1 is a known fibroblast activator [30]
that is frequently upregulated in NSCLC [31] and has been implicated in
senescence [8], we examined the effects of combining rMMP1 with
TGF-β1 on control pulmonary fibroblasts. While exposure to either
rMMP1 or TGF-β1 alone did not increase the percentage of SA-βgal-
+ fibroblasts, combining both factors did, eliciting percentages compara-
ble to the average percentage attained upon coculture with our panel of
LCC cells (Fig. 5A). Likewise, the CM of fibroblasts co-stimulated with
rMMP1 and TGF-β1 significantly enhanced the growth (Fig. 5B and C) and
invasion (Fig. 5D and E) of both H460 and H1299 cells up to levels
comparable to those attained with the CM of senescent fibroblasts
cocultured with parental cells. In agreement with previous findings
[28], we also observed that the CM of fibroblasts stimulated with TGF-β1
alone increased the growth of both H460 (Fig. 5B) and H1299 (Fig. 5C)
cells, although up to levels that were ~10% and ~25% lower than those
attained in combination with rMMP1, respectively; however, CM of fi-
broblasts treated with TGF-β1 alone did not significantly increase
invasion of cocultured LCC cells (Fig. 5D and E). These observations reveal
a novel interaction between MMP1 and TGF-β1 that elicits fibroblast
senescence concomitantly with an enhancement of the tumor-promoting
traits of their secretome on LCC cells.

To further implicate TGF-β1 in LCC-induced fibroblast senescence,
we first examined TGFβ1 mRNA in an extended panel of cell lines in the
Sanger database (Supplementary Table 1), and found a significant
upregulation in LCC compared to non-LCC lines (Fig. 5F). Next, we
examined the bioactivity of secreted TGF-β1 in coculture experiments
using the CAGA reporter, and found a significant increase in fibroblasts
cocultured with H460 LCC cells compared to bare control (Fig. 5G),
concomitantly with an increase in the expression of fibroblast activation
markers COL1A1 (Fig. 5H) and α-SMA (Supplementary Fig. S4). These
results strongly support the presence of an aberrantly large TGF-β1
expression selectively in LCC cells.

3.5. Oxidative stress and F2R (PAR-1) are implicated in the pro-

senescence and tumor-promoting effects elicited by rMMP1 and TGF-β1 in
fibroblasts

To begin to unravel the mechanisms underlying fibroblast senes-
cence elicited by co-stimulation with rMMP1 and TGF-β1, we used three
complementary strategies. First, we examined autophagy, a cellular
recycling process that becomes upregulated under some types of stress,
since it frequently increases during senescence [8], and previous work
linked autophagy and senescence in breast cancer TAFs [11]. However,
the expression of the canonical autophagy marker LC3B did not increase
in fibroblasts with induced senescence upon coculture with H460 cells
(Supplementary Figs. S5A–D), thereby discounting an important role
of autophagy in LCC-induced fibroblast senescence.

Next we examined the role of oxidative stress, since we previously
reported that the antioxidant n-acetyl cysteine (NAC) dose-dependently
reduced the percentage of SA-βgal+ fibroblasts in cocultures with H460
cells [17]. We first confirmed that NAC attenuated fibroblast senescence
upon coculture with H460 in terms of SA-βgal+ cells (Fig. 6A) and
expression of SASP factors IL6 and IL8 (Fig. 6B and C). Furthermore,
we found that NAC significantly attenuated the increase in SA-βgal+ fi-
broblasts elicited by co-stimulation with rMMP1 and TGF-β1 (Fig. 6D),
and the corresponding CM elicited a significantly lower growth (Fig. 6E)
and invasion (Fig. 6F) of H460 cells, implicating oxidative stress in both
LCC-induced fibroblast senescence and the consequent activation of
their tumor-promoting secretome.

