

1 **MACRONUTRIENT AND MINERAL INTAKE EFFECT ON RACING TIME AND**
2 **CARDIOVASCULAR HEALTH IN NON-ELITE MARATHON RUNNERS**

3
4 **Running title:** *impact of marathoners' diet on performance and heart*

5
6 **Emma Roca¹, Lexa Nescolarde¹, Daniel Brotons², Antoni Bayes-Genis^{3,4,5}, Enrique Roche^{6,7}**

7 1. Department of Electronic, Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya, Barcelona, Spain.

8 2. Catalan Sports Council, Generalitat de Catalunya, Barcelona, Spain.

9 3. Department of Cardiology, Hospital Universitari Germans Trias i Pujol, Carretera del Canyet s/n 08916
10 Badalona, Barcelona, Spain.

11 4. Department of Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Campus UAB,
12 08913 Bellaterra, Barcelona, Spain.

13 5. Research Program, Fundació Institut d'Investigació en Ciències de la Salut Germans Trias i Pujol, Ca-
14 rretera del Canyet s/n 08916 Badalona, Barcelona, Spain.

15 6. Department of Applied Biology-Nutrition, Institute of Bioengineering, University Miguel Hernandez.
16 Alicante Institute for Health and Biomedical Research (ISABIAL Foundation), Alicante (Spain).

17 7. CIBERobn (Fisiopatología de la Obesidad y la Nutrición CB12/03/30038) Instituto de Salud Carlos III
18 (Spain).

19
20
21
22 **Corresponding author:**

23 MSc. Emma Roca

24 Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya (UPC)

25 Research Centre for Biomedical Engineering (CREB-UPC)

26 Jordi Girona, 1-3

27 08034-Barcelona (Spain)

28 Tel.: +34 619027771.

29 E-mail: info@emmaroca.com

30

31 **ABSTRACT**

32 **Objective:** The aim of this study was to analyze, in recreational marathon runners, the intake of spe-
33 cific macronutrients and minerals that could influence cardiovascular health.

34 **Methods:** 37 males were grouped in two groups according to their 50% percentile race time (3.39 h),
35 dividing into fast (G1: 3.18 ± 0.18 h) and slow runners (G2: 3.84 ± 0.42 h). Anthropometric parameters,
36 macronutrients and mineral records were collected before the race. Minerals (Na^+ , K^+ and Mg^{2+}), lipid
37 profile (triglycerides, LDL, HDL and cholesterol), muscle damage (creatine kinase), inflammation (C-
38 reactive protein), and cardiovascular health (high-sensitive troponin-T, ST2 and N-terminal pro-B-
39 type natriuretic peptide) were analyzed in blood 24 h before, immediately after, and 48 h post-race.

40 **Results:** Weight (G1: 74.70 ± 7.76 kg, G2: 79.58 ± 6.72 kg; $p < 0.05$) and body mass index (G1: 23.01
41 ± 1.81 kg/m², G2: 25.30 ± 2.02 kg/m²; $p < 0.01$) were significantly different between the groups.
42 Moreover, G1 consumed significantly ($p < 0.01$) more mono- and poly-unsaturated fatty acids than
43 G2, and presented significantly higher iron, potassium, and magnesium intake. Regarding blood lipid
44 profile, G2 presented significantly higher triglyceride values and lower levels of high-density lipopro-
45 tein ($p < 0.01$). The Hs-TnT marker of cardiac myocyte stress/injury was significantly higher ($p <$
46 0.05) in G2 reaching values above 250 ng/L, and 81% of the runners (30 from 37) presented higher
47 post-race values.

48 **Conclusions:** Marathon runners consuming adequate amounts of unsaturated fat, iron, potassium and
49 magnesium, performed better and presented better cardiovascular health.

50 **Keywords:** macronutrients; minerals; marathoners; performance; cardiovascular health.

51

52 INTRODUCTION

53 Recreational marathons have become very popular in recent years. When performed properly, aerobic
54 exercises are an optimal way to improve cardiovascular health, reducing morbidity and mortality [1].

55 Similarly, it has been shown that low performance can culminate in early fatigue, where nutrition plays
56 a very important role [2,3]. In this sense, what we eat influences our body's capabilities to adapt to
57 training and post-exercise recovery [4,5]. Several sports medicine- and nutrition-related organizations
58 recommend specific amounts of energy, macro- and micro-nutrients for sustained physical activity
59 events in order to maintain body weight, replenish glycogen stores, and provide sufficient proteins to
60 build and repair working tissues [2,6,7]. Optimal carbohydrates are needed before, during, and after
61 intense and prolonged exercise, both during training and competition, for proper performance [7].

62 Also, fat is of particular interest among macronutrients for endurance athletes, as it provides energy,
63 fat-soluble vitamins, and essential fatty acids, which are associated with a lower risk of cardiovascular
64 disease and mortality [8,9].

65 When there is an increase in the duration and intensity of an endurance exercise like a marathon, there
66 is at the same time an inter-individual response that depends on the level of physical training, resting
67 conditions, energy disposal, and psychological status, among other parameters [10,11]. In addition,
68 prolonged strenuous endurance exercise can also alter other physiological processes such as fluid im-
69 balance and electrolyte levels [12]. Proper nutrition can help mitigate the majority of these problems
70 [13].

