Competing Ultrafast Energy Relaxation Pathways in Photoexcited Graphene
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ABSTRACT: For most optoelectronic applications of graphene, a thorough understanding of the processes that govern energy relaxation of photoexcited carriers is essential. The ultrafast energy relaxation in graphene occurs through two competing pathways: carrier–carrier scattering, creating an elevated carrier temperature, and optical phonon emission. At present, it is not clear what determines the dominating relaxation pathway. Here we reach a unifying picture of the ultrafast energy relaxation by investigating the terahertz photoconductivity, while varying the Fermi energy, photon energy and fluence over a wide range. We find that sufficiently low fluence ($\lesssim 4 \mu J/cm^2$) in conjunction with sufficiently high Fermi energy ($\gtrsim 0.1 eV$) gives rise to energy relaxation that is dominated by carrier–carrier scattering, which leads to efficient carrier heating. Upon increasing the fluence or decreasing the Fermi energy, the carrier heating efficiency decreases, presumably due to energy relaxation that becomes increasingly dominated by phonon emission. Carrier heating through carrier–carrier scattering accounts for the negative photoconductivity for doped graphene observed at terahertz frequencies. We present a simple model that reproduces the data for a wide range of Fermi levels and excitation energies and allows us to qualitatively assess how the branching ratio between the two distinct relaxation pathways depends on excitation fluence and Fermi energy.
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Graphene is a promising material for, among others, photosensing and photovoltaic applications, owing to its broadband absorption, its high carrier mobility, and the ability to create a photovoltage from heated electrons or holes. It furthermore uniquely allows for electrical control of the carrier density and polarity. To establish the potential and limitations of graphene-based optoelectronic devices, a thorough understanding of the ultrafast (subpicosecond) primary energy relaxation dynamics of photoexcited carriers is essential. For undoped graphene (with Fermi energy $E_F \approx 0$), ultrafast energy relaxation through interband carrier–carrier scattering was predicted and observed to lead to multiple electron–hole pair excitation. For doped graphene (with Fermi energy $|E_F| > 0$), ultrafast energy relaxation through carrier–carrier interaction also plays an important role, with intraband scattering leading to carrier heating. In addition, the ultrafast energy relaxation was ascribed to optical phonon emission, which reduces the carrier heating efficiency. Closely related, for undoped graphene the sign of the terahertz (THz) photoconductivity is positive (see, e.g., refs 16–19), whereas for intrinsically doped graphene the sign is negative, meaning that photoexcitation gives rise to an apparent decrease of conductivity. This negative photoconductivity was attributed to stimulated THz emission and to a reduction of the intrinsic conductivity by enhanced scattering with optical phonons or by carrier heating. Because the experimental parameters of all these studies differ strongly, different conclusions were drawn regarding the dominating energy relaxation pathway and the origin of the sign of the THz photoconductivity.

