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Abstract

The aim of this project is to design a solar charger to be used in places where the access to electricity is limited or non-existent and there is a great need to provide electricity to electronic devices such as smartphones, tablets or laptops.

This paper presents the basic theoretical principles and equations to model the main components of the solar portable generator, as well as the power electronics needed to perform quality battery charges without damaging the electronic devices. The paper also presents a selection of real components to build the solar charger, specifying sizes and materials used.

In addition to the technical design itself, a business plan is also developed in order to commercialize the portable solar charger through a digital platform (downloadable application). The business plan includes the value proposition, the business model, the strategic partners, the monetization policy, future revenue projections and the financial plan for the first three years of operation.

By delivering all these outcomes, the main purpose of the project is to set the fundamental bases for the creation of a start-up company dedicated to deliver energetical services using renewable energies in order to satisfy real life needs in a sustainable way.
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1. Nomenclature

**Photoelectric Effect**

$E \ [J] \rightarrow \text{Energy of the absorbed photons}$

$K_{\text{max}} \ [J] \rightarrow \text{Maximum kinetic energy of the ejected photoelectrons}$

$\phi \ [J] \rightarrow \text{Work function. Amount of energy needed to lift an electron out of the surface}$

$\nu \ [s^{-1}] \rightarrow \text{Electromagnetic frequency of the absorbed photons}$

$\nu_{\text{th}} \ [s^{-1}] \rightarrow \text{Threshold frequency}$

$\lambda \ [m] \rightarrow \text{Electromagnetic wavelength of the absorbed photons}$

$\lambda_{\text{th}} \ [m] \rightarrow \text{Threshold wavelength}$

$V_{\text{th}} \ [V] \rightarrow \text{Stopping voltage}$

$h \ [Js] \rightarrow \text{Planck constant}$

$e \ [C] \rightarrow \text{Electric charge of an electron}$

$c \ [m/s] \rightarrow \text{Speed of light}$

**Energy Band Theory and Semiconductors**

$E_g \ [J] \rightarrow \text{Energy gap (} E_g \approx 1.12 \text{ eV in silicon)}$

$E_c \ [J] \rightarrow \text{Lowest energy level in conduction band}$

$E_v \ [J] \rightarrow \text{Highest energy level in valence band}$

$N_n(E)[m^{-3}] \rightarrow \text{Density of states for free electrons in conduction band}$

$N_p(E)[m^{-3}] \rightarrow \text{Density of states for free holes in valence band}$

$m^*_n, m^*_p[kg] \rightarrow \text{Effective mass of the electron and hole, respectively}$

$k \ [J K^{-1}] \rightarrow \text{Boltzmann constant}$

$E \ [J] \rightarrow \text{Energy level of the state}$
\( E_F [J] \rightarrow \text{Fermi Energy Level} \)

\( T [K] \rightarrow \text{absolute temperature of the thermodynamic state} \)

\( f_c (E) \rightarrow \text{probability of occupation of an electron (negative charge carrier)} \)

\( f_v (E) \rightarrow \text{probability of occupation of a hole (positive charge carrier)} \)

\( n [m^{-3}] \rightarrow \text{concentration of electrons in conduction band (electrons density)} \)

\( p [m^{-3}] \rightarrow \text{concentration of holes in valence band (holes density)} \)

\( n_i [m^{-3}] \rightarrow \text{intrinsic concentration of carriers (intrinsic carriers density)} \)

\( N_a^- [m^{-3}] \rightarrow \text{concentration of acceptor impurities} \)

\( N_d^+ [m^{-3}] \rightarrow \text{concentration of donor impurities} \)

**The p-n junction**

\( J_{df,n}, J_{df,p} [Am^{-2}] \rightarrow \text{diffusion current density of the charge carriers} \)

\( J_{dr,n}, J_{dr,p} [Am^{-2}] \rightarrow \text{drift current density of the charge carriers} \)

\( D [m^2/s] \rightarrow \text{diffusion coefficient or diffusivity} \)

\( V_t [V] \rightarrow \text{voltage equivalent of temperature} \)

\( \mu [ms^{-1}V^{-1}] \rightarrow \text{electron mobility in the medium} \)

\( E_{bi} [V/m] \rightarrow \text{built – in electric field} \)

\( V_{bi} [V] \rightarrow \text{built – in voltage} \)

\( \sigma_n, \sigma_p [S/m \equiv A/V] \rightarrow \text{conductivity of the charge carriers} \)

\( W [m] \rightarrow \text{depletion's layer width} \)

\( V_{bias} [V] \rightarrow \text{external voltage applied to a p – n junction} \)

\( \varepsilon_{SC} [F/m] \rightarrow \text{permittivity of the semiconductor material} \)
PV Solar Cell

\( \alpha \ [m^{-1}] \rightarrow \text{absorption coefficient} \)

\( x \ [m] \rightarrow \text{depth in the solar cell} \)

\( G_L \ [m^{-3}] \rightarrow \text{photo generation rate} \)

\( N_0 \ [m^{-2}s^{-1}] \rightarrow \text{photon flux at the surface} \)

\( I_{ph} \ [A] \rightarrow \text{photo generated current} \)

\( I_d \ [A] \rightarrow \text{direct current in the diode} \)

\( I_{sh} \ [A] \rightarrow \text{leakage current (losses in the parallel branch)} \)

\( R_s, R_{sh} \ [\Omega] \rightarrow \text{respectively, series and shunt resistance} \)

\( G, G_{ref}, G_n \ [W/m^2] \rightarrow \text{real, reference and normal irradiances} \)

\( T_c, T_{c,ref}, T_{c,n} \ [K] \rightarrow \text{real, reference and normal cell operation temperatures} \)

\( I_{sc} \ [A] \rightarrow \text{short circuit current} \)

\( V_{oc} \ [V] \rightarrow \text{open circuit voltage} \)

\( K_{T1} \ [A/K], K_{T2} \ [V/K] \rightarrow \text{respectively, temperature coefficients for } I_{sc} \text{ and } V_{oc} \)

\( I_o, I_{o,ref} \ [A] \rightarrow \text{respectively, real and reference inverse saturation current in the diode} \)

\( \eta_d \ [\text{adim.}] \rightarrow \text{ideality factor of the diode} \)

\( (V_{mp} \ [V], I_{mp} \ [A]) \rightarrow \text{maximum power point (MPP)} \)

\( P_{mp} \ [W] \rightarrow \text{maximum power} \)

\( FF \ [\text{adim.}] \rightarrow \text{Fill Factor} \)

\( \eta \ [\text{adim.}] \rightarrow \text{solar cell efficiency} \)

\( A \ [m^2] \rightarrow \text{area of the solar cell surface} \)

\( N_p \ [\text{adim.}] \rightarrow \text{number of cells connected in parallel in a module} \)

\( N_s \ [\text{adim.}] \rightarrow \text{number of cells connected in series in a module} \)
Lithium-ion batteries

$C_n [Ah] \rightarrow$ nominal capacity of the battery

$V_{\text{REG}} [V] \rightarrow$ constant voltage applied during the absorption phase (cut-off voltage)

$I_{\text{REG}} [A] \rightarrow$ constant current applied during the bulk phase

$SOC [%] \rightarrow$ state of charge

Power electronics

$V_{\text{PV}} [V], I_{\text{PV}} [A] \rightarrow$ voltage and current provided by the PV array

$V_{\text{in}} [V], I_{\text{in}} [A] \rightarrow$ input voltage and current of DC/DC converter

$V_{\text{out}} [V], I_{\text{out}} [A] \rightarrow$ output voltage and current of DC/DC converter

$D$ [adim.] $\rightarrow$ duty cycle

$t_{\text{ON}} [s] \rightarrow$ time when the transistor is ON in a commutation period

$T_{\text{c}} [s] \rightarrow$ commutation period in a DC/DC converter

$N_{\text{USB}}$ [adim.] $\rightarrow$ number of USB outlets in the solar charger
2. Preface

2.1. Origin of the Project and Motivations

Since I started my studies in engineering, I have been developing an increasing interest in renewable energy systems. My first approach to the renewable energy generation systems was in February 2016, when I started a project directed by professor Oriol Gomis Bellmunt which consisted in the design of an autonomous microgrid based in wind power generation and a pump-turbine hydroelectric storage system in an isolated island located in the Cabo Verde archipelago. This project wasn’t as detailed as I would like in the technical aspects because I had only been studying renewable energy systems for three months, but it was a good way to introduce myself in this field of knowledge.

After that, I moved to the Netherlands and I started a group project in the TU Delft University about modeling an autonomous solar powered microgrid for 50 households and simulate its behavior under different conditions. Thanks to that project I developed advanced skills in power systems simulation using the Matlab-Simulink software package, among others.

I decided to do my Bachelor Final Thesis about the design and simulation of a grid connected microgrid with solar generation, batteries and a Low Voltage Direct Current (LVDC) generation system, which introduced me in the field of advanced power electronics. Finished my bachelor, I decided to specialize in Energy.

While doing my MSc in engineering, I also enrolled in a postgraduate course about business applied to technology and I worked in an Electric Supply Company for seven months, getting in touch with the real application in the market of the technologies that I had been previously studying. That experiences made me increase my interest in real and innovative business applications, so I decided to focus my Master Final Thesis on developing a business model around a renewable energy generation system in order to solve a real need.

My idea fitted perfectly with the topic that professor Emilio Hernández had proposed, so I decided to pick it and start to work on it.
2.2. Required knowledge and skills

In order to do this project, I have applied the background knowledge acquired during my studies in engineering and in business development. The fundamental topics are:

- Photovoltaic systems
- Power electronics and converters
- Dynamical systems and controllers
- Energy, electronic and control systems simulation (Matlab-Simulink, HOMER, OrCAD, LTspice)
- Business and financial planning
- Future Revenue Projections
3. Introduction

This idea of this project is born after the identification of a real problem which creates a need in the market that can be solved with photovoltaic technology, generating a business opportunity. The introduction aims to define that problem and establish the objectives and the scope of the project.

3.1. Definition of the problem and Objectives

Problem:

The problem to be solved in this project was identified from my own experience and after asking for the opinion of 15 different young people (age between 20-28 years old) about it. What they all had in common was the fact that they often go to music festivals or other outdoor activities that last several days, like motorsport events.

If you go to an outdoor activity that lasts more than two or three days, you are at high risk that your electronic devices (smartphones, tablets, laptops) run out of battery. This is due to the difficult access to electricity that these places have and to the short battery life of these devices, which rarely exceed 24 hours even if excessive use is not made. This fact can be a serious problem since someone may need to use the electronic device for something important or urgent (for example: getting lost, looking for a specific friend or others).

This problem creates a clear need in the people involved in these situations: charging their smartphones (or other electronic devices) as soon as possible without connecting them to the electric grid. Photovoltaic energy is a good solution to solve this problem, satisfying the need.

Objective:

The main objective of this project is to create a start-up company that can satisfy the need of charging electronic devices in places without access to the electric grid in a short period of time by using a photovoltaic energy generation system, making life easier for the clients that use our service.

Like all private companies, another principal objective is to create a business which is economically viable, can deliver value to their clients and can have a positive net profit in the medium term.
3.2. Scope

The scope of the project establishes which tasks are going to be made and which ones are not. It is very important to define the scope precisely in order to determine the time and resources needed.

In scope:
- Detailed explanation of the theoretical background of a solar charger
- Design and simulation of a Minimum Viable Product (MVP) for the solar charger:
  o Design the general electric scheme
  o Identify and select the components
  o Design the simulation model for the power electronics
  o Simulate the system under different conditions
- Qualitative explanation of the subsequent improvements to be made in the MVP in order to develop the Final Product.
- Business Plan:
  o Business Model and Value Proposition (brand creation, key partnerships, monetization policy, etc)
  o Future Revenue Projection – Economic study of the project
- Environmental Impact

Out of scope:
- Product external design – 3D modelling of the solar charger
- Build the prototype of the solar charger
- Develop the digital platform for the commercialization of the product/service
- Set the company, contact the strategic partners, fundraising
4. State of the Art

4.1. Photovoltaic Principles

*Definition of photovoltaic: adj. Providing a source of electric current under the influence of light or similar radiation.* [1]

4.1.1. The Photoelectric Effect (from Becquerel to Einstein)

Edmond Becquerel appears to have been the first to experience the photoelectric effect. In 1839, he generated electricity by illuminating Pt electrodes with different types of light. Best results were obtained with blue or ultraviolet light and when electrodes were coated with light sensitive material such as AgCl. As it can be observed in Figure 4.1, the electrodes were submerged in a conductive acidic solution and, when illuminated, voltage and current was generated. [2].

![Figure 4.1. Diagram of “apparatus” described by Becquerel](image)

In 1877, Adams and Day observed the photoelectric effect in an all solid-state system by running the next experiment [Figure 4.2]. They pushed two heated platinum contacts into opposite ends of small cylinders of vitreous selenium, tucked into a vacuum glass tube. Their intention was to prove that it was possible to create a current in the selenium merely by the action of light, and the result was positive. [1]

![Figure 4.2. Sample geometry used by Adam and Day for the experiment.](image)
In 1887, soon after discovering radio waves, Heinrich Hertz observed that when ultraviolet light from the sparks of his radio wave generator fell on the negative electrode of his radio wave detector, they induced a flow of electricity in the gap between the electrodes. He finally discovered that light of sufficiently short wavelength causes the emission of charge from a metal surface, but he didn’t try to explain this effect. [3]

In 1897, Joseph John Thompson discovered the electron by experimenting with a cathode ray tube [Figure 4.3], demonstrating that cathode rays were negatively charged. He discovered this by applying electric field in the path of cathode ray and observing that the ray was deflected towards positively charged plate. Hence cathode ray consists of negatively charged particles. Thomson was also able to determine the charge-to-mass ratio of the electron. Today, the accepted value of e/m is $1.7588196 \times 10^{11}$ C/kg. [3]

![Cathode ray tube](image)

*Figure 4.3. Cathode ray tube. Left, without deflection. Right, rays deflected by an electric field.*

Philipp Lenard, an assistant of Hertz who continued with his work, discovered in 1902 that charge-to-mass (e/m) ratio of the emitted charge from a metal surface when it was illuminated was identical to that of the electrons which has recently been discovered by J. J. Thompson. [ref. The Photoelectric Effect, MIT] He proved it by running the next experiment [Figure 4.4]. He used metal surfaces that were held under a vacuum. The metal sample (photoemissive) was housed in an evacuated glass tube with a second metal plate (photoreceptive) mounted at the opposite end. The tube was then positioned in some manner so that light would only shine on the first metal plate. Such a tube is called a photocell. Lenard connected his photocell to a circuit with a variable power supply, voltmeter, and microammeter. He then illuminated the photoemissive surface with light of differing frequencies and intensities. Knocking electrons free from the photoemissive plate
would give it a slight positive charge. Since the second plate was connected to the first by the wiring of the circuit, it too would become positive, which would then attract the photoelectrons floating freely through the vacuum where they would land and return to the plate from which they started.

![Lenard’s photocell diagram](image)

*Figure 4.4. Lenard’s photocell diagram*

Lenard concluded that electrically charged particles are liberated from a metal surface when it is illuminated and that these particles are identical to electrons (also called photoelectrons in this case). [4]

The next relevant discovery about the photoelectric effect was made in 1914 by Robert Millikan. He found that light with frequencies below a certain cutoff value, called the *threshold frequency* (which depends on the material), would not eject photoelectrons from the metal surface no matter how bright the source was [*Figure 4.5*]. These results were unexpected for classical physicists. Given that it is possible to move electrons with light and given that the energy in a beam of light is related to its intensity, classical physics would predict that a more intense beam of light would eject electrons with greater energy than a less intense beam no matter what the frequency. This was not the case. [4]

![Milikan’s Discovery](image)

*Figure 4.5. Milikan’s Discovery*
After Milikan’s discovery, classical physics was not able to explain the photoelectric effect anymore because the classical model of light as a transverse, electromagnetic wave was not applicable to these next two facts:

- Maximum kinetic energy of the released electrons did not vary with the intensity of the light, but was proportional instead to the frequency of the light.
- There was virtually no time lag between the arrival of radiation and the emission of electrons.

In 1900, German theoretical physicist Max Planck revolutionized the field of physics by discovering that energy does not flow continuously but is instead released in discrete packets. His discovery ended the primacy of what many people now call "classical physics" in favor of the study of quantum physics.

