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#### Abstract

Positive-sense, single-stranded RNA viruses are important pathogens infecting almost all types of organisms. Experimental evidence from distributions of mutations and from viral RNA amplification suggest that these pathogens may follow different RNA replication modes, ranging from the stamping machine replication (SMR) to the geometric replication (GR) mode. Although previous theoretical work has focused on the evolutionary dynamics of RNA viruses amplifying their genomes with different strategies, little is known in terms of the bifurcations and transitions involving the socalled error threshold (mutation-induced dominance of mutants) and lethal mutagenesis (extinction of all sequences due to mutation accumulation and demographic stochasticity). Here we analyze a dynamical system describing the intracellular amplification of viral RNA genomes evolving on a single-peak fitness landscape focusing on three cases considering neutral, deleterious, and lethal mutants. We analytically derive the critical mutation rates causing lethal mutagenesis and error threshold, governed by transcritical bifurcations that depend on parameters $\alpha$ (parameter introducing the mode of replication), replicative fitness of mutants $\left(k_{1}\right)$, and on the spontaneous degradation rates of the sequences $(\epsilon)$. Our results relate the error catastrophe with lethal mutagenesis in a model with continuous populations of viral genomes. The former case involves dominance of the mutant sequences, while the latter, a deterministic extinction of the viral RNAs during replication due to increased mutation. For the lethal case the critical mutation rate involving lethal mutagenesis is $\mu_{c}=1-\varepsilon / \sqrt{\alpha}$. Here, the SMR involves lower critical mutation rates, being the system more robust to lethal mutagenesis replicating closer to the GR mode. This result is also found for the neutral and deleterious cases, but for these later cases lethal mutagenesis can shift to the error threshold once the replication mode surpasses a threshold given by $\sqrt{\alpha}=\epsilon / k_{1}$.
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## I. INTRODUCTION

RNA viruses are characterized as fast replicators ${ }^{55}$ and reaching enormous populations sizes within infected ${ }_{56}$ hosts. However, virus' fast replication comes with the 57 cost of extremely high mutation rates due to the lack ${ }_{58}$ of correction mechanisms of their RNA-dependent RNA ${ }_{59}$ polymerases (RdRp) [1, 2]. Indeed, mutation rates are 60 so high that viral populations are thought to replicate 61 close to the so-called error threshold (also named error ${ }_{62}$ catastrophe), beyond which it is not possible to retain ${ }_{63}$ genetic information as mutant genomes outcompete the 64 mutation-free genome [3]. These mutation rates are or- 65 ders of magnitude higher than those characteristic for ${ }_{66}$ their cellular hosts. While the combination of fast repli- ${ }_{67}$ cation, large population size and high mutation rate cre- ${ }_{68}$ ate the potential for quick adaptation to new environ- ${ }_{69}$ mental conditions (e.g., changes in host species or the 70 addition of an antiviral drug), in a stable environment ${ }_{71}$ such a strategy has the drawback of generating a high 72

[^0]load of deleterious mutations. Therefore, natural selection may have favored life history traits mitigating the accumulation of deleterious mutations.

One such life history trait that has received a good deal of attention is the mechanism of within-cell viral replication. In the continuum of possible modes of replication, the two extremes have been particularly well studied. At the one extreme, the stamping machine mode [4], hereafter referred as SMR, implies that the first infecting genome is transcribed into a small number of molecules of opposite polarity that will then be used as templates to generate the entire progeny of genomes. At the other extreme, the geometric replication mode [5], hereafter named as GR, means that the newly generated progeny also serves as template to produce new opposite polarity molecules that, themselves, will also serve to generate new progeny genomes, repeating the cycle until cellular resources are exhausted and replication ends. The actual mode of replication of a given virus may lie between these two extremes. Some RNA viruses such as bacteriophages $\phi 6$ [6] and $\mathrm{Q} \beta$ [7] and turnip mosaic virus [8] tend to replicate closer to the SMR. In contrast, for other RNA viruses such as poliovirus [9] or vesicular stomatitis virus [10], replication involves multiple rounds of
copying per cell, and thus a mode of replication that ${ }_{135}$ should be closer to the GR. For DNA viruses, GR is the ${ }_{136}$ most likely mechanism of replication given their double-137 stranded nature, e.g., bacteriophage T2 [5]. Exceptions ${ }_{138}$ maybe be single-stranded DNA viruses, such as bacterio-139 phage $\phi X 174$, that replicate via the SMR mode because ${ }_{140}$ it uses a rolling circle mechanism [11].

At which point of the continuum between these two ${ }^{142}$ extreme modes of genome replication resides a particu- ${ }^{-143}$ lar virus has important evolutionary consequences. Un-144 der SMR only the parental virus is used as template ${ }_{145}$ for the production of progeny. In this case the distri-146 bution of mutants remains purely Poisson because mu-147 tants do not replicate. The resulting Poisson distribu-148 tion has the characteristic of its mean and variance be-149 ing the same. On the other hand, under the GR, the ${ }_{150}$ mutant progeny also serves as template for additional ${ }_{151}$ progeny and the resulting distribution has a variance ${ }_{152}$ larger than mean because mutant progeny produce more ${ }_{153}$ mutant viruses. This particular distribution is known as ${ }_{154}$ the Luria-Delbrück distribution [12]. For this reason, it $\mathrm{t}_{155}$ has been suggested that the SMR model has been selec-156 tively favored in RNA viruses because it compensates for ${ }_{157}$ the extremely high error rate of their RdRps [13-15]. Al-158 ternatively, by having a larger variance in the number of ${ }_{159}$ mutant genotypes may be beneficial in terms of evolvabil- ${ }_{160}$ ity under fluctuating environments. However, it remains ${ }_{161}$ unknown whether a given virus can modify its replica- ${ }_{162}$ tion mode in response to specific selective pressures $\mathrm{to}_{163}$ promote or down-regulate mutational output.

Despite some previous theoretical results aiming to ex-165 plore the implications of the different replication modes ${ }_{166}$ on the accumulation of mutations and possible popula-167 tion extinctions [14, 16], the evolutionary dynamics and,168 especially, the bifurcations tied to both the SMR or the ${ }_{169}$ GR modes are not fully understood. For example, the ${ }_{170}$ role of the topography of the underlying fitness land-171 scape on error thresholds and, especially, on lethal muta-172 genesis have not been investigated in RNA viruses with ${ }_{173}$ asymmetric replication modes. Lethal mutagenesis, asi74 compared to the error threshold, is the process by which ${ }_{175}$ viral genotypes go extinct due to an unbearable accumu-176 lation of mutations along with stochastic effects of small ${ }_{177}$ effective population sizes [17]. Evidence for lethal muta-178 genesis come from in vitro experiments in which mutation ${ }_{179}$ rates were artificially increased by adding different chem- ${ }_{180}$ ical mutagens to HIV-1 [18], lymphocytic choriomeningi- ${ }_{181}$ tis virus [19] or influenza A virus [20]. In vivo evidence of $\mathrm{f}_{182}$ lethal mutagenesis have also been recently reported for ${ }_{183}$ tobacco mosaic virus [21].

184
Transitions in viral populations leading to extinctions185 or decreased viral replication capabilities could corre-186 spond to bifurcations. Bifurcations are extremely rele-187 vant phenomena since they can be useful to understand ${ }_{188}$ how the population dynamics of replicators behave when ${ }_{189}$ parameters change. Also, the nature of the bifurcations 190 (i.e., either smooth or abrupt) can have important im-191 plications in the ecological and evolutionary dynamics of ${ }_{192}$
pathogens. Recently, the analysis of a dynamical system given by a model with two variables identified a transcritical bifurcation at crossing a bifurcation threshold. For this model, the bifurcation could be either achieved by tuning the parameter that adjusted for the mode of replication or by increasing the degradation rate of the strands [22]. However, this model only considered the amplification dynamics of both (+) and (-) sense RNA strands. That is, evolution was not taken into account in the model.

In this article, we sought to investigate a quasispecieslike model given by a dynamical system describing the processes of replication and mutation of viral RNA considering an asymmetry parameter to take into account different replication modes. This parameter allows us to investigate the impact of different modes of replication (either the extreme cases: purely SMR or GR, or a mixture of replication modes, see Fig. 1a). The dynamics is assumed to take place on the Swetina-Schuster single-peak fitness landscape (see Fig. 1b) [23]. This landscape, albeit being an extreme oversimplification of highly rugged [24] and time-varying [25] fitness landscapes identified in RNA viruses, has been widely investigated [26-28].

The single-peak fitnes landscape allows us to group together the entire mutant spectrum into an average sequence with a lower or equal fitness than the mutationfree (master) sequence, which is located at the top of the only peak in the landscape. Such a landscape allows us to consider the three different cases for the mutant sequences, given by a pool of (1) neutral, (2) deleterious and (3) lethal mutants, thus making the distance from the optimum to the base of the peak and its steepness as large as desired. Indeed, an additional well-studied property of the Swetina-Schuster landscape is the error threshold, which emerges as an inherent property of the landscape for deleterious mutations. To keep it as simple as posible, the model does not incorporate recombination as an additional source of variation. This dynamical system is investigated analytically and numerically focusing on three main parameters: mutation rates, the mode of replication, and the fitness of the mutant sequences which allow us to consider three different mutational fitness effects mentioned above.

The structure of the paper is as follows. In Section II we introduce the basic properties of the mathematical model that will be analysed in the following sections. The existence of non-trivial equilibrium points, that is, situations in which coexistence of mutants and master sequences may be possible as a function of the mechanism of replication are evaluated in Section III, while their stability is analysed in Section IV. In Section V we describe the type of bifurcations found in the model and their properties in terms of virus dynamics. Finally, Section VI is devoted to summarize and drawn some conclusions from the previous sections. In the Appendix Section we provide the proofs for the propositions developed in Sections III and IV. It is presented keeping in mind more

mathematically-oriented readers but can be skipped by others without losing the main messages of the paper.