Here, we reach a unifying picture of the energy relaxation of photoexcited carriers in graphene by experimentally studying the ultrafast energy relaxation of photoexcited carriers for a large parameter space, where we vary the Fermi energy and the fluence. We compare the data with a simple model of carrier heating, which quantitatively reproduces the frequency-dependent THz photoconductivity for a large range of Fermi energies and fluences with a single free fit parameter. This fit parameter is the carrier heating efficiency, that is, the fraction of absorbed energy from incident light that is transferred to the electron system. Although the THz photoconductivity is not a direct probe of the carrier temperature, and the model parameters
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Figure 1. Energy relaxation dynamics—dependence on Fermi energy and fluence. (a) The time-resolved photoconductivity for nine different gate voltages \( V - V_g = -70, -60, -50, -40, -30, -20, -15, -10, \) and 0 V, that is, for decreasing Fermi energy \( E_F \) or intrinsic carrier concentration \( N_{int} \) (sample with controllable Fermi energy). The photoconductivity is extracted from the measured change in THz transmission after photoexcitation with 1500 nm light (excitation power corresponds to \( N_{exc} = 0.8 \times 10^{12} \) absorbed photons/cm\(^2\)), using the thin film approximation as in ref 11. The inset shows the geometry of the sample, as explained in the text. The photoconductivity is negative for all traces, except for the one closest to the Dirac point, where it is positive. The solid lines are fits with an effective rise time \( \tau_{rise} \) and an exponential decay time (see Methods). (b) The time-resolved photoconductivity for excitation with 800 nm light at six different excitation powers, corresponding to absorbed photon densities of \( N_{exc} = 0.26, 0.50, 1.2, 2.5, 5.0, \) and \( 7.6 \times 10^{12} \) photons/cm\(^2\) (sample with fixed Fermi energy). The geometry of the sample with a fixed Fermi energy of \( E_F \sim 0.1 \) eV (see Supporting Information) is shown in the inset and explained in the text. The photoconductivity is negative and increases for increasing excitation power, as the carrier temperature increases. The solid lines are fits with an effective rise time \( \tau_{rise} \) and an exponential decay time (see Methods). (c) The photoconductivity normalized to the peak of the signal (sample with controllable Fermi energy), showing that decreasing the Fermi energy leads to slower rise dynamics (i.e., slower energy relaxation). The fitted values for \( \tau_{rise} \) as a function of \( N_{exc} \) are shown in the inset, together with the theoretically predicted energy relaxation time based on ref 10 (scaled by a factor 1.8) and the experimental time resolution (horizontal dashed line). The schematics in the top left show the ultrafast energy relaxation of a photoexcited carrier for two different Fermi energies, according to the carrier heating process described in refs 10 and 11. (d) The photoconductivity normalized to the peak of the signal (sample with fixed Fermi energy), showing that increasing the excitation power leads to slower rise dynamics. The fitted values for \( \tau_{rise} \) as a function of \( N_{exc} \) are shown in the inset together with the experimental time resolution (horizontal dashed line).

We study the ultrafast carrier-energy relaxation using time-resolved THz spectroscopy experiments, where an excitation pulse with a wavelength of 400, 800, or 1500 nm (corresponding to a photon energy of \( E_\nu = 3.10, 1.55, \) or \( 0.83 \) eV, respectively) excites electron–hole pairs, and a lower-energy terahertz (THz, \( f = 0.3–2 \) THz) probe pulse interrogates the sample, where it interacts with mobile carriers. An optical delay line controls the relative time delay between excitation and probe pulses, making it possible to determine the photoinduced change in conductivity (the photoconductivity \( \Delta \sigma \)) as a function of time with a time resolution of \( \sim 150 \) fs.

We use two different samples: The first sample, where the graphene has a controllable Fermi energy, contains chemical vapor deposition (CVD) grown graphene with an area of 1 cm\(^2\) transferred onto a substrate that consists of doped silicon, covered by a 300 nm thick layer of SiO\(_2\). The silicon serves as the backgate for electrical control of the Fermi energy (carrier doping) of the graphene sheet from \( E_F = 0.3 \) eV down to \( -0.06 \) eV (the width of the neutrality region is \( \sim 0.06 \) eV, see Supporting Information). We use weakly doped silicon (resistivity of 10–20 \( \Omega \) cm) and sufficiently low pump energy (0.83 eV) to ensure that the photoinduced signal from the silicon in the substrate (without graphene) is minimized (see Supporting Information). Two silver-pasted electrical contacts to the graphene sheet enable resistance measurements to retrieve the Fermi energy through the capacitive coupling of the backgate (see Supporting Information). The second sample contains CVD grown graphene with an area of a square inch transferred onto SiO\(_2\) with a fixed Fermi level of \( <0.15 \) eV (see Supporting Information). We carefully measure the fluence \( F \) as described in ref 24 and use the experimentally determined optical absorption of graphene on SiO\(_2\) using standard UV–vis spectrometry to obtain the absorbed fluence \( F_{abs} \) and from this the number of absorbed photons \( N_{exc} = F_{abs}/E_\nu \) which is equal to the number of primary excited carriers.

