Application of multifractal analysis to the study of SAR features and oil spills on the ocean surface
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Abstract. The use of synthetic aperture radar (SAR) to investigate the ocean surface provides a wealth of useful information that is very seldom used to its full potential. Here we will discuss the application of multifractal techniques to detect oil spills and the dynamic state of the sea regarding turbulent diffusion. We present different techniques in order to relate the shape of the multifractal spectral functions and the maximum fractal dimension to the behaviour of the ocean surface. We compare eddy and sheared dominated flows with convective driven flows and discuss the different features and observation methods. We also compare the scaling of different oil spills detected by means of SAR images. Recent spills and weathered ones are selected and compared to investigate their behaviour in different spatial and temporal ranges. We calculate the partition function based on the grey intensity value of each SAR pixel deriving several types of multifractal spectra as a function of spill residence time estimated for each image. Image manipulations are seen to reduce the speckle noise and thus distinguish much better the texture of the oil spill images. The results are used to discuss how eddy diffusivity may be estimated and used in a description of the ocean surface using a simple turbulence kinematic simulation model to predict the shape of oil spills. Differences in the multifractal spectrum among SAR images may detect the slicks due to plankton and also provide information on the age of the oil spills, on the Lagrangian turbulent structure and on ocean surface diffusivity.

1 Introduction

Mixing and dilution processes in the ocean depend both on the local advection driven at the Rossby deformation radius as well as on the turbulent diffusion characteristics, with energetic inputs at many different scales. The topology of tracers on the ocean surface (Carrillo et al., 2001; Diez et al., 2008) as well as the diffusion of pollutants clearly depend on the local characteristics of the turbulent cascades and on the characteristics of the whole energy and enstrophy spectra that in general will not be in local equilibrium in the sense of Kolmogorov (Kolmogorov, 1941, 1962). The dominant scale when stratification and rotation body forces are in equilibrium in the ocean, local shear will transform slicks on the surface to align and follow the local flow, so the resulting pattern is much more varied than previously believed, and sets of eddies and spirals as shown by Munk (Munk et al., 2000; Platonov et al., 2008) clearly dominate the ocean behaviour. The mixing processes at large-scale produce stirring, which maintains large gradients of the tracers, but in order to mix at molecular level in an irreversible fashion the energy has to cascade to the smallest internal scales, which are outside the present-day range of observation (i.e. Kolmogorov or Batchelor scales; Redondo, 2002).

An important tool, fractal analysis, pioneered by Richardson and popularized by Mandelbrot (Mandelbrot, 1967; Feder, 1989; Turcotte, 1997), is motivated by the appearance of multiple scales, which interact between themselves in a highly non-linear fashion due to the appearance of turbulence. This is very common in environmental observations, especially when the fluid regime leads to mixing...
showing very complex patterns both temporally and spatially (Vassilicos, 1990; Vassilicos and Hunt, 1991; Redondo, 1990). The study of such effects demands new methods of image analysis and flow visualization, and these are increasingly provided by space agencies. The fractal and multifractal analyses allow several well-defined measurements of the geometric level of complexity at different scales or ranges of scales (Benelli and Garzelli, 1999). Although the measurements we will present are purely geometrical in nature, often it is possible to relate these descriptors to important physical considerations of the flow or the pattern distributions, or their statistics; some dynamical aspects can be measured, interpreted and thus predicted in a parameter space that can lead to very useful information (Redondo, 1990; Redondo and Linden, 1990; Mahjoub et al., 1998, 2000). Different fractal techniques can be used to calculate the fractal dimension or Kolmogorov’s capacity (Kolmogorov, 1962, 1941) in different types of remote-sensing observations by means of satellite of the ocean (SAR), as has been shown by Platonov (2002), Platonov et al. (2001, 2002, 2008), Berrizi et al. (2004), Pérez-Marrero et al. (2006), and Pagnini et al. (2010), among others. The same type of analysis may be performed in several other geophysical applications, e.g. river flows, mountain heights, coastlines, and clouds (Hentschel and Procaccia, 1984; Turcotte, 1997). In all cases, when the dynamical multiscale features correspond to a high Reynolds number flow due to some tracers in the fluid or tensioactive substances (oil) in the ocean surface, the differences in complexity between different detected levels that reflect the physical dynamical conditions of the fluid environment allow us a better understanding of the analysed flow and we may then relate the fractal measurements to the local energy spectrum, as defined in Kolmogorov theory in homogeneous turbulence (Linden et al., 1995).

