

# UPCommons

## Portal del coneixement obert de la UPC

<http://upcommons.upc.edu/e-prints>

---

This is a post-peer-review, pre-copy edit version of an article published in *Zeitschrift für angewandte Mathematik und Physik*. The final authenticated version is available online at:  
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00033-018-1023-9>.

---

### *Published paper:*

Magaña, A., Quintanilla, R. Exponential stability in type III thermoelasticity with microtemperatures. "Zeitschrift für angewandte Mathematik und Physik", Octubre 2018, vol. 69, núm. 5, p. 129-1-129-8. doi:[10.1007/s00033-018-1023-9](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00033-018-1023-9)

---

URL d'aquest document a UPCommons E-prints:

<https://upcommons.upc.edu/handle/2117/122813>

---

# EXPONENTIAL STABILITY IN TYPE III THERMOELASTICITY WITH MICROTEmPERATURES

ANTONIO MAGAÑA AND RAMÓN QUINTANILLA

Departament de Matemàtiques  
Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya  
C. Colom 11, 08222 Terrassa, Barcelona, Spain  
e-mails: antonio.magana@upc.edu, ramon.quintanilla@upc.edu

**Abstract:** In this paper we consider the type III thermoelastic theory with microtemperatures. We study the time decay of the solutions and we prove that, under suitable conditions for the constitutive tensors, the solutions decay exponentially. This fact is in somehow shocking because it differs from the behavior of the solutions in the classical model of thermoelasticity with microtemperatures.

**Keywords:** type III thermoelasticity, microtemperatures, exponential decay.

## 1. INTRODUCTION AND BASIC EQUATIONS

Experimental observation shows that the classical heat continuum theory cannot be used to describe satisfactorily some thermal phenomena. At the same time, the behavior of the thermal waves obtained from the combination of the Fourier law with the equation

$$c\dot{\theta} = -\nabla q$$

violates the principle of causality (in the above equation  $\theta$  denotes the temperature,  $q$  the heat flux and  $c$  is the thermal capacity). To overcome these drawbacks, new mathematical models have been introduced. As a matter of illustration, let us recall the Green and Lindsay [13] or the Lord and Shulman [37] theories. Both of them are proposed from the Cattaneo-Maxwell heat conduction equation [5]. We can also cite the two temperatures model proposed by Chen and Gurtin [6] and Chen, Gurtin and Williams [7, 8] or the time reversal thermoelasticity [18]. Green and Nagdhi proposed three other thermoelastic theories that they named of type I, II and III, respectively [14, 15, 16]. The first one coincides with the classical theory in the linear case. The second one is known as thermoelasticity without energy dissipation because there is no dissipation and the energy is conserved. The third one is the most general, because it contains the former two as limit cases.

On the other side, recently there is an increasing interest concerning models with microstructure [12, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 28]. An important case appears when the microstructure is given by thermal effects as microtemperatures [4, 9, 10, 41, 42]. Applications of them have been proposed in the literature [27, 43]. Grot [17] developed a theory of thermodynamics for elastic materials with microstructure whose microelements, in addition to microdeformations, possess microtemperatures in the context of the classical theory. Theories with microtemperatures are currently under deep investigation.

From now on, we are going to work in a three dimensional bounded domain  $\Omega$  with boundary smooth enough to allow the application of the divergence theorem. We will use the standard

notation where “,*i*” means the partial derivative with respect to the variable  $x_i$ , a superposed dot means time derivative and summation on repeated indices is assumed.

In this paper we are interested in the thermoelastic theory of type III with microtemperatures, and the system of equations that we want to study (proposed by Aouadi, Ciarletta and Passarella [3]) is the following:

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} \rho \ddot{u}_i = (A_{ijkl} u_{k,l} - a_{ij} \theta + B_{ijkl} R_{k,l})_{,j} \\ c \dot{\tau} = -a_{ij} \dot{u}_{i,j} - (d_{ij} \dot{R}_i)_{,j} + (K_{ij} \tau_{,j} + K_{ij}^* \dot{\tau}_{,j})_{,i} - b_{ij} \dot{R}_{i,j} \\ c_{ij} \ddot{R}_j = (B_{klij} u_{k,l} - b_{ij} \dot{\tau} + C_{ijkl} R_{k,l})_{,j} - d_{ij} \dot{\tau}_{,j} + (C_{ijkl}^* \dot{R}_{k,l})_{,j} \end{cases}$$