To investigate the ultrafast (subpicosecond) energy relaxation dynamics of photoexcited carriers, we first examine the temporal evolution of the photoconductivity. We show in Figure 1a the dynamics for a range of Fermi energies using the sample with controllable Fermi energy and in Figure 1b the dynamics for a range of fluences using the sample with fixed
Fermi energy. We find that all traces, except the one with Fermi energy within the neutrality region width ($E_F < 0.06 \text{ eV}$), show negative photoconductivity with a subpicosecond rise, followed by a picosecond decay. Similar pump–probe dynamics have been observed before$^{1,16–22}$ and can be understood as follows: During the rise of the signal three main processes take place: (i) the creation of initial electron–hole pairs; and subsequent ultrafast energy relaxation through two competing relaxation channels, namely (ii) carrier–carrier scattering and (iii) optical phonon emission. Thus, the peak signal corresponds to a “hot state” with an elevated carrier temperature $T_{cd}$ and/or more energy in optical phonons.$^{8,10–15}$ During the subsequent picosecond decay, the hot state cools down to the same state as before photoexcitation. The fraction of absorbed energy that after the initial ultrafast energy relaxation ends up in the electron system or in the phonon system depends on the time scales associated with carrier heating and phonon emission, respectively.

The ultrafast energy relaxation takes place during the first few hundred femtoseconds after photoexcitation, that is, during the rise of the conductivity change. Figure 1c,d shows the normalized photoconductivity signals for this time window. We will discuss the evolution of the signal amplitude as a function of Fermi energy and fluence later. First we note that the rise dynamics exhibit an intriguing effect: upon decreasing the Fermi energy (i.e., the density of intrinsic carriers $N_{int}$) or increasing the fluence (i.e., the density of primary excited carriers $N_{exc}$) the signal peak is reached at increasingly later times. To quantify these results, we describe the dynamics using two rise times and an exponential decay time. The two rise times allow for part of the conductivity change to occur with the (fixed) experimental time resolution and part with a (free) slower time scale. We then examine the effective rise time $\tau_{\text{rise}}$ which is the amplitude-weighted average of the two (see Methods). The insets of Figure 1c,d show $\tau_{\text{rise}}$ as a function of $N_{int}$ and $N_{exc}$, respectively. Indeed, for decreasing $N_{int}$ and increasing $N_{exc}$ the effective rise time increases from below 200 fs (limited by the experimental time resolution) up to 400 fs (for $E_F < 0.1 \text{ eV}$).

The slowing down of the ultrafast energy relaxation of photoexcited carriers with decreasing Fermi energy is consistent with energy relaxation through intraband carrier–carrier scattering (see bottom right inset in Figure 1c). The microscopic picture of this scattering process is shown for two different Fermi energies in the top left inset of Figure 1c. Photoexcited carriers relax by exchanging energy with intrinsic conduction band carriers that thus heat up. The amount of energy that is exchanged between photoexcited carriers and intrinsic conduction band carriers in each intraband carrier–carrier scattering event is $\sim E_p$. Therefore, if $E_p$ decreases more energy-exchange events are required for the photoexcited carriers to complete their energy relaxation cascade and therefore the relaxation time will increase (see bottom right inset of Figure 1c). If the energy relaxation through carrier–carrier scattering would slow down in such a way that the relaxation rate becomes comparable to the rate of other relaxation channels (e.g., optical phonon emission), we expect these channels to start contributing to the overall energy relaxation. This would lead to a decrease in the fraction of energy that is transferred to the electron system, that is, a reduced carrier heating efficiency.

We quantify the fraction of absorbed energy that leads to carrier heating by comparing our THz photoconductivity data with the results of a simple thermodynamic model. In short, carrier heating leads to a broader carrier distribution (higher $T_{cd}$), which in combination with an energy-dependent scattering time$^{25,26}$ leads to the photoinduced change in THz conductivity (see Methods). The carrier heating is governed by the amount of absorbed energy $E_{abs}$ and the electronic heat capacity of graphene, which for a degenerate electron gas is given by $C_d = \alpha T_{cd}$. Here $\alpha = [(2\pi E_F)/(3\hbar^2 \nu_F^2)]k_B$, with $\hbar$, $\nu_F$, and $k_B$ the reduced Planck constant, the Fermi velocity, and Boltzmann’s constant, respectively. The possibility of controlling the Fermi energy of graphene thus allows for tunability of the heat capacity (see Figure 2a), which in turn determines the “hot” carrier temperature $T_{cd}$ that the system reaches. Figure 2b
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shows that $T_d$ is equivalently determined by both the fluence and the Fermi energy.