Multifractals, also known as fractal measures, generalize the notion of fractals. Mandelbrot also worked on multifractals in the 1970s and 1980s (Mandelbrot, 1988). Rather than being point sets, multifractals are measures (distributions) exhibiting a spectrum of fractal dimensions. Previous works on multifractal analysis of oil spills (Grau, 2005; Platonov et al., 2001, 2002, 2007, 2008) based on extracting fractal dimension for a range of scales and for a single or a range of different grey intensities have been used to extract information from the ocean surface. In a similar way, it has been recognized that other features such as vortices or Langmuir-driven convergence lines on the ocean surface may reveal useful information. A traditional multifractal analysis has been applied (Platonov et al., 2007, 2008) to different features, but this work presents specific applications to investigate effective diffusion in the ocean and compares the different multifractal analysis applied to SAR images of oil spills as a topological or geometrical tool in order to differentiate them.

2 Self-similarity and turbulence cascades

Considering the period \( T = 1/f \), the equation as a function of the spectral power \( S(f) = f^{-\beta} \) may also be defined in scale \( L \) or in wavenumber space \( k = 2\pi/L \). If \( V(L) \) is a variance, calculated from the signal differences or from the shapes of the observed scalar field, \( E \) is the Euclidian dimension and \( D \) is the maximum fractal dimension (Redondo and Linden, 1996). The following relations are satisfied in local equilibrium turbulence:

\[
S(f) \approx L V(L) \approx L^{2H+1} \approx L^{2E+1-2D}
\]

\( H \) being Hausdorff’s dimension or co-dimension of the fractal dimension \( H = E - D \). Using this property, we may relate the spectral power \( \beta \) to \( D \) and \( E \) as \( \beta = 2E + 1 - 2H - D \). So the fractal dimension \( D \) under the above-stated simple equilibrium hypothesis relates to the turbulence spectra as

\[
D = E + \frac{1 - \beta}{2}.
\]

This relationship is strictly valid for the maximum value of the fractal dimension; nevertheless, it is possible to evaluate the fractal dimension using box-counting algorithms for the whole range of scale values of the analysed images, and this procedure is seen to provide useful geometrical information.

In order to find a practical way of comparing images produced by different physical mechanisms we will perform a fractal/multifractal analysis on SAR images of the ocean surface.

3 Multifractal analysis

One of the promising techniques for automatic discrimination of the oil spills is the calculation of fractal dimensions. Using the simple box-counting algorithm for SAR image analysis shows differences in the maximum fractal (box-counting) dimension of oil spills compared to other types of oceanic phenomena (Gade and Redondo, 1999). In Fig. 1 the results from applying the box-counting algorithm to a range of SAR intensities of a vortex seen by SAR are presented as the output of the Imacalc program (Grau, 2005). However, we can interpret SAR intensity values as a measure itself, and then study it as a statistical distribution applying multifractal methods (Grazzini et al., 2006). This can give us useful information that one or two moments of the measurement only will not get, even if the underlying structure does not show self-similar or self-affine behaviour (Hentschel and Procaccia, 1983; Halsey et al., 1986; Seuront et al., 1999).

For a monofractal object, the number \( N \) of features of a certain size \( \varepsilon \) varies, as can be measured by counting the number \( N \) of boxes needed to cover the object under investigation for increasing box sizes \( \varepsilon \) and estimating the slope of a log–log plot. For multifractal measurements, a probability distribution is measured using the box-counting method.
Multifractal analysis

One of the promising techniques for automatic discrimination of the oil spills is the calculation of the grey levels and extracting for each group the fractal dimension.