Planck’s hypothesis: “the energy associated with the radiation of a given frequency takes only multiple values of an elementary quantum that is proportional to the frequency of the radiation.” The proportionality constant between the frequency of the radiation and its elementary is known as Planck’s constant (\(h\)).

This hypothesis was used by Albert Einstein to discover the “the law of photoelectric effect” in 1905. If Planck’s hypothesis is taken as a given, it means that matter radiates its energy in quanta of energy (\(E = h \cdot \nu\)). Einstein postulated that light delivers its energy to an absorber in quanta with energy (\(E = h \cdot \nu\)). Thus, if it takes an amount of energy to lift an electron out of the surface and away from its image charge (also called work function, \(\phi\)), then the maximum kinetic energy (\(K_{\text{max}}\)) of the ejected electron is:

\[K_{\text{max}} = E - \phi = h \cdot \nu - \phi = h \cdot (\nu - \nu_0) \quad \text{[eq. 1]}\]

Being \(E = h \cdot \nu\) the energy of the absorbed photons with frequency \(\nu\), being \(\phi = h \cdot \nu_0\) the work function with threshold frequency \(\nu_0\) and being \(h \equiv 6,626 \cdot 10^{-34} \text{ Js}\) the Planck’s constant.

Using fundamental equations from electrostatics and electromagnetic radiation:

\[c = \nu \cdot \lambda \quad \text{[eq. 2]} \quad \Delta V \cdot q = W \rightarrow V_0 \cdot e = K_{\text{max}} \quad \text{[eq. 3]}\]

We can express eq.1 like:

\[V_0 = \frac{h}{e} \cdot (\nu - \nu_0) = \frac{h}{e} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{\lambda} - \frac{1}{\lambda_0}\right) \quad \text{[eq. 4]}\]

Being \(q = e = -1,6 \cdot 10^{-19} \text{ C}\) the electric charge of an electron, \(c \equiv 3 \cdot 10^8 \text{ m/s}\) the speed of light, \(\lambda\) and \(\lambda_0\) the wavelength of the absorbed photons and the threshold wavelength, respectively. Also being \(V_0\) the stopping potential (the potential necessary to stop any electron, even the one with the most kinetic energy, from reaching the other side). If we apply
a negative potential on the photoemissive plate, the electrons will not approach so easily. Only the electrons with sufficient energy and hence, velocity, will be able to surpass this opposing potential (also called retarding potential) of the plate. If we keep on increasing this negative retarding potential, there will be one point when no more electrons reach this plate and thus, no electron flows through the circuit. Thus, current flow through the circuit stops [Figure 4.6].

![Figure 4.6](image)

*Figure 4.6. Evolution of the current flow for different voltage values in a photocell circuit. $V_0$ is the stopping potential, so no current is generated for higher negative values of $V$. The light intensity of the red curve is higher than the light intensity of the blue curve.*

In 1916, Robert Millikan verified Einstein’s equation and showed with high precision that the value of Einstein’s constant $\hbar$ was the same as Planck’s constant. [3] [4]

The photoelectric effect is summarized in [Figure 4.7][4]

![Figure 4.7](image)

*Figure 4.7. Kinetic energy of the photoelectrons ejected for different frequency values. Different materials have different threshold frequency values. The gradient of the curve equals the Planck’s constant.*

The photoelectric effect is the fundamental theory for the direct conversion of solar energy
into electricity, so it is the basis of photovoltaic technology.

4.1.2. Semiconductor materials

Bohr Atomic Model

In 1911, Ernest Rutherford provided conclusive proof of the inadequacy of the Thompson atomic atom based on the results of a series of experiments carried out by Hans Geiger and Ernest Madsen. The most relevant experiment is the one in which a collimated beam (parallel rays, so minimum dispersion of the rays as they propagate) of alpha particles \((\alpha = He^+_2)\) strike a gold foil. They found that few alpha particles were scattered at large angles. Rutherford concluded that instead of being spread throughout the atom, the positive charge is in fact concentrated in a very small region at the center of the atom called nucleus, around which the negatively charged electrons (much lighter) circulate in orbits. [5]

![Figure 4.8. Left, gold foil experiment. Middle, Thompson vs. Rutherford model. Right, Rutherford planetary model of the atom.](image)

The Rutherford atomic model had one major problem; the atoms were unstable because the negatively charged electron could fall into the nucleus. Thus, an atom would rapidly collapse to nuclear dimension. In 1913, Niels Bohr applied Planck and Einstein’s quantum physics to Rutherford model and came up with a simple model of atomic structure.

Bohr model is based in the next four postulates:

1- Atomic electron move in circular orbits about a massive nucleus under the influence of the Coloumb attraction between the electron and the nucleous, obeying laws of classical mechanics. \(\rightarrow\) based in Rutherford model

2- Instead of the infinity of orbits which would be possible in classical mechanics, an electron can in fact only move in an orbit for which its angular momentum \(L\) is quantized (integral multiple of an elementary energy state) \(\rightarrow\) based in Planck’s quantum hypothesis.
3- Electrons can only gain or lose energy by jumping from one allowed orbit to another, absorbing or emitting electromagnetic radiation with frequency \( \nu \), determined by the energy difference of the level \( \Delta E = E_f - E_i = h \cdot \nu \) [eq. 5] based in Einstein’s postulate.

4- An electron moving in such an allowed orbit does not radiate electromagnetic energy \( \rightarrow \) Bohr’s original postulate

Since the Bohr model could well explain the spectra of atoms with one valence electron, it had a domain of applicability. So, it could not be entirely wrong and would have to correspond in some way with another, possibly more successful theory. [5]

![Bohr Atomic Model](image)

**Figure 4.9. Bohr Atomic Model**

**Energy Band Theory**

Between 1915 and 1930, several important discoveries were made in the fields of quantum physics:

- 1916: Arnold Sommerfield postulates the Sommerfield Atomic Model based on Bohr Model
- 1924: Louis-Victor de Broglie postulates the Wave-Particle duality theory
- 1925: Wolfgang Pauli postulates the Pauli Exclusion Principle
- 1925: Erwin Schrödinger postulates the Schrödinger equation, which describes the wave function of a mechanical system.
- 1926: Erwin Schrödinger postulates the Schrödinger Atomic Model.
- 1926: Enrico Fermi and Paul Dirac postulate Fermi-Dirac quantum statistics.
- 1927: Enrico Pauli corrects Schrödinger equation and Atomic Model, postulating Schrödinger-Pauli equation and Atomic Model.
- 1927: Werner Heisenberg postulates Heisenberg Uncertainty Principle

This theoretical framework is soon applied to solid-state physics:

- 1927: Arnold Sommerfield postulates the Free Electron Model, which explains the behavior of charge carriers in a metallic solid.
Free Electron Model evolves to Nearly Free Electron Model, which enables understanding and calculating the electronic band structure of especially metals.

The basis for discussing transport in semiconductors is the underlying electronic band structure of the material arising from the solution of the Schrödinger equation. In isolated atoms, the electrons are orbiting around their nuclei with certain energy levels. When isolated atoms are brought together, to form a solid, various interactions (due to attraction and repulsion forces) occur between neighboring atoms. As a consequence of these interactions, the energy levels of individual atoms are transformed into common energy bands. The energy band structure of a solid describes ranges of energy that an electron is forbidden or allowed to have. [6]

As the electron clouds of individual atoms in a solid are overlapped, electrons of higher energies are no longer belonging to a specific atom (free electrons). In contrast, the electrons that are found in the lower energy levels are somewhat tightly bound to their nuclei (non-free electrons). In fact, the original discrete energy levels of such electrons are split into dense groups of adjacent levels that we called energy bands. These dense groups of levels (or energy bands) are belonging to the crystal rather than to individual atoms. Usually, these energy bands are separated by successive forbidden regions, that we call energy gaps [Figure 4.10]. [6]

![Figure 4.10](Image)  
*Figure 4.10. Schematic of energy level splitting and formation of energy bands in a diamond crystal of N atoms as a function of interatomic distance R. The distance R₀ is the interatomic separation at the state of equilibrium between atomic forces. For high R values, atoms are isolated and have discrete energy levels.*

The highest filled energy band, in the energy band diagram, is usually called: valence band. Also, the energy band just above the valence band is called the conduction band. The
Valence and conduction band are separated by a region called the energy gap \( (E_g) \). The height of the energy gap is given by:

\[
E_g = E_c - E_v [eq. 6]
\]

Being \( E_c \) the lowest energy level in the conduction band and \( E_v \) the highest energy level in the valence band. [6]

![Figure 4.11. Schematic of the energy band diagram (versus crystal spatial position).](image)

**Conductors, Semiconductors and Insulators**

The classification of the crystalline solid depends on the configuration of its band structure [Figure 4.12]:

- **Conductors**: Metals are conductors. There is no band gap between their valence and conduction bands, since they overlap. There is a continuous availability of electrons in these closely spaced orbitals. \( \rightarrow \) charge carriers: electrons
- **Semiconductors**: Semiconductors have a small energy gap between the valence band and the conduction band. Electrons can make the jump up to the conduction band, but not with the same ease as they do in conductors. \( \rightarrow \) charge carriers: electrons and holes
- **Insulators**: In insulators, the band gap between the valence band and the conduction band is so large that electrons cannot make the energy jump from the valence band to the conduction band. \( \rightarrow \) charge carriers: none [7]
Charge Carriers

A charge carrier is a particle or quasiparticle that is free to move, carrying an electric charge. Examples are electrons, ions and holes. In a conducting medium, an electric field can exert force on these free particles, causing a net motion of the particles through the medium; this is what constitutes an electric current. [7]

The electrical conductivity of a material depends on the number of charge carriers (i.e., free electrons and free holes) per unit volume (charge carrier density) and on the rate at which these carriers move under the influence of an electric field. [8]

To determine the charge carrier density, it is necessary to define two solid-state physics concepts: density of states and probability of occupation.

- **Density of states (DOS):** describes the number of states that are available to be occupied by the system at each level of energy, and it is noted as a function \( N(E) \). For a crystalline semiconductor the functions are:

\[
N_n(E) = \frac{\pi}{2} \left( \frac{8m_n^*}{\hbar^2} \right)^{3/2} \sqrt{E - E_c} \quad \text{[eq. 7]}
\]

\[
N_p(E) = \frac{\pi}{2} \left( \frac{8m_p^*}{\hbar^2} \right)^{3/2} \sqrt{E_F - E} \quad \text{[eq. 8]}
\]

Being \( N_n(E), N_p(E) \) the density of states for electrons and holes, respectively. \( m^* \) is the effective mass of the charge carrier.

- **Probability of occupation:** show how likely it is for a given energy level to be occupied by a fermion (electrons and holes are fermions because they follow the Pauli Exclusion Principle). It follows the Fermi-Dirac \( f(E) \) distribution:

\[
f_c(E) = f(E) = \frac{1}{e^{\frac{E - E_F}{kT}} + 1} \quad \text{[eq. 9]}
\]

\[
f_v(E) = 1 - f(E) \quad \text{[eq. 10]}
\]

\( f_c(E), f_v(E) \) are the probability of occupation of an electron and a hole, respectively. Being \( k = 1.3806 \cdot 10^{-23} \ J K^{-1} \) the Boltzmann constant; \( T \) and \( E \) the absolute temperature and energy of the state, respectively. \( E_F \) is the Fermi level (the energy
level such that, at thermodynamic equilibrium, have a 50% probability of being occupied at any given time).

Defined these two concepts, the charge carrier density functions can be defined as:

\[ n(E) = N_n(E) \cdot f(E) \quad [\text{eq. 11}] \]
\[ p(E) = N_p(E) \cdot [1 - f(E)] \quad [\text{eq. 12}] \]

Being \( n(E) \) the density of electrons and \( p(E) \) the density of holes.

In [Figure 4.13] all the described functions are plotted both for electrons and holes \( N_n(E), N_p(E), f_c(E), f_v(E), n(E), p(E) \).

![Figure 4.13. Density of state functions, probability of occupation functions and charge carrier density functions for electron and holes.](image)

To find the value of the concentration of electrons and the concentrations of holes (charge carrier density) the functions \( n(E), p(E) \) must be integrated as follows:

\[ n = \int_{E_c}^{\infty} N_n(E) \cdot f_c(E) \cdot dE = \cdots = N_c \cdot e^{-\frac{(E_c - E_F)}{kT}} \cdot \left( \frac{2\pi m^*_n kT}{h^2} \right)^{3/2} \quad [\text{eq. 13}] \]

\[ p = \int_{-\infty}^{E_v} N_p(E) \cdot f_v(E) \cdot dE = \cdots = N_v \cdot e^{-\frac{(E_v - E_F)}{kT}} \cdot \left( \frac{2\pi m^*_p kT}{h^2} \right)^{3/2} \quad [\text{eq. 14}] \]

Being \( N_c \) the effective density of states in the conduction band and \( N_v \) the effective density of states in the valence band.

For solving this integration, the Boltzmann approximation [eq. 15][eq.16] has been used. This approximation is valid when \( |E - E_F| \gg kT \).

\[ f_c(E) = f(E) \equiv e^{-\frac{(E - E_F)}{kT}} ; (E - E_F) > 3kT \quad [\text{eq. 15}] \]
\[ f_v(E) = 1 - f(E) \equiv e^{-\frac{(E_F - E)}{kT}} ; (E_F - E) > 3kT \quad [\text{eq. 16}] \]

The Fermi level can be expressed with the next equation:
Intrinsic and Extrinsic Semiconductors

An intrinsic semiconductor is a pure semiconductor. This means that holes in the valence band are vacancies created by electrons that have been thermally excited to the conduction band (Si, Ge, GaAs), as opposed to doped semiconductors where holes or electrons are supplied by a “foreign” atom acting as an impurity.

In an intrinsic semiconductor there exists an equal number of free electrons and free holes \([eq. 18]\) [Figure 4.14]. The electrons and holes, however, have different mobilities (that is to say, they move with different velocities in an electric field). For example, for intrinsic silicon at room temperature, the electron mobility is 1500 \(cm^2/Vs\) (an electron will move at a velocity of 1500 centimetres per second under an electric field of one volt per centimeter) while the hole mobility is 500 \(cm^2/Vs\). The mobilities of a given semiconductor generally decrease with increasing temperature or with increased impurity concentration. [10]

\[ n = p = n_i \quad [eq. 18] \]

\[
E_F = \frac{E_C + E_V}{2} + \frac{kT}{2} \ln \left( \frac{N_V}{N_C} \right) \quad [eq. 17]
\]

\([9]\)

From \([eq. 18]\) the law of mass action is deduced for intrinsic semiconductors:

\[ n \cdot p = n_i^2 = N_C N_V \cdot e^{\frac{(E_C - E_V)}{kT}} = N_C N_V \cdot e^{\frac{E_g}{kT}} \quad [eq. 19] \]

Applying \([eq. 13]\) and \([eq. 14]\) to \([eq. 19]\) we get the expression of the intrinsic carrier density:

\[ n_i = 2 \left( \frac{2\pi kT}{\hbar^2} \right)^{3/2} \left( m_n m_p \right)^{3/4} \cdot e^{-\frac{E_g}{kT}} \quad [eq. 20] \]
Electrical conduction in intrinsic semiconductors is quite poor at room temperature. To produce higher conduction, one can intentionally introduce impurities (typically to a concentration of one part per million host atoms). This is the so-called doping process. The doped semiconductor materials are called extrinsic semiconductors.

In extrinsic semiconductors, the number of holes will not equal the number of electrons jumped. There are two different kinds of extrinsic semiconductors, p-type (positive charge doped) and n-type (negative charge doped).

p-type: doped with III group elements (B, Al, In, Ga), with only three electrons in their valence band. When introduced to the crystalline structure it will tend to capture an electron in the valence band, generating additional holes in the semiconductor. Holes are the principal carriers.

n-type: doped with V group elements (P, As), with five electrons in their valence band. When introduced. When introduced to the crystalline structure it will tend to donate an electron to the conduction band, generating additional electrons in the semiconductor. Electrons are the principal carriers.

Figure 4.15. Plot of the intrinsic density versus a $T$ function for three different semiconductor materials.
Mass action law and charge neutrality law for extrinsic semiconductors in thermic equilibrium:

\[
\begin{align*}
\{ & n \cdot p = n_i^2 \\
& n + N_A^- = p + N_D^+ \quad [eq. 21]
\end{align*}
\]

Where \( N_A^- \), \( N_D^+ \) are the concentration of ionized acceptor and donator impurities, respectively.