To calculate the hot carrier temperature we use a basic numerical approach, which produces a heat capacity in the degenerate regime that corresponds well with the analytical heat capacity (see Figure 2a), while remaining valid for nondegenerate electron temperatures ($k_B T_d > E_F$). The numerical approach is based on the concept that before photoexcitation there is a known amount of energy in the electronic system, $E_{fi} = \int_0^{\infty} d\epsilon \rho(\epsilon) F(E_F, T_d)$, and a known number of carriers in the conduction band, $N_{int} = \int_0^{\infty} d\epsilon \rho(\epsilon) F(E_F, T_d)$, where $D(\epsilon)$ is the energy-dependent density of states and $F(E_F, T_d)$ is the Fermi–Dirac distribution that depends on Fermi energy $E_F$ and carrier temperature $T_d$.

Because of optical excitation, an amount of energy $F_{abs}$ is absorbed in the graphene and a fraction $\eta$ of this energy ends up in the electronic system through intraband carrier–carrier scattering. After intraband heating is complete, the system is then described by the following set of equations: $E_{fi} = E_{fi} + \eta F_{abs} = \int_0^{\infty} d\epsilon \rho(\epsilon) F(E_F, T_d)$, and (conserving the number of conduction band carriers) $N_{int} = \int_0^{\infty} d\epsilon \rho(\epsilon) F(E_F, T_d)$. Here $E_F$ and $T_d$ are the chemical potential and the carrier temperature in the hot state, respectively. Carrier heating thus alters the carrier distribution, where we find by numerically solving the equations for $\rho(\epsilon)$ and $N_{int}$ that the carrier temperature increases and the chemical potential decreases upon photoexcitation (see inset of Figure 2c and Methods). The photoinduced increase of carrier temperature and the associated decrease in chemical potential were experimentally confirmed recently.\(^\text{13}\)

The hot carrier distribution, with $E_{fi}$ and $T_d$ calculated using the carrier heating model, directly leads to negative THz photoconductivity (see Methods), which scales linearly with carrier temperature up to $\sim 2000 \text{ K}$ and then shows some saturation behavior (Figure 2c). We test the validity of our carrier heating model by comparing its predictions for the frequency-resolved photoconductivity with our experimental results for the sample with fixed Fermi energy. In Figure 3a, we show this comparison for a fluence of $\sim 12 \mu \text{J/cm}^2$ (pump wavelength 800 nm, $N_{exc} = 1 \times 10^{12}$ absorbed photons/cm$^2$). We compare the data (at the time delay that corresponds to the signal peak) with the model result for a ground state Fermi energy of 0.11 eV and a scattering time proportionality constant of 200 fs/eV (extracted from the Raman spectrum, THz conductivity measurements on the same sample without photoexcitation, and the saturation value of the THz photoconductivity at high fluence; see also Supporting Information) and find good agreement with a heating efficiency of $\eta = 0.75$. The small discrepancies between data and model can be ascribed to artifacts that arise from the temporal change of the photoconductivity during the interaction with the THz pulse,\(^\text{28}\) although we largely avoid these by moving the optical pump delay line simultaneously with the THz probe delay line.

The overall agreement between data and model shows that the observed negative THz photoconductivity of intrinsically doped graphene\(^\text{11,16,17,20–22}\) can be fully reproduced by considering intraband carrier heating, which reduces the thermally averaged conductivity of the intrinsic carriers. Despite the simplicity of the model, it can also explain the experimental results in ref 21 using their experimental parameters, as well as the results in ref 20, by letting the environmental gas change the Fermi energy. These observations lead us to conclude that, despite some uncertainty in the determination of the Fermi energy and the scattering time, the model is suitable for obtaining reliable qualitative indications on how the carrier heating efficiency depends on the Fermi energy and the fluence.