Here,  $u_i$  is the displacement vector,  $\theta$  is the temperature and  $M_i$  are the microtemperatures. Moreover:  $\tau$  is the *thermal displacement* introduced by Green and Naghdi and  $R_i$  are the *microthermal displacements*, defined respectively by:

$$\tau(\mathbf{x}, t) = \tau_0(\mathbf{x}) + \int_0^t \theta(\mathbf{x}, s) ds \quad \text{and} \quad R_i(\mathbf{x}, t) = R_i^0(\mathbf{x}) + \int_0^t M_i(\mathbf{x}, s) ds.$$

As usual,  $\rho$  denotes the mass density and  $c$  the thermal capacity.  $A_{ijkl}$  is the elastic tensor,  $a_{ij}$  is the coupling tensor between the displacement and the temperature,  $B_{ijkl}$  is the coupling tensor between the displacement and the microtemperatures,  $d_{ij}$  and  $b_{ij}$  are the coupling tensors between the temperature and the microtemperatures,  $K_{ij}$  is the tensor introduced by Green and Naghdi,  $K_{ij}^*$  is the thermal conductivity tensor,  $c_{ij}$  is a typical tensor of the theories with microtemperatures, and, finally,  $C_{ijkl}$  and  $C_{ijkl}^*$  are the specific type III tensors with microtemperatures.

To have a well posed problem we need to impose initial and boundary conditions. As initial conditions we assume

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{aligned} u_i(\mathbf{x}, 0) &= u_i^0(\mathbf{x}), \dot{u}_i(\mathbf{x}, 0) = v_i^0(\mathbf{x}), \tau(\mathbf{x}, 0) = \tau^0(\mathbf{x}), \dot{\tau}(\mathbf{x}, 0) = \theta^0(\mathbf{x}) \\ R_i(\mathbf{x}, 0) &= R_i^0(\mathbf{x}), \dot{R}_i(\mathbf{x}, 0) = M_i^0(\mathbf{x}) \quad \text{for } \mathbf{x} \in \Omega. \end{aligned}$$

And we impose homogeneous boundary conditions:

$$(1.3) \quad u_i(\mathbf{x}, t) = \tau(\mathbf{x}, t) = R_i(\mathbf{x}, t) = 0 \quad \text{for } \mathbf{x} \in \partial\Omega, t \geq 0.$$

The following symmetries are satisfied:

$$(1.4) \quad A_{ijkl} = A_{klij}, K_{ij} = K_{ji}, K_{ij}^* = K_{ji}^*, C_{ijkl} = C_{klij}, c_{ij} = c_{ji}.$$

It is well known that the axioms of thermomechanics imply that the thermal conductivity tensor,  $K_{ij}^*$ , cannot have negative sign. However, these axioms do not imply any other condition over none of the remaining tensors, including the elasticity tensor [29]. It is also known that, for elastic materials initially prestressed, the elasticity tensor does not have necessarily positive sign [20, 21, 29]. Therefore, it is relevant to analyze the problem determined by thermoelastic systems when the elasticity tensor is not positive definite (see, for example, [2, 31, 34, 35, 44]). It is important to notice that this problem can be ill posed in the sense of Hadamard. Hence it is difficult to deal with. For this reason it is difficult to obtain results about the qualitative properties of the solutions. Nevertheless, results on uniqueness, instability of solutions, continuous dependence in the sense of Hölder, structural stability, etc., have been found for different situations [1, 32, 33, 40]. In fact, this kind of results can also be obtained for the type III thermoelasticity with microtemperatures, the situation we analyze. Nevertheless, the techniques used to prove them are quite standard and we will not write the developments here. We will focus on the exponential stability of the solutions.

