A MULTISCALE NON-ORTHOGONAL MODEL FOR TENSILE PROPERTIES OF UNCOATED AND COATED F-12 ARAMID FABRIC
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Summary. Coated F-12 aramid fabric can be used as the balloon envelopes material because of the high strength and light weight performance. In this paper, a multiscale non-orthogonal material model was established to capture the tensile properties of F-12 fabric with and without polyethylene terephthalate-aluminum (PET-Al) coating. Off-axial monotonic tensile tests were carried out to validate the material model. The nonlinearity and anisotropic properties of the coated and uncoated fabrics were investigated. In this model, the stress was obtained based on the equilibrium equations and yarn constitutive model in mesoscale. The mesoscale configuration was observed through optical microscope and SEM. The material orientation was aligned with the yarn directions and the stress was updated in real time. The material model was implemented by user material subroutine in ABAQUS and simulate fabric off-axial tensile test. In addition, a theory model to calculate the elastic property of the fabric was also set up using the mesoscale deformation mechanism. The simulation results were compared with the test and theory results. Results suggest that simulation results were agree well with test results. The fabrics were nearly linearity in weft direction, while the stress-strain curve exhibited obvious nonlinearity in warp direction. The tensile modulus of the fabric showed orthotropic behaviour rather than the strength. The coating can affect the strength and failure model of F-12 fabric. Yarn slip was the mostly failure model in uncoated fabric while break in coated fabric. Research in this study provides certain reference in analysis and design for balloon envelopes.

1 INTRODUCTION

F-12 aramid fibers is spun from aromatic polyamides and copolyamides with heterocycles in the chain, whose strength (4.35-4.67GPa) is 1.69 times higher than that of Kevlar-49. It is developed by the 46th Institute, Sixth Academy of China Aerospace Science & Industry Corp. PET-Al coated F-12 aramid fabric is a suitable envelope material in stratospheric airship and super-pressure balloon. The PET-Al coating contains helium barrier layer and can be utilized to resistant the UV radiation and heat, while the fabric is used as the load carrier layer. The mechanic performance of the envelope membrane is related to the carrying capacity and service life of the aerostatics structures. Hence, the demands for the envelope material are more complex than that of architectural textiles [1].

The coated F-12 aramid fabric is a flexible laminated material, whose mechanic properties are determined by fabric layer. On the early research of fabric mechanics, a basis theoretical
model developed by Pierce [2] was only used to geometrical analysis based on the weave architecture and yarn properties. Kawabata [3], Hearle, et al. [4] detailed and expanded the model to mechanical analysis. The simplifying assumptions made the theoretical model different from the actual fabric. Hence, there are certain limitations in the theoretical analysis results.

In the former research, experiment is another main tool to investigate the mechanical behavior of the fabric [5-6]. Since coated fabric is usually used in tensioned membrane structure and inflatable structure. Hence, tensile test is the most common method, which consist mono-uniaxial test, biaxial test, cyclic test. However, the macroscopic test is unable to capture the deformation mechanism in yarn scale. The mesoscale or multiscale numerical analysis approach combined with experimental method was commonly carried out to study the systematic information of the tensile behavior of fabric.

Due to the hierarchical of the fabric, numerical method [7] can be divided into three categories, including microscopic (fiber level), mesoscopic (the yarn level) and macroscopic (the fabric level). The homogenization method, which takes the yarns and fabric as a continuum, is required in mesoscopic and macroscopic approach. Usually, the solid element and shell element are used to simulate the yarn and fabric. Many deformation and the inter-fiber or intra-fiber interaction mechanisms are ignored. The result is that as the scale increase, the computational efficiency improved, while the accuracy reduced. Some researchers developed the multiscale material model, which is a macroscale models based on mesoscale properties. However, most of their study focused on dry fabric. In this paper, a multiscale material model based on mesoscale behavior was developed to simulate the coated and uncoated fabric. The coating properties and yarn bending and shear responses were considered. The off-axial tensile tests were carried out to verify the material model. The extension responses, such as the anisotropic properties, tensile strength, deformation field, elongation at break and failure modes were analyzed. The effect of the PET-Al coating to the aramid fabric was investigated.