71 Recreational runners, which represent the majority of endurance practitioners, generally focus on mac-
72 ronutrient intakes, disregarding the role of micronutrients that are mainly lost through sweat produc-
73 tion [14]. Our hypothesis is that improper nutrition before an endurance event such as a marathon can
74 affect the runner's performance and cardiovascular health. Therefore, an adequate diet should be de-
75 signed considering several components: i.e., water with electrolytes, high vs. low glycemic index car-
76 bohydrates, saturated vs. unsaturated fat, animal vs. plant-based protein as well as the timing of nutri-
77 ent intake.

78 The aim of this research was to analyze in recreational marathon runners the diet effect (content of
79 macronutrients and minerals such as Na⁺, K⁺ and Mg²⁺) over the race performance and the cardiovas-
80 cular health.

81

82 **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

83 **Participants**

84 Thirty-seven male runners participating in the 2016 Barcelona Marathon were voluntarily recruited
85 through the event's organizing committee. The exclusion criteria included participants under 18 and
86 over 50 years of age, and suffering from any pathology or injury. Ethics approval was obtained from
87 the “Consejo Catalán del Deporte” (Ref: 0099S/2046/2013) according to principles of Helsinki Dec-
88 laration for experiments with human beings. All participants signed an informed consent.

89 **Data collection**

90 All participants completed a questionnaire including the following items: demographic and health data,
91 height, weight, and training parameters, such as hours/week, intensities, type of exercise, locations
92 selected to exercise, racing records, and main classifications in key challenges (data not shown). Train-
93 ing intensities were established according to race rhythm, based on time taken to run 1 km at maximal
94 intensity. Intensities were adapted during training sessions accordingly (light intensity was performed
95 as warm-up and moderate for recovery periods, and intensive intensities were planned for marathon
96 finishing objectives).

97 The participants were weighed two hours before the race and immediately afterwards, using the same
98 scale (Jata 565, Barcelona, Spain).

99 Participants were monitored using a 3-day dietary questionnaire. Individuals were instructed regarding
100 serving sizes, supplements, beverages, food preparation and other variables that could influence esti-
101 mation of diet composition, which was analyzed using the software DIAL [15].

102 During the race, the participants were provided with guidelines to maintain adequate levels of hydra-
103 tion. The first liquid intake was programmed at 60 min of the race: 400 ml for lighter/slower runners

104 and 800 ml for heavier/faster runners, drinking 100-150 ml every 15-20 min. Commercialized beverages were provided to participants with an average of 480 mg/L for Na⁺, 85 mg/L for K⁺ and 45 mg/L for Mg²⁺.

107 **Blood analysis**

108 Fasting blood samples (3 x 10 ml) were obtained from the antecubital vein in EDTA vacutainers 24h before the race, immediately after the race, and 48h afterwards. Blood samples were centrifuged at 109 3000 rpm at 4°C for 10 min in a bench centrifuge. Supernatant (plasma) was aliquoted and stored on 110 dry ice until all samples were frozen at -80°C in sealed Eppendorf tubes to avoid evaporation.

112 Serum cholesterol, low-density (LDL) and high-density (HDL) lipoproteins, triglycerides, electrolytes, 113 creatine-kinase (CK) and C-reactive protein (CRP) were determined using the AU-5800 Chemistry 114 Analyzer (Beckman Coulter).

115 **Hs-TnT Assay**

116 Troponin levels were measured by electrochemiluminescence immunoassay using an Hs-TnT assay 117 on a Modular Analytics E 170 (Roche Diagnostics). The Hs-TnT assay had an analytic range from 3 118 to 10,000 ng/L. At the 99th percentile value of 13 ng/L, the coefficient of variation was 9%. The 119 analytic performance of this assay has been validated and complies with the recommendations of the 120 Task Force for use in the diagnosis of myocardial necrosis. The assays were run with reagents from 121 lot 157123, not affected by the analytical issues emerged with Roche Hs-TnT assays.

122 **ST2 Assay**

123 ST2 was measured from plasma samples using a high-sensitivity sandwich monoclonal immunoassay 124 (Presage® ST2 assay, Critical Diagnostics, San Diego, CA, USA). The antibodies used in the Presage 125 assay were generated from recombinant protein based on the human cDNA clone for the complete 126 soluble ST2 sequence. The ST2 assay had a within-run coefficient of <2.5% and total coefficient of 127 variation of 4%.

128 **NT-proBNP Assay**

129 NT-proBNP levels were determined using an immuno-electrochemiluminescence assay on a Modular
130 Analytics E 170 (Roche Diagnostics). This assay has <0.001% cross-reactivity with bioactive BNP,
131 and in the constituent studies in this report, the assay had inter-run coefficients of variation ranging
132 from 0.9% to 5.5%.

133 **CRP Assay**

134 CRP concentration was measured using a particle-enhanced turbidimetric immunoassay technique
135 (CRPHS, Roche Diagnostics, ref. 04628918 190) on an automatic analyzer, Cobas 6000 (Roche Di-
136 agnostics, Switzerland). The method was standardized against BCR470/CRM470 reference material
137 from the IRMM. The linearity of the method was 0.15-20.0 mg/L; the detection limit was 0.15 mg/L,
138 the functional sensitivity was 0.3mg/L; and the interserial coefficient of variation was <8.4%.

