A New Mode Selection and Resource Reuse Strategy for V2X in Future Cellular Networks

Haider Daami R. Albonda, J. Pérez-Romero
Dept. Signal Theory and Communications
Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya (UPC)
Barcelona, Spain
[ haider.albonda, jorperez ] @tsc.upc.edu

Abstract—Recently, vehicle-to-vehicle (V2V) communications for future cellular systems have attracted considerable attention due to their potential benefits such as improved system capacity, spectral efficiency or delay reduction, and they enable new services related to intelligent transportation systems. V2V communications can be performed using two communication modes, namely cellular mode, based on uplink/downlink communications, and sidelink mode, which enables vehicles to communicate directly with other vehicles. However, selecting the appropriate operating mode according to the requirements of V2V services and allocating the radio resources to V2V communications becomes a challenging issue. In this respect, we propose a novel mode selection and resource reuse strategy to select the appropriate mode of operation and decide the amount of resource blocks (RBs) for V2V links in cellular and sidelink modes, where multiple V2V links may share the same radio resources. A simulation-based analysis is presented to assess the performance of the proposed solution. The simulation results have shown that the proposed strategy improves network performance in terms of achievable throughput, outage probability, latency, and resource utilization.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Vehicle-to-Vehicle (V2V) communication has recently attracted great interest in the wireless communications community. In this context, direct V2V communications refer to a radio technology that allows users in close proximity to establish a direct link, bypassing the base station and the network infrastructure. Many important operational benefits can be achieved through the use of direct V2V connectivity, particularly in network load balancing to avoid deterioration in the quality of service (QoS) as well as to achieve significant system improvements in terms of network capacity, data rate and power consumption [1].

Various solutions for V2V communication have been developed to ensure interoperability in information exchange among vehicles e.g., ad-hoc communications over the IEEE 802.11p standard [2]. However, there are several inherent limitations of the IEEE 802.11p technology due to the dynamic nature of the vehicular communications environment and the stringent quality of service (QoS) requirements, such as scalability issues, potentially unbounded channel access delay, difficulty to ensure QoS guarantees, etc. [3-6]. Moreover, due to its limited radio range and lack of pervasive roadside infrastructure, IEEE 802.11p networks can only provide intermittent and short connections between the vehicles and the infrastructure. To address these issues, 3GPP has already introduced a standardized system (LTE-V2X) that can operate in a wide geographical area and meet the requirements of V2X [7]. LTE-V2X has been designed for specific use cases [8], taking into account services and parameters defined in the first release of ETSI ITS [2] such as safety applications, traffic efficiency, and infotainment services. In turn, 5G V2X, referred to as enhanced V2X (eV2X), will address more advanced use cases such as cooperative intersection control, lane merging, and platooning, which have more stringent requirements [9].

In LTE-V2X, the V2V communications can be performed using two transmission modes, namely cellular mode and sidelink (SL) mode. The sidelink mode refers to the direct V2V communication that allows a User Equipment (UE) at a vehicle to communicate and transmit data directly to other UEs over the PC5 interface. Instead, the cellular mode uses the Uu interface (i.e. the radio interface between the UE and the base station) with a two-hop transmission via a base station, i.e. involving an uplink (UL) and a downlink (DL) transmission. The integration of the sidelink with cellular networks is a key technology to efficiently support V2V applications and to meet their latency requirements. It is worth mentioning that, although the support for V2X sidelink communications was already standardized in 3GPP for LTE [7], V2X sidelink is not yet included in the current release 15 of 5G New Radio (NR) specifications, but it is subject to study for current release 16 [10].

Based on the above considerations, this paper proposes a novel mode selection and resource reuse strategy to select the appropriate mode of operation and decide the amount of resource blocks (RBs) for V2V links in cellular and sidelink modes, where multiple V2V links may share the same radio resources. Such a strategy would be useful in order to face different challenges in terms of capacity, latency, reliability, and scalability caused by the increase in network size and demands for radio resources.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section II we present the relevant related works and summarize the contributions of the paper. Section III introduces the system model and assumptions. Section IV discusses the proposed solution. Section V presents the performance evaluation followed by the conclusions in Section VI.