2 EXPERIMENTAL PROGRAMS

2.1 Test material and specimens

In this study, a plain weave fabric made of F-12 aramid fibers with and without PET-Al coating were investigated, as shown in Fig. 1. The line density of the yarn is 23 tex and the weave density is 16 yarns/cm. The uncoated fabric shows 60.2g/m² area density with a thickness of 0.130mm. The coated fabric shows 127g/m² area density with a thickness of 0.177mm. The surface morphology and cross section shape of the fabric are investigated by SEM and optical microscope respectively, as shown in Fig. 2. The figures were imported into AutoCAD software and then the structural parameters were calibrated, as shown in Tab. 1.

![Fig. 1 F-12 aramid fabric with PET-Al coating](image-url)
Fig. 1 Macro and mesostructure of F-12 fabric: surface morphology (left); cross section (right)

Tab. 1 Material properties and unit cell geometry for F-12 fabric

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Properties</th>
<th>Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yarn count (yarn/cm) warp/weft</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yarn linear density (tex) warp/weft</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fiber density (g/cm³)</td>
<td>1.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yarn spacing (mm) warp/weft</td>
<td>0.584 / 0.672</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yarn width (mm) warp/weft</td>
<td>0.523 / 0.470</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yarn height (mm) warp/weft</td>
<td>0.068 / 0.074</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crimp amplitude (mm) warp/weft</td>
<td>0.072 / 0.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crimp length (mm) warp/weft</td>
<td>0.703 / 0.586</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yarn inclined angle (°) warp/weft</td>
<td>16 / 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crimp (%) warp/weft</td>
<td>4.61 / 0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fabric thickness (mm)</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mono-uniaxial extension tests with seven different off-axis angles (θ = 0°, 15°, 30°, 45°, 60°, 75°, 90°) were conducted, according to the standard of ISO 1421-1998. The samples for the coated and uncoated fabrics were tailored into long strips by 300 × 50 mm, with four aluminum reinforced plates pasted on the end of the samples. The angle between the warp direction and the loading direction was defined as off-axis angle, as shown in Fig. 3. In coordinate system OXY, X-axis and Y-axis indicate the warp and weft direction, respectively, while in Oxy, axis x represents loading direction. The tests were conducted using INSTRON 5965 at the loading rate of 50mm/min. Both video extensometer and digital image correlation (DIC) were used to measure the strains, as shown in Fig. 4.
The yarn tensile properties are required in the multiscale model. Hence, yarn extension test was carried out refer to ASTM D2256. The sample was extract from fabric exactly. The yarn sample was cut into 350mm. Aluminum plates with the size 30mm × 50mm were pasted at the end of the sample. The effective cross section area can be calculated by the linear density and the volume density.

All the tests are conducted in the tensile test machine INSTRON5965. Two different load cells with loading range in 0-0.5KN and 0-5KN are used in yarn test and fabric test, respectively.

3 NUMERICAL SIMULATED

3.1 Material model based on meso-structure

The mechanical behavior is related to the fiber properties and yarn woven architecture. As seen in Fig. 2, F-12 fabric shows a repetitive orthogonal configuration that warp yarns interlace weft yarns one by one. It means that a representative element can be built to analyze the entire structure. In this study, a shell element which contains the cross-over point and yarns with half lengths of unit cell based on meso-structure was established, to relate macroscale material model to yarn scale, as shown in Fig. 5. The shell element is orthotropic at the initial state. In the case of shear deformation, the warp yarns and the weft yarns would rotate around the cross-over points. The angle between warp and weft yarns changed with the deformation. Hence, the shell element will be non-orthotropic. An actual material model requires the material orientation in the shell element tracking with the yarns direction in real time [8-9]. The coating will shear the load. Especially in the shear case, coating would increase the shear resistance obviously. In the case of coated fabric, coating stretching and shear items were added to the uncoated fabric model.