For every box \( i \) the probability of “containing the object”, or in this application, the values of a certain SAR reflectivity, is also called the partition function \( \chi(q, \delta) \), which may be obtained for different \( q \) moments, which can vary following the equation

\[
\chi(q, \delta) = \sum_{i=1}^{n(\delta)} \mu_i^q(\delta) = \sum_{i=1}^{n(\delta)} m_i^q,
\]

where \( m \) is the mass of the measure, \( q \) is the mass exponent, \( \delta \) is the length size of the box and \( n(\delta) \) is the number of boxes in which \( m_i > 0 \). Based on this, the mass exponent function \( \tau(q) \) shows how the moments of the measure scale with the box size

\[
\tau(q) = \lim_{\delta \to 0} \frac{\log \chi(q, \delta)}{\log(\delta)} = \lim_{\delta \to 0} \frac{\log < \sum_{i=1}^{n(\delta)} m_i^q >}{\log(\delta)},
\]

where \( < > \) represents the statistical moment of the measure \( m_i \) defined on a group of non-overlapping \( n(\varepsilon) \) boxes of the same size partitioning the area studied. The sum in the numerator of Eq. (4) is dominated by the highest values of \( m_i \) for \( q > 0 \), and by the lowest values of \( m_i \) for \( q < 0 \) (Feder, 1989).

The singularity index \( (\alpha) \) can be determined by Legendre transformation of the \( \tau(q) \) curve (Evertsz and Mandelbrot, 1992) as

\[
\alpha(q) = \frac{d \tau(q)}{dq}.
\]

The number of cells of size \( \delta \) with the same \( \alpha \), \( n_{\alpha}(\delta) \), is related to the cell size as \( n_{\alpha}(\delta) \propto \delta^{-f(\alpha)} \), where \( f(\alpha) \) is a scaling exponent of the cells with common \( \alpha \). Parameter \( f(\alpha) \) can be calculated as

\[
f(\alpha) = qa(q) - \tau(q).
\]

A measure is multifractal when its multifractal spectrum (MFS), a graph of \( \alpha \) vs. \( f(\alpha) \), exists and has the shape of an inverted parabola (Evertsz and Mandelbrot, 1992). MFS quantitatively characterizes the variability of the measure studied, with asymmetry to the right and left indicating domination of small and large values respectively. The width of the MF \( (w) \) spectrum indicates overall variability.

3.1 Multifractal method

The study of the structured distribution in the space such that at any resolution the set is the union of similar subsets of the whole will indicate the same fractal dimension for every intensity value, but the scale factor in different parts of the set is not the same for most SAR images. If more than one dimension is needed, then the measure considered is characterized by the union of fractal sets, each one with a different fractal dimension.

With SAR images from the ocean surface we cannot rely strictly on theoretical limits for the calculation of the fractal, non-fractal or multifractal behaviour, because they have a finite size, and we have assigned a fixed range of values to the different SAR reflectivity intensities (from 0 to 255). The range of scale boundaries is defined by the image resolution, and we use numerical log/log fits (which tend to straighten any curve) to obtain \( \tau(q) \). In order to cover the entire image, a rectangular box was used instead of a square one. For this reason, the bi-log fits were applied using the logarithmic area values (\( \ln^2 \)). The partition function was calculated from \( q = -10 \) to +10, and regression fits used the maximum number of points with the condition that \( R^2 \) had to be higher than 0.95.