## II. MATHEMATICAL MODEL

Here we introduce a minimal model describing the dynamics of symmetric and differential replication modes between $(+)$ and (-) RNA viral genomes. As a difference from the model investigated in [14], which considered a more detailed description of the intracellular amplification kinetics, our model only considers the processes of replication and mutation, together with the degradation of RNA strands and their competition. The model considers four state variables: master and mutant classes of $(+)$ sense genome and master and mutant classes of () sense viral genomes, labeled as $p$ and $n$, respectively. Subindices 0 and mutant 1 indicate whether we are dealing with master or mutant types, respectively (see Fig. 1). The dynamical equations are defined by:

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d p_{0}}{d t} & =k_{0}(1-\mu) n_{0} \cdot \phi(\vec{p}, \vec{n})-\varepsilon_{0} p_{0}  \tag{1}\\
\frac{d n_{0}}{d t} & =\alpha k_{0}(1-\mu) p_{0} \cdot \phi(\vec{p}, \vec{n})-\varepsilon_{0} n_{0}  \tag{2}\\
\frac{d p_{1}}{d t} & =\left(k_{0} \mu n_{0}+k_{1} n_{1}\right) \cdot \phi(\vec{p}, \vec{n})-\varepsilon_{1} p_{1}  \tag{3}\\
\frac{d n_{1}}{d t} & =\alpha\left(k_{0} \mu p_{0}+k_{1} p_{1}\right) \cdot \phi(\vec{p}, \vec{n})-\varepsilon_{1} n_{1} \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

The concentration variables or population numbers span the $4 t h$-dimensional open space:

$$
\mathbb{R}^{4}:\left\{p_{0}, p_{1}, n_{0}, n_{1} ;-\infty<p_{i}, n_{i}<\infty, i=0,1\right\}
$$

only part of which is biologically meaningful:

$$
\Pi^{4} \subset \mathbb{R}^{4} ; \Pi^{4}:\left\{p_{0}, p_{1}, n_{0}, n_{1} ; p_{j}, n_{j} \geq 0, j=0,1\right\}
$$

The constants $k_{0}>0$ and $k_{1} \geq 0$ are the replication rates of the master and the mutant genomes, respectively. Mutation rate is denoted by $0 \leq \mu \leq 1$. Since we are studying deleterious fitness landscapes and lethality, we will set $k_{0}=1$. The term $\phi$, present in all of the equations, is a logistic-like constraint, which introduces competition between the viral genomes and bounds the growth of the system [22]. This term is given by

$$
\phi(\vec{p}, \vec{n})=1-K^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^{1}\left(p_{i}+n_{i}\right)
$$

$K$ being the carrying capacity (hereafter we assume $K=1$ ). Parameters $\varepsilon_{0}$ and $\varepsilon_{1}$ correspond to the spontaneous degradation rates of master and mutant genomes, with $0<\varepsilon_{0,1} \ll 1$. Finally, parameter $\alpha$ introduces the mode of replication for the RNAs [22]. Two extreme cases can be identified: when $\alpha=1$, both ( + ) and (-) sense strands replicate at the same rates, following GR that results in exponential growth at low population numbers [14]. When $0 \lesssim \alpha \ll 1$, the contribution from $(+)$ as templates to produce (-) strands is much lower, and thus
the progeny of genomes is mainly synthesized from the ${ }_{278}$ initial (-) sense templates transcribed at the beginning ${ }_{279}$ of the infection process, giving rise to an SMR mode. 280 The initial replication dynamics for the SMR replication ${ }_{281}$ might thus follow sub-exponential growth [14]. Between ${ }_{282}$ these two extremes, our model considers a continuum of ${ }_{283}$ asymmetric replication modes i.e., $0<\alpha<1$. These dynamical behaviors are well reproduced by Eqs. (1)-(4), ${ }^{284}$ as shown in Fig. 2, where the different initial kinetics of ${ }^{285}$ the strands is displayed for several replication modes. ${ }^{286}$

To simplify the exposition, we will assume the following non-restrictive assumptions on our model: (H1) equal ${ }^{287}$ degradation rates $\varepsilon_{0}=\varepsilon_{1}=\varepsilon$ and, as mentioned, a fixed ${ }^{288}$ fitness value for the master genomes, setting $k_{0}=1$; (H2) ${ }^{289}$ the degradation rate $\varepsilon$ is smaller than the mutation rate, ${ }^{290}$ that is, $0<\varepsilon \leq \min \left\{1-\mu, k_{1}\right\}$.

Our model assumes no backward mutations, that is, ${ }^{292}$ mutant sequences of one polarity can not give rise to mas- ${ }^{293}$ ter sequences of the complementary polarity. The length ${ }^{294}$ of RNA viral genomes (about $10^{6}$ nucleotides) makes the ${ }^{295}$ probability of backward mutations to be extremely low. This is a common assumption in quasispecies models that simplifies the dynamical equations (see e.g., $[26-28]$ ). ${ }^{296}$

The quasispecies studied here inhabits a single-peak fitness landscape (Swetina-Schuster; Fig. 1b). Differ-297 ent heights of this fitness landscape can be studied by298 tuning $0 \leq k_{1} \leq 1$, considering different mutational fit-299 ness effects. The aim of abstract quasispecies models300 since conceived by Eigen in his seminal work [3] was to301 understand the dynamics of mutation and selection of 302 molecular replicators in a well mixed environment. It is $\mathbf{s}_{3}$ assumed that the fitness of such replicators depends on their mutational load in a generic manner, which means that fitness is assigned according to the value of the mutations carried by a genome rather than by the effect these mutations may have on protein activity. From a304 real-life virology perspective, this is an extreme simplification as the fitness of the virus would depend on the activity and interactions of encoded proteins, the ability of the virus to spread and infect other cells and, finally,$_{305}$ be transmitted among individuals. However, for the sake of simplicity, hereafter we follow Eigen's approach and refer to fitness as a property of the molecular replicators. In general terms, mutations can be deleterious, neutral, lethal, or beneficial for the replicators in their intracellular environment. Some quantitative descriptions of the fitness effects of mutations reveal that about $40 \%$ of mu- ${ }_{307}^{306}$ tations are lethal, and about $20 \%$ are either deleterious or neutral. For the within-cell replication time-scale, beneficial mutations were produced with a very low percent- ${ }^{308}$ age i.e., about $4 \%$ (see $[29,30]$ and references therein). ${ }^{309}$ Specifically, in our model we will distinguish three differ- ${ }_{310}$ ent cases:

311

1. Neutral mutants $\left(k_{0}=k_{1}=1\right)$. Mutations are ${ }_{312}$ neutral and thus mutant genomes have the same ${ }^{312}$ fitness than the master ones.
2. Deleterious mutants $\left(0 \lesssim k_{1}<k_{0}=1\right)$. This case ${ }_{315}$
corresponds to the classical single-peak fitness landscape (see Fig. 1b), where mutations are deleterious and thus the quasispecies can be separated into two classes: the master genome and an average sequence containing all mutant sequences with lower fitness.
3. Lethal mutants $\left(k_{1}=0\right)$. For this case, mutations are assumed to produce non-viable, lethal genotypes which can not replicate.

At this point, we want to emphasise that our model is only considering different viral genotypes with different kinetic properties since we are interested in the impact of differential RNA amplification in simple fitness landscapes. This is why fitness is introduced as genomes' replication speed. Our model could be used to introduce further complexity in terms of fitness landscapes and/or in terms of the within-cell infection dynamics, following the spirit of Ref. [14].

## III. EQUILIBRIUM STATES

In this section we first compute the equilibrium points of Eqs. (1)-(4) and characterize their existence conditions. That is, under which parameter values the fixed points live at the boundaries or inside the phase space $\Pi$. Let us define the following constants, which will appear in the equilibrium states (see Proposition 1) and also in their stability discussion

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nu_{0}:=\frac{\varepsilon}{1-\mu}, \quad \nu_{1}:=\frac{\varepsilon}{k_{1}}, \quad c_{\alpha}:=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha}(1+\sqrt{\alpha})}, \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta:=\frac{\mu \nu_{0}}{k_{1}\left(\nu_{1}-\nu_{0}\right)}, \quad \delta^{0}:=\frac{\mu \nu_{0}}{\varepsilon} . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

From these definitions, one has the equivalences:

$$
\begin{align*}
& k_{1}<(1-\mu) \Longleftrightarrow \nu_{0}<\nu_{1}  \tag{7}\\
& k_{1}=(1-\mu) \Longleftrightarrow \nu_{0}=\nu_{1}=\nu  \tag{8}\\
& k_{1}>(1-\mu) \Longleftrightarrow \nu_{1}<\nu_{0} \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover hypothesis (H2) implies that $0<\nu_{0} \leq 1$ and $0<\nu_{1} \leq 1$.

Proposition 1 System (1) presents the following equilibria:

1. In the Deleterious $\left(0<k_{1}<1\right)$ and neutral $\left(k_{1}=\right.$ 1) cases, there are three possible equilibrium points:

- Total extinction: the origin, $\mathcal{O}=(0,0,0,0)$.
- Master sequences' extinction: if $\sqrt{\alpha}>\nu_{1}$ one has the point $\mathcal{P}_{1}=p_{1}^{*}(0,0,1, \sqrt{\alpha})$, where $p_{1}^{*}=$ $c_{\alpha}\left(\sqrt{\alpha}-\nu_{1}\right)$.