3.2 Tracking yarn direction

Off-axes extension test would cause shear deformation. As mentioned before, the fiber orientation has been constantly changing in the test. Based on the theory of continuum mechanics, the fiber orientation can be tracked as the following method.
The coordinate systems on the fabric before and after deformation are shown in Fig. 6. $e_i$ was the orthogonal local frame attached to the element which is called co-rotational frame. $g_i$ was a non-orthogonal frame attached to the yarn directions. The new yarn orientation can be calculated from the initial vectors and the deformation gradient, while the new co-rotational frame can be related to the initial vectors and the rotational gradient, as shown in formula (1) and (2):

$$g_i = F \cdot g_i^0$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)
$$e_i = R \cdot e_i^0$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)
$$R = FU^{-1}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where $F$ represents the deformation gradient, $U$ is the right-stretch tensor, $R$ is the rotational tensor. $g_i^0$ and $e_i^0$ are the initial unit vectors of material frame and co-rotational frame, respectively.

The current yarn stretch can be determined from:

$$\lambda_i = \sqrt{g_i^0 \cdot g_i} = \sqrt{(F \cdot g_i^0) \cdot (F \cdot g_i^0)} = \sqrt{g_i^{0T} \cdot C \cdot g_i^0}, \quad C = F^T F$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)
where $C$ is the Green deformation tensor. The angle between the warp yarns and weft yarns can be calculated by the following formulas:

$$\cos \theta = \frac{g_{11}^{0} C g_{22}^{0}}{\lambda_1 \lambda_2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)$$

Hence, the shear angle is defined as following:

$$\gamma = \frac{\pi}{2} - \theta$$ \hspace{1cm} (6)

### 3.3 Determination of stress

After shear deformation, the balanced force generated on the boundary of the shell element is shown in Fig. 7. The relationship between each force components can be established through the equilibrium equation [9].

![Fig. 7 Forces balance at the boundaries of unit cell](image)

The shear force on the boundary can be determined by following formulas:

$$F_{M_i} = \frac{M_S}{w_i} ; i = 1, 2$$ \hspace{1cm} (7)$$

$$F_{S_i} = \frac{F_{M_i}}{\sin \theta} ; i = 1, 2$$ \hspace{1cm} (8)$$

The traction force vector is defined as:

$$t = \sigma n dS$$ \hspace{1cm} (9)$$

Where $t, \sigma, n, dS$ are the traction force vector, Cauchy stress tensor, the unit normal of the surface, and small surface area. In conclusion, the Cauchy stress can be decided by yarn tension $f_{yarn,1}$, coating tension $f_{ct,1}$, yarn bending moments $M_{b1}$, the shear force $F_{S1}$:
3.4 Constitutive relations

As indicated above, the material model established was based on the yarn mechanical properties and geometry. From formula (10), the yarn tensile and bending constitutive relation, the coating tensile behavior, the fabric shear behavior are required.

The tensile force in the yarns is determined by:

$$ f_{yarn,i} = E_i (e_i) A_i e_i $$

(13)

The tensile force in the coating is determined by:

$$ f_{ct} = E_{ct} A_{ct} e_i $$

(14)

The bending behavior of the yarns are calculated from:

$$ M_{bi} = k_{bi} (\theta_i - \theta') $$

(15)

The fabric shear behavior is determined by bias tensile test. Polynomial function is used to fit the relation between normalized shear force and shear angle. Then, the parameters are obtained.

$$ F_{ab} (\gamma) = \frac{1}{(2D-W) \cos (\gamma)} \left( \frac{FD}{W} \left( \frac{1}{2} \cos \left( \frac{\gamma}{2} \right) - \sin \left( \frac{\gamma}{2} \right) \right) - W \cos \left( \frac{\gamma}{2} \right) F_{ab} \left( \frac{\gamma}{2} \right) \right) $$