For a n-type SC: \( N_D \gg N_A ; N_D \gg n_i \), hence:

\[ n \approx N_D ; p \approx \frac{n_i^2}{N_D} \quad [eq. 22] \]

For a p-type SC: \( N_A \gg N_D ; N_A \gg n_i \), hence:

\[ p \approx N_A ; n \approx \frac{n_i^2}{N_A} \quad [eq. 23] \]

The carrier concentration varies with temperature as shown in [Figure 4.18], showing three different regions: partial ionization, extrinsic, intrinsic.
Other interesting topics: Hall effect, generation and recombination of charge carriers, diffusion currents in an extrinsic semiconductor. [9] [10]

4.1.3. The p-n junction

In the photovoltaic effect, a voltage is generated when the electrons freed by the incident light are separated from the holes that are generated, producing a difference in electrical potential. This is typically done by using a p-n junction rather than a pure semiconductor. A p-n junction occurs at the juncture between p-type (positive) and n-type (negative) semiconductors. Illumination frees electrons and holes on opposite sides of the junction to produce a voltage across the junction that can generate current, thereby converting light into electrical power. [10]

p-n junction in thermal equilibrium

Thermal equilibrium: defined by a constant temperature distribution and no electrical, optical, mechanical or chemical excitation is applied from the outside world. (also called zero bias)

Before forming the junction, there are two different doped regions (one is n-type and the other is p-type) which are electrically neutral. Each region has a large number of majority carriers (nearly as many as ionized impurities or dopants) and a very small number of minority carriers. Applying [eq. 21] we get:

For p-region:  \( p_p - n_p - N_A = 0 \) [eq. 24]

For n-region:  \( p_n - n_n - N_D = 0 \) [eq. 25]
Now, both regions are brought in contact:

1- Diffusion mechanism: A huge gradient of the carrier concentration appears at the border of the two regions. Consequently, and obeying the Fick’s first law [eq. 26], an enormous carrier flux of electrons and holes turns up in such a way that the carriers tend to generate a uniform distribution of the concentration of each carrier inside the structure. Hence, a current density is generated in the material ($J_{df,n}$, $J_{df,p}$).

$$J_{df,n} = e \cdot D_n \frac{dn}{dx}; \quad J_{df,p} = -e \cdot D_p \frac{dp}{dx} \quad [eq. 26]$$

Where $D$ is the diffusion coefficient (or diffusivity) which, according to Einstein relation on electrical mobility, can be expressed as a relation of the electron mobility coefficient ($\mu$) and the volt equivalent of temperature ($V_t$):

$$D = \mu V_t = \mu \left(\frac{kT}{e}\right) \quad [eq. 27]$$

2- Built-in electric field: As the electrons in the n-type material diffuse across towards the p-type side, they leave behind positively charged phosphorus ions, near the interface between the n and p regions. Similarly, the positive holes in the p-type region diffuse towards the n-type side and leave behind negatively charged boron ions. These fixed ions set up an electric field right at the junction between the n-type and p-type material. This electric field points from the positively charged ions in the n-type material to the negatively charged ions in the p-type material.

3- Junction Equilibrium: Thus, the “built-in” electric field causes some of the electrons and holes to flow in the opposite direction to the flow caused by diffusion. These opposing
flows eventually reach a stable equilibrium with the number of electrons flowing due to diffusion exactly balancing the number of electrons flowing back due to the electric field. The net flow of electrons across the junction is zero and the net flow of holes across the junction is also zero.

![Figure 4.21](image)

**Figure 4.21.** Motion of mobile electrons and holes due to diffusion and the built-in electric field.

Although there is no net flow of current across the junction there has been established an electric field at the junction and it is this electric field that is the basis of the operation of diodes, transistors and solar cells. [11] [12]

So, a drift current density \( J_{dr,n} , J_{dr,p} \) is created because of the built-in electric field, following the Ohm’s law:

\[
J_{dr,n} = \sigma_n E_{bi} \quad J_{dr,p} = \sigma_p E_{bi} \quad [eq. 28]
\]

Being \( \sigma \) the electrical conductivity of charge carriers defined as:

\[
\sigma_n = e\eta_n \quad \sigma_p = e\mu_p \quad [eq. 29]
\]

We can also express the built-in electric field as a linear voltage gradient:

\[
E_{bi}(x) = -\frac{dV_{bi}(x)}{dx} \quad [eq. 30]
\]

Considering that, in equilibrium, there is no net flow of electrons across the junction (so there is no net current density):

\[
J_p = J_{df,p} + J_{dr,p} = 0 \quad [eq. 31]
\]

Applying [eq. 26][eq.28][eq.29] to [eq.31] we get the next expression:

\[
J_p(x) = e \left[ \mu_p p(x) E_{bi}(x) \right] = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{\mu_p}{D_p} E_{bi}(x) = \frac{1}{p(x)} \frac{dp(x)}{dx} \quad [eq. 32]
\]

Hence, applying [eq. 27][eq. 30] to [eq.32] we get:

\[
-\frac{e}{kT} \frac{dV_{bi}(x)}{dx} = \frac{1}{p(x)} \frac{dp(x)}{dx} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{dV_{bi}(x)}{dx} = -\frac{kT dp(x)}{e p(x)} \quad [eq. 33]
\]
Integrating from P to N region we get the expression of the built-in voltage:

\[ V_{bi} = \frac{kT}{e} \ln \left( \frac{P_p}{P_n} \right) \] [eq. 34]

Finally, applying [eq.22][eq.23] to [eq.33] we get a better expression for built-in voltage:

\[ V_{bi} = \frac{kT}{e} \ln \left( \frac{N_A N_D}{n_i^2} \right) \] [eq. 35]

4- Depletion region: Within the depletion region, there are very few mobile electrons and holes. It is "depleted" of mobile charges, leaving only the fixed charges associated with the dopant atoms. As a result, the depletion region is highly resistive and now behaves as if it were pure crystalline silicon: as a nearly perfect insulator.

Depletion layer’s width \( W \) depends on the built-in voltage and can be modified by adding an external electric field, which is also called bias. The depletion region can therefore be considered to operate as a voltage-controlled resistor.

It is possible to deduce the width function of the depletion layer using Poisson’s equation, getting the next expression:

\[ W(V) = \sqrt{\frac{2 \varepsilon_{SC}}{e} \cdot \frac{N_D + N_A}{N_D N_A} \cdot V} \] [eq. 36]

Being \( \varepsilon_{SC} \) the permittivity of the semiconductor material.

If the p-n junction is in equilibrium the voltage \( V \) will be the built-in voltage \( V_{bi} \), but if there is an external electric field the new voltage will be: [11] [12]

\[ V = V_{bi} - V_{bias} \] [eq. 37]

**Figure 4.23. Depletion region behavior.**

**p-n junction with forward and reverse bias**

At the p-n junction, the "built-in" electric field and the applied electric field are in opposite directions. So, forward bias reduces the resultant field at the junction and reverse bias
increases it, as shown in [eq.37].

If a positive voltage is applied to the p-type side and a negative voltage to the n-type side, current can flow (depending upon the magnitude of the applied voltage). This configuration is called "Forward Biased". This results in a thinner, less resistive depletion region. If the applied voltage is large enough, the depletion region's resistance becomes negligible [Figure 4.25]. In silicon, this occurs at about 0.7 volts forward bias. From 0 to 0.7 volts, there is still considerable resistance due to the depletion region. Above 0.7 volts, the depletion region's resistance is very small and current flows virtually unimpeded.

If a negative voltage is applied to the p-type side and a positive voltage to the n-type side, no (or exceptionally small) current flows. This configuration is called "Reverse Biased". This creates a thicker, more resistive depletion region. In reality, some current will still flow through this resistance, but it may be considered to be zero [Figure 4.25]. As the applied reverse bias voltage becomes larger, the current flow will saturate at a constant but very small value (around -20 μA in Silicon) [11]

\[ W_{rev.bias} > W_{bi} > W_{for.bias} \text{ [eq. 38]} \]

![Figure 4.24. Left, forwarded biased p-n junction. Right, reverse biased p-n junction.](image)

**Characteristic curve**

As it can be seen in [Figure 4.25], if reverse bias voltage becomes too large then the junction will break down and the current will flow. This is called Avalanche Breakdown and it happens because, as the magnitude of the reverse voltage increases, the kinetic energy of the minority charge carriers also increases. These fast moving electrons collide with the other atoms to release some more electrons from them, by breaking the covalent bonds.
4.1.4. PV Solar Cell

PV cell is considered the fundamental power conversion unit of a PV-based power system.

Description

PV cell is basically a semiconductor p-n junction-based photodiode [Figure 4.26]. This semiconductor photodiode generates electrical power when exposed to light.

Solar cells are made of different layers of materials [Figure 4.27]. They have a protective glass plate, thin films as moisture barriers and the actual solar cells which convert the energy.
Crystalline Silicon (Si) is the most used semiconductor material in solar cells. Both monocrystalline and polycrystalline Silicon are common in the industry. [13]

**PV Cell Operation**

The principle of operation of a PV cell is based on the basic principle of photoelectric effect [Chapter 4.1.1]. So, in a PV cell, when sunlight strikes its surface, some portion of the solar energy is absorbed in the semiconductor material. If the absorbed energy is greater than the band gap energy of the semiconductor, the electron from the valence band jumps to the conduction band. By this, additional charge carrier are created as pairs of hole-electron in the illuminated region of the semiconductor [Chapter 4.1.2]. The electrons thus created in the conduction band are now free to move. These free electrons are forced to move in a particular direction by the action of the electric field presented in the PV cells [Chapter 4.1.3]. These flowing electrons constitute current and can be drawn for external use by connecting a metal plate on top and bottom of PV cells. Current and voltage (created because of its built-in electric field) generate electric power. [14]

Because of the built-in electric field, the electrons are attracted towards the positive charge on the n-type material side. Similarly, the holes are attracted to the negative charge on the p-type material side. This separation of charges causes a current to flow across the junction. The direction of the current flow (conventional current) is the same as the motion of the holes (as they are positively charged). That is, the current flows across the junction from the n-type side to the p-type side. [11]
The amount of light which is absorbed by a material depends on the absorption coefficient $\alpha$, (which depends on the material and on the wavelength of light, as seen in [Figure 4.28]) and the thickness of the absorbing metal.

The intensity of light (absorption of photons rate) at any point in the device can be calculated according to [eq 39]:

$$I_x(x) = I_0 \cdot e^{-\alpha(\lambda)x} \ [eq.\ 39]$$

Being $I_0$ the light intensity in the surface of the material and $x$ the depth at which light intensity is calculated.

The decrease in light intensity is related to the generation of electron-hole pairs by differentiating [eq.39]:

![Figure 4.28. PV Cell operation diagram.](image1)

![Figure 4.29. Absorption coefficient vs. wavelength for different semiconductor materials.](image2)
Where $N_0$ is the photon flux at the surface. [eq. 40] shows that the generation rate is highest at the surface, where the intensity is highest. The generation rate varies for different wavelengths. Short wavelength photons (blue light, high energy) have small absorption depths and are absorbed first, large wavelength photons (red light, lower energy) are absorbed at greater depths [Figure 4.30].

![Figure 4.30. Generation rate vs. solar cell depth for a wavelength of light around 800 nm.](image)

The collection probability is the probability that a carrier will be collected by the junction and contributes to the current. For a carrier generated in the depletion region, the collection probability is one since the electron-hole pair are instantly separated by the electric field and are collected in the junction. Away from the depletion region, this probability drops. [14]

![Figure 4.31. Illustration of the collection probability against the depth in the solar cell.](image)

**Electric equivalent circuit and Mathematical model**

As shown in [Fig. 4.32], the equivalent circuit of the general model is composed of photo current source, diode, parallel resistor expressing the leakage current, and series resistor describing the internal resistance to the current flow.
Being $I_{ph}$ the photogenerated current (which depend on the irradiance and the temperature of the cell), $I_d$ the direct current in the diode and $I_{sh}$ the losses in the parallel branch, the output current of the cell can be expressed as:

$$I = I_{ph} - I_d - I_{sh} \ [eq. 41]$$

The equation for the photogenerated current is:

$$I_{ph} = \frac{G}{G_{ref}} \left[ I_{sc} + K_{t_i} (T_c - T_{c,ref}) \right] \ [eq. 42]$$

Where $G_{ref} = 1000 \ W/m^2$ is the reference irradiance (standard conditions), $T_{c,ref} = 25 ^\circ C$ is the reference cell temperature (standard conditions), $I_{sc}$ is the short-circuit current at $T_{c,ref}$ and $G_{ref}$, and $K_{t_i}$ is the cell short-circuit current temperature coefficient.

The equation for the direct current in the diode is:

$$I_d = I_o \left( \exp \left[ \frac{V + IR_s}{\eta_d V_t} \right] - 1 \right) \ [eq. 43]$$

$$V_t = \frac{kT_c}{e} \ [eq. 44]$$

$$I_o = I_{o,ref} \left( \frac{T_c}{T_{c,ref}} \right)^3 \exp \left[ eE_g \left( \frac{1}{T_{c,ref}} - \frac{1}{T_c} \right) \right] \ [eq. 45]$$

$$I_{o,ref} = \frac{I_{sc}}{e^{\frac{E_g}{V_{ref}}} - 1} \ [eq. 46]$$

Where $I_o$ is the reverse saturation current of the diode, $I_{o,ref}$ is the reference reverse saturation current (standard conditions), $\eta_d$ is the ideality factor of the diode (which models
the mismatch of the real semiconductor material with the mathematical equations), $V_{oc}$ is the open-circuit voltage at $T_{ref}$ and $T_{c,ref}$ (standard conditions).

The equation for the losses in the parallel branch is:

$$I_{sh} = \frac{V + IR_s}{R_{sh}} \text{ [eq. 47]}$$

[14]

**Performance and Characteristic curve**

The performance of a solar cell is indicated by four parameters. These are the open-circuit voltage $V_{oc}$, the short-circuit current $I_{sc}$, the fill factor $FF$ and the maximum power point $P_{mp}$.

The characteristic curve plots the measured current against the applied voltage over the solar cell. When there is no voltage applied to the solar cell the current is called the $I_{sc}$. When there is no net current flowing, the voltage is called $V_{oc}$, which is a property of the junction and the material itself.

![Figure 4.33. Example of V-I characteristic curve (red) and its corresponding power curve (blue).](image)

From this curve, a power output can be plotted using $[eq. 48]$. This curve has a maximum at $P_{max} \equiv P_{mp}$. The voltage corresponding to this point ($V_{mp}$) has to be applied for maximum power.

$$P = V \cdot I \rightarrow P_{mp} = V_{mp} \cdot I_{mp} \text{ [eq. 48]}$$

The fill factor measures the squareness of the characteristic curve. The more the characteristic is rectangle-shaped, the bigger the fill factor is. Hence, higher efficiency.
The efficiency of a solar cell is defined as the maximum power output divided by the total power of light that radiates on the solar cell:

$$\eta = \frac{P_{mp}}{P_{in}} = \frac{V_{mp} \cdot I_{mp}}{G \cdot A} = \frac{FF \cdot V_{oc} \cdot I_{sc}}{G \cdot A} \quad [eq. 50]$$

The maximum efficiency that could be reached is limited by the Shockley-Queisser (SQ) limit [Figure 4.34]. This is a theoretical limit to the maximum achievable efficiency of a solar cell with a single p-n junction. The losses of energy which cannot be converted into electrical power are caused by different factors:

- Photons below the band gap energy cannot be absorbed.
- Radiative recombination of electron-hole pairs. It releases a photon and thus energy.
- Photons with high energies excite electrons too much, so the surplus energy ($> E_g$) is lost in form of heat.

Figure 4.34. Shockley-Queisser limit vs. bandgap of the material. Maximum efficiency is placed around 33.7% assuming a single p-n junction band gap of 1.4 eV. Solar radiation is modelled as 6000 K blackbody radiation.