Finally, we analyzed the role of the protease-activated receptor PAR-1,
which is a G protein-coupled receptor previously involved in trans-
ducing signals downstream of MMP1 in stromal cells that is coded by
the F2R gene [32]. Intriguingly, inducing fibroblast senescence through
either co-stimulation with rMMP1 and TGF-β1 or coculture with H460
cells consistently downregulated F2R (PAR-1) mRNA (Fig. 6G) and

Fig. 1. MMP1 overexpression in LCC cells and its role in the paracrine induction of fibroblast senescence. A, Hierarchical clustering of 1052 differentially expressed
probesets between a subset of LCC and non-LCC cell lines. B, KEGG-based pathway enrichment analysis identified Pathways in Cancer as the largest over-represented
pathway (Supplementary Table 3), in which MMP1 was the single gene coding for a secreted factor within the top 5 LCC-upregulated genes. C, MMP1 mRNA
expression from the Sanger dataset in an extended panel of cancer cell lines. D and E, MMP1 expression in H460 (D) and H1299 (E) LCC cell lines after
MMP1 knockdown by shRNA using two plasmids (#1, #2) and shScramble (Scr) as a control. F, Outline of the LCC-fibroblast cocultures with transwells. G and H, Percentage
of SA-βgal+ fibroblasts upon coculture with shMMP1 H460 (C) or H1299 (H) LCC cells as in (F). Representative SA-βgal images shown to the right. I and J, Percentage
of growth arrested fibroblasts (I) cocultured with H460 cells as in (F), and corresponding relative change (J).
bars represent mean ± s.e.m. Mean values correspond to n = 4 experiments.

**4. Discussion**

MMPs have been long appreciated as important mediators of tissue remodeling, targeting for proteolysis numerous extracellular matrix components. MMPs are also dysregulated in cancer [33], where they facilitate tumor progression [34,35]. MMP1 overexpression is a marker of tumor progression and metastasis in a variety of cancer types including lung cancer [19,20], and knocking-out Mmp1a, the murine ortholog of human MMP1, was sufficient to reduce lung carcinomas induced by a chemical carcinogen in mice [20]. Yet, our understanding of how MMP1 contributes to tumor progression has been very limited, and largely associated to its ability to degrade fibrillar collagens and, more recently, to regulate Th1/Th2 inflammatory responses [19,20]. Here we report a novel tumor-promoting function of MMPs [19,33] by identifying MMP1 production by LCC cells as a key factor in the induction of paracrine senescence in fibroblasts and consequent hyper-activation of their tumor-promoting traits. Importantly, our findings implicate MMP1-induced fibroblast senescence in the aggressive nature of LCC, whose specific tumor biology has remained largely obscure.

Our novel observations provide the first evidence of a causal relationship between MMP1 and senescence, which was unexpected considering previous observations of MMP1 upregulation as a consequence rather than a cause of senescence [9]. In addition, we found that MMP1 is not sufficient to induce paracrine fibroblast senescence or to enhance their tumor promoting traits, and identified TGF-β1 as a strong candidate co-factor. This observation was also somewhat surprising, since the most well-known effect of TGF-β1 is to elicit an activated/myofibroblast-like phenotype [36]. Although a previous study on oral squamous TAFs reported that stimulation with TGF-β1 alone [17], suggesting that the ability of TGF-β1 to induce fibroblast senescence may depend on the tissue source of the fibroblasts.

Our results also provide insights on the mechanisms underlying the cooperation between MMP1 and TGF-β1 in eliciting fibroblast senescence and enhancing their tumor-promoting traits, since we identified oxidative stress as a key mediator of both processes. Consistently, oxidative stress has been associated with aggressiveness in LCC [37]. Likewise, our findings are in agreement with the extensive evidence that TGF-β1 increases oxidative stress in fibroblasts [8], and with the limited evidence that MMP1 induces oxidative stress, although indirectly through collagen fragmentation [38]. Furthermore, our results implicate the downregulation of F2R (PAR-1), which may be indicative of PAR-1 downregulation in control fibroblasts by Mmp1a, the murine ortholog of human MMP1, was sufficient to reduce lung carcinomas induced by a chemical carcinogen in mice [20]. Yet, our understanding of how MMP1 contributes to tumor progression has been very limited, and largely associated to its ability to degrade fibrillar collagens and, more recently, to regulate Th1/Th2 inflammatory responses [19,20]. Here we report a novel tumor-promoting function of MMPs [19,33] by identifying MMP1 production by LCC cells as a key factor in the induction of paracrine senescence in fibroblasts and consequent hyper-activation of their tumor-promoting traits. Importantly, our findings implicate MMP1-induced fibroblast senescence in the aggressive nature of LCC, whose specific tumor biology has remained largely obscure.