139 **CK Assay**

140 CK was measured using the AU-5800 Chemistry Analyzer (Beckman Coulter, ref. OSR6179 4). The
141 method was standardized against controls ODC0003 and ODC0004 or other control materials with
142 quantified values using this Beckman Coulter system. The reference values in males was ≤ 171 U/L
143 (2,85 $\mu\text{kat/L}$) and the interserial coefficient of variation was <4.55%.

144 **Statistical analysis**

145 For the present study, thirty-seven runners were divided into two groups (G1 and G2) according to the
146 50th percentile of race time (3.39 h). G1 corresponds to the fastest runners according to the values
147 under the 50th percentile (n=19, 3.18 ± 0.18 h) while G2 corresponds to the slowest runners over the
148 50th percentile (n=18, 3.84 ± 0.42 h). The race time of each participant was taken from the official race
149 organization.

150 The variables sample size [16] was estimated according to the anthropometric of 14 runners (7 by
151 group), nutrition of 21 runners (10 by group), circulating blood biomarkers of 35 runners (18 by group),
152 circulating blood electrolytes of 31 runners (15 by group) and blood lipid profile of 39 runners (20 by
153 group) to have a power ($\beta = 0.80$) to detect an effect size of 0.996 with a 0.05 significance level using
154 MedCalc statistical software version 19.0.3 (MedCalc Software bvba, Ostend, Belgium).

155 Data was collected 24h before-race, immediately post-race and 48h post-race. The normality of distri-
156 bution of the variables was checked by the Shapiro-Wilk test and homogeneity of variances by
157 Levene's test.

158 Repeated measures one-way Anova test was used to determine the effect of the marathon on variables
159 measured 24h before-race, immediately post-race and 48h post-race. The Kruskal-Wallis test was used
160 for repeated measures of data non-normally distributed.

161 In addition, samples were separated in two groups according to their 50% percentile race time (3.39
162 h), dividing into fast (G1: 3.18 ± 0.18 h) and slow runners (G2: 3.84 ± 0.42 h). Mann Whitney's U test
163 or Student's t-test was applied to analyze non-parametric and parametric variables respectively.

164 The variables normally distributed are shown as mean \pm SD while that non-normally distributed data
165 are shown as median and interquartile range (IQR). The level of statistical significance was set at $P <$
166 0.05. The statistical software IBM® SPSS® version 24.0 (Armonk, NY: IBM Corp, USA) was used for
167 data analysis.

168

169 **RESULTS**

170 **Anthropometric, training characteristics and race time**

171 Anthropometric and training characteristics are summarized in Table 1. Significant differences be-
172 tween groups with respect to weight ($p < 0.05$) and body mass index ($p < 0.01$) were observed. The
173 slower runners were significantly heavier than the faster runners, with similar results observed when
174 considering BMI, although both groups were within the healthy range (BMI = 20-25). In addition, G2
175 reported less training hours per week compared to G1, and also less light, moderate, and intensive
176 training hours per week compared to G1, although in all cases the differences were not significant.

177 **Dietary intakes of participants**

178 The diet analysis recorded from the 3 day-questionnaires is shown in Table 2. The values indicate that
179 all runners participating in this study consumed lower levels of carbohydrates than those recommended

180 for endurance athletes (5-10 g/kg of body weight) [17]. In addition, protein intake in G1 was correct
181 regarding recommendations for endurance runners (1.2-1.4 g/kg of body weight), but was significantly
182 lower in the G2 group ($p < 0.01$). Finally, fat intakes were in the recommended levels for endurance
183 athletes (20-35% kJ of daily diet) in both groups, although G2 consumed significantly less fat than G1
184 ($p < 0.01$). Regarding total energy consumption, this was significantly lower in G2 ($p < 0.01$). How-
185 ever, the participants in this study seemed to consume approximately 25% less energy than recom-
186 mended. Energy requirements for men who are slightly-moderately active and between 19-50 years of
187 age is established as 12139.4 kJ/day (2900 kcal/day) [13].

188 Altogether, G1 presented significantly higher fat intake than G2 ($p < 0.01$) but presented a lower BMI.
189 Therefore, the type of fat consumed by the participants was assessed. Runners in G1 consumed signif-
190 icantly higher amounts of mono- and poly-unsaturated fatty acids compared to G2 ($p < 0.01$), whereas
191 the amount of saturated fatty acids was similar in both groups. Finally, no significant differences were
192 observed in cholesterol and water intakes, although fiber intake was significantly higher in G1 ($p <$
193 0.01).

194 According to mineral intake, G1 met the recommended requirements for sodium, potassium, iron and
195 magnesium (1500, 3100, 9 and 350 mg/day respectively), whereas G2 did not reach the recommended
196 amounts for potassium and magnesium, with significant differences compared to G1 ($p < 0.01$). Cal-
197 cium was also under the recommended requirements (900 mg/day) in both groups, and iron intake was
198 significantly higher in G1 ($p < 0.05$).

199 **Blood markers of muscle damage, inflammation and cardiovascular health**

200 The total serum biomarkers associated to muscle damage, inflammation and cardiovascular health are
201 shown in Table 3. Initial levels of circulating CK in G1 and G2 were significantly higher in G2 before-
202 race ($p < 0.05$) and post-race ($p < 0.01$), reaching the highest values 48h post-race (nearly 2400 U/L).
203 Inflammatory C-reactive protein biomarker in G2 was significantly higher ($p < 0.01$) post-race and
204 48h post-race, also reaching the highest levels between groups (24 mg/dL).