3.2 Image manipulation

Satellite instruments are well adapted to monitor and therefore to detect oil pollution, since they regularly produce images of the sea surface including remote areas (Gade and Redondo, 1999; Anderson, 2002). Even several kinds of measurements have been tested; recently most attention has been given to the consideration of oil spills on synthetic aperture radar (SAR) images (Fingas and Brown, 2000). SAR seems to be one of the most suitable instruments for the detection of slicks, since slicks damp strongly short waves measured by SAR and oil spills appear as dark patches on the SAR image (see Figs. 1 and 2). SAR observations do not depend on weather (clouds) and sunshine, which allows the showing of illegal discharges that most frequently appear during night. SAR can also survey storm areas, provided the wind limits are appropriate, where accident risk is increased (Gade and Alpers, 1999).
The constraints related to SAR measurements are of several kinds. Firstly, wind speed value has to be between 2–3 and 10–14 m s\(^{-1}\). Secondly, it is rather hard to distinguish oil spill from other phenomena which analogously to oil spills have negative radar contrast (look dark on SAR images) relative the surrounding waters and commonly referred to as “look-alikes” (Jolly et al., 2000). The SAR images exhibited a large variation in natural features produced by winds, internal waves, the bathymetric distribution, by thermal or solutal convection by rain, by plankton biological production, etc., as all of these produce variations in the sea surface roughness (Ming Li, 2000). The contrast between a spill and the surrounding water, and thus the probability of detecting pollution slicks, depends on the amount and type of oil spill as well as on environmental factors such as wind speed, wave height, SST, currents and current shift zones (Fingas et al., 2003).

Detecting the contrast patches also depends on the speckle noise, which is always present on the SAR images. Application of different filters to the radar data decreases the noise level and improves the feature detecting in the image. In this work, a Gaussian blur filter has been applied to reduce image noise and enhance image structures at different scales. Mathematically, applying a Gaussian blur to an image is the same as convolving the image with a Gaussian function; this is also known as a two-dimensional Weierstrass transform. The visual effect of this blurring technique is a smooth blur resembling that of viewing the image through a translucent screen (Gonzalez et al., 2004).

4 Results of oil spill analysis

After calculating \(\chi(q, \delta)\) for the four original SAR images, \(\ln(\chi(q, \delta))\) was plotted against \(\ln(\delta^2)\). In Fig. 3d, where \(q\) is negative, two areas can be distinguished: one where the relationship between \(\ln(\delta^2)\) and \(\ln(\chi(q, \delta))\) is linear and the other in which the value of \(\ln(\chi(q, \delta))\) is almost constant with respect to \(\ln(\delta^2)\). In the case of Fig. 3c, these two areas were not so marked, although the smallest area showed a different linear trend. At positive values of \(q\), the two different areas were not visible (see Fig. 3).

The presence of a plateau phase in \(\ln(\chi(q, \delta))\) can be explained by the resolution of these images. In certain small areas the scaling stops and the relationships among their values remain approximately constant. After a certain critical area, however, a scaling pattern appears. This could be interpreted as the representative elementary area to study this scaling property. However, we have to consider that the partition function for negative \(q\) is dominated by the smallest values that in this case are the darker pixels that are precisely the ones that we have more interest in studying in the wider area range, as the image allows us. Trying to understand this effect better, the original images were inverted, so the darker pixels were transformed into the lighter ones and with a higher numerical value. Then \(\chi(q, \delta)\) was calculated again after several types of filters and manipulations of the images in order to show a clearer influence of the age of the spill (see Fig. 4).

This time, the \(\chi(q, \delta)\) function followed a scaling behaviour in the entire \(q\) range used in this study. However, in Fig. 5d the smallest scale for \(q = -8\) to \(-10\) still presents a slight difference in the linear trend, explained by the resolution of the image.

As well as applying this filter in the inverse images, the values of grey intensity were smoothed and the same multiscale analysis was applied to the intensity values raised to a power of 3 \((m_i = m_i^3)\). Numerically, we passed from a range of values of \((0, 255)\) to \((0, 255^3)\), being the highest values related to the oil spills.

The effect of these transformations on the partition function can be observed in Fig. 5, where the smallest area considered in cases B, C and D shows a non-linear increase with respect to the rest of the scales at negative \(q\) values. This change is remarkable in case B, which is the image with the most distortion from the four considered. To calculate \(\tau(q)\) in these last cases (after applying the image filter), the number of points for the regression fit, considering the \(R^2\) value restriction, was smaller in recent oil spills A and B figures. The results are shown in Figs. 5 and 6, where the main differences
Fig. 3. Partition function vs. area value in a bi-log plot: for 21 January 1998 at Gibraltar (A), for 13 July 1997 at the Ebro Delta (B), and for 24 August 1997 at Barcelona (C and D).