We now examine the “high fluence” regime using $N_{exc} = 8 \times 10^{12}$ absorbed photons/cm$^2$ (a fluence of $\sim 100 \mu \text{J/cm}^2$) in Figure 3b. This corresponds to the regime where the energy relaxation is slower than the experimental time resolution (see Figure 1d). Here we find that we can only describe the data with a significantly reduced carrier heating efficiency of $\eta \approx 0.5$ (keeping the ground state Fermi energy and scattering time proportionality constant the same as in the low fluence regime). Combined, these results show that at sufficiently low fluence, a large fraction of the absorbed energy ends up in the electron system, that is, the ultrafast energy relaxation occurs through efficient (and fast) carrier–carrier scattering. However, upon increasing the fluence (i.e., the carrier temperature), the relative amount of energy transferred to the electron system decreases, which means that carrier–carrier scattering becomes less efficient (and slower) and other relaxation processes start to contribute.

To determine in more detail how the carrier heating efficiency depends on fluence, we study the peak photo-
results of the carrier heating model for the same parameters as in Figure 3a and a frequency of 0.7 THz. For low fluences (up to \( \sim 4 \mu J/cm^2 \), corresponding to \( N_{exc} \sim 0.3 \times 10^{12} \) absorbed photons/cm\(^2\), 800 nm excitation, \( \sim 2\% \) absorption) the experimental data are in agreement with the heating model with a fixed heating efficiency of \( \eta = 1 \). We notice that the calculated photoconductivity shows saturation behavior with \( N_{exc} \) even for constant \( \eta \). This is related to the nonlinear dependence of the THz photoconductivity on carrier temperature (Figure 2c). Interestingly, at fluences above \( \sim 4 \mu J/cm^2 \) the experimental photoconductivity starts saturating and the model is only in agreement for a heating efficiency that gradually decreases to \( \sim 50\% \) for the highest fluences applied here (Figure 4a). These observations suggest that once a certain carrier temperature (\( \sim 4000 \) K, see inset Figure 4b) is reached, the heating efficiency decreases. Interestingly, the experimental data for excitation with 400 nm light start deviating from the model (with efficient heating) at \( N_{exc} \sim 0.15 \times 10^{12} \) absorbed photons/cm\(^2\) (Figure 4b), instead of \( \sim 0.3 \times 10^{12} \) absorbed photons/cm\(^2\) in the case of excitation with 800 nm light. This is because each 400 nm photon has twice the energy of a 800 nm photon. Thus, in both cases the carrier heating efficiency starts decreasing around the same carrier temperature.

We now determine how the heating efficiency depends on the Fermi energy by measuring the peak photoconductivity for the sample with controllable Fermi energy as a function of both excitation power and Fermi energy. The combined results (for excitation at 1500 nm) are represented in Figure 5a, where we show the peak photoconductivity as a function of gate voltage for five different excitation powers at excitation wavelength 1500 nm. The corresponding excitation density \( N_{exc} \) is given in units of \( 10^{12} \) absorbed photons/cm\(^2\). At gate voltages away from the Dirac point the photoconductivity is negative, while it changes sign upon approaching the Dirac point, in agreement with very recent observations.16,17 (b) The peak photoconductivity as a function of excitation power (\( N_{exc} \)) for three gate voltages, corresponding to \( N_{int} = 1, 2, \) and \( 3 \times 10^{12} \) carriers/cm\(^2\) (light to dark blue). The solid lines show the results of the model described in the text and the Methods section with a fixed heating efficiency. For a decreasing number of intrinsic carriers (lower Fermi energy) the carrier heating efficiency decreases, in agreement with the slowing down of the rise with decreasing Fermi energy. The symbol size of the data in panels a and b represents the error.
of \(\sim 50\ \text{fs/eV}\) and a carrier heating efficiency of \(\eta = 1\). However, for the lowest \(N_{\text{exc}}\) which corresponds to a Fermi energy of \(\sim 0.1\ \text{eV}\), we find a carrier heating efficiency of \(\sim 20\%\), using the same energy-dependent scattering time. These results are in good agreement with the observed slowdown of the rise dynamics with decreasing Fermi energy in Figure 1b.