At outdoor operating conditions, there are three main parameters which influence the efficiency of a solar cell: Intensity, temperature and spectral shape:

- Light Intensity (or Irradiance) → When $G$ increases its value:
  - $P_{in}$ increases linearly with irradiance ($P_{in} = G \cdot A \quad [eq. 51]$)
  - $I_{sc}$ increases linearly with $G$. $V_{oc}$ increases logarithmically with $G$.
  - $P_{mp} = FF \cdot V_{oc} \cdot I_{sc}$ increases logarithmically with $G$ in operation range.
  - Hence, efficiency $\eta$ increases in the operation range.
- Temperature → When \( T_c \) increases its values the band gap narrows (\( E_g' < E_g \)):
  - \( P_{in} \) stays the same.
  - \( V_{oc} \) decreases logarithmically. \( I_{sc} \) increases linearly with \( T_c \).
  - \( P_{mp} \) decreases logarithmically in the operation range.
  - Hence, efficiency \( \eta \) decreases its value in the operation range.

- Spectral shape → If the spectral shape has more high energy photons (high \( \nu \) such that \( h \cdot \nu \geq E_g \)) a larger part of the spectrum can excite electrons, increasing \( \eta \).

\[13\] \[15\]

**PV Modules**

Since a PV cell produces very low power, the cell should be arranged in series-parallel configuration modules to produce enough power. Photovoltaic modules are the fundamental building blocks of PV systems.

The equivalent circuit for a PV module arranged in \( N_p \) parallel and \( N_s \) series cells is shown in [Fig. 4.35]. The terminal equation for the current and voltage of the array becomes as follows [eq.52]:

\[
I = N_p I_{ph} - N_p I_0 \left[ \exp \left( \frac{V}{N_s + \frac{N_s}{N_p} \frac{IR_s}{N_s \eta_d}} \right) - 1 \right] - \frac{N_p V + IR_s}{R_{sh}} \quad [eq.52]
\]

Series connection increases the open circuit voltage of the final module and parallel connection increases its short circuit current. Considering that all the solar cells are exactly the same, we can express:
\[ V_{oc,mod} = \sum_{i=1}^{N_s} V_{oc,i} = N_s \cdot V_{oc} \quad [eq. 53] \]
\[ I_{sc,mod} = \sum_{j=1}^{N_p} I_{sc,j} = N_p \cdot I_{sc} \quad [eq. 54] \]

[eq.53] and [eq.54] can also be applied for the maximum power point \((V_{mp,mod}, I_{mp,mod})\).

**Mismatch effect**

Even when working with identical PV Cells, mismatch losses are caused by the interconnection of solar cells which experience different conditions from one another (for example, when some regions of the module are shaded). The characteristic curve of the PV module is affected decisively when solar cells are irradiated at different levels, decreasing its power output [Figure 4.37]. The shaded cell acts as load, dissipating power on itself which may lead ultimately damage of the cells.

To prevent shadowed cells from narrowing the current path in a cell, downgrading the performance of other cells and reducing the power production of the whole module, bypass diodes are placed (reverse biased) to series connected cells and blocking diodes are placed to parallel connected cells. [Figure 4.38]

Bypass diodes will allow current to pass around shaded cells and thereby reduce the power losses through the module [Figure 4.39]. Blocking diodes prevent current from passing through the shadowed parallel branches, also reducing the power losses.
Figure 4.37. Mismatch effect due to partial shading of a module. This module is formed by 36 PV cells in series.

Figure 4.38. Bypass and blocking diodes in a PV array.  Figure 4.39. Bypass diodes effect

Normally, PV modules are made of series connected cells with bypass diodes placed in anti-
parallel to small groups of series connected cells.

PV arrays combines both series and parallel connected modules, using both bypass and blocking diodes properly. [16]

4.2. Solar Chargers

A solar charger is a photovoltaic panel-based charging system which can be carried any place at any time. In addition, a good solar charger must be easy to use and must not affect negatively the performance and lifetime of the battery which is being charged.

Normally, solar chargers are used to charge low voltage electronic devices such as smartphones, tablets and laptops. These devices have lithium-ion batteries with charging nominal voltage from 5 V (smartphones, tablets) to 20 V (laptops).

Two main different types of solar chargers can be designed: direct chargers and indirect chargers. The main difference between them is the existence of an energy reservoir, as it will be explained later in this paper.

![Figure 4.40. Solar charger conceptual idea.](image)

4.2.1. Fundamentals and Technology

Lithium-ion battery charging

Li-ion batteries make use of a cathode (positive electrode) which is metal oxide (for example $\text{LiCoO}_2$), an anode (negative electrode) which is porous carbon ($C$), and an electrolyte. When the circuit is closed, the ions flow from the anode to the cathode during discharge, generating electricity. Charging reverses the direction of the ion flow [Figure 4.41], which make them rechargeable.

The battery capacity ($C_n$) represents the maximum amount of energy that can be extracted
from the battery under certain specified conditions. However, the actual energy storage capabilities of the battery can vary significantly from the "nominal" rated capacity.

\[ C_n = I \cdot t \quad \text{[eq. 55]} \]

So, if a battery’s nominal capacity is \( C_n = 15 \text{ A} \cdot \text{h} \) it means that it can provide a constant discharge current of 1 A during 15 hours, or 5 A during 3 hours.

The charging/discharging rate (C-rate) refers to the rate at which battery is charged or discharged, related to its nominal capacity (for example, if the nominal capacity is rated at 4 A and C-rate is defined as 0.5C, charging nominal current will be 2 A.

A battery charges by applying DC for a limited time. This charge occurs in three phases. The first phase is a constant current charge (CC or bulk phase), when a constant current is applied to the battery and the voltage increases up to a determined value. The second phase is a constant voltage charge (CV or absorption phase), when the battery voltage is set at a constant value to continue the charge, and the current decreases to a minimum value (between 3~5% of its C-rate). In the third phase, if the charger is left connected to the battery, a periodic ‘top up’ charge is applied to counteract battery self-discharge. [Figure 4.42]

*Figure 4.42. Lithium-ion battery charging and discharging operation.*
The advised charge rate of an Energy Cell is between 0.5C and 1C; the complete charge time is about 2–3 hours. Manufacturers of these cells recommend charging at 0.8C or less to prolong battery life. [17] [18]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Charge V/cell</th>
<th>Capacity at cut-off voltage</th>
<th>Charge time</th>
<th>Capacity with full saturation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3.80</td>
<td>~40%</td>
<td>120 min</td>
<td>~65%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.90</td>
<td>~60%</td>
<td>135 min</td>
<td>~75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>~70%</td>
<td>150 min</td>
<td>~80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.10</td>
<td>~80%</td>
<td>165 min</td>
<td>~90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.20</td>
<td>~85%</td>
<td>180 min</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.1. Typical charge characteristics of lithium-ion batteries.

Components

The required components of a solar charger are:

1- Input voltage source → PV module
2- Output voltage regulator (power electronic systems) → MPPT + DC/DC
Converter

3- Charge controller (power electronic systems) → Linear or Switch-mode solutions

4- Auxiliary electronic systems → Charge termination system, top up charge system, temperature monitoring system, etc.

5- USB ports

6- External case

7- Optional: additional battery for energy supply when there is not enough sunlight (night, cloudy days)

**Power electronics**

The power electronics involved in the different technical solutions for a solar charger may vary between different chargers, but the most used solutions are exposed here.

**MPPT (Maximum Power Point Tracking)**

The maximum power point tracking is a technique used with photovoltaic arrays to maximize the power extraction regardless of the irradiance and temperature conditions. In this case, the MPPT algorithm tracks the maximum power point \((V_{mp}, I_{mp})\) and injects the maximum power to the DC bus through a DC/DC converter which converts the voltage from \(V_{in} = V_{mp}(G, T_c)\) to the output voltage \(V_{out}\). The MPPT algorithm computes the required duty cycle \((D)\) for the DC/DC converter in order to guarantee maximum power. The most used and simplest algorithm for tracking the MPP is called “Perturb and Observe (Hill-Climbing)”. It is explained in the diagram of [Figure 4.43].
This algorithm compares the produced power in the instant \( (t) \) with the produced power in the previous instant \( (t - \Delta t) \) and changes the duty cycle \( (D) \) of the converter in order to increase/decrease the value of the current \( (I_{PV}) \) as needed (some MPPT algorithms change the value of the voltage \( (V_{PV}) \) instead of the current). The power supplied by the PV array after the MPPT is always oscillating around the maximum power (Hill-Climbing concept).

Following the first algorithm (current controlled P&O MPPT), four possible cases (states) can be easily analyzed, which are summarized in [Table 4.2] and [Figure 4.44], taking into account the next equation:

\[
D = \frac{t_{ON}}{T_c} \quad [eq. 56]
\]

Where \( t_{ON} \) is the time when the transistor is ON (ohmic region in MOSFETs and saturation region in BJTs) in a commutation period. \( T_c \) is the commutation period. [19]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>state</th>
<th>( I_{PV}(t) )</th>
<th>( P_{PV}(t) )</th>
<th>( t_{ON}(t) )</th>
<th>( D(t) )</th>
<th>( I_{PV}(t + \Delta t) )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>(&lt; I_{PV}(t - \Delta t))</td>
<td>(&lt; P_{PV}(t - \Delta t))</td>
<td>increase</td>
<td>increase</td>
<td>(&gt; I_{PV}(t))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>(&lt; I_{PV}(t - \Delta t))</td>
<td>(&gt; P_{PV}(t - \Delta t))</td>
<td>decrease</td>
<td>decrease</td>
<td>(&lt; I_{PV}(t))</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 4.2. States of the MPPT algorithm.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>( I_{PV}(t - \Delta t) )</th>
<th>( P_{PV}(t - \Delta t) )</th>
<th>Decrease</th>
<th>Decrease</th>
<th>( I_{PV}(t) )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>( I_{PV}(t - \Delta t) )</td>
<td>( P_{PV}(t - \Delta t) )</td>
<td>Decrease</td>
<td>Decrease</td>
<td>( I_{PV}(t) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>( I_{PV}(t - \Delta t) )</td>
<td>( P_{PV}(t - \Delta t) )</td>
<td>Increase</td>
<td>Increase</td>
<td>( I_{PV}(t) )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 4.44. Response to the states of the MPPT algorithm.** In states 1 and 4, current will increase in order to track the MPP. In states 2 and 3, current will decrease.

**Buck converter**

A buck converter (also called chopper) is a power electronic device which objective is to efficiently reduce the voltage of an electric signal without losing its power (losses are very little and can be neglected).

\[
P_{in} = P_{out} \rightarrow V_{in} \cdot I_{in} = V_{out} \cdot I_{out} \quad \text{[eq. 57]}
\]

The equivalent circuit for a buck converter is showed in [Figure 4.45] and it is composed of a switch which controls the flow of current from the source to the load, an inductor, a reverse-biased diode which allows the discharge of the inductor to the load and a capacitor which is used to filter the output voltage.
The converter has two different states \( \text{[Figure 4.46]} \) depending on the position of the switch (which is in fact a MOSFET or BJT transistor). The time that the switch is ON \( (t_{ON}) \) defines the duty cycle of the converter and the output voltage, as defined in \( \text{eq.56}[\text{eq.58}] \).

\[
V_{out} = D \cdot V_{in} = \frac{t_{ON}}{T_{c}} \ [\text{eq.58}]
\]

When the switch is ON, energy is being directly supplied to the load while the inductor is getting charged; when the switch is OFF the inductor is discharging the accumulated energy to the load through the diode.

The output voltage is controlled by a feedback loop called Pulse Width Modulation (PWM) in order to make sure that the real output voltage gets the value of the reference output voltage. \[18\]

**SEPIC**

Another important power electronic device which is very useful for designing viable solar chargers is the Single-Ended Primary-Inductor Converter (SEPIC). It is a DC/DC converter which allows the output voltage to be greater than, less than, or equal to the input voltage. It
also maintains the same polarity from input to output.

This converter is also controlled by a PWM which alternates a switch (MOSFET or BJT transistor) between two states (ON an OFF), defining a duty cycle as described in [eq.56]. The output voltage depends on the duty cycle, as described in [eq.59].

\[ V_{out} = \frac{D}{1 - D} V_{in} \text{ [eq.59]} \]

So, for \( D = 0.5 \) the output voltage is the same as the input voltage; for \( D < 0.5 \) the SEPIC acts as a buck converter \( (V_{out} < V_{in}) \); for \( D > 0.5 \) the SEPIC acts as a boost converter \( (V_{out} > V_{in}) \).

The equivalent circuit of an ideal SEPIC converter is more complex and have more components than the Buck converter circuit, as it is shown in [Figure 4.47]. It is made up of two capacitors, two inductors, a power switch (IGBT) and a diode.

![Figure 4.47. SEPIC converter schematic.](image)

When the switch is ON: inductor 1 is charged by the input voltage, inductor 2 is charged by capacitor 1, the diode is OFF, and the output voltage is maintained by capacitor 2.

When the switch is OFF: inductors 1 and 2 discharge to the load through the diode (which is ON), and capacitors 1 and 2 are charged. [20]

![Figure 4.48. Left, SEPIC operation when the switch is ON. Right, SEPIC operation when the switch is OFF](image)
Charge controller

When output voltage of the DC/DC converter is accurately regulated, an appropriate charge management system must be developed in order to charge the Lithium-ion batteries properly (as described in [Figure 4.42]).

There are two types of charge controllers for charging batteries application:

1- Linear solutions: linear charging solutions are employed when a well-regulated input source is available. Linear solutions, in these applications, offer advantages such as ease-of-use, size, and cost.

![Figure 4.49. MCP73843 linear solution for a Lithium ion battery charger. Microchip Technology Inc.](image)

2- Switch Mode Solutions: these solutions are often used when the input voltage range (which is actually the DC/DC converter’s output voltage) is wide. Switching regulators lower the internal battery charger power dissipation to an acceptable level, having a better efficiency than linear solutions, but adding complexity to the system. The output signals are not continuous (smooth) as the ones in linear solutions, this is because the Pulse Width Modulation control (PWM).

![Figure 4.50. Switch mode charge cycle waveforms. Microchip Technology Inc.](image)
4.2.2. Available solar chargers in the market

There are quite a lot of different solar chargers available in the market and several different brands that provide this product. Four solar chargers are described in this chapter; they have been selected because of their good characteristics, which make them stand out from others.

Big Blue 28W

- Price: $59.99 at Amazon
- Panel Nominal Power: 28 W
- USB outlets: 2
- Weight: 0.67 kg (23.4 oz)
- Pros: inexpensive, efficient, user-friendly, excels in partly cloudy conditions
- Cons: bulky, heavy

Renogy 15,000mAh

- Price: $34.99 at Amazon
- Panel Nominal Power: 2 W
- USB outlets: 2
- Weight: 0.27 kg (9.5 oz)
- Pros: lightweight, inexpensive, efficient
- Cons: Ineffective if relying only on solar power, not very durable

Voltaics Systems Arc 20W

- Price: $229 at Amazon
- Panel Nominal Power: 20 W
- USB outlets: 0
- Weight: 0.74 kg (26 oz)
- Pros: effective panel, external battery to charge large electronics like laptops
- Cons: expensive, heavy, useless for smartphone

*Figure 4.53. Voltaics Systems Arc 20W*

**X-Dragon 40W**

- Price: $114.99 at Amazon
- Panel Nominal Power: 40 W
- USB outlets: 1
- Weight: 1.05 kg (37 oz)
- Pros: powerful, works well in partial sun, can charge laptops, cheap compared to other laptop compatible solar chargers
- Cons: expensive, bulky, heavy, complicated adaptors for laptop charge

*Figure 4.54. X-Dragon 40W*

Unluckily, due to intellectual property policies it is not possible to know the internal characteristics of these chargers (which kind of charging solutions they use, which DC/DC converter topology they use, whether they have a MPPT algorithm or not, etc.).

### 4.3. Conventional Charging vs. Fast Charging

As Lithium-Ion batteries have been improved and high capacity batteries have been introduced in different smartphones, the charging technology has also evolved in order to increase the charging speed (decreasing the time that the smartphone has to be charging).

Conventional charging, or standard USB charge, are chargers that work at a 5V voltage and can send current values around 0.5 A, delivering a 2.5W of power.

Fast charging increases the current sent to the battery to fill up its capacity quicker, so it delivers higher power to the battery.
USB Power Delivery (USB-PD) is the official fast charging specification (published in 2012). It augments the basic USB charging speeds for up to 100W of output power. The amount of available power is split into different power ratings, which operate at different voltages. The 7.5W+ and 15W+ modes are best for phones, while 27W and above are for laptops and other higher power devices.