On the other hand, it is worth noting that the existence of solutions is, in general, a very difficult property to prove. Knops [30] showed the existence of solutions in a weak sense for the isothermal case, but, up to now, this result has not been extended to any other thermoelastic situation. From a mathematical point of view, the existence of solutions is strongly easier when the elasticity tensor is positive definite. Usually, this fact implies the stability of the system in the sense of Lyapunov. With this hypothesis, the existence and uniqueness of solutions were established [3] for our problem, but the exponential stability remained unsolved. In this work we prove that, under suitable conditions for the constitutive tensors, the system is exponentially stable. This fact differs from the result known for the classical heat conduction theory.

We want to highlight that, in their work, Aouadi, Ciarletta and Passarella [3] set the system of equations, gave conditions to have a well posed problem and obtained asymptotic stability of the solutions under appropriate hypotheses. In this paper, using the semigroups method, we obtain exponential stability of the solutions under suitable conditions for the constitutive tensors (our conditions differ from the ones used in [3] to prove the asymptotic stability, although related to them). The exponential stability is a remarkable fact because it does not happen for the three dimensional case in the context of the classical theory with microtemperatures. In our case, a strong coupling between the displacement and the microtemperatures appears. This coupling is not present in the classical theory.

## 2. EXPONENTIAL DECAY OF SOLUTIONS

In this section we will prove the exponential decay of the solutions of system (1.1). We need to impose some assumptions over the constitutive coefficients. For each vector  $\xi_i$  and each pair of tensors  $\xi_{ij}$  and  $\eta_{ij}$  the following inequalities are assumed:

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} A_{ijkl}\xi_{ij}\xi_{kl} + 2B_{ijkl}\xi_{ij}\eta_{kl} + C_{ijkl}\eta_{ij}\eta_{kl} &\geq C_0(\xi_{ij}\xi_{ij} + \eta_{ij}\eta_{ij}), \\ K_{ij}\xi_i\xi_j &\geq C_1\xi_i\xi_i, \quad K_{ij}^*\xi_i\xi_j \geq C_2\xi_i\xi_i \\ c_{ij}\eta_i\eta_j &\geq C_3\eta_i\eta_i, \quad C_{ijkl}^*\eta_{ij}\eta_{kl} \geq C_4\eta_{ij}\eta_{ij}, \\ \rho &\geq \rho_0 > 0, \quad c \geq c_0 > 0, \end{aligned}$$

for positive constants  $c_0, C_0, C_1, C_2, C_3, C_4$  and  $\rho_0$ .

Besides the assumptions for the coefficients given by (2.1), we also assume that

$$(2.2) \quad B_{klij}\xi_{kl}\xi_{ij} \geq C\xi_{ij}\xi_{ij} \quad \text{or} \quad B_{klij}\xi_{kl}\xi_{ij} \leq -C\xi_{ij}\xi_{ij}$$

for a positive constant  $C$ .

Our assumptions agree with the thermomechanical axioms and the empirical experience. We want to emphasize that the first condition in (2.1) can be interpreted with the help of the elastic stability as well as the condition on the tensor  $K_{ij}$ . We have also hardened a little bit the condition on the thermal conductivity. The assumption concerning the thermal capacity is also obvious. The condition (2.2) says that the coupling between microtemperatures and the displacement is very strong, which is a different property with respect what happens in the classical theory.

We will use the semigroup arguments and we will follow several ideas of the work of Aouadi, Ciarletta and Passarella [3]. First of all, we transform the initial boundary problem defined by

system (1.1), initial conditions (1.2) and boundary conditions (1.3) to an abstract problem on a suitable Hilbert space. To this end, we introduce the following notation:

$$v_i = \dot{u}_i, \theta = \dot{\tau}, M_i = \dot{R}_i.$$

Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be the Hilbert space defined by

$$\mathcal{H} = \{(u_i, v_i, \tau, \theta, R_i, M_i) : u_i, \tau, R_i \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega), v_i, \theta, M_i \in L^2(\Omega)\},$$

where  $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$  and  $L^2(\Omega)$  are the usual Sobolev spaces. As we consider that our functions take values in the complex field<sup>1</sup>, the inner product that we define in  $\mathcal{H}$  is

$$(2.3) \quad \langle \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{U}^* \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \left( \rho v_i \bar{v}_i^* + c \theta \bar{\theta}^* + c_{ij} M_i \bar{M}_j^* + 2\mathcal{W}[(u_i, \tau, R_i), (u_i^*, \tau^*, R_i^*)] \right) dV,$$