FIG. 2. (a) Strands' initial dynamics with $\mu=0$ and $p_{0}(0)=n_{0}(0)=0.005$. The growth for the GR mode $(\alpha=1)$ is exponential for small population sizes, resulting in a straight line in a linear-log scale: here $p_{0}$ (solid black line) and $n_{0}$ (red circles). The two curves below, which follow sub-exponential growth, correspond to the SMR with $\alpha=0.05: p_{0}$ (dashed black) and $n_{0}$ (red dashed). (b-c) Initial amplification phase with $\mu=0.25$ and $p_{0,1}(0)=n_{0,1}(0)=0.005$. In (b) we show the dynamics for GR with $\alpha=1$ : $p_{0}$ (black solid); $p_{1}$ (black circles); $n_{0}$ (red solid); and $n_{1}$ (red circles). In (c) we display the same results of (b) but considering SMR with $\alpha=0.05$. For comparison, the blue dashed line corresponds to the growth of $p_{0}$ with $\alpha=1$ shown in (b), which results in a straight line. In all panels we set: $k_{0,1}=1$ and $\varepsilon_{0,1}=10^{-5}$.


FIG. 3. Existence of equilibria in four different scenarios: (deleterious and neutral) $0<k_{1}<1-\mu, k_{1}=1-\mu, k_{1} \geq 1-\mu_{337}$ and (lethal) $k_{1}=0$, respectively. The result are displayed ${ }_{338}$ increasing $\sqrt{\alpha}$ from the SMR model, with $0 \lesssim \sqrt{\alpha} \ll 1)$ to $_{339}$ the GR, with $\sqrt{\alpha}=1)$ models. Here $\nu_{0}=\varepsilon /(1-\mu)$ and $\nu_{1}=\varepsilon / k$. Note that $y$-axes do not contain any information. 340

- Coexistence of genomes: if $\sqrt{\alpha}>\nu_{0}$ and $_{344}^{343}$ $\nu_{0}<\nu_{1}$, we have $\mathcal{P}_{2}=q_{0}(1, \sqrt{\alpha}, \delta, \delta \sqrt{\alpha})$, where $q_{0}=\frac{c_{\alpha}\left(\sqrt{\alpha}-\nu_{0}\right)}{1+\delta}$.

2. Lethal case $\left(k_{1}=0\right)$. We have two equilibrium ${ }^{347}$ states:

- Total extinction: the origin, $\mathcal{O}=(0,0,0,0)$.
- Coexistence of genomes: if $\sqrt{\alpha}>\nu_{0}$ we have352 the point $\mathcal{P}_{2}^{0}=q_{0}^{0}\left(1, \sqrt{\alpha}, \delta^{0}, \delta^{0} \sqrt{\alpha}\right)$ where ${ }_{353}$

$$
q_{0}^{0}=\frac{c_{\alpha}\left(\sqrt{\alpha}-\nu_{0}\right)}{1+\delta^{0}}
$$

Note that for the lethal case no equilibrium state corresponding to an error threshold is found, and only lethal mutagenesis is the alternative state to the persistence of all sequences. Figure 3 displays a diagram with the existence of the different equilibria in terms of the values of $\sqrt{\alpha}$ and the parameters $\nu_{0}, \nu_{1}$. The emergence of the non-trivial fixed points $\mathcal{P}_{1}, \mathcal{P}_{2}$ and $\mathcal{P}_{2}^{0}$ as a function of $\sqrt{\alpha}$ illustrates the transcritical bifurcations identified in the system (see Section IV below).

Remark 1 The coexistence points $\mathcal{P}_{2}$ and $\mathcal{P}_{2}^{0}$ are located on straight lines passing through the origin and director vectors $(1, \sqrt{\alpha}, \delta, \delta \sqrt{\alpha})$ and $\left(1, \sqrt{\alpha}, \delta^{0}, \delta^{0} \sqrt{\alpha}\right)$.

In the case $\mu=1$, there are no master sequences $p_{0} \leftrightarrow$ $n_{0}$, since all master sequences mutate with probability 1 . For this case, the equilibria are:

Proposition 2 If $\mu=1$, system (1) presents the following equilibria:

1. In the deleterious and neutral cases: the origin $\mathcal{O}$ (for any value of $\sqrt{\alpha} \in[0,1]$ ) and the point $\mathcal{P}_{1}$ given at the Proposition 1 provided $\sqrt{\alpha}>\nu_{1}$.
2. In the lethal case, the unique equilibrium is the origin $\mathcal{O}$, for any value of $\sqrt{\alpha} \in[0,1]$.

Figure 4 displays time series achieving the equilibrium points previously described. For low mutation rates, both $(+)$ and ( - ) sense strands persist, and thus $\mathcal{P}_{2}$ is stable (Fig. 4a). Note that close to the SMR the relative frequency of $(+)$ and (-) strands is asymmetric, as expected, while for GR both polarities achieve similar population values at equilibrium (see also Fig. 2). The


FIG. 4. Time series for positive (solid lines) and negative (dashed lines) sense sequences close to the SMR (with $\alpha=0.1$ ) and close to the GR (with $\alpha=0.9$ ) modes. Here master and mutant sequences are represented in black and red, respectively. For each mode of replication: (a) $k_{1}<(1-\mu)$ with $\mu=0.1$; (b) $k_{1}=(1-\mu)$ with $\mu=0.5$ and (c) $k_{1}>(1-\mu)$ with $\mu=0.9$. In all of the panels we have set $k_{1}=0.5, \varepsilon=0.02$. We also display the time series gathering the variables as follows: $p_{0}(t) /\left(n_{0}(t)+p_{0}(t)\right)$ (green); and $p_{1}(t) /\left(n_{1}(t)+p_{1}(t)\right)$ (blue).
increase in mutation rates can involve crossing over the ${ }_{379}$ error thresholds (since $\mathcal{P}_{1}$ becomes stable), and the qua-380 sispecies is dominated by the mutant sequences (Fig. $4 \mathrm{~b}_{381}$ with $\alpha=0.1$ and Fig. 4c for $\alpha=0.1$ and $\alpha=0.9$ ). The ${ }_{382}$ relative population of master (green) and mutant (blue) ${ }_{383}$ $(+)$ sense sequences is displayed in the second and fourth ${ }_{384}$ columns of Fig. 4. Here also the relative frequencies of $\mathrm{f}_{385}$ $p_{0}$ and $p_{1}$ achieve values close to 0.5 for the GR model ${ }_{386}$ indicating that the production of both strands polarities ${ }_{387}$ occurs at similar rates.

Figure 5 displays the equilibrium populations of the four state variables at increasing mutation rates com- ${ }^{388}$ puted numerically. These results illustrate the scenarios of lethal mutagenesis (all-sequences extinction) and er-389 ror threshold (outcompetition of the master sequence by 390 the mutants). The first column displays the results for $\mathrm{a}_{391}$ replication mode close to the $\operatorname{SMR}(\alpha=0.1)$ while the ${ }_{392}$ second one displays the same results for $\alpha=0.9$, a case ${ }_{393}$ closer to the GR model. When the fitness of the mutants394 is low, the SMR is less robust to lethal mutagenesis at ${ }_{395}$ increasing mutation. Extinction of the master sequences $3_{396}$ under GR takes place at higher mutation rates (see Fig. 397 5a). For those cases with higher fitness for mutants (Fig. 398 $5 b, c$ ), the full extinction of genomes is replaced by an399 error threshold, since there exists a critical value of $\mu$ in-400
volving the dominance of the mutant genomes and the extinction of the master sequences. Hence, this figure indicates that the shift from lethal mutagenesis to error threshold mainly depends on the fitness of sequences, and that the mode of replication has the strongest impact low-fitness mutants, driving to lethal mutagenesis.

In the following sections we generalize the results displayed in Figs. 4-6 by means of a deep analysis of the stability and the bifurcations of Eqs. (1)-(4).

## IV. LOCAL STABILITY OF THE EQUILIBRIA

After determining the equilibrium points, our next step is to evaluate their stability to small variations in the model parameters. An stable equilibrium would mean that the complex viral population composed by master and mutants of both polarities is robust to external perturbations whereas an unstable equilibrium would mean that the viral population will rapidly change in response to perturbations without returning to the equilibrium. This section is devoted to the study of the linear (and also in the majority of cases of the nonlinear) stability of the equilibria found in the previous section. We will consider separately the three equilibrium points $\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{P}_{1}$ and


FIG. 5. Equilibrium populations at increasing mutation rate ${ }_{437}$ $\mu$, with $\alpha=0.1$ (first column) and $\alpha=0.9$ (second col-438 umn). We analyse three different cases with: $k_{1}=0.1$ (a);439 $k_{1}=0.5(\mathrm{~b}) ;$ and $k_{1}=0.9(\mathrm{c})$. In all of the panels we have set ${ }_{440}$ $\varepsilon=0.1$ and the initial condition $\left(p_{0}(0), n_{0}(0), p_{1}(0), n_{1}(0)\right)={ }_{441}$ $(0.1,0,0,0)$. Here, as in Fig. 4: $(+)$ sense master (solid black line); (+) sense mutant (solid red line); (-) sense master (dashed black line); and (-) sense mutant (dashed red line). ${ }^{442}$
$\mathcal{P}_{2}$. As it is standard, it will be performed by consider-445 ing the linearized system around the three equilibrium ${ }^{446}$ points. Particular attention will be given to the change of stability of the equilibrium points that can indicate ${ }_{447}$ the presence of bifurcations, which are investigated in ${ }_{448}$ Section V. From now on we denote by $F$ the vector field ${ }_{449}$ related to our system given by Eqs. (1)-(4).