205 Regarding cardiovascular parameters-- Hs-TnT, NT-proBNP and ST2, no significant differences were
206 observed in two of the three cardiovascular biomarkers analyzed between groups, but there were values
207 post-race that were over the cut-off point. The ST2 marker of extracellular matrix remodeling, fibrosis,
208 inflammation, and myocardial strain has a cut-off ≥ 35 ng/mL, and 78% of the 37 runners presented
209 higher post-race values. The NT-proBNP marker of myocardial strain has a cut-off ≥ 125 ng/L, and
210 27% of the runners (10 of 37) presented higher post-race values. The Hs-TnT marker of myocyte
211 stress/injury has a cut-off ≥ 14 ng/L, and 81% of the runners (30 from 37) presented higher posts-race
212 values. In addition, Hs-TnT value post-race was significantly higher ($p < 0.05$) in G2, reaching values
213 above 250 ng/L.

214 **Blood minerals and lipid profile**

215 Total serum micronutrients such as Na^+ , K^+ and Mg^{2+} , and lipid profile are shown in Table 4. No
216 significant differences were observed in circulating electrolytes between groups. Triglycerides were
217 significantly higher in G2 before ($p < 0.05$) and 48h post-race ($p < 0.01$), and HDL levels were signif-
218 icantly higher in G1 compared to G2 before, post-race and 48h post-race ($p < 0.01$). No significant
219 differences were observed in LDL and cholesterol levels between groups.

220 **DISCUSSION**

221 The purpose of this study was to analyze macro- and micro-nutrient intakes that are generally consid-
222 ered to be related to cardiovascular health in recreational marathon runners, and report the effect on
223 racing time and on blood cardiac biomarkers. Regarding training, selected runners presented no dif-
224 ferences in their training years, but in the total hours/week of training, despite the fact that the values
225 in G1 were higher without presenting significant differences, the increase in intensive training
226 hours/week of this group was significantly higher compared to G2 (Table 1), also justifying better
227 performance in the race. Runners from the slower group (G2) were physically heavier than the faster
228 group (G1), which would require more effort to move their body during the marathon. This difference
229 among the participants was initially considered to be due to differences in nutritional intake.

230 In this context, we have observed that nutrition varies among participants (Table 2), but those who
231 consumed more unsaturated fatty acids and adequate mineral intakes, especially iron, potassium and
232 magnesium, had faster finishing times and a healthier lipid blood profile (Table 4). Runners in G1 had
233 higher iron intake and this might benefit hemoglobin levels, preserving aerobic performance [2,7].

234 In addition, the slower runners presented higher circulating amounts of cardiovascular-damage bi-
235 omarkers, such as high triglycerides and low HDL (Table 4) [18]. When analyzing three well-known
236 cardiovascular biomarkers (Table 3), all increased post-race, most notably Hs-TnT in slower runners
237 (G2), and can confer long-term increased risk in cardiovascular health or can be a cardiac sport-driven
238 adaptation [19].

239 Altogether, these observations indicate that the slower runners are performing a very demanding aer-
240 obic effort with a less optimal profile, regarding circulating cardiovascular biomarkers. In this context,
241 their diet choices, especially regarding fat and mineral intakes, could be the most relevant factors re-
242 sponsible for this outcome, although training also plays a prominent role.

243 Although the amount of fat taken by both groups was adequate for endurance training [20], the most
244 significant difference between the G1 and G2 groups was the type of fat consumed. Different studies
245 have assessed that the type of fat (saturated vs. unsaturated) could be a main contributing factor to
246 increased adiposity and higher triglyceride and LDL levels in blood [21]. Table 4 depicts how G2
247 presents significantly higher levels of triglycerides compared to G1. At the same time, consuming
248 more saturated fats correlates with higher body weights and BMI, hindering the performance of G2
249 runners through mechanisms that require further research [22,23]. In addition, HDL (an indicator of
250 arterial protection) was significantly higher in G1 (Table 4), which can increase due to aerobic exercise
251 and higher amounts of monounsaturated fats in diet [24]. Taken together, this could translate to better
252 cardiovascular health status in G1 vs. G2 (Table 3).

253 Analyzing diet questionnaires, faster runners (G1) consumed frequent servings of seeds and nuts, more
254 servings of fruits and vegetables, and adequate servings of whole cereals. Coincidentally, many of these

255 foods are sources of unsaturated fatty acids. In addition, G2 finishers presented low amounts of certain
256 minerals in their diet, such as Fe^{2+} , K^+ and Mg^{2+} . Interestingly, the previously mentioned foods provide
257 adequate amounts of these micronutrients. Unsaturated fats, as well as K^+ and Mg^{2+} , play an instru-
258 mental role in cardiac function [25], possibly favoring a more adaptive response to exercise [26]. This
259 observation emphasizes the importance of an adequate selection of foods when designing training di-
260 ets, not only for macronutrients, but for specific micronutrients as well. In this manner, the runners
261 can perform the race in healthier cardiovascular conditions.