Our novel observations provide the first evidence of a causal relationship between MMP1 and senescence, which was unexpected considering previous observations of MMP1 upregulation as a consequence rather than a cause of senescence [9]. In addition, we found that MMP1 is not sufficient to induce paracrine fibroblast senescence or to enhance their tumor promoting traits, and identified TGF-β1 as a strong candidate co-factor. This observation was also somewhat surprising, since the most well-known effect of TGF-β1 is to elicit an activated/myofibroblast-like phenotype [36]. Although a previous study on oral squamous TAFs reported that stimulation with TGF-β1 alone [17], suggesting that the ability of TGF-β1 to induce fibroblast senescence may depend on the tissue source of the fibroblasts.

Our results also provide insights on the mechanisms underlying the cooperation between MMP1 and TGF-β1 in eliciting fibroblast senescence and enhancing their tumor-promoting traits, since we identified oxidative stress as a key mediator of both processes. Consistently, oxidative stress has been associated with aggressiveness in LCC [37]. Likewise, our findings are in agreement with the extensive evidence that TGF-β1 increases oxidative stress in fibroblasts [8], and with the limited evidence that MMP1 induces oxidative stress, although indirectly through collagen fragmentation [38]. Furthermore, our results implicate the downregulation of F2R (PAR-1), which may be indicative of PAR-1 downregulation in control fibroblasts by Mmp1a, the murine ortholog of human MMP1, was sufficient to reduce lung carcinomas induced by a chemical carcinogen in mice [20]. Yet, our understanding of how MMP1 contributes to tumor progression has been very limited, and largely associated to its ability to degrade fibrillar collagens and, more recently, to regulate Th1/Th2 inflammatory responses [19,20]. Here we report a novel tumor-promoting function of MMPs [19,33] by identifying MMP1 production by LCC cells as a key factor in the induction of paracrine senescence in fibroblasts and consequent hyper-activation of their tumor-promoting traits. Importantly, our findings implicate MMP1-induced fibroblast senescence in the aggressive nature of LCC, whose specific tumor biology has remained largely obscure.

Our novel observations provide the first evidence of a causal relationship between MMP1 and senescence, which was unexpected considering previous observations of MMP1 upregulation as a consequence rather than a cause of senescence [9]. In addition, we found that MMP1 is not sufficient to induce paracrine fibroblast senescence or to enhance their tumor promoting traits, and identified TGF-β1 as a strong candidate co-factor. This observation was also somewhat surprising, since the most well-known effect of TGF-β1 is to elicit an activated/myofibroblast-like phenotype [36]. Although a previous study on oral squamous TAFs reported that stimulation with TGF-β1 alone [17], suggesting that the ability of TGF-β1 to induce fibroblast senescence may depend on the tissue source of the fibroblasts.

Our results also provide insights on the mechanisms underlying the cooperation between MMP1 and TGF-β1 in eliciting fibroblast senescence and enhancing their tumor-promoting traits, since we identified oxidative stress as a key mediator of both processes. Consistently, oxidative stress has been associated with aggressiveness in LCC [37]. Likewise, our findings are in agreement with the extensive evidence that TGF-β1 increases oxidative stress in fibroblasts [8], and with the limited evidence that MMP1 induces oxidative stress, although indirectly through collagen fragmentation [38]. Furthermore, our results implicate the downregulation of F2R (PAR-1), which may be indicative of PAR-1 downregulation in control fibroblasts by Mmp1a, the murine ortholog of human MMP1, was sufficient to reduce lung carcinomas induced by a chemical carcinogen in mice [20]. Yet, our understanding of how MMP1 contributes to tumor progression has been very limited, and largely associated to its ability to degrade fibrillar collagens and, more recently, to regulate Th1/Th2 inflammatory responses [19,20]. Here we report a novel tumor-promoting function of MMPs [19,33] by identifying MMP1 production by LCC cells as a key factor in the induction of paracrine senescence in fibroblasts and consequent hyper-activation of their tumor-promoting traits. Importantly, our findings implicate MMP1-induced fibroblast senescence in the aggressive nature of LCC, whose specific tumor biology has remained largely obscure.