## A. Stability of the origin

Proposition 3 Let us consider the constants $\nu_{0}, \nu_{1}, c_{\alpha}{ }^{455}$ defined in (5). Then, the jacobian matrix at the origin ${ }^{456}$ $D F(\mathcal{O})$ has the following eigenvalues:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda_{1} & =-\varepsilon+\sqrt{\alpha}(1-\mu), \\
\lambda_{2} & =-\varepsilon-\sqrt{\alpha}(1-\mu), \\
\lambda_{3} & =-\varepsilon+k_{1} \sqrt{\alpha}, \\
\lambda_{4} & =-\varepsilon-k_{1} \sqrt{\alpha} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Observe that all of them are real and that $\lambda_{2}, \lambda_{4}$ are al-465 ways negative since $0<\mu<1$ and $k_{1} \geq 0$. This means466 that the linear (and local nonlinear) stability of the ori-467 gin will be determined by the signs of $\lambda_{1}$ and $\lambda_{3}$. Let us468 consider the following two cases:

1. Deleterious and neutral case $\left(0<k_{1} \leq 1\right)$ : the three following scenarios hold:
(i) If $k_{1}<1-\mu$ or, equivalently, $\nu_{0}<\nu_{1}$ : The origin $\mathcal{O}$ is asymptotically stable (a sink) for $\sqrt{\alpha}<\nu_{0}$ and unstable for $\sqrt{\alpha}>\nu_{0}$. For $\sqrt{\alpha}=\nu_{0}$ we have the birth of $\mathcal{P}_{2}$. More precisely, if $\nu_{0}<\sqrt{\alpha}<\nu_{1}$ then $\operatorname{dim} W_{\text {loc }}^{u}(\mathcal{O})=1$ and if $\sqrt{\alpha}>\nu_{1}$ then $\operatorname{dim} W_{\text {loc }}^{u}(\mathcal{O})=2$, where $W_{l o c}^{u}(\mathcal{O})$ denotes the local unstable invariant manifold of the equilibrium point $\mathcal{O}$.
(ii) If $k_{1}=1-\mu$ or, equivalently, $\nu_{0}=\nu_{1}=\nu$ : In this situation, $\mathcal{O}$ is asymptotically stable ( $a$ sink) for $\sqrt{\alpha}<\nu$ and unstable for $\sqrt{\alpha}>\nu$. This change in its stability coincides with the birth of $\mathcal{P}_{1}$. Recall that if $\nu_{0}=\nu_{1}$ the point $\mathcal{P}_{2}$ does not exist. Moreover, when crossing the value $\sqrt{\alpha}=\nu$ one has that $\operatorname{dim} W_{\text {loc }}^{u}(\mathcal{O})$ passes from 0 to 2 .
(iii) If $k_{1}>1-\mu$ or, equivalently, $\nu_{1}<\nu_{0}$ : Again, the origin is asymptotically stable (a sink) for $\sqrt{\alpha}<\nu_{1}$ and unstable for $\sqrt{\alpha}>\nu_{1}$, coinciding with the birth of the equilibrium point $\mathcal{P}_{1}$. As in the precedent case, no point $\mathcal{P}_{2}$ exists. As above, if $\nu_{1}<\sqrt{\alpha}<\nu_{0}$ then $\operatorname{dim} W_{\text {loc }}^{u}(\mathcal{O})=1$ and if $\sqrt{\alpha}>\nu_{0}$ then $\operatorname{dim} W_{l o c}^{u}(\mathcal{O})=2$,
2. Lethal case $\left(k_{1}=0\right)$ : Taking into account again Proposition 1, the origin $\mathcal{O}$ changes its stability from asymptotically stable (a sink) to unstable (a saddle) when $\sqrt{\alpha}$ crosses $\nu_{0}$. As above, this coincides with the birth of $\mathcal{P}_{2}$.

Cases (i), (ii), and (iii) are displayed in Fig. 6a, 6b, and 6 c , respectively. Specifically, the local stability of the origin for each case is shown as a function of $\sqrt{\alpha}$ : the upper panels in Fig. 6 displays how the origin becomes unstable as the replication model changes from SMR to mixed modes. This means that under SMR the sequences are more prone to extinction, as suggested in [22]. These stability diagrams are also represented by means of the eigenvalues $\lambda_{1}, . ., \lambda_{4}$. The phase portraits display the orbits in the subspace $\left(p_{1}, n_{1}\right)$. Note that the label of each phase portrait corresponds to the letters in the upper panels. Panels a.1, b.1, and c. 1 show results when the origin is a global attractor. Panels a. 2 and a. 3 display the orbits when the origin is unstable and the stable fixed point is $\mathcal{P}_{2}$, where the four genomes coexist. Finally, panels b.2, c.2, b.3, and c. 3 display examples of a full dominance of the mutant genomes. For these latter examples, the increase of $\sqrt{\alpha}$ involves the change from the full extinction to survival of the mutant sequences. Biologically, this means that at very high mutation rates, SMR can be driven to extinction whereas GR maintains a population replicating into the error catastrophe regime (i.e., no more master sequences exist).


FIG. 6. Local stability of the origin $\mathcal{O}$ in three different scenarios: (a) $0<k_{1}<1-\mu$; (b) $k_{1}=1-\mu$; (c) $k_{1} \geq 1-\mu$ (AS means "asymptotically stable"; U denotes "unstable" and in all these cases means saddle type). Below each case we plot the eigenvalues of $D F(\mathcal{O})$ increasing $\sqrt{\alpha}$ with $\mu=0.5, \epsilon=0.1$, and: $k_{1}=0.25(\mathrm{a}) ; k_{1}=0.5$ (b); and $k_{1}=0.75$ (c). Here $\lambda_{1}$ (red), $\lambda_{2}$ (blue), $\lambda_{3}$ (green), and $\lambda_{4}$ (magenta). Phase portraits projected in the subspace ( $p_{1}, n_{1}$ ) of the phase space $\Pi$ are displayed setting $\mu=0.6, \epsilon=0.1$, and $k_{1}=0.15(\mathrm{a}), k_{1}=0.4(\mathrm{~b})$, and $k_{1}=0.75(\mathrm{c})$. Each panel corresponds to a value of $\sqrt{\alpha}: 0.15$ (a.1); 0.25 (a.2); 0.75 (a.3); 0.15 (b.1); 0.5 (b.2); 0.95 (b.3); 0.09 (c.1); 0.2 (c.2); 0.5 (c.3). Fixed points: $\mathcal{O}$ (magenta); $\mathcal{P}_{1}$ (blue); $\mathcal{P}_{2}$ (orange). The red orbit in panel a. 2 shows a trajectory that approaches the origin $\mathcal{O}$ but then returns to $\mathcal{P} 2$.
B. Stability of the point $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{1}}$
${ }^{473}$ of the jacobian matrix $D F\left(\mathcal{P}_{1}\right)$ are all real and they are

Proposition 4 Let us assume $\sqrt{\alpha}>\nu_{1}$, in order for the equilibrium points $\mathcal{P}_{1}$ to exist. Then, the eigenvalues


FIG. 7. Bifurcations of the equilibrium points $\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{P}_{1}, \mathcal{P}_{2}$ (deleterious-neutral cases) and $\mathcal{P}_{2}^{0}$ (lethal case). From top to bottom and left to right: deleterious-neutral case, (i) $0<k_{1}<1-\mu$, (ii) $k_{1}=1-\mu$, (iii) $k_{1}>1-\mu$; and (iv) lethal case. The phase portraits correspond to the parameter values indicated with the letters in the bifurcation diagrams with: $k_{1}$ and $\sqrt{\alpha}=0.85$ (a); $k_{1}=0.4$ and $\sqrt{\alpha}=0.5(\mathrm{~b}) ; k_{1}=0.75$ and $\sqrt{\alpha}=0.5$; and $k_{1}=0, \sqrt{\alpha}=0.5(\mathrm{~b})$. Initial conditions: $p_{1}(0)=n_{1}(0)=0$ (a); $p_{0}(0)=n_{0}(0)=0.1(\mathrm{~b})$; and $p_{0}(0)=n_{0}(0)=0(\mathrm{c}-\mathrm{d})$. In all of the panels we use $\mu=0.6$ and $\varepsilon=0.1$. Fixed points: $\mathcal{O}$ (magenta); $\mathcal{P}_{1}$ (blue); $\mathcal{P}_{2}$ (orange); $\mathcal{P}_{2}^{0}$ (green).
given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda_{1} & =-\varepsilon+(1-\mu) \nu_{1} \\
\lambda_{2} & =-\varepsilon-(1-\mu) \nu_{1} \\
\lambda_{3} & =-2 \varepsilon \\
\lambda_{4} & =\varepsilon-k_{1} \sqrt{\alpha}
\end{aligned}
$$

The eigenvalues $\lambda_{2}$ and $\lambda_{3}$ are always negative. $\lambda_{4}<0_{486}$ since $\sqrt{\alpha}>\nu_{1}=\varepsilon / k_{1}$. Having in mind that $\nu_{0}=\varepsilon /\left(1-_{487}\right.$ $\mu$ ), it is easy to check that:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lambda_{1}<0 \text { if } \nu_{1}<\nu_{0} \\
& \lambda_{1}=0 \text { if } \nu_{1}=\nu_{0} \\
& \lambda_{1}>0 \text { if } \nu_{1}>\nu_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, in the deleterious-neutral case we have the following subcases:
(i) If $k_{1}<1-\mu$ or, equivalently, $\nu_{0}<\nu_{1}$ : $\mathcal{P}_{1}$ is unstable (saddle). Indeed, $\operatorname{dim} W_{\text {loc }}^{s}\left(\mathcal{P}_{1}\right)=3$ and $\operatorname{dim} W_{\text {loc }}^{u}\left(\mathcal{P}_{1}\right)=1$, where $W_{\text {loc }}^{s, u}\left(\mathcal{P}_{1}\right)$ denote the stable and unstable local invariant manifolds of $\mathcal{P}_{1}$.
(ii) If $k_{1}=1-\mu$ or, equivalently, $\nu_{0}=\nu_{1}=\nu: \mathcal{P}_{1}$ has a 1-dimensional neutral direction (tangent to the eigenvector associated to the eigenvalue $\lambda_{1}=0$ ) and a 3-dimensional local stable manifold.
(iii) If $k_{1}>1-\mu$ or, equivalently, $\nu_{1}<\nu_{0}$ : In this case $\mathcal{P}_{1}$ is a sink so, therefore, a local attractor.