The official Power Delivery specification, and the technology is supported in the vast majority of smartphones today.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Power output (Operating Voltage and Current)</th>
<th>0.5 - 15W</th>
<th>15 - 27W</th>
<th>27 - 45W</th>
<th>45 - 60W</th>
<th>60 - 100W</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5V</td>
<td>0.1 - 3.0A</td>
<td>3.0A (15W cap)</td>
<td>3.0A (15W cap)</td>
<td>3.0A (15W cap)</td>
<td>3.0A (15W cap)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9V</td>
<td>1.67 - 3.0A</td>
<td>3.0A (27W cap)</td>
<td>3.0A (27W cap)</td>
<td>3.0A (27W cap)</td>
<td>3.0A (27W cap)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15V</td>
<td>1.8 - 3.0A</td>
<td>3.0A (45W cap)</td>
<td>3.0A (45W cap)</td>
<td>3.0A (45W cap)</td>
<td>3.0 - 5.0A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20V</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table 4.3. USB-PD specifications*
5. Predesign

In this chapter, different technical options for the design of the solar charger are going to be discussed in order to find the best option. The objective is not to design the final deliverable product (which should have better characteristics than this prototype) but to design a Minimum Viable Product (MVP) which is capable of charging electronic devices properly without adding too much complexity to the hole system. The MVP should be simple enough to be simulated with Matlab/Simulink, and the subsequent improves to be added to the final product will also be qualitatively described (but not simulated).

The main variables to be considered in the MVP technical design solution are:

- Performance and quality (acceptable charge speed, low battery damage, good performance in partly cloudy conditions, etc.)
- Simplicity (use as few components as possible, use simple components, use components with linear solutions)

The improvements to be added to the final product have the objective of improving:

- Usability (can be used at night, can be used to charge larger electronic devices)
- Efficiency (reduce losses in the system)
- Economy (use cheap components)

5.1. Study of Electrical Consumptions - Nominal Power

The first thing to be defined before starting to design the product is the nominal power that the solar panel must provide to the load. This parameter is strictly related with the number and type of electronic devices that can be simultaneously charged.

The MVP for the solar charger will be focused in charging smartphones, so no larger electronic devices will be considered (such as laptops or tablets). The solar charger is designed for being able to charge any two smartphones at the same time under “normal” sun conditions.

Now, batteries in smartphones have nominal capacities from 1,891 mAh (iPhone 8 - Apple) to 5000 mAh (Moto G7 Power - Motorola, Moto E5 Plus – Motorola, Huawei Mate 20 X – Huawei, Zenfone Max Pro M1 – ASUS, Galaxy A40s – Samsung, etc.) or even more.

In order to define the nominal power provided by the solar generator, some high capacity smartphone batteries are going to be described:
**Xiaomi Mi Max 3**

Battery technical data:
- Nominal capacity: $C_n = 5500 \text{mAh}$
- Minimum capacity: $C_{\text{min}} = 5400 \text{mAh}$

Normal and fast charge modes recommended by the manufacturer:
- Normal charge: 5V 2A (10 W)
- Fast Charge 3.0: 9V 2A (18 W)
- C-rate around 0.37C

*Figure 5.1. Xiaomi Mi Max 3*

**Samsung Galaxy A40s**

Battery technical data:
- Nominal capacity: $C_n = 5000 \text{mAh}$

Normal and fast charge modes recommended by the manufacturer:
- Normal charge: 5V 2A (10 W)
- Fast Charge 3.0: 5V 3A (15 W)
- C-rate: between 0.4C and 0.6C

*Figure 5.2. Samsung Galaxy A40s*

So, considering the normal recommended range of charging voltages and currents in different smartphone batteries, the solar chargers available in the market and the highest capacity batteries available in the market, the design specifications for the MVP solar charger
are:

- Number of USB outlets: \( N_{\text{USB}} = 2 \)
- Output voltage: \( V_{\text{out}} = 5 \text{ V} \)
- Charging current: \( I_{\text{out}} = 2.4 \text{ A} \)

So, the nominal power supply under “normal” sun conditions is:

\[
P_{\text{PV}} = N_{\text{USB}} \cdot V_{\text{out}} \cdot I_{\text{out}} = 24 \text{ W} \quad \text{[eq. 60]}
\]

A solar charger with these specifications can simultaneously charge two high capacity smartphone batteries in 2h - 2.3h (120min – 140min); even less if the capacity of the battery is lower.

5.2. Possible solutions - Discussion

In this chapter different design solution are described and the final solution for the MVP product is chosen considering the defined variables (performance, quality, simplicity).

- With auxiliary battery vs. without auxiliary battery:
  The first decision to be took is whether to add an auxiliary acid lead battery or not. The pros and cons of adding tare summarized in [Table 5.1].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>pros</th>
<th>cons</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Additional storage system, the solar charger can be used at night and in very cloudy days.</td>
<td>More complex system. Two converters needed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adds value to the product. Increase quality.</td>
<td>Increase of cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Less portability. Makes the product bulkier and heavier.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table 5.1. Pros and cons of adding a battery.*

Analyzing the pros and the cons described above, it has been considered that the MVP product will not have an auxiliary battery, but final product should have one in order to provide a better service.

- With MPPT algorithm vs without MPPT battery: pros and cons in [Table 5.2]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>pros</th>
<th>cons</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Power optimization</td>
<td>More complexity in computing the duty cycle of the converter.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Easier to control the output voltage
More predictable system.

Table 5.2. Pros and cons of MPPT algorithm.

The MVP solar charger will compute MPPT converter because it is an economical way to increase its quality.

- Buck converter vs. SEPIC converter
Buck and SEPIC converters are two different topologies for DC/DC converters, as explained in [Chapter 4.2.1]. Their pros and cons are analyzed in [Table 5.3].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>pros</th>
<th>cons</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BUCK</td>
<td>Simple</td>
<td>Only reduces voltage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Economical</td>
<td>Can cause important damage to the system when sun power is low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Can reduce and increase voltage</td>
<td>More complex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Works well when sun power is low</td>
<td>Increases the cost a little bit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Better performance</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5.3. Pros and cons of buck and SEPIC converters.

The final decision is to use a SEPIC as main converter in the MVP and add a buck converter after the battery in the final product.

- Linear charging solution vs. switch model charging solution: as explained in [Chapter 4.2.1], pros and cons of each are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>pros</th>
<th>cons</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LINEAR</td>
<td>Simplicity</td>
<td>Not very efficient. Thermal losses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Smooth output signals</td>
<td>Voltage must be precisely controlled.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWITCH</td>
<td>Less losses</td>
<td>Not smooth output signals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MODE</td>
<td>Works better when voltage is not well controlled (wide range)</td>
<td>Works with PWM control. Adds complexity.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5.4. Pros and cons of linear and switch mode charging management solution.

In order to reduce complexity of the system and considering that the voltage will be correctly controlled, the charging solution will be linear.
5.3. Minimum Viable Product vs. Final Product

The electric diagram of the MVP product is described in [Figure 5.3] as defined in the previous chapter. The final product [Figure 5.4] will have an additional battery in order to store energy for charging smartphones at night.

![Figure 5.3. Minimum Viable Product electric diagram.](image)

![Figure 5.4. Final product electric diagram.](image)
6. Design and simulation of the MVP

6.1. Solar Generator

6.1.1. Design conditions

As said in [Chapter 5.1], the solar generator is designed to deliver 24W of maximum power [eq. 60] under “normal” conditions. First, it’s necessary to define what “normal” conditions are (they must not be confused with standard conditions).

Standard conditions are the irradiation \( G_{\text{ref}} = 1000 \, W/m^2 \) and cell temperature \( T_{c,\text{ref}} = 25 \, ^\circ C \) conditions used as a reference by manufacturers to define the characteristics of a solar module \( (V_{oc}, I_{sc}, V_{mp}, I_{mp}, \text{etc}) \).

Normal conditions are the design conditions under which the solar system is likely to operate, and they are not chosen by the manufacturer but by the engineer who designs the system. These conditions are chosen according to the location of the system and its average climate conditions. This portable system is designed to work at any place of the Iberian Peninsula so, after studying the average solar irradiance in Spain and Portugal [22] the next “normal” conditions have been set (below average conditions in order to work well in unfavorable situations):

\[
G_n = 430 \, W/m^2 \quad T_{c,n} = 20 \, ^\circ C
\]

![Figure 6.1. Annual evolution of the average solar irradiance in two Spanish cities. Cáceres (high...](image-url)
irradiance) and Santander (low irradiance).

6.1.2. Material selection

There are three main types of solar cells: monocrystalline, polycrystalline and thin film PV cells. Although thin film cells are not as efficient as the other two types (because it’s not such a mature technology) their mechanic and aesthetic characteristics make them perfect for this application because they are lighter, slighter, more flexible and manageable and more visually pleasant.

There are also four different type of thin film cell depending on the photovoltaic material used for its construction: amorph silicon (a-Si), cadmium telluride (CdTe), copper indium gallium selenide (CIGS) and gallium arsenide (GaAs). CIGS cells are preferable to the other three types because they have better efficiency rates (around 20%). [23]
6.1.3. CIGS PV Module

After doing an extensive research about different CIGS PV modules which are already commercialized and can be applied in the solar charger, the Flisom-eFlex-1.6mFF60 has been finally selected because its characteristics fit perfectly with our requirements. The complete datasheet of this module is attached in [Appendix A]. In [Table 6.1] are described the main characteristics of the module, and some secondary characteristics are described in [Table 6.2].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V&lt;sub&gt;oc&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
<th>48 V</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I&lt;sub&gt;sc&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>1.91 A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V&lt;sub&gt;mp&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>36 V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I&lt;sub&gt;mp&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>1.66 A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P&lt;sub&gt;mp&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>59.76 W (≈ 60 W)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FF</td>
<td>0.652</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6.1. Flisom eFlex 1.6 FF60 main characteristics.

Another important characteristic of this solar module is the intern configuration of its cells, which are connected in series with a by-pass diode for every set of 6 cells, as represented in [Figure 6.5]. This fact makes the module perform more efficiently when part of the surface is shadowed and reduces the risk of damage, as explained in [Chapter 4.1.4]. [24]

Figure 6.5. PV module intern configuration (PV cells and by-pass diodes)
6.1.4. Simulation model

In order to simulate the characteristic curves and the power output of the selected PV module, a Matlab-Simulink block model has been designed following the mathematical model described in [Chapter 4.1.4], described by the equations [eq.41], [eq.42], [eq.43], [eq.44], [eq.45], [eq.46] and [eq.47]. The block model is represented in the next figures:

- [Figure 6.6]: photo-generated current
- [Figure 6.7]: temperature equivalent voltage
- [Figure 6.8]: reference reverse saturation current
- [Figure 6.9]: diode reverse saturation current
- [Figure 6.10]: diode direct current
- [Figure 6.11]: losses in parallel branch

All this block diagrams are put together to create the complete model of a PV module [Figure 6.12]. It is important to remark that the voltage data points have been generated with a ramp signal (sweep) in order to generate the characteristic curves; the model needs a feedback loop of the current signal in order to compute the curves iteratively.
Figure 6.8. Reference reverse saturation current. [eq.46]

Figure 6.9. Diode reverse saturation current. [eq.45]
Figure 6.10. Diode direct current. [eq.43]

Figure 6.11. Losses in parallel branch. [eq.47]
6.1.5. Simulation results

The model described in [Chapter 6.1.4] is simulated under two different parameters in order to get the characteristic curves and evaluate if it is good for the solar charger. The values of the different constants are:

\[
\begin{align*}
G_{\text{ref}} &= 1000 \text{ W/m}^2 & T_{c,\text{ref}} &= 25^\circ\text{C} & V_{\text{oc}} &= 48 \text{ V} & I_{\text{sc}} &= 1.91 \text{ A} & K_{\text{cl}} &= 0.01 \text{ %/}^\circ\text{C} \\
K_{\text{tv}} &= -0.3 \text{ %/}^\circ\text{C} & \eta_d &= 1.5 & E_g &= 1 \text{ eV} & R_s &= 0.39383 \text{ \Omega} & R_{\text{sh}} &= 313.3991 \text{ \Omega}
\end{align*}
\]

Simulation under reference conditions – model validation

In this simulation: \[ G = G_{\text{ref}}, \quad T_c = T_{c,\text{ref}} \]

The characteristic curves are plotted in [Figure 6.13].

In [Table 6.3] the results of the simulation are compared to the characteristics provided by the manufacturer of the PV module, we can observe that the relative error between the computed and the experimental results is small \( \varepsilon_r < 1.5 \text{ %} \), so the simulation model is validated and can be used to simulate our PV module under any conditions.
Figure 6.13. Characteristic curves under reference conditions.

Table 6.3. Comparison between the values provided by the manufacturer and the simulated values.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Provided values</th>
<th>Simulated values</th>
<th>Relative error</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$V_{mp}(G_{ref}, T_{c,ref})$</td>
<td>36 V</td>
<td>36 V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$I_{mp}(G_{ref}, T_{c,ref})$</td>
<td>1.66 A</td>
<td>1.64 W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_{mp}(G_{ref}, T_{c,ref})$</td>
<td>59.76 W</td>
<td>59.04 W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$FF_{ref}$</td>
<td>0.652</td>
<td>0.644</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Simulation under “normal” conditions – PV module validation

In this simulation: $G = G_n = 430 \text{ W/m}^2$, $T_c = T_{c,n} = 20^\circ \text{C}$

The characteristic curves are plotted in [Figure 6.14] and the simulated characteristic values are presented in [Table 6.4]. The maximum power of the PV module under “normal” condition is $P_{mp} = 23.63 \text{ W}$, which is very close to the desired value of 24 W defined in [Chapter 5.1] ($\varepsilon_r = 1.54 \%$). Theses results validate the selected PV module for the solar charger.
6.2. Buck-boost converter: SEPIC + MPPT

This chapter presents Perturb&Observe (P&O) control method for maximum power point tracking (MPPT) of this PV system under varying irradiation and temperature conditions. This algorithm will identify the suitable duty ratio in which the DC/DC SEPIC converter should be operated to maximize the power output. Also, this converter and their control strategies have been analyzed and simulated using Simulink/Matlab software.

### 6.2.1. Operation Diagram

The MPPT algorithm computes the duty cycle of the converter as explained in [Chapter 4.2.1] and generates a pulse signal in a PWM which directly controls the switch of the DC/DC converter, as described in [Figure 6.15].
This device (MPPT+SEPIC) has two main objectives:

1. Control the PV module to operate at the maximum power point \[P_{PV} = P_{pm}(G, T_c)\]
2. Control the output voltage to be \(V_{out} = 5\ V\)

In order to achieve these two objectives, two power stages will be designed:

1. The first stage will have \((V_{PV}, I_{PV})\) as input and \((V_{mp}, I_{mp})\) as output
2. The second stage will have \((V_{mp}, I_{mp})\) as input and \((5\ V, I_{out})\) as output

![Operation diagram of the MPPT+SEPIC device](image)

**Figure 6.15. Operation diagram of the MPPT+SEPIC device**

### 6.2.2. Selected converter

After an extensive market research, the integrated circuit selected to be used as a SEPIC converter in both power stages is the LT8495 (manufactured by the electronic company LINEAR technology) [Figure 6.16]. The datasheet [25] can be found in [Appendix B] The main characteristics for which it has been chosen are:

- Wide range of acceptable input voltage (from 2.5 V to 60 V).
- Can provide a very stable output voltage signal of 5V

![450kHz, 5V Output SEPIC Converter](image)

**Figure 6.16. 5V output SEPIC LT8495 application.**
6.2.3. Simulation model

The full simulation model is described in [Figure 6.17]. It includes the PV module and both power stages of the MPPT+SEPIC power electronics device. The first power stage, which controls the output power of the PV module to reach the maximum [Figure 6.18], and the second power stage which controls the output voltage to be 5V [Figure 6.19].

The P&O algorithm computed in the MMPT subsystem block follows the flow chart described in [Figure 4.43] in [Chapter 4.2.1]. The duty cycle computed in the SEPIC subsystem block follows the equation [eq.59] in [Chapter 4.2.1].

![Figure 6.17. Solar charger simulation model.](image)

![Figure 6.18. MPPT subsystem.](image)
6.2.4. Simulation results

The different simulations have been done at constant temperature ($T_c = T_{c,n} = 20 ^\circ C$) and with different values of irradiance. The numerical results are summarized in [Table 6.5].