This time, the $\delta_\chi_q$ function followed a scaling behavior in all the $q$ contemplated in this study. However, in figure 5D in the smallest scale for $q=-8$ to $-10$ still presents a slight difference in the linear trend perfectly explained by the resolution of the image.

Fig. 4. Inverted SAR images after a Gaussian blur filter: for 21 January 1998 at Gibraltar (A), for 13 July 1997 at the Ebro Delta (B), for 24 August 1997 at Barcelona (C and D), showing traces of oil pollution produced by ships (Redondo and Platonov, 2009).

As well as applying this filter in the inverse images the values of grey intensity were smoothed, the same multiscale analysis were applied to the intensity values raised to power of 3 ($i^{3i}$). Numerically, we passed from a range values of $(0, 255)$ to $(0, 255^3)$, being the higher values related to the oil spills.

The effect of these transformations on the partition function can be observed in figure 5, where the smallest area considered in case B, C and D shows a non linear increase respect to the rest of the scales at negative $q$ values. This change is remarkable in case B, which is the image with more distortion from the four considered. To calculate $\tau(q)$ in these last cases (after applying the image filter) the number of points

5 Results of feature analysis and ocean diffusion

The ocean surface feature associated with the Rossby deformation radius is a typical vortex dipole structure, which is analysed with the ImaCalc program, as an example in Fig. 8 is marked as 6 in Fig. 9 (right); other vortex structures are

in $\tau(q)$ are found in the negative $q$ values, and these are more evident when the range of grey intensities increases.

The multifractal spectrum of these four images, doing an inversion of values with a Gaussian blur filter and increasing their grey value to a power of 3, give us a distinctive footprint of the subtle topological differences between the more recent spill in image B and the older oil spills (images B and D). It is clear that the differences appear mostly in the negative part of the $q$ values that correspond to the scaling behaviour of the areas with lower grey intensity, not belonging to the core of the oil spill, but to the region where most mixing takes place.

We may also detect that even in the case of spill A, where the advection has distorted the spill due to large eddies, mixing has not been too strong.

An alternative way to calculate the multifractal spectra, which is defined as the limit towards the small scales, is to use a best fit centered at the intermediate scales in the interrogation region, which as seen in Fig. 7 would correspond to about half the size of the oil spill. The way in which these modified multifractal spectra are calculated is based on Eq. (2) for different groups of intensity values $D(i)$. This procedure also provides relevant information that may be used to distinguish oil spills and natural slicks (Redondo and Platonov, 2009) or two-dimensional eddy type features and convective structures detected on the ocean surface. The different self-similar image analysis tools have to be calibrated also considering the differences between the Lagrangian and Eulerian turbulent methods (Borgas, 1993; Sreenivasan and Meneveau, 1986).
Fig. 5. Partition function versus area value in a bi-log plot for the inverted SAR images after a Gaussian blur filter. Symbols A–D correspond to image 4: for 21 January 1998 at Gibraltar (A), for 13 July 1997 at delta Ebro river (B), for 24 August 1997 at Barcelona (C and D).

Fig. 6. Mass function ($\tau(q)$) (above) and multifractal spectrum ($\alpha$ vs. $f(\alpha)$) (below) of inverted SAR images after a Gaussian blur filter with gray intensity (left column) and cubic of gray intensity (right column): for 21 January 1998 at Gibraltar – A, for 13 July 1997 at delta Ebro river – B, for 24 August 1997 at Barcelona – C and D.

marked in Fig. 9 (left). The granular structure also detected is associated with heat-driven convection on the ocean surface. To compare the effects on scaling of the two physical processes stirring (mostly horizontally at large scales) the ocean surface, including waves and winds and generating convective cell structures by vertical overturning, it is important to distinguish the topology of the ocean surface eddies and other features such as Langmuir cells and Rayleigh–Benard cells.
The influence of waves on the dispersion coefficient has been poorly studied. Bezerra et al. (1998) and Diez et al. (2008) suggested that the contribution of surface waves affects the pollutant transport in two ways: by means of the mass flux and the increase in the turbulent processes. When a dye spot is released in the presence of waves, it can be seen that the spot moves in the wave-approaching direction, being the maximum velocity on the surface and decaying with depth. Besides, the waves contribute with turbulence, increasing the mixing processes. Correlations of the horizontal diffusion coefficient, the surface currents and the resultant vector of the oscillatory flow velocity $u_m$ show that the vertical variation of the horizontal velocity component of the oscillatory flow ($u'_j$) is another source of turbulent energy. The effective contaminant transport is a combination of the individual effects of turbulence, waves and currents, which are driven by buoyancy and rotation. Zeidler (1976) showed for a series of experiments in the Baltic Sea that the exponent on the evolution of dye extension in time strongly depended on the distance from the coast $y$, so