Regarding the lethal case $\left(k_{1}=0\right)$, the eigenvalue $\lambda_{4}=\varepsilon$ is always positive and so $\mathcal{P}_{1}$ is unstable (saddle).

The proof follows from straightforward computations.


FIG. 8. Two-dimensional parameter spaces displaying the stability of the fixed points. (a) ( $\sqrt{\alpha}, k_{1}$ )-plane bifurcation diagram for the deleterious-neutral cases. The thick red line indicates the boundary for the full dominance of the mutant sequences as a function of $k_{1}$. Crossing this boundary (vertical red arrows) causes the extinction of the master sequences $p_{0}, n_{0}$ and the dominance of the pool of mutants (green surface). Below this line all genomes coexist (blue area). (b) ( $\sqrt{\alpha}, 1-\mu)$-plane bifurcation diagram indicating the stability of the fixed points for the lethal case. The vertical black lines indicate the entry into lethal mutagenesis, where full extinctions occur (light blue). The regions with survival of all sequences is colored in orange.

## C. Stability of the points $\mathcal{P}_{2}$ and $\mathcal{P}_{2}^{0}$

From Section III we know that the equilibrium point $\mathcal{P} 2$ exists if $\sqrt{\alpha}>\nu_{0}$ and in the following two cases:

1. In the deleterious case $\left(0<k_{1}<1\right)$ provided that $0<k_{1}<1-\mu$ (or, equivalently, $\nu_{0}<\nu_{1}$ ).
2. In the lethal case $\left(k_{1}=0\right)$.

Next proposition determines the local stability of $\mathcal{P}_{2}$ in $^{512}$ these two situations.

Proposition 5 Let us assume that $\sqrt{\alpha}>\nu_{0}$ in order $\mathcal{P}_{2513}$ and $\mathcal{P}_{2}^{0}$ to exist. Then, the eigenvalues of the differential $D F\left(\mathcal{P}_{2}\right)$ and $D F\left(\mathcal{P}_{2}^{0}\right)$ are, respectively:

1. In the deleterious case $\left(0<k_{1}<1\right)$ provided that ${ }_{516}^{515}$ $0<k_{1}<1-\mu$ (or, equivalently, $\nu_{0}<\nu_{1}$ ):
$\lambda_{1}=-2 \varepsilon, \quad \lambda_{2}=-\varepsilon-k_{1} \nu_{0}$,
$\lambda_{ \pm}=-\frac{1}{2(1-\mu)}\left(A \pm\left|A-2\left((1-\mu)-k_{1}\right) \varepsilon\right|\right)$,
where $A=\sqrt{\alpha}(1-\mu)^{2}-k_{1} \varepsilon$. Notice that assump-524 tions $\sqrt{\alpha}>\nu_{0}$ and $0<k_{1}<1-\mu$ imply that $t_{25}$ $A>0$.
2. In the lethal case $\left(k_{1}=0\right)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lambda_{1}=-2 \varepsilon, \\
& \lambda_{2}=-\varepsilon \\
& \lambda_{ \pm}=-\frac{(1-\mu)}{2} \sqrt{\alpha} \pm\left|\frac{(1-\mu)}{2} \sqrt{\alpha}-\varepsilon\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, in both cases all four eigenvalues are real and negative, and so the equilibrium points $\mathcal{P}_{2}$ and $\mathcal{P}_{2}^{0}$ are sinks for any $\sqrt{\alpha}>\nu_{0}$.

## V. BIFURCATIONS

As mentioned, the identification of the bifurcations as well as their nature (whether they are smooth or catastrophic) is important to understand how viral sequences can enter into either error threshold or lethal mutagenesis states. Essentially, the system under investigation only experiences transcritical bifurcations. This means that the collapse of the viral sequences or their entry into error threshold is governed by smooth transitions. These bifurcations coincide with the appearance of a new equilibrium point, $\mathcal{P}_{1}, \mathcal{P}_{2}$ or $\mathcal{P}_{2}^{0}$. It is remarkable that the latter equilibria, once becoming an interior fixed point, remains a sink, not undergoing any bifurcation. Let us detail them in all our cases. Namely,


FIG. 9. Phase diagrams for the deleterious-neutral case com- ${ }^{571}$ puted numerically in the parameter space $(\sqrt{\alpha}, \mu)$. The equi-572 librium state is represented using the same colors than in Fig. ${ }^{573}$ 10a. The critical mutation rates involving the entrance into574 error threshold is displayed in red. The yellow arrows indicate ${ }_{575}$ the entrance into lethal mutagenesis. This plot has been built ${ }_{576}$ using $\left(p_{0}(0)=0.1, n_{0}(0)=0, p_{1}(0)=0, n_{1}(0)=0\right)$ as initial ${ }_{577}$ conditions. The same results are obtained with initial condi- ${ }_{578}$ tions $(1,0,0,0)$. Notice that lethal mutagenesis is replaced by ${ }_{579}^{578}$ the error catastrophe as $\alpha$ increases. ness, we overlap the information on stability for the origin, $\mathcal{O}$, displayed in Fig. 6. Several phase portraits are displayed for each case. The panel in Fig. 7a shows the orbits for $\sqrt{\alpha}=0.85$ in the subspace ( $p_{0}, n_{0}$ ), close to the GR mode. Here the attractor is $\mathcal{P}_{2}$, which is asymptotically globally stable and involves the coexistence between master and mutant genomes. For the case $k_{1}=1-\mu$ and for $\sqrt{\alpha}=0.5$ the attractor achieved is $\mathcal{P}_{1}$, indicating that the population is dominated by the pool of mutants at equilibrium (Fig. 7b). The same asymptotic dynamics is found in the phase portrait of Fig. 7c. Finally, for $k_{1}=0$ we plot a case for which $\mathcal{P}_{2}$ is also globally asymptotically stabe, while $\mathcal{O}$ is unstable (Fig. 7d).

Let us now focus our attention on the bifurcation diagram for the deleterious-neutral case. In this context, for a given value $0<\mu<1$ we consider a plane in the parameters $\sqrt{\alpha}$ and $k_{1}$. By hypothesis (H2), the diagram is restricted to the rectangle $\left(\sqrt{\alpha}, k_{1}\right) \in[0,1] \times[\varepsilon, 1]$. The bifurcation curves $\sqrt{\alpha}=\nu_{1}$ and $\sqrt{\alpha}=\nu_{0}$ are, respectively, the hyperbola $\sqrt{\alpha} k_{1}=\varepsilon$ and the vertical line $\sqrt{\alpha}=\varepsilon /(1-\mu)$. The three colored areas in Fig. 8a correspond to the $\omega$-limit (i.e., stationary state achieved in forward time) of the solution starting with initial conditions $p_{0}(0)=1, n_{0}(0)=p_{1}(0)=n_{1}(0)=0$ (the same result hold with $\left.p_{0}(0)=0.1, n_{0}(0)=p_{1}(0)=n_{1}(0)=0\right)$. Namely, convergence to the origin $\mathcal{O}$ (red area ); convergence to the equilibrium point $\mathcal{P}_{1}$ (light green area); attraction by the equilibrium point $P_{2}$ (blue area). Observe that, when crossing these two bifurcation curves the equilibrium points change stability - by means of a transcritical bifurcation - or change the dimension of its associated local unstable invariant manifold (when they are saddles).
Similarly, we can plot a bifurcation diagram in the lethal case ( $k_{1}=0$, Fig. 8b), now depending on the parameters $(\sqrt{\alpha}, 1-\mu)$. Again, hypothesis (H2) implies that it takes places in the rectangle $[0,1] \times[\varepsilon, 1]$. The bifurcation curve $\sqrt{\alpha}=\nu_{0}$ becomes a branch of the hyperbola $\sqrt{\alpha}(1-\mu)=\varepsilon$. This curve also divides the


FIG. 10. Phase diagrams for the deleterious-neutral case displayed in Fig. 10a. We display the asymptotic dynamics in the parameter space ( $\sqrt{\alpha}, k_{1}$ ), with (a) $\mu=0.25$ and $\varepsilon=0.1$; (b) $\mu=0.5$ and $\varepsilon=0.1$; (c) $\mu=0.75$ and $\varepsilon=0.15$; (d) $\mu=0.9$ and $\varepsilon=0.09$. Legend: origin $\mathcal{O}$ (dark blue); $\mathcal{P}_{1}$ (light-blue); $\mathcal{P}_{2}$ (light-grey); and "no convergence" (dark red). Below the phase diagrams we display the equilibrium populations obtained numerically for variables: $p_{0}+n_{0}$ (upper row); $p_{1}+n_{1}$ (mid row); and $p_{0}+p_{1}$ (lower row) $\mathcal{O}$. The horizontal white lines in the upper row display those critical values $k_{1}$ involving the dominance of the mutant sequences.
domain in two coloured areas: a blue one, at the left-629 hand side of the hyperbola, characterized by the fact.630 that the equilibrium point $\mathcal{O}$, the origin, is the $\omega$-limit of631 the solution starting at the initial conditions $p_{0}(0)=1,632$ $n_{0}(0)=p_{1}(0)=n_{1}(0)=0$; an orange one, located on the right-hand side of the hyperbola, where the equilibrium point $\mathcal{P}_{2}^{0}$ is this $\omega$-limit. Figure 9 displays the regions in the parameter space $(\sqrt{\alpha}, \mu)$ where the different asymptotic states (obtained numerically) can be found for the detelerious-neutral cases: sequences extinction (red); dominance of mutant sequences (green); and co-633 existence of sequences (blue). Notice that these regions ${ }_{634}$ obtained numerically perfectly match with the analytical ${ }_{635}$ results derived in the article. In this plot we can identify ${ }_{636}$ the critical mutation values causing lethal mutagenesis $6_{637}$ (yellow arrows in Fig. 9), which occurs for $\sqrt{\alpha}<\varepsilon / k_{1.638}$ Above this threshold, lethal mutagenesis is replaced by ${ }_{639}$ the error catastrophe (red line in Fig. 9), with a critical ${ }_{640}$ mutation rate not depending on $\alpha$. Notice that when the ${ }_{641}$
replication mode is close to the SMR lethal mutagenesis is achieved for lower mutation rates. This means that replication modes departing from the SMR provide the sequences with more resistance to lethal mutagenesis.