As seen in the table, there is a level of irradiance ($G_{crit} = 530 \text{ W/m}^2$) which gives an output current of $I_{out} = 6V$, which means that each USB outlet is receiving a current of 3A. That is maximum current value permitted for 5V fast charging, according to the USB-PD specifications [Table 4.3] explained in [Chapter 4.3]. So, for irradiance values above the critical irradiance ($G_{crit}$), the output current will saturate at the values of 3A for each USB outlet.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$G[\text{W/m}^2]$</th>
<th>$P_{mp}[\text{W}]$</th>
<th>$V_{mp} [\text{V}]$</th>
<th>$I_{mp} [\text{A}]$</th>
<th>$V_{out}[\text{A}]$</th>
<th>$I_{out}[\text{A}]$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>9.65</td>
<td>31.13</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>$2 \times 0.97 \text{A}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300</td>
<td>15.55</td>
<td>32.39</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>$2 \times 1.56 \text{A}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$G_n = 430$</td>
<td>23.63</td>
<td>34.75</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>$2 \times 2.36 \text{A}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$G_{crit} = 530$</td>
<td>30.08</td>
<td>35.54</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>$2 \times 3.01 \text{A}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>700</td>
<td>41.38</td>
<td>36.83</td>
<td>1.116</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>$2 \times 4.14 \text{A}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6.5. Results of the simulation of the system.
The simulated signals during the MPPT operation are plotted in [Figure 6.20] and [Figure 6.21].

Table 6.20. Maximum solar power evolution for different values of irradiance.
Figure 6.21. Voltage and current evolution during MPPT operation.

In [Figure 6.20] and [Figure 6.21] the simulated signal may seem smooth, but they are not. As shown in [Figure 6.22], the application of the P&O algorithm causes the voltage and current signals to oscillate around the maximum power point.
6.3. Charge management solution

This chapter will select the specific integrated circuit available in the market in order to manage the charging of the lithium-ion system. This integrated circuit will be described but not simulated due to its complexity.

6.3.1. Integrated circuit

In order to manage the different stages during the charging cycle [Chapter 4.2.1], it is necessary to add an integrated circuit. As discussed in [Chapter 5.2], the selected charging solution is linear in order to reduce complexity and cost, and also to have smoother output signals.

After doing research about different commercial brands of charging management integrated circuits, the MCP73843 (manufactured by the electronic company Microchip Technology Inc.) has been selected. This is an advanced single-or-dual cell lithium-ion/lithium-polymer charge management controller, whose datasheet can be found in [Appendix C].

The MCP73843 combine high accuracy, constant-voltage, constant-current regulation, cell preconditioning, cell temperature monitoring, safety timers, automatic charge termination and

---

**Figure 6.22. Voltage oscillating around the maximum power point.**

[Graph showing voltage oscillation]
charge status indication in space-saving, 10-pin MSOP packages [Figure 6.23].

![Figure 6.23](image.png)

*Figure 6.23. Left, MCP73843 typical application circuit for Li-ion battery charger. Right, package type.*

### 6.3.2. Operation

In the datasheet all the details of the MCP73843 integrated circuit are precisely described but, in this chapter, only the most important aspects are remarked.

The charge cycle is described in [Figure 6.24](image.png) with its important parameters.

![Figure 6.24](image.png)

*Figure 6.24. Charge cycle operated by MCP73843.*

**Stage 1 – Charge qualification and preconditioning**

the MCP73843 automatically perform a series of safety checks to qualify the charge. The
input source voltage must be above the undervoltage lockout threshold \((V_{DD} > V_{PTH}; V_{DD} \approx 5\,V)\), the enable pin must be above the logic-high level and the cell temperature monitor must be within the upper and lower thresholds, with the qualification parameters being continuously monitored. Deviation beyond the limits automatically suspends or terminates the charge cycle.

Once the qualification parameters have been met, the MCP73843 initiates a charge cycle. The preconditioning current is set to approximately 10\% of the fast charge regulation current \((I_{PREG} \approx 0.1 \cdot I_{REG})\).

**Stage 2 – Constant current regulation. Fast charge**

When the battery voltage exceeds the preconditioning threshold \((V_{BAT} > V_{PTH})\), preconditioning ends and fast charging begins. Fast charge regulates to a constant-current \((I_{REG})\) based on the supply voltage \((V_{DD})\) minus the voltage at the SENSE input \((V_{FCS})\) developed by the drop across an external resistor \((R_{SENSE})\). Fast charge continues until the battery voltage reaches the regulation voltage \((V_{REG})\); or until the fast charge timer expires.

**Stage 3 – Constant voltage regulation**

When the battery voltage reaches the regulation voltage \((V_{REG})\), constant-voltage regulation begins. This input is tied directly to the positive terminal of the battery. The MCP73843 gives a fixed voltage of \(V_{REG} = 4.1\, V\), which is the proper value for Li-ion batteries.

**Stage 4 – Charge cycle completion. Automatic re-charge**

The MCP7384X monitors the charging current during the constant-voltage regulation phase. The charge cycle is considered complete when the charge current has diminished below approximately 7\% of the regulation current \((I_{TERM} = 0.07 \cdot I_{REG})\) or the elapsed timer has expired. The MCP7384X automatically begins a new charge cycle when the battery voltage falls below the recharge threshold \((V_{RTH} = V_{REG} - 0.2\, V)\), assuming all the qualification parameters are met. [26]
6.3.3. Parameters for correct design

Considering the predesign parameters set in [Chapter 5.1]. The suitable parameters for the MCP7384X working at "normal" conditions [Chapter 6.1.1] are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Supply voltage</th>
<th>$V_{DD}$</th>
<th>5 V</th>
<th>Regulation voltage</th>
<th>$V_{REG}$</th>
<th>4.1 V</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Precond. Threshold Voltage</td>
<td>$V_{TPH}$</td>
<td>2.8 V</td>
<td>Termination current</td>
<td>$I_{TERM}$</td>
<td>0.168 A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regulation current</td>
<td>$I_{REG}$</td>
<td>2.4 A</td>
<td>Recharge threshold</td>
<td>$V_{RTH}$</td>
<td>3.9 V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Precondition current</td>
<td>$I_{PREG}$</td>
<td>0.24 A</td>
<td>External SENSE resistor</td>
<td>$R_{SENSE}$</td>
<td>0.1 Ω</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table X.X. Design parameters for MCP73843.

Under “normal” conditions the voltage drop across the external SENSE resistor and the voltage at the SENSE input is:

$$\Delta V = V_{DD} - V_{FCS} = I_{REG} \cdot R_{SENSE} = 0.24 \, V \rightarrow V_{FCS} = 4.76 \, V \, [eq. \, 61]$$

This voltage is in the acceptable range, so the design is correct.
7. Business Plan

As said in the abstract of this paper, the objective of this project is not only to design the product but to create an innovative business plan in order to get revenues and make a positive net profit in the middle term.

In this chapter, the different aspects of the business plan are explained and discussed.

7.1. Value proposition

This business plan is created around the idea of solving a specific need explained in [Chapter 3.1]. The main aspects of the value proposition of this business are explained using the conceptual diagram in [Figure 7.1].

What your user really wants:
The user wants to charge an electronic device during a short period of time (1-3 hours) in an event where access to electricity is limited or non-existent.

The value proposition your competitors provide:
Our competitors sell good quality solar chargers through different traditional and digital channels (electronic store, Amazon, etc.). Cost is elevated.

Figure 7.1. Value proposition conceptual diagram.
The value your app provides:
Our app provides a pay-per-use service. It delivers solar chargers to the clients on the spot so that they can charge their smartphones during a period of time. Once the electronic device is charged, the solar charger is returned to the company. The cost is low because the client doesn’t buy the solar charge.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No one cares</th>
<th>Value proposition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Competitors sell good quality chargers, so our product doesn’t stand out in the market.</td>
<td>Innovative business model.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pay-per-use.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Service as a product (SaaP).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reduced cost.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7.1. Value proposition summary.

7.2. Business Model

The business model is explained in a Canvas Business Model Chart:
### Customer Segments

**Principal target:** Young people between 18-30 years old used to go to music festivals.

**Secondary target:** People from all ages who usually go to motor sports races during 2-3 days (F1, Moto GP, etc.)

### Value Proposition

- Satisfy urgent need
- Pay-per-use
- On the spot delivery
- Reduced cost
- Servico as a Product (SaaP)
- Digital environment

### Cost Structure

- Solar charger development and manufacturing
- App creation and development
- R&D
- Operations: stands, delivery, etc.
- Marketing
- Data center

### Revenue Streams

- Principal income: charging services with our solar charger
- Secondary income: data selling to business partners
7.3. Future revenue projections

7.3.1. Solar charger unit cost

The unit cost for each solar charger is calculated as:

- Flisom eFlex 1.6m FF60: 400€
- Linear technology LT8495: 4.26€ (x2) = 8.52€
- Microchip Technology Inc MCP73843: 1.14€ (x2) = 2.24€
- Wire, case and others: 15€

The total cost is estimated to be 426 €/unit. The company will start operating with 100 chargers, which require an expenditure of 43,000€ approximately.

7.3.2. Other costs

Operation costs:
- 5 people salaries: 18,000 €/year (x5) = 90,000 €/year
- Rental costs: 7,200 €/year
- Extra expenditures: 10,000 €/year

Marketing costs
- 2 marketing specialist’s salaries: 21,000 €/year (x2) = 42,000 €/year
- Extra expenditures: 10,000 €/year

App development costs
- 3 engineer’s salaries: 26,000€ (x3) = 78,000€
- Extra expenditures: 10,000 €/year

Total fix cost: 247,200 €/year

7.3.3. Estimated incomes

For the first three years the company will operate in, at least, 5 music festivals:

- Arenal Sound: 300,000 people in 2019
- Viña Rock: 240,000 people in 2019
- Bilbao BBK Live: 112,800 people in 2019
- FIB Benicàssim: 114,000 people in 2019
- Riverland Festival: 13,000 people in 2019

The estimated potential market is around 780,000 people. Assuming an average conversion rate of 2% during the first year, 3% during the second year and 5% during the third one, we can estimate the future clients as:
- 1st year: 15,600 clients
- 2nd year: 23,400 clients
- 3rd year: 39,600 clients

The charging services will cost 6€/h to the client. It’s assumed that the client will use the solar charger an average of 2 hours. The secondary income (data selling) is assumed to be the 20% of the primary income (charging service), so:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Charging service</th>
<th>Data selling</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>1st year</strong></td>
<td>187,200 €</td>
<td>37,440 €</td>
<td>224,640 €</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2nd year</strong></td>
<td>280,800 €</td>
<td>56,160 €</td>
<td>336,960 €</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>3rd year</strong></td>
<td>475,200 €</td>
<td>95,040 €</td>
<td>570,200 €</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7.2. Estimated incomes for the first three years.

### 7.3.4. Basic projections for the first three years

An estimation of the company economic growth is summarized in [Table 7.3] and [Figure 7.2]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Year 0</th>
<th>Year 1</th>
<th>Year 2</th>
<th>Year 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Initial expenditure</strong></td>
<td>-43,000 €</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fix costs</strong></td>
<td>no</td>
<td>-247,200 €</td>
<td>-247,200 €</td>
<td>-247,200 €</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Turnover</strong></td>
<td>no</td>
<td>224,640 €</td>
<td>336,960 €</td>
<td>570,200 €</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Net Benefit (before taxes)</strong></td>
<td>-43,000 €</td>
<td>-22,560 €</td>
<td>89,760 €</td>
<td>323,000 €</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 7.3. Basic economic projection for the first three years.

As seen in the figure above, the growth rate of the company seems to be very satisfactory if the desired conversion rates are achieved.
8. Additional information

8.1. Environmental Impact

The designed solar charger provides a nominal power, under “normal” conditions, of 24 W. Assuming that each solar charger will operate 30 days per year during 8 hours per day, the energy that a single solar charger will generate is:

\[ E_{gen} = P_{nom} \cdot 30 \text{ days/year} \cdot 8 \text{ hours/day} = 5760 \text{ Wh/year} \]  

As said in [Chapter 7.3.1], there will be 100 solar chargers delivering charging services. In Spain, the electrical mix has an estimated emission factor of 321 g CO\(_2\)/kWh (2018 data provided by the Climate Change Department - Generalitat de Catalunya). The annual equivalent carbon emissions that will be avoided are:

\[ m_{eq,CO_2} = f_{CO_2} \cdot E_{gen, tot} = f_{CO_2} \cdot 100 \cdot E_{gen} = 321 g CO_2/kWh \cdot 576 kWh \]

\[ m_{eq,CO_2} = 184.9 \text{ kg CO}_2/\text{year} \]  

So, this business project is eco-friendly because it reduces the carbon footprint of its environment 184.9 kg CO\(_2\)/year.

The average carbon footprint generated by a Spanish citizen is around 7000 kg CO\(_2\)/year, so the reduction is not too relevant. [27]

8.2. Project Budget

The budget for the completion of this project can be calculated as the sum of the next terms:
- MATLAB Annual License: 800 €
- Microsoft Office 365 Personal Annual License: 55 €
- Laptop, PC Lenovo ideapad 320: 440 €
- Mobility costs: 150 €
- Engineer earnings:

\[ Engineer \ earnings = 12 \ ECTS \cdot 25 \ \hat{h}_{ECTS} \cdot 10 \ \hat{€}/\hat{h} = 3000 \ \hat{€} \]  

The total budget is 4,445 €.
8.3. Project Planning

The project planning is described in the Gantt diagram [Figure 8.1]. It is important to remark some aspects of the planning:

- I enrolled in the project on February 2019, but I was working full time in an electric company so I considered to deliver the report after the summer vacations, applying for an extension.
- I started to work in the project on June 2019, doing research and looking for good bibliographic material.
- On July I started to select quality information and to write the theoretical aspects of the project, like the State of Art.
- I quit my job on August 2019 and I started to work full time on the project, focusing my efforts in the design of the solar charger and the simulation models.

![Gantt diagram](image)

*Figure 8.1. Gantt diagram of the project.*
Conclusions

After working in this project during months designing the electric diagram, creating the simulation models, running them in order to get the results, analyze the results in order to select real components in the market whose characteristics match the desired ones and displaying all these information in this report, I can extract some important conclusions.

The designed product is viable. The solar module is light, small (less than 1m²) and flexible, so it can be easily folded in order to optimize the portability of the solar charger. The power electronics is well designed and the simulations provide satisfactory results. Moreover, there are real electronic components whose characteristics match exactly with the ones needed according to the simulation results, so the product should not be developed component by component, because there are commercial components that can be used, which makes us safe time and money. The charger performs well; under normal conditions it should be capable of completely charging a high capacity battery in less than 140 min (even less if there are better irradiance conditions), the charging current is saturated to a maximum value of 3A to protect the Li-Ion battery of the devices (the charge management integrated circuit is the component which performs this) and, in addition, the PV module should perform well when partially shadowed because of the by-pass diodes connected to their cells.

The designed charger has on clear downside, it can’t work at night because it can’t store energy in a battery. This project could be continued by modifying the product in order to solve this inconvenient and increase its quality without worsen its portability. The project could also be continued by designing the external appearance of the product using a 3D modelling software and even build a real prototype.

I sincerely believe that, to do business with this type of chargers, the proposed business model makes a lot of sense. It is innovative, digital inspired, and can connect very well with young people. The project could also be improved by developing the business model in more detail, and even creating the app.

So, we can finally conclude that the objectives set for this project have been accomplished.
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Appendix

A. Datasheet: Flisom e-Flex 1.6m

**Description**

The eFlex is a flexible and lightweight solar panel designed for integration into roofs, structures with limited load bearing capacity, mobility applications on trailers, RVs, boats and many more demanding applications.