$$\sigma^2 \propto t^{n(y)}$$

with exponent $n(y)$ varying between 2.3 and 1.2. This indicates anomalous diffusion, far from Richardson’s 4/3 law, where

$$K \propto \varepsilon^{1/3} \mu^{4/3}.$$  

That in fully developed 3-D turbulence corresponds to a time dependence of $\sigma^2 \propto t^3$.

Experiments also carried out by Zeidler (1976) in a wave flume showed a homogeneous dispersion in non-breaking waves with the absence of currents, i.e. the longitudinal and lateral diffusion coefficients were constant (their variances vary linearly with time), depending only on the non-dimensional parameter $R_w$ of waves (Rodriguez et al., 1995, 1999):

$$R_w = \frac{a^2}{\mu T} \left( \sinh \frac{2\pi h}{L} \right)^{-1/4}.$$  

(9)

This parameter could be considered as a Reynolds number, in which $a/T$ is the orbital velocity.

It can be seen that the coastal diffusion is larger in field conditions than those measured in the laboratory, increasing with time and the size of the dye spot (Zeidler, 1976; Bezerra et al., 1998; Rodriguez et al., 1999). So the wave dispersion is not linearly time dependent, probably due to the superposition of different effects such as diffusion generated by the oscillatory flow, bathometry-generated turbulence (by waves and currents) and shear dispersion due to the spatial gradients of the mean flux. Obviously the oil spills or tracers on the ocean surface grow during the diffusion process, so the concentration decreases. The irregularity of the acquired forms is due to the complex turbulent structure of the marine water movements, so its computation requires a specific numerical statistical process based on Einstein’s analogy with Brownian motion. Accepting a Gaussian spatial distribution of intensities and a lineal relationship between these and the concentrations, the dispersion coefficient $K$ can be estimated through a specific direction as a function of the dye blob (or oil spill) intensity variance $\sigma$ evolution:

$$K = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d\sigma^2}{dt}.$$  

(10)
Of course, this leads to

$$K = \sigma \frac{d\sigma}{dt} \quad (11)$$

and Richardson’s 4/3 law is only applicable when the size of the blob is of the order of the integral length scale, $\sigma \approx l$, and then, as in 3-D flows,

$$u' \propto \varepsilon^{1/3} l^{1/3} \quad (12)$$

$$K = l u' \approx \varepsilon^{1/3} l^{4/3}. \quad (13)$$

Image analysis of vortices in the ocean surface

Using the multi-fractal analysis of SAR images (Bunimovich et al., 1993; Jolly et al., 2000) we may detect a large variation in natural features produced by winds, internal waves, the bathymetric distribution, by thermal or solutal convection, by rain, etc., as all of these produce variations in the sea surface roughness.

The satellite-borne SAR is able to detect oceanic features with a range of scales as seen in Fig. 9, which shows several eddy structures in the NW Mediterranean area (Redondo and Platonov, 2009; Sekula and Redondo, 2008). The images show a wide range of values, if we suppose that the surface currents are responsible (at least partly) for the spatial distribution of the ocean roughness for two main reasons. First, the slope on both sides of an eddy is very different at producing radar backscatter from the side (as happens with ERS-1/2 and ENVISAT); the other reason is that the surface tensions, either natural or man-made, will be advected by the surface current lines and concentrated by Langmuir cells, so there may be a strong spatial relationship between the SAR intensity and the shear–vorticity distribution within the complex mesoscale ocean surface topology.