262 When physical activity such as the marathon is performed, there are energy and macronutrient needs,
263 especially carbohydrates and proteins, that must be satisfied to maintain body weight, replenish gly-
264 cogen stores and provide adequate proteins to build and repair tissue [7]. In addition, fat intake should
265 be sufficient to also provide energy along with essential fatty acids and fat-soluble vitamins [27,28].
266 Regarding the runners participating in this study, there are some points mentioned that can be im-
267 proved. Dietary recommendations for endurance runners are 5-10 g of carbohydrates/kg of body
268 weight [2,13], but the amount consumed by the participants in this study was lower, and this deficiency
269 could be related to the lower amounts (25%) of kcal consumed in their diet. Protein intakes were in
270 the recommended range, although slightly lower in G2. However, these deficiencies in particular mac-
271 ronutrients do not seem to have an influence in the cardiovascular health status, since G1 runners did
272 not present noticeable levels of circulating cardiac biomarkers [19]. Nevertheless, a first corrective
273 intervention in the population studied should focus on adding more carbohydrates for optimal perfor-
274 mance.

275 A limitation of the study was the small group sample. Another limitation was to not know if a deficient
276 intake in K^+ and Mg^{2+} can lead to decreased performance of certain body compartments, such as the
277 heart. The body content of the electrolytes studied (Table 4), particularly Mg^{2+} , was unknown, as this
278 requires specific overloading protocols that we have not performed [14,29,30]. In the present study,
279 lower consumption of K^+ and Mg^{2+} in the diet does not result in a hypokalemia or hypomagnesemia,
280 as the circulating electrolyte content was very similar between both groups. Additional studies must

281 be carried out to answer this question. In addition, our future research direction is focused on proving
282 if the above-mentioned diet changes in a population of recreational runners similar to G2 would im-
283 prove the circulating profile of cardiovascular health biomarkers.

284 In conclusion, the consumption of mono- and poly-unsaturated fatty acids and a correct intake of K⁺
285 and Mg²⁺, in non-athlete (recreational) marathon runners, performed better (had shorter race times)
286 without compromising their health status assessed by a correct circulating lipid profile (the present
287 study) and no noticeable circulating cardiovascular risk biomarkers [19]. Nevertheless, the diet of rec-
288 reational runners needs to be improved in certain macronutrients and the relation to the health status
289 must be assessed in future studies.

290

291 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

292 We would like to specially thank all the runners and the management of the Hospital Germans Trias i
293 Pujol, without them this study was not possible. We also thank Alimmenta Clinic in Barcelona, espe-
294 cially Iñigo Martínez de Ilarduya y Juana M^a González, registered nutritionist and dietitians, who cal-
295 ibrated the diets using current nutrition databases. Finally, thanks to CIBERobn (Fisiopatología de la
296 Obesidad y la Nutrición CB12/03/30038) Instituto de Salud Carlos III (Spain)

297 **CONFLICTS OF INTEREST**

298 None of the authors have conflicts of interest to disclose.

299 **FUNDING**

300 No funding.

301 **REFERENCES**

302 [1] Gayda M, Ribeiro PA, Juneau M, Nigam A. Comparison of different forms of exercise training in
303 patients with cardiac disease: Where does high intensity interval training fit? Can J Cardiol 2016; 32:
304 485-94. [https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.cjca.2016.01.017](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cjca.2016.01.017)

- 305 [2] Thomas DT, Erdman KA, Burke LM. American College of Sports Medicine Joint Position State-
306 ment. Nutrition and Athletic Performance. *Med Sci Sports and Exerc* 2016;48:543–68. <https://doi.org/10.1249/MSS.0000000000000852>
307
- 308 [3] Costa RJS, Hoffman MD, Stellingwerff T. Considerations for ultra-endurance activities: part 1-
309 nutrition. *Res Sports Med* 2018;117:1–16. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15438627.2018.1502188>
- 310 [4] Williamson E. Nutritional implications for ultra-endurance walking and running events. *Extrem
311 Physiol Med* 2016;5:1-18. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13728-016-0054-0>
- 312 [5] Mahoney SE, Carnes AD, Wójcicki TR, Frith E, Ferry K. Habitual dietary intake among recrea-
313 tional ultra-marathon runners: role of macronutrients on performance. *J Food Nutr Res* 2016;4:205-9.
314 <https://doi.org/10.12691/jfnr-4-4-2>
- 315 [6] Hew-Butler T, Verbalis JG, Noakes TD. Updated fluid recommendation: Position statement from
316 the International Marathon Medical. *Clin J Sport Med* 2006;16:283-92. Available at: [https://in-
317 sights.ovid.com/pubmed?pmid=16858210](https://insights.ovid.com/pubmed?pmid=16858210)
- 318 [7] Kerksick CM, Wilborn CD, Roberts MD, et al. ISSN exercise & sports nutrition review update:
319 research & recommendations. *J Int Soc Sports Nutr.* 2018 Aug 1;15(1):38.
320 <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12970-018-0242-y>
- 321 [8] Dehghan M, Mente A, Zhang X, Swaminathan S, Li W, Mohan V, et al. Prospective Urban Rural
322 Epidemiology (PURE) study investigators. Associations of fats and carbohydrate intake with cardio-
323 vascular disease and mortality in 18 countries from five continents (PURE): a prospective cohort study.
324 *Lancet* 2017;390:2050-62. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(17\)32252-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(17)32252-3)
- 325 [9] Cuenca-García M, Ortega FB, Ruiz JR, González-Gross M, Labayen I, Jago R, et al. Combined
326 influence of healthy diet and active lifestyle on cardiovascular disease risk factors in adolescents.
327 *Scand J Med Sci Sports* 2014;24:553-62. <https://doi.org/10.1111/sms.12022>