where  $\mathcal{U} = (u_i, v_i, \tau, \theta, R_i, M_i)$ ,  $\mathcal{U}^* = (u_i^*, v_i^*, \tau^*, \theta^*, R_i^*, M_i^*)$  and

$$2\mathcal{W}[(u_i, \tau, R_i), (u_i^*, \tau^*, R_i^*)] = A_{ijkl} u_{i,j} \bar{u}_{k,l} + B_{ijkl} (u_{i,j} \bar{R}_{k,l}^* + \bar{u}_{i,j}^* R_{k,l}) + K_{ij} \tau_i \bar{\tau}_{j}^* + C_{ijkl} R_{i,j} \bar{R}_{k,l}^*.$$

The inner product defined at (2.3) is equivalent to the usual one in  $\mathcal{H}$ .

In order to obtain a synthetic expression for our problem, and following [3] we consider the operators defined below:

$$\begin{aligned} A_i(\mathbf{u}) &= \frac{1}{\rho} (A_{ijkl} u_{k,l})_{,j} \\ B_i \theta &= -\frac{1}{\rho} (a_{ji} \theta)_{,j} \\ C_i \mathbf{R} &= \frac{1}{\rho} (B_{jikl} R_{l,k})_{,j} \\ D \mathbf{v} &= -\frac{1}{c} a_{ij} v_{i,j} \\ E \tau &= \frac{1}{c} (K_{ij} \tau_{,j})_{,i} \\ G \theta &= \frac{1}{c} (K_{ij}^* \theta_{,j})_{,i} \\ J \mathbf{M} &= -\frac{1}{c} ((d_{ij} M_j)_{,i} + b_{ji} M_{i,j}) \\ L_s \mathbf{u} &= l_{si} (B_{klij} u_{k,l})_{,i} \\ Z_s \theta &= -l_{si} ((b_{ji} \theta)_{,j} + d_{ij} \theta_{,j}) \\ N_s \mathbf{R} &= l_{si} (C_{jikl} R_{k,l})_{,j} \\ P_s \mathbf{M} &= l_{si} (C_{jikl}^* M_{k,l})_{,j} \end{aligned}$$

where  $l_{si}$  is defined by  $l_{si} c_{ij} = \delta_{sj}$ , being  $\delta_{sj}$  the Kronecker delta. Notice that that means that  $l_{si}$  is the inverse of the matrix  $c_{ij}$ .

Therefore, system (1.1) with initial conditions (1.2) and boundary conditions (1.3) can be written as

$$(2.4) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{U}(t) = \mathcal{A} \mathcal{U}(t), \quad \mathcal{U}(0) = \mathcal{U}_0,$$

<sup>1</sup>It is worth noting that this consideration is a difference with respect the approach followed in [3]. However, it does not represent a relevant difference in the arguments.

where  $\mathcal{U}_0 = (u_i^0, v_i^0, \tau^0, \theta^0, R_i^0, M_i^0)$ , and  $\mathcal{A}$  is the following matrix operator

$$(2.5) \quad \mathcal{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{Id} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \mathbf{A} & 0 & 0 & \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{C} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & Id & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & D & E & G & 0 & J \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \mathbf{Id} \\ \mathbf{L} & 0 & 0 & \mathbf{Z} & \mathbf{N} & \mathbf{P} \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $\mathbf{A} = (A_i)$ ,  $\mathbf{B} = (B_i)$ ,  $\mathbf{C} = (C_i)$ ,  $\mathbf{L} = (L_s)$  and  $\mathbf{Z} = (Z_s)$ .

The domain of the operator  $\mathcal{A}$  is  $D(\mathcal{A}) = \{\mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{H} : \mathcal{A}\mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{H}\}$ . It is clear that it contains a dense subspace of  $\mathcal{H}$  and, therefore,  $D(\mathcal{A})$  is dense in the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ .

Aouadi *et al.* (Lemma 1 in [3]) proved that  $\mathcal{A}$  is a dissipative operator because

$$\operatorname{Re}\langle \mathcal{A}\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{U} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \leq 0.$$

In fact, they found that

$$\operatorname{Re}\langle \mathcal{A}\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{U} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} (K_{ij}^* \theta_{,i} \bar{\theta}_{,j} + C_{ijkl}^* M_{i,j} \bar{M}_{l,k}) dV.$$

They also proved (Lemma 2 in [3]) that the operator  $\mathcal{A}$  satisfies that  $\operatorname{Range}(I - \mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{H}$ . Following an analogous argument, it can be proved that 0 belongs to the resolvent of  $\mathcal{A}$  (in short,  $0 \in \rho(\mathcal{A})$ ).

Therefore, using the Lumer-Phillips theorem (see, e.g., [38]), we get the following result.

**Theorem 2.1.** *The operator given by matrix  $\mathcal{A}$  generates a contraction  $C_0$ -semigroup  $S(t) = \{e^{\mathcal{A}t}\}_{t \geq 0}$  in  $\mathcal{H}$ .*

We have now the basic tools to prove the main result of this section. But before that, we recall the characterization stated in the book of Liu and Zheng that ensures the exponential decay (see [19], [36] or [39]).

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $S(t) = \{e^{\mathcal{A}t}\}_{t \geq 0}$  be a  $C_0$ -semigroup of contractions on a Hilbert space. Then  $S(t)$  is exponentially stable if and only if the following two conditions are satisfied:*

- (i)  $i\mathbb{R} \subset \rho(\mathcal{A})$ ,
- (ii)  $\lim_{|\lambda| \rightarrow \infty} \|(i\lambda\mathcal{I} - \mathcal{A})^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})} < \infty$ .

**Lemma 2.3.** *The operator  $\mathcal{A}$  defined at (2.5) satisfies that  $i\mathbb{R} \subset \rho(\mathcal{A})$ .*

*Proof.* Following the arguments given by Liu and Zheng ([36], page 25), the proof consists of the following three steps:

(i) Since 0 is in the resolvent of  $\mathcal{A}$ , using the contraction mapping theorem, we have that for any real  $\lambda$  such that  $|\lambda| < \|\mathcal{A}^{-1}\|^{-1}$ , the operator  $i\lambda\mathcal{I} - \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}(i\lambda\mathcal{A}^{-1} - \mathcal{I})$  is invertible. Moreover,  $\|(i\lambda\mathcal{I} - \mathcal{A})^{-1}\|$  is a continuous function of  $\lambda$  in the interval  $(-\|\mathcal{A}^{-1}\|^{-1}, \|\mathcal{A}^{-1}\|^{-1})$ .

(ii) If  $\sup\{\|(i\lambda\mathcal{I} - \mathcal{A})^{-1}\|, |\lambda| < \|\mathcal{A}^{-1}\|^{-1}\} = M < \infty$ , then by the contraction theorem, the operator

$$i\lambda\mathcal{I} - \mathcal{A} = (i\lambda_0\mathcal{I} - \mathcal{A}) \left( \mathcal{I} + i(\lambda - \lambda_0)(i\lambda_0\mathcal{I} - \mathcal{A})^{-1} \right),$$

is invertible for  $|\lambda - \lambda_0| < M^{-1}$ . It turns out that, by choosing  $\lambda_0$  as close to  $\|\mathcal{A}^{-1}\|^{-1}$  as we can, the set  $\{\lambda, |\lambda| < \|\mathcal{A}^{-1}\|^{-1} + M^{-1}\}$  is contained in the resolvent of  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\|(i\lambda\mathcal{I} - \mathcal{A})^{-1}\|$  is a continuous function of  $\lambda$  in the interval  $(-\|\mathcal{A}^{-1}\|^{-1} - M^{-1}, \|\mathcal{A}^{-1}\|^{-1} + M^{-1})$ .