Finally, in Fig. 10 we display the basins of attraction of the fixed points for the neutral and deleterious mutants displayed in Fig. 8a. The red arrows indicate those values of $k_{1}$ responsible for the dominance of the mutant sequences (first and second rows in Fig. 10). Also, we numerically computed the relative populations for the master genomes (second row in Fig. 10), as well as of the mutants (third row) and the master and mutant $(+)$ sense sequences.

## VI. CONCLUSIONS

The evolutionary dynamics of RNA viruses has been ${ }^{694}$ largely investigated seeking for critical thresholds involv-695 ing error catastrophes and lethal mutagenesis $[26,28,31] .{ }^{696}$ Typically, the so-called error catastrophe has been inves-697 tigated using differential equations model, thus assuming ${ }^{698}$ continuous populations [3, 31]. The error catastrophe ${ }^{699}$ and lethal mutagenesis concepts are rather different. Er-700 ror catastrophe is an evolutionary shift in sequence space ${ }^{701}$ [17], typically causing the outcompetition of the nonmu-702 tated master sequence by the complex cloud of mutants.703 Lethal mutagenesis has been described as a demographic704 process whereby viruses achieve extinctions due to a large ${ }_{705}$ accumulation of mutants of low fitness that reduce the ef-
fective population size thus making stochastic extinction ${ }_{706}$ events more likely [17]. This process was suggested by Loeb et al. [18] as the mechanism behind the abolish- ${ }^{707}$ ment of viral replication for HIV-1 during in vitro muta- ${ }^{708}$ genic experiments. Further evidence on lethal mutagene- ${ }^{709}$ sis in eukaryotic viruses have been found in lymphocytic ${ }^{710}$ choriomeningitis virus [19] or influenza A virus [20]. Re- ${ }^{711}$ cently, evidence for lethal mutagenesis in vivo have been ${ }^{712}$ reported for a plant virus [21].

Previous research on viral RNA replication modes has ${ }^{714}$ focused on theoretical and computational studies aiming ${ }^{715}$ at describing the evolutionary outcome of RNA sequences ${ }^{716}$ under the SMR and GR modes of replciation. Smooth ${ }^{717}$ transitions have been identified in models for viral repli- ${ }^{718}$ cation [14, 27]. For instance, a simple model considering (+) and (-) sense genomes under differential replication modes identified a transcritical bifurcation [22]. This model, however, did not consider evolution. In this ${ }^{719}$ article we have studied a simple model considering both $(+)$ and (-) sense sequences with differential replication ${ }_{720}$ modes evolving on a single-peak fitness landscape. De-721 spite the simplicity of this landscape, being highly unre-722 alistic, it has been used in multiple models as a simpleter23 approach to the dynamics of RNA viruses [26-28]. ${ }_{724}$

The model studied here has allowed us to derive the ${ }_{725}$ critical mutation values involving error thresholds and $d_{726}$ lethal mutagenesis considering three different types of $f_{727}$ mutant spectra, given by neutral, deleterious, and lethal $l_{728}$ mutants. We must note that lethal mutagenesis has been ${ }_{729}$ described as a demographic extinction (i.e., due to finite ${ }_{730}$ population effects) [17]. Here we provide an analogous731 mechanism for continuous populations (see below). ${ }_{732}$

In the deleterious case, there are three possible scenar-733 ios when increasing the value of $\mu$ (we omit the trivial $l_{734}$ total extinction solution which is always assumed as a735 possible equilibrium): if $0<k_{1} \sqrt{\alpha}<\varepsilon$, that is, close ${ }_{736}$
to the SMR mode, there is no nontrivial equilibrium solution. This happens for any $\mu>0$. In the region of parameters $\varepsilon<\sqrt{\alpha}<\varepsilon / k_{1}$, between the SMR and GR modes (depending on the particular values of $\varepsilon$ and $k_{1}$ ), the bifurcation undergone by the equilibria is quite steep. It passes from a situation with coexistence equilibrium to total extinction equilibrium when crossing the curve $\mu=\mu_{c}=1-(\varepsilon / \sqrt{\alpha})$. For $\varepsilon / k_{1}<\sqrt{\alpha}<1$, which always includes the GR case. When increasing $\mu$, the systems shifts from coexistence to master sequences' extinction when crossing the critical value $\mu=1-k_{1}$.

Summarizing, the error threshold is achieved when the mutation rate is above the critical value $\mu_{c}$, in the deleterious case is given by $\mu_{c}=1-\frac{\varepsilon}{\sqrt{\alpha}}$ if $\varepsilon<\sqrt{\alpha}<\frac{\varepsilon}{k_{1}}$; and $\mu_{c}=1-k_{1}$ if $\frac{\varepsilon}{k_{1}}<\sqrt{\alpha}<1$. In the lethal case, there are only two scenarios: for $0<\sqrt{\alpha}<\varepsilon$ (that is, almost pure SMR-mode), there are no nontrivial equilibria. For the rest of the cases, that is, $\varepsilon<\sqrt{\alpha}<1$ the possible equilibrium solution goes from coexistence to total extinction.

Our results have allowed us to relate the processes of lethal mutagenesis and error catastrophe for continuous populations of viral genomes. Typically, these two different processes, suggested to impair viral persistence [17, 18, 27, 31], have been treated separately. Our model establishes the parametric conditions allowing theoretical viral quasispecies to shift from one process to the other taking into account different replication modes.
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## VII. APPENDIX

## A. Proof of Proposition 1

Let us deal, first, with the deleterious case ( $0<k_{1}<$ 1). In this framework, equilibrium states will come from the solutions of the following system of non-linear equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
(1-\mu) n_{0} \phi & =\varepsilon p_{0},  \tag{10}\\
\alpha(1-\mu) p_{0} \phi & =\varepsilon n_{0},  \tag{11}\\
\left(\mu n_{0}+k_{1} n_{1}\right) \phi & =\varepsilon p_{1},  \tag{12}\\
\alpha\left(\mu p_{0}+k_{1} p_{1}\right) \phi & =\varepsilon n_{1} . \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

It is clear that the origin $\mathcal{O}$ is a fixed point of our system in all the cases. To find nontrivial solutions we distinguish three different scenarios for these equilibria: (i) master sequences extinction; (ii) mutant sequences extinction and (iii) coexistence among all sequences.
(i) Case $p_{0}=n_{0}=0$ (master sequences extinction): If we assume $p_{1}=0$, substituting in equation (13) and using that $\varepsilon \neq 0$, we get $n_{1}=0$ and therefore, the equilibrium is $\mathcal{O}=(0,0,0,0)$, the trivial
solution. A symmetric situation undergoes when ${ }_{899}$ we start taking $n_{1}=0$.

Thus, let us assume that $p_{1} \neq 0$ and $n_{1} \neq 0 .{ }^{901}$ Replacing $p_{0}=n_{0}=0$ in (12)-(13) and divid- ${ }^{902}$ ing such equations we get $p_{1} / n_{1}=n_{1} /\left(\alpha p_{1}\right)$ and so $n_{1}=\sqrt{\alpha} p_{1}$. This division is well-defined since $p_{1}>0, k_{1}>0$ and $\phi \neq 0$ (if $\phi=0$ it is straightforward to check that it leads to the origin $\mathcal{O}$ as fixed point). From equation (13) we obtain903 $\varepsilon \sqrt{\alpha}=\alpha k_{1}\left(1-\sqrt{\alpha} p_{1}-p_{1}\right)$ and thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{1} & =p_{1}^{*}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha}(1+\sqrt{\alpha})}\left(\sqrt{\alpha}-\nu_{1}\right) \\
& =c_{\alpha}\left(\sqrt{\alpha}-\nu_{1}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\nu_{1}$ and $c_{\alpha}$ have been defined in (5). Therefore, since $n_{1}=\sqrt{\alpha} p_{1}$ we get the equilibrium point $\mathcal{P}_{1}=p_{1}^{*}(0,0,1, \sqrt{\alpha})$ provided $\sqrt{\alpha}>\nu_{1}$ (since we ${ }^{905}$ are interested in nontrivial equilibrium points with biological meaning).
(ii) Case $p_{1}=n_{1}=0$ (mutant sequence extinction): in this scenario one has to solve

$$
\begin{aligned}
(1-\mu) n_{0}\left(1-p_{0}-n_{0}\right) & =\varepsilon p_{0}, \\
\alpha(1-\mu) p_{0}\left(1-p_{0}-n_{0}\right) & =\varepsilon n_{0}, \\
\mu n_{0}\left(1-p_{0}-n_{0}\right) & =0, \\
\alpha \mu p_{0}\left(1-p_{0}-n_{0}\right) & =0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