- 328 [10] Borresen J, Lambert MI. The quantification of training load, the training response and the effect
329 on performance. *Sports Med* 2009;39:779–95. <https://doi.org/10.2165/11317780-000000000-00000>
- 330 [11] Hawley JA, Hargreaves M, Joyner MJ, Zierath JR. Integrative biology of exercise. *Cell*
331 2014;159:738–49. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2014.10.029>
- 332 [12] Kupchak BR, Kraemer WJ, Hoffman MD, Phinney SD, Volek JS. The Impact of an ultramaraton
333 on hormonal and biochemical parameters in men. *Wilder Environ Med* 2014;25:278–88.
334 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wem.2014.03.013>
- 335 [13] Manore MM, Barr SI, Butterfield GE. Position of the American Dietetic Association, Dietitians
336 of Canada, and the American College of Sports Medicine: Nutrition and athletic performance. *J Am*
337 *Diet Assoc* 2000;100:1543-56. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0002-8223\(00\)00428-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0002-8223(00)00428-4)
- 338 [14] Lukaski HC. Vitamin and mineral status: effects on physical performance. *Nutrition*
339 2004;20:632-44.
- 340 [15] Ortega RM, López-Sobaler AM, Andrés P, Requejo AM, Aparicio A, Molinero LM, DIAL soft-
341 ware for assessing diets and food calculations (for Windows, versión 3.0.0.5). Department of Nutrition
342 (UCM) & Alceingeniería SA, Madrid, Spain 2013. Available at: [http://www.alceingenieria.net/nutri-](http://www.alceingenieria.net/nutricion/descarga.htm)
343 [cion/descarga.htm](http://www.alceingenieria.net/nutricion/descarga.htm).
- 344 [16] Neely JG, Karni RJ, Engel SH, Fraley PL, Nussenbaum B, Paniello RC. Practical guides to un-
345 derstanding sample size and minimal clinically important difference (MCID). *Otolaryngol - Head*
346 *Neck Surg* 2007;136:14–8. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.otohns.2006.11.001>.
- 347 [17] Jeukendrup A. A step towards personalized sports nutrition: carbohydrate intake during exer-
348 cise. *Sports Med* 2014;44:S25-33. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-014-0148-z>
- 349 [18] Jackson KH, Harris WS. Blood fatty acid profiles: new biomarkers for cardiometabolic disease
350 risk. *Curr Atheroscler Rep* 2018;20:22. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11883-018-0722-1>

- 351 [19] Roca E, Nescolarde L, Lupón J, Barallat J, Januzzi J, Liu P, et al. The dynamics of cardiovascular
352 biomarkers in non-elite marathon runners. *J Cardiovasc Transl Res* 2017;10:206-8. [https://doi.org/
353 10.1007/s12265-017-9744-2](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12265-017-9744-2).
- 354 [20] Horvath PJ, Eagen CK, Fisher NM, Leddy JJ, Pendergast DR. The effects of varying dietary fat
355 on performance and metabolism in trained male and female runners. *J Am Coll Nutr* 2000;19:52-60.
356 <https://doi.org/10.1080/07315724.2000.10718914>
- 357 [21] Krishnan S, Cooper JA. Effect of dietary fatty acid composition on substrate utilization and body
358 weight maintenance in humans. *Eur J Nutr* 2014;53:691-710. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s00394-013-
359 0638-z](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00394-013-0638-z)
- 360 [22] Lukaski HC, Bolonchuk WW, Klevay LM, Mahalko JR, Milne DB, Sandstead HH. Influence of
361 type and amount of dietary lipid on plasma lipid concentrations in endurance athletes. *Am J Clin Nutr*
362 1984;39:35-44. [https://doi.org/ 10.1093/ajcn/39.1.35](https://doi.org/10.1093/ajcn/39.1.35)
- 363 [23] Simopoulos AP. Omega-3 fatty acids and athletics. *Curr Sports Med Rep* 2007;6:230-6.
364 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11932-007-0037-4>
- 365 [24] Lou-Bonafonte JM, Fitó M, Covas MI, Farràs M, Osada J. HDL-related mechanisms of olive oil
366 protection in cardiovascular disease. *Curr Vasc Pharmacol* 2012;10:392-409. [https://doi.org/
367 10.2174/157016112800812827](https://doi.org/10.2174/157016112800812827)
- 368 [25] Eilat-Adar S, Sinai T, Yosefy C, Henkin Y. Nutritional recommendations for cardiovascular dis-
369 ease prevention. *Nutrients* 2013;5:3646–83. <https://doi.org/10.3390/nu5093646>.
- 370 [26] Rosique-Esteban N, Guasch-Ferré M, Hernández-Alonso P, Salas-Salvadó J. Dietary magne-
371 sium and cardiovascular disease: a review with emphasis in epidemiological studies. *Nutrients*
372 2018;10:E168. <https://doi.org/10.3390/nu10020168>
- 373 [27] Rodriguez NR, DiMarco NM, Langley S. Nutrition and Athletic Performance. *Med Sci Sports*
374 *and Exerc* 2009;709–31. <https://doi.org/10.1249/MSS.0b013e318190eb86>.