II. RELATED WORKS

The widely deployed cellular network, assisted with device-to-device (D2D) communications, can provide a
promising solution to support V2V communications. There have been different considerable works that have been presented to explore mode selection and resource optimization in direct D2D communications taking into account the interference situation [11-13] when sharing the radio resources and the quality of the links for both cellular and D2D links [14-20]. In [11], joint mode selection, channel assignment, and power control in D2D communications are investigated. They proposed low-complexity algorithms aiming at maximizing the overall system throughput while guaranteeing the signal-to-noise-and-interference ratio of both D2D and cellular links according to different network loads. A holistic approach for D2D mode selection and interference alignment technique for interference management is proposed in [12]. Reference [13] proposed a joint D2D mode selection and resource allocation scheme in order to maximize the system sum rate while meeting the successive interference cancellation (SIC) decoding constraint. New mode selection schemes for D2D-enabled cellular communications are proposed and evaluated in [14-16]. The proposed scheme is based on D2D distance between given users to trigger the D2D mode. Similarly, [17] proposed a mode selection strategy to determine the mode of operation and allow D2D pairs to flexibly reuse the radio resources of cellular users to improve the quality of D2D links. The authors in [18] studied the mode selection problems in a multi-mode and multi-pair D2D network, where the eNB can assign one of the three D2D communication modes including local route mode, direct D2D mode, and relay D2D mode. An online learning technique that leverages combinatorial multi-armed bandits (CMAB) is proposed in [19] to tackle the combinatorial nature of the mode selection and resource allocation (MS&RA). In turn, [20] proposed a dynamic mode selection and subchannel allocation for an orthogonal frequency-division multiple access (OFDMA) cellular network with D2D communications to minimize the average end-to-end delay performance under the dropping probability constraint.

Although the above works have proposed different approaches for mode selection, none of them has considered jointly the mode selection between sidelink and cellular modes taking into account the quality of the links between V2V users in sidelink mode and between the base station and the vehicles in cellular mode, and the available resources. In this respect, in our previous work [21], we proposed a novel algorithm for mode selection that takes into account the quality of these links and the network traffic load situation.

Based on the above, the main contribution of this paper is the proposal and analysis of a novel mode selection to decide when it is appropriate to use one or the other mode for the involved vehicles and the amount of radio resources for each mode. The proposed mode selection strategy takes into account the quality of the links between V2V users in sidelink mode and between the base station and the vehicles in cellular mode, and the available resources. Different from [21], the proposed mode selection decides the amount of resources to be allocated to V2V links in each mode and allows reusing RBs between different SL users, provided that the interference constraints are met. Our proposed mode selection strategy targets to achieve further improvements in the network performance (i.e., in terms of resource utilization, V2X service latency, achievable data rate, and outage probability).

### III. SYSTEM MODEL

The considered scenario assumes a cellular Next Generation Radio Access Network (NG-RAN) with different gNodeBs (gNBs) deployed along a highway [22]. A roadside unit (RSU) supporting V2X communications is attached to each gNB. A flow of several independent vehicles move along a straight highway, as illustrated in Fig. 1. The highway segment is divided into sub-segments (clusters) by sectioning the road into smaller zones according to the length of the road. It is assumed that each vehicle includes a User Equipment (UE) that enables communication with the UEs in the rest of vehicles in the same cluster. Clusters are numbered as $j=1,\ldots,C$, and the vehicles in the $j$-th cluster are numbered as $i=1,\ldots,P(j)$. The vehicles in the highway are assumed to enter the cell coverage following a Poisson process with arrival rate $\lambda_v$. The association between clusters and vehicles is managed and maintained by the RSU based on different metrics (e.g., position, direction, speed, and link quality) through periodic exchange of status information.