Our novel observations provide the first evidence of a causal relationship between MMP1 and senescence, which was unexpected considering previous observations of MMP1 upregulation as a consequence rather than a cause of senescence [9]. In addition, we found that MMP1 is not sufficient to induce paracrine fibroblast senescence or to enhance their tumor promoting traits, and identified TGF-β1 as a strong candidate co-factor. This observation was also somewhat surprising, since the most well-known effect of TGF-β1 is to elicit an activated/myofibroblast-like phenotype [36]. Although a previous study on oral squamous TAFs reported that stimulation with TGF-β1 alone [17], suggesting that the ability of TGF-β1 to induce fibroblast senescence may depend on the tissue source of the fibroblasts.
fibroblasts cocultured with LCC cells as well as the rescue of fibroblast senescence and subsequent tumor-promotion of its secretome upon addition of rMMP1 in fibroblasts cocultured with control (Scr) or shMMP1 H460 cells. In further agreement with our observed tumor-promoting interaction between MMP1 and TGF-β, previous work reported smaller lung carcinomas in Mmp1a knock-out mice exposed to chemical carcinogens compared to parental mice concomitantly with decreased levels of active TGF-β1 [20].

The identification of the specific factors secreted by senescent TAFs that drive LCC growth and metastasis remain to be elucidated. Yet, previous studies have suggested that enhancing cancer cell invasion and dissemination is a predominant tumor-promoting feature of senescent TAFs as part of their complex secretome or SASP [14]. In agreement with this interpretation we found that the secretome of non-senescent and TGF-β1-activated fibroblasts increased LCC growth but not invasion. Moreover, senescent fibroblasts may drive tumor progression further by rendering an immunosuppressive microenvironment through the regulation of immune cell infiltration and activation [40]. Intriguingly, knocking-down MMP1 in a panel of three LCC cell lines consistently abrogated the enhanced tumor-promoting traits of the secretome of

Fig. 3. Role of MMP1 in LCC cells in fibroblast senescence and tumor promotion in vivo. A, Outline of the experimental design used to assess tumor growth and lung dissemination of H460 LCC cells (shScr or shMMP1) subcutaneously injected into immunodeficient CD-1 mice (n = 5 per condition). B, Percentage of SA-β-gal+ primary mouse skin fibroblasts upon coculture with control (Scr) or shMMP1 H460 cells. C, Fold MMP1 mRNA expression with respect to a calibrator in tumor xenografts assessed at the end of the observation period. D, Average tumor growth. E, Percentage of tumor-free flanks (tumors < 150 mm³). F and G, At the end of the observation period, primary tumor xenografts were analyzed to assess the percentage of Sentragor positive fibroblasts (F, representative images of Sentragor staining shown to the right), whereas the lungs were examined to assess the percentage of disseminated tumor cells (DTCs) (G). Arrowheads in (F) point to spindle-shaped nuclei characteristic of fibroblasts. Error bars indicate mean ± s.e.m. Statistical significance in (E) was assessed by log-rank test. All other pairwise comparisons were performed with Student t-test. *, P < 0.05.
Fig. 4. Recombinant MMP1 (rMMP1) effect on fibroblast senescence and tumor-promoting traits. A, Percentage of SA-βgal+ fibroblasts cultured with or without rMMP1 (added every media change) for 7 days. Representative images of SA-βgal staining shown at the bottom. B and C, Fold IL6 (B) and IL8 (C) mRNA expression of fibroblasts cultured as in (A). D-G, Fold cell number density (D and E) and invasion (F and G) of H460 and H1299 LCC cells stimulated with the CM of control fibroblasts cultured as in (A). H, Outline of the rescue experimental design, where fibroblasts and shMMP1 LCC cells were cocultured with or without rMMP1. I and J, Percentage of SA-βgal+ fibroblasts cocultured as in (H) with H460 (I) or H1299 (J) shMMP1 cells. Corresponding average values obtained with parental shScr cells are shown as a reference (horizontal line) here and thereafter. Representative images of SA-βgal staining shown to the right. Similar results were obtained with H661 cells (Supplementary Fig. S3). K-N, Fold cell number density and invasion of H460 (K and M) and H1299 (L and N) respectively) stimulated with the CM of fibroblasts cultured as in (H) and subsequently maintained in serum free medium for 4 days.