As before, both cases $p_{0}=0$ and $n_{0}=0$ lead to the ${ }^{912}$ equilibrium point $\mathcal{O}$. So let us consider the case of $p_{0} \neq 0$ and $n_{0} \neq 0$. From the last two equations it follows that $p_{0}+n_{0}=1$ and substituting in the two ones we get $p_{0}=n_{0}=0$, which is a contradiction. ${ }_{913}$ So there is no nontrivial equilibrium points with ${ }_{914}$ $p_{1}=n_{1}=0$.
(iii) Coexistence sequences equilibria: multiplying ${ }^{916}$ equation (11) by $p_{0}$ and subtracting equation (10) ${ }_{917}$ multiplied by $n_{0}$ it turns out that $(1-\mu) \phi\left(\alpha p_{0}^{2}-\right.$ $\left.n_{0}^{2}\right)=0$. Since $0<\mu<1$, this leads to three possibilities, namely, (a) $\phi=0$ (that is $p_{0}+n_{0}+p_{1}+n_{1}=$ 1) or (b) $n_{0}=\sqrt{\alpha} p_{0}$ with $\phi \neq 0$ and (c) $\phi=0$ and $n_{0}=\sqrt{\alpha} p_{0}$.
Case (c) does not apply. Indeed, substituting $\phi=0$ and $n_{0}=\sqrt{\alpha} p_{0}$ into equation (10) one gets that ${ }^{918}$ $p_{0}=0$ and so $n_{0}=0$, which is not possible. A919 similar argument shows that case (a) does not happen. In fact, taking $\phi=0$ in equations (10)-(13) leads to $p_{0}=n_{0}=p_{1}=n_{1}=0$ which contradicts $\phi=0 \Leftrightarrow p_{0}+n_{0}+p_{1}+n_{1}=1$. Thus, let us deal ${ }^{920}$ with case (b).
Substituting $n_{0}=\sqrt{\alpha} p_{0}$ in (10) and using that $p_{0} \neq 0$ (if $p_{0}=0 \Rightarrow n_{0}=0$, which corresponds to the master sequences extinction case) it turns out that
$(1-\mu) \sqrt{\alpha} \phi=\varepsilon \Rightarrow \phi \sqrt{\alpha}=\frac{\varepsilon}{1-\mu} \Rightarrow \phi \sqrt{\alpha}=\nu_{0}$.

It is straightforward to check that equation (11) leads to the same condition. Performing again the change $n_{0}=\sqrt{\alpha} p_{0}$ onto equations (12) and (13) one gets

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mu \sqrt{\alpha} p_{0} \phi+k_{1} n_{1} \phi=\varepsilon p_{1}  \tag{14}\\
& \alpha \mu p_{0} \phi+\alpha k_{1} p_{1} \phi=\varepsilon n_{1} .
\end{align*}
$$

Computing the division between equation (12) and (13), namely,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\mu \sqrt{\alpha} p_{0}+k_{1} n_{1}}{\alpha\left(\mu p_{0}+k_{1} p_{1}\right)}=\frac{p_{1}}{n_{1}} \\
& \Rightarrow \mu \sqrt{\alpha} p_{0} n_{1}+k_{1} n_{1}^{2}=p_{1} \alpha\left(\mu p_{0}+k_{1} p_{1}\right) \\
& \Rightarrow \mu p_{0} \sqrt{\alpha}\left(n_{1}-\sqrt{\alpha} p_{1}\right)=k_{1}\left(\alpha p_{1}^{2}-n_{1}^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

one gets

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mu p_{0} \sqrt{\alpha}\left(n_{1}-\sqrt{\alpha} p_{1}\right) \\
& =-k_{1}\left(n_{1}-\sqrt{\alpha} p_{1}\right)\left(\sqrt{\alpha} p_{1}+n_{1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

So now we have two possibilities: $n_{1}=\sqrt{\alpha} p_{1}$ or $n_{1} \neq \sqrt{\alpha} p_{1}$. Observe that the latter cannot be since in that case we would have that $p_{0}=-\frac{k_{1}}{\mu \sqrt{\alpha}}\left(n_{1}-\right.$ $\left.\sqrt{\alpha} p_{1}\right)<0$, which is not possible because $p_{0}$ is positive. Therefore, it must be $n_{1}=\sqrt{\alpha} p_{1}$. Substituting it into (14) we have $\mu p_{0} \nu_{0}+k_{1} p_{1} \nu_{0}=\varepsilon p_{1}$, which implies

$$
\mu p_{0}+\left(k_{1}-\frac{\varepsilon}{\nu_{0}}\right) p_{1}=0
$$

Notice that $k_{1}-\left(\varepsilon / \nu_{0}\right)=0 \Leftrightarrow \nu_{0}=\nu_{1}$. In fact, we have that $\nu_{0} \neq \nu_{1}$. Indeed, if this term vanished we would have $p_{0}=0$ and thus $n_{0}=0$, which gives rise to point $\mathcal{P}_{1}$.
Hence, if $k_{1}-\left(\varepsilon / \nu_{0}\right) \neq 0$, it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
p_{1} & =\frac{\mu}{\frac{\varepsilon}{\nu_{0}}-k_{1}} p_{0}  \tag{15}\\
& =\frac{\mu \nu_{0}}{\varepsilon-k_{1} \nu_{0}} p_{0}=\frac{\mu \nu_{0}}{k_{1}\left(\nu_{1}-\nu_{0}\right)} p_{0}=\delta p_{0} .
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, $\phi=1-\left(p_{0}+n_{0}+p_{1}+n_{1}\right)=1-(1+\sqrt{\alpha}) p_{0}-$ $(1+\sqrt{\alpha}) p_{1}$ and so

$$
p_{0}+p_{1}=c_{\alpha}\left(\sqrt{\alpha}-\nu_{0}\right)
$$

Combining the previous relation with (15) the following solution is obtained

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p_{0}=q_{0}=\frac{c_{\alpha}\left(\sqrt{\alpha}-\nu_{0}\right)}{1+\delta} \\
& n_{0}=\sqrt{\alpha} q_{0} \\
& p_{1}=\delta q_{0} \\
& n_{1}=\delta \sqrt{\alpha} q_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

with $\nu_{0}, c_{\alpha}, \delta$ defined in (5)-(6), which leads to the956 coexistence equilibrium state

$$
\mathcal{P}_{2}=q_{0}(1, \sqrt{\alpha}, \delta, \delta \sqrt{\alpha}),
$$

for $\sqrt{\alpha}>\nu_{0}$ and $\nu_{0}<\nu_{1}$.

Concerning the neutral case $\left(k_{1}=1\right)$, it is easy to check $_{962}$ that all the computations carried out for the deleterious ${ }_{963}$ context are also valid for this case.

And the last, but not least, case corresponds to the lethal framework $\left(k_{1}=0\right)$. Equilibrium states must be solution of the system

$$
\begin{array}{r}
(1-\mu) n_{0} \phi-\varepsilon p_{0}=0 \\
\alpha(1-\mu) p_{0} \phi-\varepsilon n_{0}=0 \\
\mu n_{0} \phi-\varepsilon p_{1}=0 \\
\alpha \mu p_{0} \phi-\varepsilon n_{1}=0 . \tag{19}
\end{array}
$$

Again, the origin $\mathcal{O}$ is a trivial fixed point. To seek for ${ }_{967}$ nontrivial equilibria we take into account two scenarios: ${ }_{968}$ (a) $p_{0}=0$; (b) $p_{0} \neq 0$.
(a) Case $p_{0}=0$ : From the equation (16) we get ${ }^{970}$ $(1-\mu) n_{0} \phi=0$. Since $0<\mu<1$ we have three ${ }_{971}$ possibilities: $n_{0}=0, \phi=0$ or both. It is ob-972 vious that first and third cases lead to the origin ${ }_{973}$ $\mathcal{O}$. Regarding to the case with $\phi=0$, it follows ${ }_{974}$ that $n_{0}+n_{1}+p_{1}=1$. Substituting it into equa- ${ }_{975}$ tions (17)-(19) we get $n_{0}=p_{1}=n_{1}=0$, which ${ }_{976}^{975}$ contradicts the previous equality.
(b) Case $p_{0} \neq 0$ : From (16) we have that neither $n_{0}$ nor ${ }^{978}$ $\phi$ vanish. Performing $n_{0} \times(16)$ minus $p_{0} \times(17)$ one $^{979}$ gets that $(1-\mu) \phi\left(n_{0}^{2}-\alpha p_{0}^{2}\right)=0$ and so $n_{0}=\sqrt{\alpha} p_{0}{ }^{980}$ since $0<\mu<1$ and $\phi \neq 0$. Substituting the latter ${ }^{981}$ equality into (16) it follows that $(1-\mu) \sqrt{\alpha} \phi=\varepsilon \Rightarrow_{982}$ $\sqrt{\alpha} \phi=\nu_{0}$.

983 Subtracting $n_{0} \times$ (19) from $\alpha p_{0} \times$ (18) one has ${ }^{984}$ $\varepsilon p_{0} \sqrt{\alpha}\left(\sqrt{\alpha} p_{1}-n_{1}\right)=0$, so then $n_{1}=\sqrt{\alpha} p_{1}$. On ${ }^{985}$ the other hand,

$$
\begin{align*}
\sqrt{\alpha} \phi & =\nu_{0} \Rightarrow 1-(1+\sqrt{\alpha})\left(p_{0}+p_{1}\right) \\
& =\frac{\nu_{0}}{\sqrt{\alpha}} \Rightarrow p_{0}+p_{1}=\frac{\sqrt{\alpha}-\nu_{0}}{\sqrt{\alpha}(1+\sqrt{\alpha}}  \tag{987}\\
& =c_{\alpha}\left(\sqrt{\alpha}-\nu_{0}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

And last, from (19) and using that $\sqrt{\alpha} \phi=\nu_{0}$ and ${ }^{991}$ $n_{1}=\sqrt{\alpha} p_{1}$ we get $\alpha \mu p_{0} \phi=\varepsilon n_{1} \Rightarrow p_{1}=\delta^{0} p_{0 \cdot 992}$ Therefore the equilibrium point is given by

$$
\mathcal{P}_{2}^{0}=q_{0}^{0}(1, \sqrt{\alpha}, \delta, \delta \sqrt{\alpha})
$$

where $q_{0}^{0}=c_{\alpha}\left(\sqrt{\alpha}-\nu_{0}\right) /\left(1+\delta^{0}\right)$ and provided that $\sqrt{\alpha}>\nu_{0}$ (to have biological meaning).