This paper assumes that V2V communication between vehicles can be performed either in cellular or in sidelink mode. In cellular mode, each UE communicates with each other through the Uu interface in a two-hops transmission (i.e. uplink and downlink) via the gNB while in sidelink mode, direct V2V communications are established over the PC5 interface. We assume that, when sidelink transmissions are utilized, every vehicle can multicast the V2V messages directly to multiple vehicles of the same cluster $1 \leq i \leq V(j)$ using one-to-many technology.

The number of available RBs in UL and DL are denoted as $N^\text{UL}_{\text{res}}, N^\text{DL}_{\text{res}}$, respectively. Regarding the radio resources for V2V in uplink and sidelink, we assume that, like in LTE, the sidelink RBs are a subset of the uplink RBs and all clusters in SL get the resource blocks from the UL resource blocks.

![Fig. 1. The system model of the cellular network with sidelink V2V.](image)

### IV. PROPOSED SOLUTION

This paper considers a mode selection and RBs reuse strategy (MS-RBRBS), in which the system keeps track of the performance of both modes, i.e. SL and cellular, for each cluster in terms of the received signal and the availability of resources. The proposed algorithm operates periodically in time windows of duration $T$ and is detailed in the pseudo-code of Algorithm 1. We introduce an indicator $\alpha_i$ to reflect the operation mode of
the $j$-th cluster so that $\alpha_j=1$ if vehicles within the cluster operate in sidelink mode and $\alpha_j=0$ if they operate in cellular mode. The proposed strategy assumes that cluster $j$ is working in mode $\alpha_j$ at a given time window, and the algorithm determines the mode for the next time window. To make this decision, the proposed mode selection strategy considers the following conditions for cluster $j$:

1. It must ensure high signal quality by connecting V2V links to the mode with better signal-to-interference plus-noise ratios (SINRs). Let $\gamma_{ji}^{UL}(t)$ and $\gamma_{ji}^{DL}(t)$ denote the measured SINR for the $i$-th vehicle in the uplink and downlink of cellular mode, respectively, and $\gamma_{ji}^{SL}$ the SINR in the sidelink between the $i$-th and the $i'$-th vehicles of the $j$-th cluster. Each vehicle transmits the information about the received SINR from the gNB and other transmitting vehicles respectively to the gNB.

Then, the average SINR for each cluster of vehicles is computed for each mode of operation and compared to each other (line 4).

The average SINR for all the UEs in uplink and downlink transmissions within each cluster in a time window $T$ can be statistically estimated as follows:

$$\bar{\gamma}_j^x = \frac{1}{TV(j)(V(j)-1)} \sum_{i=1}^{V(j)} \sum_{i'=1}^{V(j)} \sum_{i''=1}^{V(j)} \sum_{i'''}^{V(j)} \gamma_{ji}^x(t)$$

where $x \in \{UL,DL\}$. As for the sidelink mode, since multicast technology is utilized to transmit the packets from vehicle $i$ to other vehicles within the cluster for $1 \leq i \leq V(j)$, $i' \neq i$, the average of SINR for all the UEs within cluster $j$ in a time window $T$ is calculated as:

$$\bar{\gamma}_j^{SL} = \frac{1}{TV(j)} \sum_{i=1}^{V(j)} \gamma_{ji}^{SL}(t)$$

2. The V2V links to be established in sidelink or cellular mode must ensure that the amount of required RBs by cluster $j$ is less than the number of RBs available for the mode in which the vehicles are to be switched and operated. i.e., for every $j=1,...,C$, the following condition is checked (lines 6, 10):

$$\Gamma_j^x \leq N_{RB}^x - \rho^x$$

where $N_{RB}^x$ is the total number of RBs in the link $x \in \{UL,DL\}$. $\rho^x$ is the total number of RBs that have been already allocated to link $x \in \{UL,DL,SL\}$ by the algorithm 1 (lines 2,8,9,12). $\Gamma_j^x$ is the average number of required RBs from V2X users in cluster $j$ in link $x \in \{UL,DL,SL\}$ over the time window $T$, given by:

$$\Gamma_j^x = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{V(j)} m(j,i,t) \cdot S_m}{T \cdot SP_{eff,x} \cdot B \cdot T}$$

where $m(j,i,t)$ is the number of transmitted packets by the vehicles of the $j$-th cluster at each time $t$ within the time window $T$, $SP_{eff,x}$ is the spectral efficiency associated to the modulation and coding scheme to be used in the $x$ link, $T_s$ is the TTI duration and $B$ the bandwidth per RB.