* P < 0.05; ** P < 0.01; *** P < 0.005 comparing rMMP1 treated and untreated conditions
# P < 0.05; ## P < 0.01; ### P < 0.005 comparing with cocultures with Scr LCC cells
Mean values correspond to n = 3 experiments.
Fig. 5. Effect of rMMP1 and TGF-β1 on fibroblast senescence and tumor-promoting traits. A, Percentage of SA-βgal+ fibroblasts untreated or treated with 45U/ml rMMP1, 2.5 ng/ml TGF-β1 or both for 7 days. Representative images shown at the bottom. Average percentage of SA-βgal+ fibroblasts cocultured with LCC cancer cells was added as a reference (green bars). B-E, Fold cancer cell number density and invasion of H460 (B and D, respectively) and H1299 (C and E, respectively) cells stimulated with the CM of fibroblasts cultured as in (A). Representative images of invading cancer cells are shown above invasion plots. F, TGFβ1 mRNA expression from the Sanger dataset in the panel of cancer cell lines used in Fig. 1C. G, Bioactivity of the TGF-β1 within the CM of fibroblasts cocultured with H460 or Bare conditions at day 4. H, Fold COL1A1 mRNA expression in fibroblasts cocultured with H460 cells or Bare as in Fig. 1F. Similar results were obtained with H661 cells (Supplementary Fig. S4). *, P < 0.05; **, P < 0.01; ***, P < 0.005 comparing to either untreated or Bare conditions. #, P < 0.05; ##, P < 0.01; ###, P < 0.005 comparing to coculture with LCC cells. +, P < 0.05; ++, P < 0.01; ++++ P < 0.005 in all other pairwise comparisons. All comparisons were done using Student t-test. Error bars represent mean ± s.e.m. Mean values correspond to n = 2 (G and H) and n = 3 experiments (all other). (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the Web version of this article.)
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A

\[
\begin{array}{c|c|c|c}
& \text{NAC (4mM)} & \text{Bare} & \text{H460} \\
\hline
\text{SA-β-gal} + \text{fibroblasts} (\% ) & + & + & + \\
\text{***} & + & + & + \\
\end{array}
\]

B

\[
\begin{array}{c|c|c|c}
& \text{NAC (4mM)} & \text{Bare} & \text{H460} \\
\hline
\text{Fold IL-6 mRNA} & + & + & + \\
\end{array}
\]

C

\[
\begin{array}{c|c|c|c}
& \text{NAC (4mM)} & \text{Bare} & \text{H460} \\
\hline
\text{Fold IL-6 mRNA} & + & + & + \\
\end{array}
\]

D

\[
\begin{array}{c|c|c|c}
& \text{NAC (4mM)} & \text{rMMP1 + TGF-β1} \\
\hline
\text{SA-β-gal} + \text{fibroblasts} (\% ) & + & + & + \\
\text{***} & + & + & + \\
\end{array}
\]

E

\[
\begin{array}{c|c|c|c}
& \text{NAC (4mM)} & \text{rMMP1 + TGF-β1} \\
\hline
\text{Fold cancer cell invasion} & + & + & + \\
\end{array}
\]

F

\[
\begin{array}{c|c|c|c}
& \text{NAC (4mM)} & \text{rMMP1 + TGF-β1} \\
\hline
\text{Fold cancer cell invasion} & + & + & + \\
\end{array}
\]

G

\[
\begin{array}{c|c|c|c}
& \text{rMMP1 + TGF-β1} \\
\hline
\text{Fold F2R (PAR-1) mRNA} & + & + & + \\
\end{array}
\]