Comparing the data and the heating model leads to the following physical picture of the ultrafast energy relaxation in graphene: Until a certain carrier temperature is reached (\(\sim 4000\ \text{K}\)), the ultrafast energy relaxation is dominated by carrier–carrier scattering, which leads to efficient and fast (\(\sim 150\ \text{fs}\)) carrier heating. Once this carrier temperature is reached, the relaxation slows down and the carrier heating efficiency decreases, as ultrafast energy relaxation occurs through additional pathways involving optical phonon emission.\(^{14}\) The reduction in heating efficiency that follows from the macroscopic heating model can be explained using the microscopic picture of intraband carrier–carrier scattering, as put forward in refs 10 and 11. At increased electron temperatures, the (quasi-equilibrium) Fermi energy decreases (see Methods), which means that the electronic heat capacity decreases. It furthermore implies that the amount of energy that is exchanged in intraband carrier–carrier scattering events (\(\sim E_F\)) decreases. Therefore, energy relaxation of a photoexcited carrier requires an increasing number of intraband carrier–carrier scattering cascade steps. Thus, for an increasing carrier temperature, energy relaxation through intraband carrier heating slows down.

The physical picture of carrier-temperature dependent ultrafast energy relaxation of photoexcited carriers in graphene unites the conclusions of a large fraction of the existing literature on this topic. For example, in ref 14 with excitation in the “high fluence” regime (\(\sim 10^{14}\) absorbed photons/cm\(^2\) at 800 nm) it was concluded from the experimentally measured carrier temperature that only part of the absorbed light energy ends up in the electronic system, whereas the rest couples to optical phonons. An ultrafast optical pump–probe study employing a fluence of 200 \(\mu\text{J/cm}^2\),\(^{13}\) also in the high fluence regime, similarly demonstrated optical phonon mediated relaxation, in addition to carrier heating. By measuring in the “low fluence” regime (a few microjoules), ref 11 concluded that the ultrafast energy relaxation was dominated by carrier heating. Furthermore, two very recent optical pump–THz probe studies\(^{16,17}\) both used an excited carrier density of \(N_{\text{exc}} \approx 1 \times 10^{12}\) absorbed photon/cm\(^2\) at 800 nm and ascribe their observed negative THz photoconductivity (partially) to carrier heating.

In conclusion, we provide a unifying explanation of the ultrafast energy relaxation of photoexcited carriers in graphene. For sufficiently low excitation power and sufficiently high Fermi energy, the relaxation is dominated by carrier–carrier scattering, which leads to efficient generation of hot carriers. This regime typically persists up to a fluence of \(\sim 4\ \mu\text{J/cm}^2\) (or \(N_{\text{exc}} = 0.3 \times 10^{12}\) absorbed 800 nm photons/cm\(^2\)) for a Fermi energy of 0.1 eV. For larger Fermi energy, a higher fluence can be used without significant reduction in heating efficiency. In the case of lower Fermi energy and/or a higher fluence, the heating efficiency will decrease due to slower intraband carrier–carrier scattering and additional energy relaxation channels involving optical phonon emission. This opens up the possibility to control the pathway of ultrafast energy relaxation, that is, the ability to tune the efficiency of energy transfer from the primary excited carriers to electronic heat or to alternative degrees of freedom, such as lattice heat. Such tunability is useful for future applications, for instance in the field of photo-detection, where hot carriers are the dominant source of photocurrent generation.\(^{6}\) Finally, we note that the terrestrial solar radiation (on the order of a \(\text{pg/cm}^2\) during a 10 ps time scale) corresponds to the low fluence regime with efficient carrier heating, which is therefore the relevant process to consider for photovoltaic applications.