### Algorithm 1: Mode selection and RBs reuse scheme

1. Inputs:
   - $N_{RB}^x$: Number of RBs in the link $x \in \{UL,DL\}$.
   - $C$: number of clusters.
   - $V(j)$: vehicles in cluster $j$
     - $\gamma_{ji}^{UL}$, $\gamma_{ji}^{DL}$, $\gamma_{ji}^{SL}$: for each mode of operation and compared to each other (line 4).

2. Initialization: $\rho^x = 0$

3. For each cluster $j=1,...,C$

4. Compute the average value of $\bar{\gamma}_j^{UL}$, $\bar{\gamma}_j^{DL}$, $\bar{\gamma}_j^{SL}$ among all vehicles within cluster $j$ using eqs. (1) and (2);

5. If $\min(\bar{\gamma}_j^{UL}, \bar{\gamma}_j^{DL}) > \bar{\gamma}_j^{SL}$

6. If $\Gamma_j^x \leq N_{RB}^x - \rho^x$

7. $\alpha_j = 0$  { cluster $j$ operates in cellular mode}

8. $\rho^UL = \rho^UL + \Gamma_j^UL$

9. $\rho^DL = \rho^DL + \Gamma_j^DL$

10. Else If $\Gamma_j^{SL} \leq N_{RB}^x - \rho^UL$

11. $\alpha_j = 1$  { cluster $j$ operates in sidelink mode}

12. $\rho^SL = \rho^SL + \Gamma_j^{SL}$

13. Else

14. {Check for RBs reuse in SL}

15. $\alpha_j = 1$  { cluster $j$ operates in SL mode}

16. Find the cluster $k$ with max $d_s$  {check that the required RBs by cluster $j$ are available in UL}

17. Compute $\gamma_{j,k}$ between the worst-case positions in clusters $k$ and $j$

18. If $\gamma_{j,k} \geq \gamma_{TH}$

19. RBs can be reused in both clusters in SL

20. Else

21. RBs can not be reused in both clusters in SL

22. End

23. Else

24. Repeat steps 10 - 22

25. End

26. End

27. Output: $\alpha_j = 1,...,C$. Number of RBs for UL = $\rho^UL$, Number of RBs for SL = $\rho^SL$.

3. In order for the V2V links within cluster $j$ in SL mode to reuse other radio resources used by other V2V links in cluster $k=1,...,C$, $k \neq j$ operating in SL mode (cluster $k$ with $\alpha_k=1$), it must be ensured that the SINR between cluster $j$ and cluster $k$
is higher than a threshold (i.e., \( \gamma_j \geq \gamma_m \)). Since the positions of the transmitters and receivers will change dynamically, in order to compute the SINR between cluster \( j \) and cluster \( k \) on a long-term basis that is valid for any condition, let us assume the worst case situation between any transmitter of cluster \( j \) and any receiver of cluster \( k \) (i.e., the positions of the vehicles that lead to lowest SINR). The worst case positions are denoted as WP1 and WP2 and shown in Fig. 1. Based on this, the SINR between cluster \( j \) and cluster \( k \), \( \gamma_j^{\text{th}} \), is calculated as follows:

\[
\gamma_{j,k} = \frac{P_r G_r G_a}{L_{j,k}(P_n + I_{j,k})}
\]

where \( P_r \) is the transmitted power in one RB, \( G_r \) is the antenna gain of the transmitter, \( G_a \) is the antenna gain of the receiver, \( L_{j,k} \) is the path loss at distance \( d_{j,k} \), and \( P_n \) is the power of the additive white Gaussian noise (AWGN). \( I_{j,k} \) is the interference power received by the interfered receiver of cluster \( j \) from the interferer transmitter of cluster \( k \) in the worst case positions WP1 and WP2.