**Features**

- Most elegant and aesthetic solar module, beautiful uniform design
- High energy yield due to excellent shadow tolerance and temperature stability
- Applicable for curved surfaces – bendable
- Ultra-low weight, < 2kg/m²
- Unbreakable & robust - no glass, no micro cracks
- EL tested
- Made in Switzerland
- Available in 0.8m, 1.6m, 2.3m and 3.1m length
eFlex – for Buildings & Mobility

### Dimensions

<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Length</td>
<td>1617</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Width</td>
<td>411</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thickness at module</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thickness at J-Box</td>
<td>21 ± 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Electrical characteristics at STC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model number</th>
<th>FF 50</th>
<th>FF 55</th>
<th>FF 60</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nominal power</td>
<td>Pmpp</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voltage at nom. power</td>
<td>Vmpp</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current at nom. power</td>
<td>Impp</td>
<td>1.47</td>
<td>1.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open circuit voltage</td>
<td>Voc</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short circuit current</td>
<td>Isc</td>
<td>1.72</td>
<td>1.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max. system voltage</td>
<td>IEC</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max. serial fuse rating</td>
<td>[A]</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Thermal characteristics

<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Temperature coefficient</td>
<td>Voc</td>
<td>-0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature coefficient</td>
<td>Isc</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature coefficient</td>
<td>Pmpp</td>
<td>-0.35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Operating conditions

<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Temperature range</td>
<td>40 to 85° C</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max. mechanical load</td>
<td>2400 Pa, 245 kg/m²</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Additional data

- **Cell type**: Flexible CIGS
- **Junction box**: Front side including bypass diode, IP67, MC4 type / MC4 connectors, 700mm long cable (4 mm²)
- **Encapsulation**: Fluoropolymer front sheet / plastic back sheet
- **Parallel connection**: Y-connector available
- **Customization**: Possible on request for larger volumes / Optional front and back-side Junction box

### Warranty & certification

- **Performance guarantee**: 10 years on 90% of Pmpp under STC\(^1\) & 20 year on 80% of Pmpp under STC\(^1\)
- **Warranty**: 5 years' workmanship after delivery date
- **Certification**: EN IEC 61646; EN IEC 61730-1 8-2
- **Safety class**: II

---

**Notes**

1. STC: 1000 W/m², AM1.5G, 25°C, stabilized module state
2. sites covered under current certifications

We continuously develop our products. Electrical and physical properties subject to change without prior notice.
B. Datasheet: Linear Technology Inc – LT8495

**FEATURES**

- Wide Input Voltage Range of −1V to 60V (2.5V to 32V for Start-Up)
- Low Ripple Burst Mode® Operation
  - 9µA Iq at 12VIN to 5.0V<sub>DUT</sub>
  - Output Ripple (<10mV Typ.)
- Dual Supply Pins:
  - Improves Efficiency
  - Reduces Minimum Supply Voltage to −1V after Start-Up to Extend Battery Life
- Integrated 2A/70V Power Switch
- Programmable Watchdog Timer Can Operate When VIN Supply Is Removed
- Programmable Power-On Reset Timer (POR) with RST Functional for Input Supply Down to 1.3V
- FMEA Fault Tolerant in TSSOP Package
- Fixed Frequency PWM, SEPIC/BOOST/FLYBACK Topologies
- Programmable Switching Frequency:
  - 250kHz to 1.5MHz
- UVLO Programmable on SWEN and RSTIN Pins
- Soft-Start Programmable with One Capacitor
- Small 20-Lead QFN or 20-Lead TSSOP Packages

**APPLICATIONS**

- Automotive ECU Power
- Power for Portable Products
- Industrial Supplies

**DESCRIPTION**

The LT<sup>®</sup>8495 is an adjustable frequency (250kHz to 1.5MHz) monolithic switching regulator with a power-on reset and watchdog timer. Quiescent current can be less than 9µA when operating and is < 0.3µA when SWEN, WDE and RSTIN are low. Configurable as a SEPIC, boost or flyback converter, the low ripple Burst Mode operation maintains high efficiency at low output current while keeping output ripple below 10mV. Dual supply pins (VIN and BIAS) allow the part to automatically operate from the most efficient supply. Input supply voltage can be up to 60V for SEPIC topologies and up to 32V (with ride-through up to 60V) for boost and flyback topologies. After start-up, battery life is extended since the part can draw current from its output (BIAS) even when VIN voltage drops below 2.5V.

The reset and watchdog timeout periods are independently adjustable using external capacitors. Using a resistor divider on the SWEN pin provides a programmable undervoltage lockout (UVLO) for the converter. A resistor divider connected to RSTIN provides UVLO control that asserts the RST pin.

Additional features such as frequency foldback and soft-start are integrated. Fault tolerance in the TSSOP allows for adjacent pin shorts or an open without raising the output voltage above its programmed value. The LT8495 is available in 20-lead QFN and 20-lead TSSOP packages with exposed pads for low thermal resistance.

For more information [www.linear.com/LT8495](http://www.linear.com/LT8495)
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**ABSOLUTE MAXIMUM RATINGS**

(Notes 1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>V_{IN}, BIAS Voltage</td>
<td>60V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWEN, WDE, RSTIN Voltage</td>
<td>60V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FB Voltage</td>
<td>60V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SW Voltage</td>
<td>70V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WDI, RST, WDO Voltage</td>
<td>6V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RT Voltage</td>
<td>6V</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| CPOR, CWDT, SS Voltage     | 3V     |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Operating Junction Temperature Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LT8495E, LT8495J (Notes 2, 3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LT8495H (Notes 2, 3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Storage Temperature Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-65°C to 150°C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lead Temperature (Soldering, 10 sec)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FE Package</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Package</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FE Package</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| 20-Lead Plastic TSSOP            |

**PIN CONFIGURATION**

[Diagram of pin configuration]

**ORDER INFORMATION**

http://www.linear.com/product/LT8495#orderinfo

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lead Free Finish</th>
<th>Tape and Reel</th>
<th>Part Marking*</th>
<th>Package Description</th>
<th>Temperature Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LT6495ET#PBF</td>
<td>LT6495ET#TTPBF</td>
<td>6455</td>
<td>20-Lead (4mm x 4mm) Plastic QFN</td>
<td>-40°C to 125°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LT6495JF#PBF</td>
<td>LT6495JF#TTPBF</td>
<td>6455</td>
<td>20-Lead (4mm x 4mm) Plastic QFN</td>
<td>-40°C to 125°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LT6495ET#PBF</td>
<td>LT6495ET#TTPBF</td>
<td>6455</td>
<td>20-Lead Plastic TSSOP</td>
<td>-40°C to 125°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LT6495JF#PBF</td>
<td>LT6495JF#TTPBF</td>
<td>6455</td>
<td>20-Lead Plastic TSSOP</td>
<td>-40°C to 125°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LT6495ET#PBF</td>
<td>LT6495ET#TTPBF</td>
<td>6455</td>
<td>20-Lead Plastic TSSOP</td>
<td>-40°C to 125°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LT6495JF#PBF</td>
<td>LT6495JF#TTPBF</td>
<td>6455</td>
<td>20-Lead Plastic TSSOP</td>
<td>-40°C to 125°C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Consult LTC Marketing for parts specified with wider operating temperature ranges. The temperature grade is identified by a label on the shipping container.

For more information on lead free part marking, go to: http://www.linear.com/leadfree

For more information on tape and reel specifications, go to: http://www.linear.com/tapedirect. Some packages are available in 500 unit reels through designated sales channels with #TPE#PBF suffix.

For more information, visit www.linear.com/LT6495

2
### LT8495

**ELECTRICAL CHARACTERISTICS** The • denotes the specifications which apply over the full operating junction temperature range, otherwise specifications are at $T_A = 25\, ^\circ C$, $V_{IN} = V_{SWIN} = 12V$, $V_{BAIS} = V_{OOSC} = 5V$, unless otherwise noted (Note 2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PARAMETER</th>
<th>CONDITIONS</th>
<th>MIN</th>
<th>TYP</th>
<th>MAX</th>
<th>UNITS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RST IN Pin Current (Note 7)</td>
<td>$V_{STRIN} = 1.2V$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>mA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$V_{STRIN} = 5V$</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>mA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$V_{STRIN} = 12V$</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>mA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RST IN Threshold as % of $V_{CC}$ Regulation Voltage</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RST IN Low to RST Asserted (tuy)</td>
<td>Step, $V_{THY} = 1.3V$ to 0.9V</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>μs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Watchdog Timeout and Reset Delay Period (tWRS)</td>
<td>$V_{CC} = 470, V_{CC}$, Watchdog Timeout Not Occurring at Same Time as the Reset Delay</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>11.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Watchdog Upper Boundary (tWUS) (Note 8)</td>
<td>$Q_{ACT} = 1000, V_{CC}$</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>20.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Watchdog Lower Boundary (tWLD) (Note 8)</td>
<td>$Q_{ACT} = 1000, V_{CC}$</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>580</td>
<td>650</td>
<td>817</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RST Output Voltage Low</td>
<td>$V_{SSX} = 1.25, mA$</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>mV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$V_{SSX} = 100, mA$, $V_{BAIS} = 1.3V$, $V_{IN} = 6V$</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>mV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$V_{SSX} = 100, mA$, $V_{IN} = 1.3V$, $V_{BAIS} = 6V$</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>mV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RST Leakage Current</td>
<td>$V_{STRIN} = 1.2V$, $V_{CC} = 5V$ (LT8495C, LT8495H)</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>μA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$V_{STRIN} = 1.2V$, $V_{CC} = 3V$ (LT8495S)</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>μA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WDO Outgoing Voltage Low</td>
<td>$V_{SSX} = 1.25, mA$</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>420</td>
<td>mV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WDO Leakage Current</td>
<td>$V_{BAIS} = 5V$</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>μA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WDI Pins Current</td>
<td>$V_{BAIS} = 5V$</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>μA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WDI Input Rising Threshold</td>
<td>$V_{WDRI} = 5V$</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WDL Voltage Hysteresis</td>
<td>$V_{WDRI} = 5V$</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>58</td>
<td></td>
<td>mV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WDI Input Pulse Width</td>
<td>$V_{WDRI} = 5V$</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>300</td>
<td></td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WDE Pin Current (Note 7)</td>
<td>$V_{DEE} = 1.2V$</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>mA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$V_{DEE} = 5V$</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>mA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$V_{DEE} = 12V$</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>mA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WDE Rising Voltage Threshold</td>
<td>$V_{DEE} = 5V$</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WDE Voltage Hysteresis</td>
<td>$V_{DEE} = 5V$</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td>mV</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note 1:** Values beyond those listed under Absolute Maximum Ratings may cause permanent damage to the device. Exposure to any Absolute Maximum Rating condition for extended periods may affect device reliability and lifetime. Voltages are with respect to GND pin unless otherwise noted.

**Note 2:** The LT8495 is guaranteed to meet performance specifications from $0^\circ C$ to $125^\circ C$ junction temperature. Specifications over the $-40^\circ C$ to $125^\circ C$ operating junction temperature range are assured by design, characterization and correlation with statistical process controls. The LT8495 is guaranteed to meet performance specifications from $-40^\circ C$ to $125^\circ C$ junction temperatures. The LT8495H is guaranteed over the full $-30^\circ C$ to $150^\circ C$ operating junction temperature range. Operating lifetime is derated at junction temperature greater than $125^\circ C$.

**Note 3:** This IC includes overtemperature protection that is intended to protect the device during momentary overload conditions. Junction temperature will exceed the maximum operating range when overtemperature protection is active. Continuous operation above the specified maximum operating junction temperature may impair device reliability.

**Note 4:** See Power Supplies and Operating Limits in the Applications Information section for more details.

**Note 5:** Current limit guaranteed by design and/or correlation to static test. Slope Compensation reduces current limit at higher duty cycles.

**Note 6:** Max duty cycle current limit measured at 1MHz switching frequency.

**Note 7:** Polarity specification for all currents into pins is positive. All voltages are referenced to GND unless otherwise specified.

**Note 8:** This specification is guaranteed for only the exact capacitance as listed in the conditions. Variation of the capacitance from the exact listed value will cause proportional variation to $Q_{ACT}$, $tWUS$, and $tWLD$.
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BLOCK DIAGRAM

For more information www.linear.com/LT8495
LT8495

TYPICAL APPLICATIONS

450kHz, 5V Output SEPIC Converter
(Same as Front Page Application)

1.5MHz, 12V Output SEPIC Converter

For more information www.linear.com/LT8495
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

Please refer to http://www.linear.com/product/LT8495#packaging for the most recent package drawings.

FE Package
20-Lead Plastic TSSOP (4.4mm)
(Reference LTC DWG # 05-06-1003 Rev L)
Exposed Pad Variation CA

UF Package
20-Lead Plastic UF H (4mm × 4mm)
(Reference LTC DWG # 05-08-1710 Rev A)
C. Datasheet: Microchip Technology Inc – MCP73843

MCP73841/2/3/4

Advanced Single or Dual Cell Lithium-Ion/
Lithium-Polymer Charge Management Controllers

Features
- Linear Charge Management Controllers
- High-Accuracy Preset Voltage Regulation:
  - \( \pm 0.5\% \) (max)
- Four Preset Voltage Regulation Options:
  - 4.1V - MCP73841-4.1, MCP73843-4.1
  - 4.2V - MCP73841-4.2, MCP73843-4.2
  - 8.2V - MCP73842-8.2, MCP73844-8.2
  - 8.4V - MCP73842-8.4, MCP73844-8.4
- Programmable Charge Current
- Programmable Safety Charge Timers
- Preconditioning of Deeply Depleted Cells
- Automatic End-of-Charge Control
- Optional Continuous Cell Temperature Monitoring (MCP73841 and MCP73842)
- Charge Status Output for Direct LED Drive
- Automatic Power-Down when Input Power Removed
- Temperature Range: -40°C to 85°C
- Packaging: MSOP-10 - MCP73841, MCP73842
  MSOP-8 - MCP73843, MCP73844

Description
The MCP734X family of devices are highly advanced linear charge management controllers for use in space-limited, cost-sensitive applications. The MCP73841 and MCP73842 combine high accuracy, constant-voltage, constant-current regulation, cell preconditioning, cell temperature monitoring, advanced safety timers, automatic charge termination and charge status indication in space-saving 10-pin MSOP packages. The MCP73841 and MCP73842 provide completely functional, stand-alone charge management solutions.

The MCP73843 and MCP73844 employ all of the features of the MCP73841 and MCP73842, with the exception of the cell temperature monitor. The MCP73843 and MCP73844 are offered in 8-pin MSOP packages.

The MCP73841 and MCP73843 are designed for applications utilizing single-cell Lithium-Ion or Lithium-Polymer battery packs. Two preset voltage regulation options are available (4.1V and 4.2V) for use with either coke or graphite anodes. The MCP73841 and MCP73843 operate with an input voltage range of 4.0V to 12V.

The MCP73842 and MCP73844 are designed for applications utilizing dual series cell Lithium-Ion or Lithium-Polymer battery packs. Two preset voltage regulation options are available (8.2V and 8.4V). The MCP73842 and MCP73844 operate with an input voltage range of 0.7V to 12V.

The MCP7384X family of devices are fully specified over the ambient temperature range of -40°C to +85°C.

Applications
- Lithium-Ion/Lithium-Polymer Battery Chargers
- Personal Data Assistants
- Cellular Telephones
- Hand-Held Instruments
- Cradle Chargers
- Digital Cameras
- MP3 Players

Typical Application Circuit

© 2003-2013 Microchip Technology Inc.
MCP73841/2/3/4

Functional Block Diagram

[Diagram of MCP73841/2/3/4 block diagram, showing various components such as VDD, VDDQ, VREF, Drive, DRV, UVLO,Comparator, Charge Current Control Amplifier, Voltage Control Amplifier, Precondition Control, Charge_OK, Precon, Constant-Voltage/Recharge Comp., Bias and Reference Generator, Temp. Comparators, Oscillator, and Status Logic.]
MCP73841/2/3/4

1.0 ELECTRICAL CHARACTERISTICS

Absolute Maximum Ratings†

Notice: Stresses above those listed under “Absolute Maximum Ratings” may cause permanent damage to the device. This is a stress rating only and functional operation of the device at these or any other conditions above those indicated in the operational listings of this specification is not implied. Exposure to maximum rating conditions for extended periods may affect device reliability.