## B. Proof of Proposition 2

As mentioned before, the case $\mu=1$ corresponds to the situation when there is no autocatalysis in the master sequence and so it mutates with probability 1 . Thus, concerning their equilibrium points we have:

- In the deleterious and neutral cases, substituting $\mu=1$ into equations (10)-(13), one gets the equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varepsilon_{0} p_{0}=0, \quad \varepsilon n_{0}=0 \\
& \left(n_{0}+k_{1} n_{1}\right) \phi=\varepsilon p_{1} \\
& \alpha\left(p_{0}+k_{1} p_{1}\right) \phi=\varepsilon n_{1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

From the two first equations it follows that $p_{0}=$ $n_{0}=0$ and, consequently

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{1} n_{1} \phi=\varepsilon p_{1}, \quad \alpha k_{1} p_{1} \phi=\varepsilon n_{1} . \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Again, we distinguish several possibilities:

- If $n_{1}=0$ then $p_{1}=0$ and so we obtain the origin.
- If $p_{1}=0$ then $n_{1}=0$ and therefore the equilibrium point is again the origin.
- In case that $n_{1}+p_{1}=1, n_{1} \neq 0, p_{1} \neq 0$ it follows that $\phi=0$ and so $p_{1}=n_{1}=0$ which is a contradiction with the fact that $n_{1}+p_{1}=1$.
- Finally, if $n_{1} \neq 0, p_{1} \neq 0, \phi \neq 0$, we can divide them and get $\alpha p_{1} / n_{1}=n_{1} / p_{1}$. Consequently, $n_{1}=\sqrt{\alpha} p_{1}$. This gives rise to an equilibrium of the form $\left(0,0, p_{1}, \sqrt{\alpha} p_{1}\right)$. Substituting this form into the first equation of (20), one obtains $p_{1}=c_{\alpha}\left(\sqrt{\alpha}-\nu_{1}\right)$, defined provided $\sqrt{\alpha}>\nu_{1}$, which corresponds to the point $\mathcal{P}_{1}$ in Proposition 1.
- In the lethal case, equilibria system (16)-(19) reduces to $\varepsilon p_{0}=0, \varepsilon n_{0}=0, n_{0} \phi=\varepsilon p_{1}, \alpha p_{0} \phi=\varepsilon n_{1}$. From the first two equations we have $p_{0}=n_{0}=0$ and substituting in the second ones, it turns out $p_{1}=n_{1}=0$, that is, the origin.


## C. Proof of Proposition 3

As usual, we use stability analysis of the linearised system around the equilibrium to determine, when possible, the local nonlinear stability of the point for the complete system.

1. Deleterious and neutral case $\left(0<k_{1} \leq 1\right)$ : the eigenvalues of the differential matrix

$$
A_{\mathcal{O}}=D F(\mathcal{O})=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
-\varepsilon & 1-\mu & 0 & 0 \\
\alpha(1-\mu) & -\varepsilon & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \mu & -\varepsilon & k_{1} \\
\alpha \mu & 0 & \alpha k_{1} & -\varepsilon
\end{array}\right)
$$

are $\lambda_{1}=-\varepsilon+\sqrt{\alpha}(1-\mu), \lambda_{2}=-\varepsilon-\sqrt{\alpha}(1-\mu)_{3017}$ $\lambda_{3}=-\varepsilon+k_{1} \sqrt{\alpha}$, and $\lambda_{4}=-\varepsilon-k_{1} \sqrt{\alpha}$. It iso18 easy to verify that $v_{3}=\mathcal{O} \mathcal{P}_{1}=(0,0,1, \sqrt{\alpha})$ and $v_{4}=(0,0,-1, \sqrt{\alpha})$ are eigenvectors of $\lambda_{3}$ and $\lambda_{4}$, respectively. It is also straightforward to check that

$$
\begin{cases}\lambda_{1}<0 & \text { if } \sqrt{\alpha}<\nu_{0} \\ \lambda_{1}=0 & \text { if } \sqrt{\alpha}=\nu_{0} \\ \lambda_{1}>0 & \text { if } \sqrt{\alpha}>\nu_{0}\end{cases}
$$
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and

$$
\begin{cases}\lambda_{3}<0 & \text { if } \sqrt{\alpha}<\nu_{1} \\ \lambda_{3}=0 & \text { if } \sqrt{\alpha}=\nu_{1} \\ \lambda_{3}>0 & \text { if } \sqrt{\alpha}>\nu_{1}\end{cases}
$$
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Thus, we have the following three cases:

- Case $0<k_{1}<1-\mu$ or, equivalently, $\nu_{0}<^{1028}$ $\nu_{1}$ : the origin is a sink (an attractor) for $\alpha \epsilon^{029}$ $\left(0, \nu_{0}\right)$ and unstable (saddle) for $\left.\sqrt{\alpha} \in\left(\nu_{0}, 1\right)\right)^{1030}$ For $\alpha \in\left(\nu_{0}, \nu_{1}\right)$ one has $\operatorname{dim} W_{\text {loc }}^{u}(\mathcal{O})=1$ and if $\sqrt{\alpha}>\nu_{1}$ then $\operatorname{dim} W_{\text {loc }}^{u}(\mathcal{O})=2$.
- Case $k_{1}=1-\mu$ or, equivalently, $\nu_{0}=\nu_{1}$ : the origin is a sink for $\sqrt{\alpha} \in\left(0, \nu_{0}\right)$ and unstable $\mathrm{e}_{1031}$ (saddle) for $\sqrt{\alpha} \in\left(\nu_{0}, 1\right)$. The dimension of ${ }_{032}$ $W_{\text {loc }}^{u}(\mathcal{O})$ is 2 in this interval.
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- Case $1-\mu<k_{1}<1$ or, equivalently, $\nu_{1}>\nu_{0}$ : the origin is a sink if $\sqrt{\alpha}<\nu_{1}$ and unstable (a saddle) for $\sqrt{\alpha}>\nu_{1}$. The dimension $\operatorname{dim} W_{\text {loc }}^{u}(\mathcal{O})$ goes from 1 to 2 when $\sqrt{\alpha}$ crosses $\nu_{0}$.

2. Lethal case $\left(k_{1}=0\right)$ : The eigenvalues of
$A_{\mathcal{O}}=D F(0,0,0,0)=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}-\varepsilon & 1-\mu & 0 & 0 \\ \alpha(1-\mu) & -\varepsilon & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mu & -\varepsilon & 0 \\ \alpha \mu & 0 & 0 & -\varepsilon\end{array}\right){ }^{103}{ }^{103}$
are in this case

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lambda_{1}=-\varepsilon+\sqrt{\alpha}(1-\mu), \\
& \lambda_{2}=-\varepsilon-\sqrt{\alpha}(1-\mu), \\
& \lambda_{3}=-\varepsilon . \\
& \lambda_{4}=-\varepsilon .
\end{aligned}
$$

Observe that $\lambda_{2}<0, \lambda_{3}<0$ and $\lambda_{4}<0$ so the stability of $\mathcal{O}$ depends only on $\lambda_{1}$. Indeed:

$$
\begin{cases}\lambda_{1}<0 & \text { if } \sqrt{\alpha}<\nu_{0} \\ \lambda_{1}=0 & \text { if } \sqrt{\alpha}=\nu_{0} \\ \lambda_{1}>0 & \text { if } \sqrt{\alpha}>\nu_{0}\end{cases}
$$

Therefore, the origin is asymptotically stable for $\sqrt{\alpha}<\nu_{0}$ and becomes unstable for $\sqrt{\alpha}>\nu_{0}$. This situation is represented in Fig. 6.

## D. Proof of Proposition 5

Recall that $\sqrt{\alpha}>\nu_{0}$ since $\mathcal{P}_{2}$ exists. We distinguish two cases:

1. Case 1: deleterious mutants $\left(0<k_{1}<1\right)$ with $0<k_{1}<1-\mu$ (that is, equivalently, $\nu_{0}<\nu_{1}$ ). The expression of the eigenvalues can directly from algebraic computations. They are all real. Observe that $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}$ and $\lambda_{+}$are negative. Concerning $\lambda_{-}$, notice that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|A-2\left((1-\mu)-k_{1}\right) \varepsilon\right|<A \\
& \Leftrightarrow 0<A-\left((1-\mu)-k_{1}\right) \varepsilon<A
\end{aligned}
$$

The second inequality is trivially satisfied since (1-$\mu)-k_{1}>0$ and $\varepsilon>0$. Regarding the first one, one can check that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0<A-\left((1-\mu)-k_{1}\right) \\
& \Leftrightarrow \sqrt{\alpha}(1-\mu)^{2}-k_{1} \varepsilon>(1-\mu) \varepsilon-k_{1} \varepsilon \\
& \Leftrightarrow \sqrt{\alpha}>\nu_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

which is satisfied by hypothesis. Therefore, $A-\mid A-$ $2\left((1-\mu)-k_{1}\right) \varepsilon \mid>0$ and, consequently, $\lambda_{-}<0$. This implies that the point $\mathcal{P}_{2}$ is a sink for any $\sqrt{\alpha}>\nu_{0}$.
2. Case 2: lethal mutants $\left(k_{1}=0\right)$. As above, the expression for the eigenvalues follows from linear algebra and straightforward computations. Again, $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}$, and $\lambda_{-}$are all three real and negatives. Concerning $\lambda_{+}$(real), we define $B=(1-\mu) \sqrt{\alpha} / 2$. This implies that $\lambda_{+}=-B+|B-\varepsilon|$. Observe that $|B-\varepsilon|<B \Leftrightarrow 0<2 B-\varepsilon$. Right-hand inequality is trivial since $\varepsilon>0$. Left-hand is also satisfied since it is equivalent to $\sqrt{\alpha}>\nu_{0}$. So, all four eigenvalues are real and negative which means that the point $\mathcal{P}_{2}^{0}$ is a sink for any $\sqrt{\alpha}>\nu_{0}$.
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