Based on the above conditions, the mode selection criterion in Algorithm 1 is as follows: if the average values of SINR for both uplink and downlink in the \( j \)-th cluster are higher than the average value of SINR for SL (i.e., \( \min (\overline{\gamma}_{j}^{\text{UL}}, \overline{\gamma}_{j}^{\text{DL}}) > \gamma_j^{\text{th}} \)), the algorithm will move on to check that there are sufficient physical resources to be used for serving the V2V links in cellular mode before taking the decision for switching (lines 5,6). If one of the conditions is not satisfied, the cluster will stay in sidelink mode (\( \alpha=1 \)) (lines 11,14). Besides, when there are no more available RBs left for data transmissions, the algorithm allows users of cluster \( j \) to reuse the resources of V2V links within cluster \( k \) (i.e., where \( k \) refers to the cluster with maximum distance \( d_{j,k} \) from cluster \( j \)) if the minimum requirement of the SINR (i.e., \( \gamma_{j,k} \geq \gamma_m \)) is met. Otherwise, the system will be in outage conditions.

### V. PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

Our simulation model is based on two cells configured with two gNBs. Each gNB handles one cell with a channel organized in 80 RBs for UL and 80 RBs for DL. Each RB is composed of 12 subcarriers with subcarrier separation \( \Delta f = 30 \) kHz, which corresponds to one of the 5G NR numerologies defined in [23]. The model considers vehicular UEs communicating through cellular mode (uplink / downlink) and via sidelink (direct V2V). The users move along a 2-lane highway and are assumed to enter the cell coverage following a Poisson process with arrival rate \( \lambda_v \). All relevant system and simulation parameters are summarized in Table I. The presented evaluation results intend to assess and illustrate the performance of the proposed solution in terms of latency, packet success rate and RB utilization. As a reference for comparison, we assume a mode selection strategy denoted as “Mode Selection Signal- Based (MSSSB)”, which takes into account only the quality of the links and is inspired from the work in [21].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cell radius</td>
<td>500m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of RBs per cell</td>
<td>( \mathcal{N}_{\text{UL}} = 80 ) RBs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency</td>
<td>5.9 GHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \Delta f )</td>
<td>30 kHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Path loss model</td>
<td>The path loss and the LOS probability for cellular mode are modeled as in [24]. In sidelink mode, all V2V links are modeled based on freeway case (WINNER+B1) with hexagonal layout [ITU-R] [25].</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height of the gNB</td>
<td>10m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Base station receiver noise figure</td>
<td>9 dB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spectral efficiency model to map SINR.</td>
<td>Model in section A.1 of [26]. The maximum spectral efficiency is 1 b/s/Hz.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shadowing standard deviation</td>
<td>3 dB in LOS and 4 dB in NLOS.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Base station antenna gain</td>
<td>5 dB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of the highway</td>
<td>3Km</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of lanes</td>
<td>2 in one direction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lane width</td>
<td>4 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size of clusters</td>
<td>12 per cell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size of cluster</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicular UE height</td>
<td>1.5m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transmitted power per RB</td>
<td>23 dBm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UE antenna gain</td>
<td>3 dB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle speed</td>
<td>80 Km/h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UE Noise power ( P_n )</td>
<td>-114 dBm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle arrival rate ( \lambda_v )</td>
<td>Varied from 1 to 8 vehicles/s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Packet arrival rate ( \lambda_p )</td>
<td>1 packets/s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Message size ( (S_m) )</td>
<td>{400, 800, 1200} bytes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TTI duration ( (T_s) )</td>
<td>1ms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time window ( T )</td>
<td>3s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \gamma_{\text{TH}} )</td>
<td>14 dB</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 2 depicts the throughput delivered in Kbits/sec for V2X service aggregated for sidelink and uplink in each cell. The figure illustrates the behavior of the proposed solution and the MSSSB reference scheme. Results are presented for different vehicle arrival rates and message sizes. Here, we can observe that the proposed solution outperforms the MSSSB reference scheme in terms of throughput. The proposed scheme achieved throughput of 451 kb/s in uplink when the vehicle arrival rate \( \lambda_v \) is 8 vehicles/s and the message size is 1200 bytes. For the MSSSB reference scheme, the throughput is only 402 Kb/s in uplink (i.e., proposed approach achieves a relative gain of 12 %). The reason comes from the fact that, when the vehicle arrival rate \( \lambda_v \) of V2X UEs is increased, more users will use the network and this will
increase the number of V2X packets and request more RBs to be used in transmissions. Then, in this situation, the proposed solution ensures more RBs for transmitting data by taking advantage from the reuse of RBs for other SL V2V links that meet the interference requirements, while the MSSB reference scheme does not exploit this reuse.