V_{DD} .................................................................................. 13.5V
All inputs and outputs w.r.t. V_{DD} ........................................... -0.3 to (V_{DD}+0.3)V
Current at DRV Pin ................................................................. 44 mA
Current at STAT1 Pin ............................................................. -30 mA
Maximum Junction Temperature, T_J .................................... 150°C
Storage temperature ............................................................. -55°C to +125°C
ESD protection on all pins:
Human Body Model (1.5 kV in Series with 100 pF) ....... ±2 kV
Machine Model (200 pF, No Series Resistance) ........... 200V

DC CHARACTERISTICS

Electrical Specifications: Unless otherwise indicated, all limits apply for V_{DD} = [V_{REG} (Typ) + 0.3V] to 12V, T_A = -40°C to +85°C.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Sym</th>
<th>Min</th>
<th>Typ</th>
<th>Max</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Conditions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Supply Input</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supply Voltage</td>
<td>V_{DD}</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCP73841, MCP73843</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>V</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCP73842, MCP73844</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>V</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supply Current</td>
<td>I_{DD}</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>mA</td>
<td>Operating V_{DD} = [V_{REG} (Typ) + 1V]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UVLO Start Threshold</td>
<td>V_{START}</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>V</td>
<td>V_{DD} Low-to-High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCP73841, MCP73843</td>
<td>4.25</td>
<td>4.45</td>
<td>4.60</td>
<td>V</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCP73842, MCP73844</td>
<td>8.45</td>
<td>8.65</td>
<td>8.80</td>
<td>V</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UVLO Stop Threshold</td>
<td>V_{STOP}</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>V</td>
<td>V_{DD} High-to-Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCP73841, MCP73843</td>
<td>4.20</td>
<td>4.40</td>
<td>4.65</td>
<td>V</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCP73842, MCP73844</td>
<td>8.40</td>
<td>8.60</td>
<td>8.80</td>
<td>V</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Voltage Regulation (Constant-Voltage Modes)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Regulated Output Voltage</th>
<th>V_{REG}</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MCP73841-4.1, MCP73843-4.1</td>
<td>4.079</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>4.121</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>V_{DD} = [V_{REG} (Typ) + 1V], I_{OUT} = 10 mA, I_A = -5°C to +25°C</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCP73841-4.2, MCP73843-4.2</td>
<td>4.179</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>4.221</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>V_{DD} = [V_{REG} (Typ) + 1V], I_{OUT} = 10 mA, T_A = -5°C to +25°C</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCP73842-3.2, MCP73844-3.2</td>
<td>8.150</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>8.241</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>V_{DD} = [V_{REG} (Typ) + 1V], I_{OUT} = 10 mA, T_A = -5°C to +25°C</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCP73842-3.4, MCP73844-3.4</td>
<td>8.358</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>8.442</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>V_{DD} = [V_{REG} (Typ) + 1V], I_{OUT} = 10 mA, T_A = -5°C to +25°C</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Line Regulation</td>
<td>(ΔV_{VREG} / V_{DD})</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>%/V</td>
<td>V_{DD} = [V_{REG} (Typ) + 1V] to 12V, I_{OUT} = 10 mA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Load Regulation</td>
<td>(ΔV_{VREG} / V_{DD})</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>I_{OUT} = 10 mA to 150 mA, V_{DD} = [V_{REG} (Typ) + 1V]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supply Ripple Attenuation</td>
<td>PSRR</td>
<td></td>
<td>–68</td>
<td>–dB</td>
<td>I_{OUT} = 10 mA, 100 Hz</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>–42</td>
<td>–dB</td>
<td>I_{OUT} = 10 mA, 1 kHz</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>–30</td>
<td>–dB</td>
<td>I_{OUT} = 10 mA, 10 kHz</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Output Reverse Leakage</td>
<td>I_{VREG-CHARGE}</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>μA V_{DD} Floating, V_{AV} = V_{REG} (Typ)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Current Regulation (Fast Charge Constant-Current Mode)

| Fast Charge Current Regulation Threshold | V_{CC} | 100 | 110 | 120 | mV | V_{DD} = V_{SENSE}, T_A = -5°C to +25°C |

© 2003-2013 Microchip Technology Inc.
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DC CHARACTERISTICS (CONTINUED)

Electrical Specifications: Unless otherwise indicated, all limits apply for VDD = [VREG(Typ)+0.3V] to 12V, TA = -40°C to +85°C. Typical values are at +25°C, VDD = [VREG(Typ)+1V].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Sym</th>
<th>Min</th>
<th>Typ</th>
<th>Max</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Conditions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Precondition Current Regulation Threshold</td>
<td>VPCD</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>mV</td>
<td>VDD = VSENSE, TA = -40°C to +85°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Precondition Threshold Voltage</td>
<td>VPTH</td>
<td>2.70</td>
<td>2.80</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>VBAT, Low-to-High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCP73841-2.1, MCP73843-2.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCP73841-2.2, MCP73843-2.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCP73842-2.2, MCP73844-2.2</td>
<td>5.40</td>
<td>5.50</td>
<td>5.80</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>VBAT, Low-to-High</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCP73842-2.1, MCP73843-2.1</td>
<td>5.50</td>
<td>5.70</td>
<td>5.90</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>VBAT, Low-to-High</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charge Termination</td>
<td>VTCB</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>mV</td>
<td>VDD = VSENSE, TA = -40°C to +85°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Automatic Recharge</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recharge Threshold Voltage</td>
<td>VRTI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCP73841, MCP73842</td>
<td>VREG - 300 mV, 200 mV</td>
<td>VREG - 100 mV</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>VBAT, High-to-Low</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCP73842, MCP73844</td>
<td>VREG - 500 mV, 400 mV</td>
<td>VREG - 200 mV</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>VBAT, High-to-Low</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>External MOSFET Gate Drive</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gate Drive Current</td>
<td>IGRV</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>mA</td>
<td>Sink, CV Mode</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gate Drive Minimum Voltage</td>
<td>VGRVMIN</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>VDD = 4.5V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gate Source Clamp Voltage</td>
<td>VGS</td>
<td>-7.0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-4.5</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>VDD = 12.0V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermistor Reference - MCP73841, MCP73842</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermistor Reference Output Voltage</td>
<td>VTREF</td>
<td>2.475</td>
<td>2.55</td>
<td>2.625</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>TA = +25°C, VDD = VREG(Typ)+1V, Ithref = 6 mA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature Coefficient</td>
<td>TCREF</td>
<td>-50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>ppm/°C</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermistor Reference Source Current</td>
<td>ITHREF</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>µA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermistor Reference Line Regulation</td>
<td>(∆VTREF/ VTHREF) / ∆VDD</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>%/V</td>
<td>VDD = [VREG(Typ)+1V] to 12V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermistor Reference Load Regulation</td>
<td>[VTHREF/ VREF]</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>001</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>Ithref = 0 mA to 0.20 mA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermistor Comparator - MCP73841, MCP73842</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper Trip Threshold</td>
<td>VT1</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>V</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper Trip Point Hysteresis</td>
<td>VT1H2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>mV</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower Threshold</td>
<td>VT2</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>V</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower Trip Point Hysteresis</td>
<td>VT2H2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>mV</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Input Bias Current</td>
<td>IIBIAS</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>µA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status Indicator</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sink Current</td>
<td>ISINK</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>mA</td>
<td>ISINK = 1 mA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low Output Voltage</td>
<td>VOL</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>mV</td>
<td>ISINK = 0mA, VSTAT = 12V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Input Leakage Current</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>µA</td>
<td>ISINK = 0 mA, VSTAT = 12V</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
DC CHARACTERISTICS (CONTINUED)

Electrical Specifications: Unless otherwise indicated, all limits apply for \( V_{DD} = [V_{REG}(\text{Typ})+0.3\text{V}] \) to 12V, \( T_A = -40^\circ\text{C} \) to \(+85^\circ\text{C}\). Typical values are at \(+25^\circ\text{C}, V_{DD} = [V_{REG}(\text{Typ})+1\text{V}]\).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Sym</th>
<th>Min</th>
<th>Typ</th>
<th>Max</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Conditions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Enable Input</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Input High-Voltage Level</td>
<td>( V_{IH} )</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>V</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Input Low-Voltage Level</td>
<td>( V_{IL} )</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>V</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Input Leakage Current</td>
<td>( I_{LK} )</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>( \mu\text{A} )</td>
<td>( V_{ENABLE} = 12\text{V} )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AC CHARACTERISTICS

Electrical Specifications: Unless otherwise indicated, all limits apply for \( V_{DD} = [V_{REG}(\text{Typ})+0.3\text{V}] \) to 12V, \( T_A = -40^\circ\text{C} \) to \(+85^\circ\text{C}\). Typical values are at \(+25^\circ\text{C}, V_{DD} = [V_{REG}(\text{Typ})+1\text{V}]\).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Sym</th>
<th>Min</th>
<th>Typ</th>
<th>Max</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Conditions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UVLO Start Delay</td>
<td>( t_{START} )</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>( \text{msec} )</td>
<td>( V_{DD} \text{Low-to-High} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current Regulation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transition Time Out of</td>
<td>( t_{DELAY} )</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>( \text{msec} )</td>
<td>( V_{OUT} &lt; V_{TH} ) to ( V_{OUT} &gt; V_{TH} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preconditioning</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current Rise Time Out of</td>
<td>( t_{RISE} )</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>( \text{msec} )</td>
<td>( I_{OUT} \text{Rising to 60% of } I_{REG} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preconditioning Current</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timer Period</td>
<td>( t_{PRECON} )</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>( \text{Minutes} )</td>
<td>( C_{TIMER} = 9.1 \mu\text{F} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conditioning Charge</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safety Timer Period</td>
<td>( t_{SRTM} )</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>( \text{Hours} )</td>
<td>( C_{TIMER} = 0.1 \mu\text{F} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change Indicators</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status Output turn-off</td>
<td>( t_{OFF} )</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>( \mu\text{sec} )</td>
<td>( I_{SINK} = 10\text{mA} ) to ( 0\text{mA} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status Output turn-on</td>
<td>( t_{ON} )</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>( \mu\text{sec} )</td>
<td>( I_{SINK} = 0\text{mA} ) to ( 10\text{mA} )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TEMPERATURE SPECIFICATIONS

Electrical Specifications: Unless otherwise specified, all limits apply for \( V_{DD} = [V_{REG}(\text{Typ})+0.3\text{V}] \) to 12V. Typical values are at \(+25^\circ\text{C}, V_{DD} = [V_{REG}(\text{Typ})+1.3\text{V}]\).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Sym</th>
<th>Min</th>
<th>Typ</th>
<th>Max</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Conditions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Temperature Ranges</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specified Temperature Range</td>
<td>( T_A )</td>
<td>-40</td>
<td>+85</td>
<td></td>
<td>( ^\circ\text{C} )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating Temperature Range</td>
<td>( T_A )</td>
<td>-40</td>
<td>+125</td>
<td></td>
<td>( ^\circ\text{C} )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Storage Temperature Range</td>
<td>( T_A )</td>
<td>-65</td>
<td>+150</td>
<td></td>
<td>( ^\circ\text{C} )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermal Package Resistances</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermal Resistance, MSOP-10</td>
<td>( r_{JA} )</td>
<td>113</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>( ^\circ\text{C/W} )</td>
<td>4-Layer JC51-L, Standard Board, Natural Convection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermal Resistance, MSOP-8</td>
<td>( r_{JA} )</td>
<td>200</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>( ^\circ\text{C/W} )</td>
<td>Single-Layer SEMI 042-68 Board, Natural Convection</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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2.0 TYPICAL PERFORMANCE CURVES

Note: The graphs and tables provided following this note are a statistical summary based on a limited number of samples and are provided for informational purposes only. The performance characteristics listed herein are not tested or guaranteed. In some graphs or tables, the data presented may be outside the specified operating range (e.g., outside specified power supply range) and therefore outside the warranted range.

Note: Unless otherwise indicated, $V_{DD} = [V_{REG}(Typ) + 1V]$, $I_{OUT} = 10$ mA and $TA = +25^\circ C$.

FIGURE 2-1: Battery Regulation Voltage ($V_{BAT}$) vs. Charge Current ($I_{OUT}$).

FIGURE 2-2: Battery Regulation Voltage ($V_{BAT}$) vs. Supply Voltage ($V_{DD}$).

FIGURE 2-3: Battery Regulation Voltage ($V_{BAT}$) vs. Supply Voltage ($V_{DD}$).

FIGURE 2-4: Supply Current ($I_{SS}$) vs. Charge Current ($I_{OUT}$).

FIGURE 2-5: Supply Current ($I_{SS}$) vs. Supply Voltage ($V_{DD}$).

FIGURE 2-6: Supply Current ($I_{SS}$) vs. Supply Voltage ($V_{DD}$).
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3.0 PIN DESCRIPTIONS

The descriptions of the pins are listed in Table 3-1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MCP73841, MCP73842 Pin No.</th>
<th>MCP73843, MCP73844 Pin No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Function</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>SENSE</td>
<td>Charge Current Sense Input</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>VDD</td>
<td>Battery Management Input Supply</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>STAT1</td>
<td>Charge Status Output</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>EN</td>
<td>Logic Enable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>THREF</td>
<td>Cell Temperature Sensor Bias</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>THERM</td>
<td>Cell Temperature Sensor Input</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>TIMER</td>
<td>Timer Set</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>VSS</td>
<td>Battery Management 0V Reference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>VBAT</td>
<td>Battery Voltage Sense</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>DRV</td>
<td>Drive Output</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.1 Charge Current Sense Input (SENSE)

Charge current is sensed via the voltage developed across an external precision sense resistor. The sense resistor must be placed between the supply voltage (VDD) and the external pass transistor (Q1). A 220 mΩ sense resistor produces a fast charge current of 500 mA, typically.

3.2 Battery Management Input Supply (VDD)

A supply voltage of [VREG(Typ) + 0.3V] to 12V is recommended. Bypass to VSS with a minimum of 4.7 μF.

3.3 Charge Status Output (STAT1)

Current limited, open-drain drive for direct connection to a LED for charge status indication. Alternatively, a pull-up resistor can be applied for interfacing to a host microcontroller.

3.4 Logic Enable (EN)

Input to force charge termination, initiate charge, clear faults or disable automatic recharge.

3.5 Cell Temperature Sensor Bias (THREF)

Voltage reference to bias external thermistor for continuous cell temperature monitoring and prequalification.

3.6 Cell Temperature Sensor Input (THERM)

Input for an external thermistor for continuous cell-temperature monitoring and pre-qualification. Apply a voltage equal to 0.35V to disable temperature-sensing.

3.7 Timer Set (TIMER)

All safety timers are scaled by C_TIMER/0.1 μF.

3.8 Battery Management 0V Reference (VSS)

Connect to negative terminal of battery.

3.9 Battery Voltage Sense (VBAT)

Voltage sense input. Connect to positive terminal of battery. Bypass to VSS with a minimum of 4.7 μF to ensure loop stability when the battery is disconnected. A precision internal resistor divider regulates the final voltage on this pin to VREG.

3.10 Drive Output (DRV)

Direct output drive of an external P-channel MOSFET for current and voltage regulation.
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10-Lead Plastic Micro Small Outline Package (UN) (MSOP)

Note: For the most current package drawings, please see the Microchip Packaging Specification located at http://www.microchip.com/packaging

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Units</th>
<th>INCHES</th>
<th>MILLIMETER</th>
<th>UNITS</th>
<th>MILLIMETER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dimension Limits</td>
<td>MIN</td>
<td>NOM</td>
<td>MAX</td>
<td>NOM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Pins</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pitch</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>.020 TYP</td>
<td>.050</td>
<td>.050 TYP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall Height</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>.043</td>
<td>.110</td>
<td>.110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molded Package Thickness</td>
<td>A2</td>
<td>.093</td>
<td>.23</td>
<td>.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standoff</td>
<td>A1</td>
<td>.004</td>
<td>.010</td>
<td>.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall Width</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>.191BC</td>
<td>.480</td>
<td>.480BC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molded Package Width</td>
<td>E1</td>
<td>.115BC</td>
<td>.292BC</td>
<td>.292BC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall Length</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>.115BC</td>
<td>.292BC</td>
<td>.292BC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foot Length</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>.016</td>
<td>.040</td>
<td>.040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Footprint</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>.037 REF</td>
<td>.095</td>
<td>.095 REF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foot Angle</td>
<td>6°</td>
<td>.100</td>
<td>.254</td>
<td>.254</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead Thickness</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>.006</td>
<td>.15</td>
<td>.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead Width</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>.008</td>
<td>.20</td>
<td>.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>molding Draft Angle Tap</td>
<td>4°</td>
<td>10°</td>
<td>25.4</td>
<td>25.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>molding Draft Angle Bottom</td>
<td>5°</td>
<td>15°</td>
<td>38.1</td>
<td>38.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Controlling Parameter

Notes:

- Dimensions D and E1 do not include mold flash or protrusions. Mold flash or protrusions shall not exceed 0.001" (0.0254mm) per side.

JEDEC Equivalent: MO-187

Drawing No. D4-421