375 [28] Casazza GA, Tovar AP, Richardson CE, Cortez AN, Davis BA. Energy availability, macronutri-
376 ent intake, and nutritional supplementation for improving exercise performance in endurance athletes.
377 Curr Sports Med Rep 2018;17:215–23. <https://doi.org/10.1249/JSR.0000000000000494>.

378 [29] Nielsen FH, Lukaski HC. Update on the relationship between magnesium and exercise. Magnes
379 Res 2006;19:180-9. <https://doi.org/10.1684/mrh.2006.0060>

380 [30] Volpe SL. Magnesium and the athlete. Curr Sports Med Rep 2015;14:279–83.
381 <https://doi.org/10.1249/JSR.0000000000000178>

382

383

384 **Table 1: Anthropometric and training data of the participants in the study.**

Parameters	Total	Fast runner group (G1)	Slow runner group (G2)
Age (years)	38.06 ± 6.31	37.50 ± 6.13	38.65 ± 6.62
Weight (kg)	77.08 ± 7.59	74.70 ± 7.76	79.58 ± 6.72 ^{*a}
Height (cm)	178.70 ± 5.72	180.11 ± 6.10	177.22 ± 5.02
BMI (kg/m²)	24.12 ± 2.22	23.01 ± 1.81	25.30 ± 2.02 ^{**a}
% Weight lost	3.08 ± 1.63	3.50 ± 1.88	2.63 ± 1.52
Training (yrs)	9.64 ± 6.22	8.71 ± 4.94	10.61 ± 7.35
Training (h/week)	6.93 ± 3.01	7.63 ± 3.00	6.19 ± 2.93
light	1.92 ± 1.37	2.24 ± 1.44	1.59 ± 1.25
moderate	2.63 ± 1.41	2.92 ± 1.68	2.32 ± 1.01
intensive	1.87 ± 0.98	2.03 ± 0.85	1.71 ± 1.10
very intensive	0.95 ± 0.63	0.87 ± 0.52	1.03 ± 0.72
Race time (h)	3.50 ± 0.46	3.18 ± 0.18	3.84 ± 0.42 ^{**b}

385

386

387

388

389

390

Values presented as mean ± SD.
^{*}p < 0.05; ^{**}p < 0.01 significant differences when comparing both groups.
 Statistical analysis: ^aOne-way Anova, ^bKruskal-Wallis.
 Abbreviations used: BMI, body mass index; h, hours.

391 **Table 2: Nutrition intake of the participants in the study.**

Parameters	Total	Fast runner group (G1)	Slow runner group (G2)
Daily (kJ)	9170.28 (6898.22-17053.33)	9846.18 (6938.26-17053.33)	8352.32 (6898.22-11023.76)**
(kcal)	2183.40 (1642.43-4060.32)	2344.33 (1651.97-4060.32)	1988.65 (1642.43-2624.70)**
Carbohydrates			
(g/day)	236.87 (136.35-381.05)	260.94 (136.35-361.41)	202.63 (146.52-381.05)
(g/kg/day)	2.93 (1.70-5.64)	3.13 (2.10-4.63)	2.54 (1.70-5.64)
(% of total intake)	56.72 (33.03-73.96)	56.52 (33.03-65.75)	57.64 (40.62-73.96)
Proteins			
(g/day)	83.64 (51.84-202.68)	88.09 (59.64-202.68)	75.18 (51.84-128.24)*
(g/kg/day)	1.04 (0.63-2.26)	1.25 (0.81-2.26)	0.93 (0.63-1.88)**
(% of total intake)	20.94 (11.42-31.29)	20.79 (15.21-31.29)	21.00 (11.42-27.53)
Lipids			
(g/day)	87.09 (60.68-231.75)	92.62 (71.35-231.75)	78.64 (60.68-129.08)**
(g/kg/day)	1.13 (0.74-3.23)	1.21 (0.97-3.23)	0.97 (0.74-1.91)**
(% of total intake)	22.70 (14.47-35.68)	22.89 (16.25-35.68)	21.37 (14.47-31.86)
Fatty acids (g/day)			
saturated	23.37 (12.06-50.10)	23.85 (15.75-50.10)	22.52 (12.06-29.72)
monounsaturated	44.55 (28.42-120.55)	46.43 (33.06-120.55)	40.30 (28.42-64.45)**
polyunsaturated	11.54 (7.29-38.38)	14.62 (9.44-38.38)	10.91 (7.29-29.64)**
Cholesterol (mg)	246.64 (99.16-882.17)	273.46 (141.12-882.17)	197.78 (99.16-381.55)
Dietary fiber (g/day)	25.17 (12.49-50.95)	31.39 (22.93-50.95)	21.35 (12.49-40.99)**
Water (ml)	1096.81 (426.14-1957.36)	1189.79 (590.03-1957.36)	967.14 (426.14-1752.57)
Na (mg/day)	2243.13 (1641.16-3537.06)	2215.13 (1718.26-3537.06)	2269.58 (1641.16-3449.99)
K (mg/day)	3035.68 (1530.55-6246.98)	3367.56 (2257.26-6246.98)	2413.64 (1530.55-4936.00)**
Fe (mg/day)	16.80 (9.45-32.44)	20.16 (11.07-25.30)	12.65 (9.45-32.44)*
Ca (mg/day)	709.02 (263.27-1531.08)	709.51 (504.24-1531.08)	682.92 (263.27-1138.44)
Mg (mg/day)	304.89 (159.08-738.73)	373.15 (243.65-738.73)	264.95 (159.08-541.95)**

392 Values presented as median (IQR).

393 *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01 significant differences when comparing both groups.