In Fig. 3, we investigate the probability of having outage at a certain point in time (i.e., the probability that there are no sufficient RBs to serve all the transmission requests). The outage probability of the proposed solution and reference scheme are plotted against the V2X vehicle arrival rate \( \lambda_v \). We can clearly observe that, increasing the traffic load, leads to an increase in the outage probability. It can be also noted that our proposed strategy can substantially reduce the probability of having outage thanks to the resource reuse that guarantees the availability of more resources.

Fig. 2. Aggregated UL and SL throughput as a function of the V2X UE arrival rate \( \lambda_v \) (vehicles/s).

Fig. 3. Outage probability vs V2X UE arrival rate \( \lambda_v \) (vehicles/s).

Fig. 4 illustrates the average latency for V2X service caused by channel access delay and the transmission delay. Latency is measured as the time spent by a packet in the system, from the time it is generated until it has been transmitted. We can clearly observe from Fig. 4 that the average latency increases for all the approaches when vehicle arrival rate \( \lambda_v \) is increased, because more vehicles will use the network and request more RBs to be used for the transmissions. This causes an increase in the waiting time and therefore increases the latency. From the presented results, we notice that the proposed solution reduces the latency compared to MSSB reference scheme.

Fig. 4. Average Latency vs V2X UE arrival rate \( \lambda_v \) (vehicles/s).

Fig. 5 presents the RB utilization for V2X service in the UL in each cell as a function of the vehicle arrival rate \( \lambda_v \) for V2X users. The figure shows that there is a marked increase in RBs utilization for all the approaches when vehicle arrival rate is increased. The figure also shows that our proposed solution maintains the same RBs utilization as the MSSB reference scheme in different load scenarios (note that the results for the two schemes are overlapped in the figure). This is because the proposed approach does not allocate additional RBs to serve the traffic and improves the performance in terms of throughput, probability of outage, and latency, but reuses other radio resources used by other SL V2V links that meet the interference requirements.

Fig. 5. Uplink RB utilization as a function of the V2X UE arrival rate \( \lambda_v \) (vehicles/s).

VI. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we have proposed a novel mode selection and resource reuse strategy for V2V communications to decide, on the one hand, when it is appropriate to select sidelink or cellular modes and, on the other hand, the amount of resources to be allocated to V2V links in each mode. The proposed approach takes into account the quality of the links between V2V users in sidelink mode and between the base station and the vehicles in cellular mode, as well as the available resources. In addition, the proposed approach allows V2V links in SL to reuse RBs that have been reassigned to V2V links within other clusters operating in SL if a minimum signal to noise and interference ratio requirement is met.
Extensive simulations were conducted to validate and analyse the performance of our proposed solution. This strategy has been compared against a reference approach that considers only the quality of the links. Simulation results have shown the capability of the proposed algorithm to allocate the resources efficiently and improve the network performance in terms of throughput, outage probability and latency.
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