In SAR images, where convective cells are formed on the ocean surface, it is interesting to compare the multifractal appearance of the different signatures, and this is shown in Fig. 10 for the examples indicated in Fig. 9. The only quite different fractal structure is the bottom-right one corresponding to the convective cells, where a clear plateau of a constant value of the maximum fractal dimension indicates that this measure is the same for the different intensity values of the SAR images. On the other hand, the vortical-dominated structures exhibit a slightly higher fractal value (1.7) for the higher SAR reflectivity (white) and a linear increase from the darker features (lines that indicate the vertical structure, mostly due to Langmuir convergence lines). It is obvious that the dark features, being elongated and smoother, have a smaller fractal dimension than the background area between the spiral structures, but the appearance of a linear increase is not clear. The fact that convective structures appear on all the images and that the structures are marked both by darker (meaning a smooth surface) and white (rougher sea surface
It has been demonstrated that it is necessary to use a non-dimensional time normalized with the local energy dissipation in a similar way as the Damkohler reactive number that characterizes the level of local turbulence.

To understand the evolution of a tensioactive or oil spill modeled as a tracer, it is possible to use a kinematic simulation (KS) model of turbulence in a two-dimensional type of cascade. This model is described in Castilla et al. (2007) and Diez et al. (2008). In order to quantify the evolution of an oil spill on the ocean surface here it is just necessary to present the results of the monotonic growth of the maximum fractal dimension of a marked line of passive tracer mimicking an oil spill as it evolves in time. The results of a set of numerical experiments are shown in Fig. 13, where the maximum value of the fractal dimension of an oil spill in rough weather is simulated; see Appendix 1 for details of the synthetic simulation model of turbulence diffusing an oil spill; more information may be found in Nicoleau et al. (2012).

Fig. 11. SAR images of the natural slick (a) of an oil spill, (b) of a weather front line, and (c) of a combination of oil spills and look-alike weather reflections from the atmosphere (d).

Fig. 12. The comparison of the multifractal spectrum of the images (a) and (b) shown in Fig. 11. (a) Natural slick (squares) and (b) recently released but weathered oil spill (circles).

Fig. 13. The evolution of the maximum fractal dimension of the K. S. oil spill shapes released in a 2-D turbulent model of the ocean surface. Time is normalized by the Damkohler number.
It has been demonstrated that it is necessary to use a non-dimensional time normalized with the local energy dissipation in a similar way as the Damkholer reactive number that characterizes the level of local turbulence on the ocean surface.

6 Conclusions

The use of the multifractal analyses has been shown to provide more information about the different types of generation and the complexity of the interacting scales in different physical conditions. We confirm the usefulness of scaling information and knowledge of the multifractal spectral functions, both centered at intermediate scales and calculated in the usual way as a limit to the smallest scales, normally limited by the pixel size.

The curvature of the mass functions has no unique relationship with a single physical parameter, but it is clearly influenced by the topological effects produced by a longer dilution in time. It has been demonstrated that it is necessary to use a non-dimensional time normalized with the local energy dissipation in a similar way as the Damkholer reactive number. The oil spills are more convoluted in time and their maximum fractal dimension grows towards a fully turbulent flow. They also approach a parabolic multifractal spectrum shape in time.

When comparing SAR images of recent oil spills with older ones, there are distinct differences between both SAR images. The inverted and blurred images of recent oil spills have a wider range of $\alpha$ values (Hölder exponent), meanwhile the older oil spills show a narrower range. In time, as the oil spill is diluted and eventually advects in a similar way as a natural slick, one plot (recent spill) tends to converge to the other one (older spills and slicks). The Lagrangian turbulence effects as well as the wall effects on turbulence (Sreenivasan and Meneveau, 1986) show the role of intermittency (kurtosis) in the fractal structure, so relationship (2) needs to be generalized for the different parts of the scale-to-scale cascade processes that disperse oil and other tracers on the ocean surface. One such possibility is to relate local diffusion to the second-order structure function that depends on the maximum fractal dimension.