(16)

$$ F_{ab} (\gamma) = a\gamma^5 + b\gamma^4 + c\gamma^3 + d\gamma^2 + e\gamma + f $$

(17)

4 THEORETICAL MODEL

According to mesoscopic model, the elastic constant of the fabric can be calculated based on energy method and Castigliano’s theorem [10]. Three dimensional sawtooth model was established to model the unit cell of fabric, as shown in Fig. 7. At initial state or on-axial tensile deformation, the warp yarns and weft yarns are orthogonal. The tensile mechanism are crimp change and yarn extension. The main load on the yarns are tensile force and bending moment. In Fig. 8, $f_i$ is the in-plane axial tensile loading, which is decomposed into the yarn part ($f_{yarn}$) and the coating part ($f_{ct}$). $v_i$ is the compress loading between warp yarns and weft yarns.
The extension strain energy of the yarn is given as:

$$U_{yarn,E} = \frac{l_1 (f_{yarn,1} \cos \theta_1 + v_1 \sin \theta_1)^2}{2E_1 A_1} + \frac{l_2 (f_{yarn,2} \cos \theta_2 + v_2 \sin \theta_2)^2}{2E_2 A_2}$$

(18)

The bending strain energy of the yarn is given as:

$$U_{yarn,B} = \frac{l_1^3 (-f_{yarn,1} \sin \theta_1 + v_1 \cos \theta_1)^2}{24E_1 I_1} + \frac{l_2^3 (-f_{yarn,2} \sin \theta_2 + v_2 \cos \theta_2)^2}{24E_2 I_2}$$

(19)

The total strain energy of the yarn is given as:

$$U_T = U_{yarn,E} + U_{yarn,B}$$

(20)

The elastic modulus in uniaxial loading can be determined as:

$$E_1 = \frac{12B_1 w_1}{l_i^3 \sin^2 \theta_1} \left( 1 + \frac{B_2 l_2^3 \cos^2 \theta_1}{B_1 l_1^3 \cos^2 \theta_2} \right)$$

(21)

where $l_i$ is the yarn length, $w_i$ is the yarn spacing, $h_i$ is the crimp amplitude, $\theta_i$ is the yarn incline angle, $B_i$ is the yarn bending stiffness, which can be calculated from $B=EI$. Then, the bending stiffnesses are obtained, $B_{warp}=0.56$ N.mm$^2$, $B_{weft}=0.63$N.mm$^2$. Plug the values in Tab. 1 and the bending stiffness into formula (23), the theoretical value of initial modulus can be calculated.

5 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

5.1 Test results
The yarn tensile behavior is shown in Fig. 9. Solid lines and dashed lines represent warp direction and weft direction, respectively. Five effective samples were tested in each type of yarns. The stress-strain curves of each type of yarns show excellent repetition, which indicate the performance and the fiber damage in weaving process are stable. The piecewise linear functions are used to fit the curves. Then, the tensile modulus are obtained:

\[
E_{\text{weft}} = \begin{cases} 
119.04 \text{ GPa; } & \varepsilon \leq 0.01 \\
83.24 \text{ GPa; } & \varepsilon > 0.01 \\
64.65 \text{ GPa; } & \varepsilon \leq 0.25\% 
\end{cases}, \text{ in weft direction}
\]

\[
E_{\text{warp}} = \begin{cases} 
112.41 \text{ GPa; } & 0.25\% < \varepsilon \leq 1\% \\
79.75 \text{ GPa; } & 1\% \leq \varepsilon 
\end{cases}, \text{ in warp direction}
\]

![Fig. 9 The stress-strain relation of F-12 single yarn](image)

![Fig. 10 The stress-strain curves of membrane material (a) uncoated fabric (b) coated fabric](image)