(iii) Let us assume that the intersection of the imaginary axis and the spectrum is not empty, then there exists a real number  $\varpi$  with  $\|\mathcal{A}^{-1}\|^{-1} \leq |\varpi| < \infty$  such that the set  $\{i\lambda, |\lambda| < |\varpi|\}$  is in the resolvent of  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\sup\{\|(i\lambda\mathcal{I} - \mathcal{A})^{-1}\|, |\lambda| < |\varpi|\} = \infty$ . Therefore, there exist a sequence of real numbers  $\lambda_n$  with  $\lambda_n \rightarrow \varpi$ ,  $|\lambda_n| < |\varpi|$  and a sequence of vectors  $\mathcal{U}_n = (\mathbf{u}_n, \mathbf{v}_n, \tau_n, \theta_n, \mathbf{R}_n, \mathbf{M}_n)$  in the domain of the operator  $\mathcal{A}$  and with unit norm such that

$$(2.6) \quad \|(i\lambda_n\mathcal{I} - \mathcal{A})\mathcal{U}_n\| \rightarrow 0.$$

If we write (2.6) in components, we obtain the following conditions:

$$(2.7) \quad i\lambda_n\mathbf{u}_n - \mathbf{v}_n \rightarrow \mathbf{0}, \text{ in } \mathbf{W}^{1,2}$$

$$(2.8) \quad i\lambda_n\mathbf{v}_n - \mathbf{A}_n\mathbf{u}_n - \mathbf{B}\theta_n - \mathbf{C}\mathbf{R}_n \rightarrow \mathbf{0}, \text{ in } \mathbf{L}^2$$

$$(2.9) \quad i\lambda_n\tau_n - \theta_n \rightarrow 0, \text{ in } W^{1,2}$$

$$(2.10) \quad i\lambda_n\theta_n - \mathbf{D}\mathbf{v}_n - E\tau_n - G\theta_n - J\mathbf{M}_n \rightarrow \mathbf{0}, \text{ in } L^2$$

$$(2.11) \quad i\lambda_n\mathbf{R}_n - \mathbf{M}_n \rightarrow \mathbf{0}, \text{ in } \mathbf{W}^{1,2}$$

$$(2.12) \quad i\lambda_n\mathbf{M}_n - \mathbf{L}\mathbf{u}_n - \mathbf{Z}\theta_n - \mathbf{N}\mathbf{R}_n - \mathbf{P}\mathbf{M}_n \rightarrow \mathbf{0}, \text{ in } \mathbf{L}^2.$$

In view of the dissipative term for the operator, we see that

$$(2.13) \quad \theta_n, \mathbf{M}_n \rightarrow 0 \text{ in } \mathbf{W}^{1,2}.$$

From (2.9) we also have that  $\tau_n \rightarrow 0$  in  $W^{1,2}$ . And from (2.11),  $\mathbf{R}_n \rightarrow 0$  in  $\mathbf{W}^{1,2}$ .

If we multiply (2.12) by  $\mathbf{u}_n$  we obtain that

$$(2.14) \quad \langle i\lambda_n\mathbf{M}_n, \mathbf{u}_n \rangle - \langle \mathbf{L}\mathbf{u}_n, \mathbf{u}_n \rangle - \langle \mathbf{Z}\theta_n, \mathbf{u}_n \rangle - \langle \mathbf{N}\mathbf{R}_n, \mathbf{u}_n \rangle - \langle \mathbf{P}\mathbf{M}_n, \mathbf{u}_n \rangle \rightarrow 0.$$

Or, equivalently,

$$(2.15) \quad \langle \mathbf{M}_n, -i\lambda_n\mathbf{u}_n \rangle - \langle \mathbf{L}\mathbf{u}_n, \mathbf{u}_n \rangle - \langle \mathbf{Z}\theta_n, \mathbf{u}_n \rangle - \langle \mathbf{N}\mathbf{R}_n, \mathbf{u}_n \rangle - \langle \mathbf{P}\mathbf{M}_n, \mathbf{u}_n \rangle \rightarrow 0.$$

As  $i\lambda_n\mathbf{u}_n$  is bounded in  $\mathbf{L}^2$  and  $\mathbf{u}_n$  is bounded in  $\mathbf{W}^{1,2}$ , we conclude that

$$\langle \mathbf{M}_n, -i\lambda_n\mathbf{u}_n \rangle \rightarrow 0, \langle \mathbf{Z}\theta_n, \mathbf{u}_n \rangle \rightarrow 0, \langle \mathbf{N}\mathbf{R}_n, \mathbf{u}_n \rangle \rightarrow 0 \text{ and } \langle \mathbf{P}\mathbf{M}_n, \mathbf{u}_n \rangle \rightarrow 0.$$