**Methods. Photoconductivity from Carrier Heating Model.** We use a numerical model based on carrier heating to calculate the complex photoconductivity of photoexcited graphene. The frequency-dependent conductivity of graphene is generally given by\(^{26}\)

\[
\sigma(\omega) = \frac{\varepsilon}{\pi \varepsilon_0} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{dF(E_F, T) \tau_{\text{scatter}}(E)}{1 - i \omega \tau_{\text{scatter}}(E)} \text{d}E
\]

with \(\varepsilon\) as the elementary charge, \(\nu_F\) is the Fermi velocity, \(D(\varepsilon) = 2\varepsilon/(\hbar^2 \nu_F^2)\) is the density of states, \(\hbar\) is the reduced Planck constant, \(\tau_{\text{scatter}}(\varepsilon)\) is the energy-dependent momentum scattering time, and \(F(E_F, T)\) is the Fermi–Dirac distribution that is determined by the Fermi level and the carrier temperature. For unexcited graphene we use the steady state Fermi level \(E_F\) and the ambient temperature \(T_0\). We furthermore use a scattering time that is determined by charged impurity scattering and increases linearly with energy \(E\).\(^{25,26}\) After electron–hole pair excitation of graphene, photoexcited carriers can interact with the intrinsic carriers, leading to intraband thermalization (see also main text); the carrier temperature increases to \(T_F\) and the chemical potential decreases to \(E_F\). The reason for the decrease of the chemical potential is the linear scaling of the \(D(\varepsilon)\) with energy; a broader Fermi level \(E_F\) and the chemical potential decreases to \(E_F\). Our model takes into account the effect of intraband carrier heating on the photoconductivity through the carrier distribution, which is sufficient to explain the observed negative photoconductivity\(^{11,16,17,20–22}\) and the dependence on excitation power and Fermi energy. The model does not explicitly include the effect of energy relaxation to optical phonons on the photoconductivity, as proposed in ref 22. This is justified, because for the graphene used here (with an impurity-scattering-limited mobility below 2500 cm\(^2/(\text{V s})\)) the effect of phonons on the conductivity is negligibly small at low fluences.\(^{11}\) We note that for very high fluences (\(N_{\text{exc}} > 10^{12}\) absorbed photons/cm\(^2\)) and for phonon-scattering-limited graphene with mobilities >10,000 cm\(^2/(\text{V s})\),\(^{29}\) this effect likely plays a role, in addition to carrier heating. Furthermore, a more advanced model could include deviations from linear scaling between the scattering time and the carrier energy,\(^{29}\) as well as changes in the Drude weight. The latter effect occurs when the valence and conduction band electrons no longer have separate thermal distributions and likely plays an important role around the Dirac point, where it correctly produces positive photoconductivity.\(^{17}\)

**Rise Dynamics.** We describe the time-resolved photoconductivity with a phenomenological model that includes a
rise step and an exponential decay step with time $\tau_{\text{decay}}$. In the low excitation power/high Fermi energy regime (highly efficient carrier heating), the rise occurs within our instrument response function. For high excitation power/low Fermi energy, the rise contains a very fast component and a slow component (reduced carrier heating efficiency). Therefore, we model the rise dynamics with a “fast” rise component that is fixed at a value equal to the pulse duration of $\tau_{\text{pulse}} = 120–150$ fs (depending on the excitation wavelength) and a variable “slow” rise component $\tau_{\text{slow}}$ with a longer pulse duration and describe the time-resolved photoconductivity by

$$-\Delta \sigma(t) = A \cdot \text{Conv}(e^{-t/\tau_{\text{decay}}}, \tau_{\text{pulse}}) + B \cdot \text{Conv}(e^{-t/\tau_{\text{slow}}}, \tau_{\text{slow}})$$

(2)

where $A$ and $B$ are the amplitudes corresponding to the fast and the slow rise components and $\text{Conv}(y(t), \tau)$ means taking the convolution of $y(t)$ with a Gaussian pulse of width $\tau$. The effective rise time is then given by

$$\tau_{\text{rise}} = \frac{A}{\tau_{\text{pulse}}} + \frac{B}{\tau_{\text{slow}}}$$

(3)

In the fit, the free parameters are $A$, $B$, $\tau_{\text{slow}}$ and $\tau_{\text{decay}}$. The resulting effective rise time gives an indication of how fast the initial energy relaxation of photoexcited carriers takes place.
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