H

\[
\begin{array}{c|c|c|c}
& \text{rMMP1 + TGF-β1} \\
\hline
\text{Fold F2R (PAR-1) mRNA} & + & + & + \\
\end{array}
\]

I

\[
\begin{array}{c|c|c|c}
& \text{rMMP1 + TGF-β1} \\
\hline
\text{Fold F2R (PAR-1) mRNA} & + & + & + \\
\end{array}
\]

J

\[
\begin{array}{c|c|c|c|c}
& \text{siControl} & \text{siF2R #1} & \text{siF2R #2} \\
\hline
\text{Fold F2R (PAR-1) mRNA} & + & + & + \\
\end{array}
\]

K

\[
\begin{array}{c|c|c|c|c}
& \text{siControl} & \text{siF2R #1} & \text{siF2R #2} \\
\hline
\text{SA-β-gal} + \text{fibroblasts} (\% ) & + & + & + \\
\text{***} & + & + & + \\
\end{array}
\]

L

\[
\begin{array}{c|c|c|c|c}
& \text{siControl} & \text{siF2R #1} & \text{siF2R #2} \\
\hline
\text{Fold IL-6 mRNA} & + & + & + \\
\end{array}
\]

M

\[
\begin{array}{c|c|c|c|c}
& \text{siControl} & \text{siF2R #1} & \text{siF2R #2} \\
\hline
\text{Fold IL-6 mRNA} & + & + & + \\
\end{array}
\]

N

\[
\begin{array}{c|c|c|c}
\text{LCC cells} & \text{LCC-TAFs} \\
\hline
\text{↑ MMP1} & \text{↑ oxidative stress} \\
\text{↑ TGF-β1} & \text{↑ F2R (PAR-1)} \\
\text{↑ secreted pro-growth} & \text{↑ pro-invasive factors} \\
\text{& senescence} & \end{array}
\]

* \( P < 0.05 \); ** \( P < 0.01 \); *** \( P < 0.005 \) comparing to untreated or Bare conditions

# \( P < 0.05 \); ## \( P < 0.01 \); ### \( P < 0.005 \) comparing to siControl

(caption on next page)
fibroblasts cocultured with all these LCC lines, whereas it strongly abrogated the transcription of the ubiquitous pro-inflammatory SASP factors IL6 and IL8 in fibroblasts in one LCC line only. These results discourage a direct implication of IL6 and IL8 in the enhanced cancer cell growth and invasion elicited by the secretome of senescent fibroblasts in LCC. However, previous work supports the possibility that IL6 may contribute to LCC progression indirectly through a feedforward mechanism of fibroblast senescence amplification through sustained MMP1 upregulation in LCC cells, since IL6 upregulated MMP1 in lung cancer cells through increased STAT3 activation [19], and the IL6-rich conditioned medium of senescent fibroblasts enhanced STAT3 activation in lung cancer cells [41].

Broad-spectrum inhibition of MMPs has proven detrimental in cancer therapy, due in part to inhibition of necessary reparative functions [33]. Alternatively, our results support the exciting possibility of impairing the tumor-promoting traits of senescent TAFs in LCC and possibly other cancer types rich in senescent TAFs by directly removing them or inhibiting their aberrant secretome with senolytic and senostatic drugs, respectively [8]. Furthermore, our findings identify an in vitro preclinical model for testing potential therapies in LCC based on the coculture of pulmonary control fibroblasts with LCC cells, since it provides a straightforward supply of LCC-relevant senescent fibroblasts, thereby overcoming the intrinsic expansion limitations of cultured primary LCC-TAFs due to their enrichment in growth arrested cells through senescence as well as to the overall low incidence of LCC.

In summary, our results support that LCC cells elicit a tumor-supporting niche through the aberrant secretion of MMP1 and TGF-β1 to induce senescence in adjacent fibroblasts. Such aberrant increase in senescent TAFs may underlie, at least in part, the aggressive nature of LCC, since senescent fibroblasts enhanced the growth and invasion of LCC cells beyond those elicited by non-senescent fibroblasts, thereby raising the exciting possibility of testing anti-senescence drugs in future studies of this orphan disease.
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