Appendix A

There are many ways to simulate fluid flow, but when this is turbulent, these simulations become complicated, expensive and inaccurate at a large Reynolds number. Using a kinematic simulation (KS) model, we have compared some predictive results on the tracer shape evolution with examples of real detected oil spills and field measurements. We present some simple theoretical and numerical bases needed to simulate the behaviour of oil spills (or tracer particles) in a turbulent flow in a simple and efficient way using measured velocity fields, which may be updated with the latest output from dedicated environmental atmosphere (wind) and ocean currents or wave-nested models. There is a strong dependence of horizontal eddy diffusivities on the wave height and the local wind. Some of these results have been published in Bezerra et al. (1998). Both effects are important and give several decades of variation in eddy diffusivities measured near the coastline (between 0.0001 and 2 m$^2$ s$^{-1}$).

A series of kinematic simulations have been used to study the fractal dimension of a marked set of tracers and their diffusion in a homogeneous, quasi 2-D non-stratified flow that models the ocean surface. Detailed results on the method and the structure of the 2-D turbulence are also described in Castilla et al. (2007) and Nicolleau et al. (2011).

The velocity calculation at any point $x$ at a determined instant $t$ is made with the expression

$$v = \sum_{n=0}^{N} [A_n \sin(\kappa_n x + \omega_n t) + B_n \cos(\kappa_n x + \omega_n t)], \quad (A1)$$

where $v_n = \kappa_n \omega_n$ is the turnover velocity of the eddy with scale $l_n$, and is related to the energy through

$$\omega_n = \frac{\lambda}{\kappa_n^3} E(\kappa_n) \quad (A2)$$

where $\lambda$ is a parameter which indicates the turbulence stationarity degree. The vectors $\kappa_n$ have random direction and sense, and their moduli depend on the discretization in phase space.

The vectors $A_n$ and $B_n$ also have random direction and sense, but they must be normal to $\kappa_n$.

Its modulus is also random, but with Gaussian distribution centered at 0 and with variance equal to the level of energy $E(l_n)$ exhibited by the spectrum.

The simulation is then controlled by the integral and Kolmogorov scales, the molecular viscosity of fluid, $v$, and the spectrum shape within the inertial subrange. From a numerical point of view, we divide the wavenumber inertial range into $N$ intervals, which can be calculated following a geometric progression in order to put, more or less, a comparable realistic energy at each scale.

When we have calculated the velocity field, we trace a sufficiently high number of particles randomly distributed, with a determined initial standard deviation, and we study its temporal development calculating the fractal dimension.

Our particles are passive tracers. The movement equations are

$$\frac{dx_p}{dt} = \mathbf{v}(x_p, t), \quad (A3)$$

where $x_p$ is the particle position and $\mathbf{v}(x_p, t)$ is the fluid velocity.

An important characteristic of KS is that we do not need a grid. We can calculate fluid velocity at any position and time. To integrate Eqs. (A1) and (A3), we have used a fourth-order Runge–Kutta scheme with a fixed time step. The time step...
is a fraction of the characteristic turnover time of the smallest eddies given by Kolmogorov (1941), and over a range of scales where diffusivity behaves according to the 4/3 law (Richardson, 1929).

Intuitively, we expect the size of the cloud to grow rapidly when it is of a size embedded in the inertial range, and then to slow down when it reaches and exceeds the integral scale.

Let \( L \) be the particle set size. If its value is of the order of, or smaller than, the turbulence integral scale, the eddies modify its value in a sizeable way. However, if \( L \) is much bigger than the integral scale, this modification does not much affect the global growth of the cloud of tracers simulating the oil spill.

The eddy diffusivities in the ocean exhibit large variation and show marked anisotropy. Not only are horizontal values much larger than vertical ones, but there is a strong dependence on the spatial extent of the tracer dye or pollutant and at larger scales the topology of the basic flow is very important. In the case of oil spills, these are strongly influenced by the buoyancy and horizontal diffusion depends on ambient factors such as wave activity, wind and currents. The non-dimensional time used, \( T_d \), may be derived through dimensional analysis from the integral length scale and the turbulence dissipation.
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