394 Statistical analysis: Kruskal-Wallis.

395 Abbreviations used: Na, sodium; K, potassium; Fe, iron; Ca, calcium; Mg, magnesium.

401 **Table 3: Circulating blood biomarkers of muscle damage, inflammation and cardiovascular**
 402 **health of the participants in the study.**

Parameters	Total	Fast runner group (G1)	Slow runner group (G2)
CK (U/L)			
before-race	168 (83-1206)	219 (92-472)	136 (83-1206)*
after-race	561 (271-1666)	426 (271-778)	630 (343-1666)**
48h after-race	676 (188-2354)	645 (214-1763)	737 (188-2354)
CRP (mg/dL)			
before-race	0.6 (0.2-14.6)	0.4 (0.2-14.6)	1.0 (0.2-5.9)
after-race	0.6 (0.0-10.8)	0.3 (0.0-7.0)	0.9 (0.2-10.8)**
48h after-race	6.5 (2.4-24.0)	5.1 (2.4-15.4)	9.3 (4.0-24.0)**
Hs-TnT (ng/L)			
before-race	2.9 (0.4-26.0)	2.8 (1.2-26.0)	3.0 (0.4-12.9)
after-race	36.0 (4.8-251.6)	23.9 (4.8-111.8)	49.9 (8.2-251.6)*
48h after-race	4.1 (0.4-161.1)	2.6 (0.5-29.2)	4.5 (0.4-161.1)
ST2 (ng/L)			
before-race	35.06 (17.22-106.08)	35.5 (17.2-106.1)	34.7 (21.1-67.3)
after-race	55.47 (18.09-128.58)	55.4 (28.3-112.5)	56.3 (18.1-128.6)
48h after-race	36.52 (12.21-78.82)	38.0 (21.0-78.8)	32.9 (12.2-63.6)
NT-proBNP (ng/L)			
before-race	70 (70-109)	70 (70-109)	70 (70-93)
after-race	101 (70-452)	97 (70-311)	104 (70-452)
48h after-race	70 (70-205)	70 (70-101)	70 (70-205)

403

404

405

406

407

408

Values presented as median (IQR).

*p < 0.05; **p < 0.01 significant differences when comparing both groups.

Statistical analysis: Kruskal-Wallis.

Abbreviations used: CK, creatine kinase; CRP, C-reactive protein; Hs-TnT, high-sensitive troponin-T; NT-proBNP, N-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide.

Table 4: Circulating blood electrolytes and lipid profile of the participants in the study.

Parameters	Total	Fast runner group (G1)	Slow runner group (G2)
Na (mEq/l)			
before-race	139.95 ± 1.75	140.00 ± 1.92	139.89 ± 1.61
after-race	142.73 ± 2.74	143.47 ± 2.78	141.94 ± 2.53
48h after-race	141.62± 1.83	141.37 ± 2.14	141.89 ± 1.45
K (mEq/l)			
before-race	4.12 ± 0.33	4.18 ± 0.32	4.05 ± 0.33
after-race	4.2 ± 0.38	4.30 ± 0.29	4.23 ± 0.46
48h after-race	4.17± 0.24	4.13 ± 0.27	4.21 ± 0.20
Mg (mEq/l)			
before-race	2.07 ± 0.13	2.05 ± 0.11	2.08 ± 0.14
after-race	1.76 ± 0.18	1.73 ± 0.15	1.80 ± 0.21
48h after-race	2.17± 0.13	2.20 ± 0.13	2.14 ± 0.12
Triglycerides (mg/dL)			
before-race	117.57 ± 69.09	87.95 ± 39.95	148.83 ± 80.02 ^{*b}
after-race	99.08 ± 20.12	101.47 ± 25.18	96.56 ± 13.16
48h after-race	120.57 ± 66.16	89.68 ± 40.02	153.17 ± 73.37 ^{**b}
LDL (mg/dL)			
before-race	99.60 ± 24.69	97.53 ± 23.88	101.28 ± 26.03
after-race	96.46 ± 20.75	91.90 ± 21.12	101.28 ± 19.78
48h after-race	92.19 ± 22.76	93.68 ± 22.78	90.61 ± 23.29
HDL (mg/dL)			
before-race	60.57± 12.50	66.32 ± 9.60	54.50 ± 12.54 ^{***a}
after-race	59.16 ± 11.36	63.79 ± 10.39	54.28 ± 10.48 ^{**b}
48h after-race	60.30 ± 12.66	66.79 ± 11.22	53.44 ± 10.46 ^{***a}

Cholesterol (mg/dL)			
before-race	183.68 ± 24.45	181.37 ± 21.96	186.11 ± 27.26
after-race	175.41 ± 21.02	176.00 ± 21.73	174.78 ± 20.84
48h after-race	176.70 ± 24.17	178.47 ± 25.30	174.83 ± 23.51

410

411
412
413
414

Values presented as mean ± SD.

*p < 0.05; **p < 0.01 significant differences when comparing both groups.

Statistical analysis: ^aOne-way Anova, ^bKruskal-Wallis.

Abbreviations used: Na, sodium; K, potassium; Mg, magnesium; LDL, low-density lipoprotein; HDL, high-density lipoprotein.