The on-axial and off-axial extension performance is seen in Fig. 10. The modulus of on-axial samples is greater than that of off-axial samples both for uncoated and coated fabric. The relation on the weft direction is linear, while nonlinear in warp direction. It can be explained from Fig. 2, the crimp in warp yarns is significantly larger than that in weft yarns. For the complementary angles 15° and 75° or 30° and 60°, the curves are approximated, which means the fabric is orthogonal. The elongation is increased with the bias angles and
come to maximum value at 45 °. Then the elongation decrease with the bias angles increase. The difference between the uncoated and coated fabric is that the resistance in the cross-over points of coated fabric is greater than that of uncoated fabric. It caused that in the initial bias tensile curves, the loading between the interwoven yarns need to come to the limit static friction to rotate. Hence, the tangent modulus of coated fabric is larger than that of uncoated fabric.

The tensile strength and the failure modes of uncoated and coated fabric are shown in Fig.11 and Fig. 12, respectively. Both for uncoated and coated fabric, the values of breaking forces of on-axial samples are larger than that in other directions. For the uncoated fabric, the values of breaking forces of the samples are decrease with the bias angles and come to minimum values at 45 °. Then the breaking force increase with the bias angles increase. The trend is similar to classical laminate theory. However, the breaking force for the complementary angles of 30 ° and 60 ° are larger than for angles of 15 ° and 75 °. The breaking force for an angle of 45 ° increases as compared to other different bias angles. This probably due to the resistance pf PET-Al coating to the yarn rotation makes the force increases when the direction of the force are coinciding the direction of yarns. Another effect of the coating to the fabric is the failure modes. The failure mode of on-axial uncoated samples is fiber fracture, while interface damage appears in coated samples. For off-axial uncoated samples, the necking effect due to yarn slips occurs, while for coated samples, the samples breaking because of shear failure in intra-yarns.

![Fig. 11 The tensile strength of membrane material](image)

![Fig. 12 Damage modes of membrane material: uncoated fabric (left), coated fabric (right)](image)
5.2 Numerical simulation results

Fig. 13 shows the load-extension relation for 45° off-axial tensile of uncoated and coated fabric. The discrete points represent test results, while the lines are FEM results. Blue represent the results of uncoated fabric and red represent that of coated fabric. FEM results agree well with the experiment results. The PET-Al coating affects the shear properties of the fabric obviously. The value elongation of coated fabric is larger than that of uncoated fabric. Besides, at the initial state, the shear stiffness of coated fabric is greater than that of uncoated fabric. This is due to the resistance of the coating to the yarns rotation.

![Figure 13: Load-extension relation for 45° off-axial tensile of uncoated and coated fabric](image)

Fig. 14 and Fig. 15 are the compared deformation results of FEM and experiment for the uncoated and coated fabric on off-axial tensile, respectively. Left side of Fig. 14 is the shear angle contour obtained by FEM. The other side is the actual deformation in tensile test. The deformation is basically symmetrical. However, out-plane displacement appears in the experiment, which is not consider in this material model. Fig. 15 shows the displacement contours obtained by FEM (left) and DIC (right). Both the distribution and the values are agreement. Therefore, the accuracy of the model is further validated.

![Figure 14: The FEM results compared with test results on 45° off-axial tensile of uncoated fabric](image)
Fig. 15 The FEM results compared with test results on 15° off-axial tensile of coated fabric

6 CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, a multiscale non-orthogonal material model based on mesoscopic properties was established to capture the tensile properties of F-12 fabric with and without PET-Al coating. Off-axial tensile tests were conducted to validate the model and investigate the tensile properties. 15° and 45° test results were taken to compare with the simulation results. The simulation results by the material model agreed well with the experiment of the fabric. The test results show that both uncoated fabric and coated fabric are orthogonal. The PET-Al coating will affect the tensile properties and failure modes of the fabric.

REFERENCES