Therefore,

$$\langle \mathbf{L}\mathbf{u}_n, \mathbf{u}_n \rangle \rightarrow 0.$$

Taking into account the definition of the operators and also assumptions (2.2), we obtain that  $\mathbf{u}_n \rightarrow 0$  in  $\mathbf{W}^{1,2}$  and, in consequence, from (2.7)-(2.8),  $\mathbf{v}_n \rightarrow 0$  in  $\mathbf{L}^2$ . These facts show that it is not possible the existence of such a unit sequence, and, therefore, the imaginary axis is contained in the resolvent of  $\mathcal{A}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.4.** *The operator  $\mathcal{A}$  defined at (2.5) satisfies that*

$$\overline{\lim}_{|\lambda| \rightarrow \infty} \|(i\lambda\mathcal{I} - \mathcal{A})^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})} < \infty.$$

*Proof.* The proof is similar to the one proposed for Lemma 4.3.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.5.** *The  $C_0$ -semigroup  $S(t) = \{e^{At}\}_{t \geq 0}$  is exponentially stable. That is, there exist two positive constants  $M$  and  $\alpha$  such that  $\|S(t)\| \leq M\|S(0)\|e^{-\alpha t}$ .*

*Proof.* The proof is a direct consequence of Lemma 2.3, Lemma 2.4 and Theorem 2.2.  $\square$

It is worth noting that the behavior of the solutions using this model completely differs from the behavior in the three-dimensional classical thermoelasticity, where slow decay or even undamped solutions are observed. The exponential stability obtained in this case is a consequence of the strong coupling between the displacement and the microtemperatures, coupling that is not present in the classical model. This behavior is a shocking effect of the type III thermoelasticity theory with microtemperatures.

### 3. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper we have proved that, under hypotheses of positivity for different tensors, the solutions of the system of equations that models the type III thermoelasticity with microtemperatures decay exponentially. This behavior differs extremely from the one obtained for the classical theory. Even more: the exponential stability holds for symmetric domains. This fact is also very different from what happens in the classical case, where undamped solutions could appear [11].

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Investigations reported in this paper were supported by project "Análisis Matemático de Problemas de la Termomecánica" (MTM2016-74934-P), (AEI/FEDER, UE) of the Spanish Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness.

We want to thank two anonymous referees for their comments on a previous version of the paper.

### REFERENCES

- [1] Ames, K. A., Straughan, B. *Continuous dependence results for initially prestressed thermoelastic bodies* International Journal of Engineering Science, 30, 7-13, 1992.
- [2] Ames, K. A., Straughan, B. *Non-Standard and Improperly Posed Problems*, Academic Press, San Diego, 1997
- [3] Aouadi, M., Ciarletta, M., Passarella, F. *Thermoelastic theory with microtemperatures and dissipative thermodynamics*. Journal of Thermal Stresses, 41, 522–542, 2018.
- [4] Casas, P., Quintanilla, R. *Exponential stability in thermoelasticity with microtemperatures*. I. J. Eng. Sci., 43, pp. 33–47, 2005.
- [5] Cattaneo, C. *On a form of heat equation which eliminates the paradox of instantaneous propagation*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, 247, pp. 431–433, 1958.
- [6] Chen, P. J., Gurtin, M. E. *On a theory of heat involving two temperatures*, Journal of Applied Mathematics and Physics (ZAMP), 19, pp. 614–627, 1968.
- [7] Chen, P. J., Gurtin, M. E., Williams, W. O. *A note on non-simple heat conduction*. Journal of Applied Mathematics and Physics (ZAMP), 19, pp. 969–970, 1968.
- [8] Chen, P. J., Gurtin, M. E., Williams, W. O. *On the thermodynamics of non-simple materials with two temperatures*. Journal of Applied Mathematics and Physics (ZAMP), 20, pp. 107–112, 1969.
- [9] Chirita, S., Ciarletta, M., D'Apice, C. *On the theory of thermoelasticity with microtemperatures*. J. Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 397, pp. 349–361, 2013.
- [10] Ciarletta, M., Straughan, B., Tibullo, V. *Structural stability for a rigid body with thermal microstructure*. I. J. Eng. Sci., 48, pp. 592–598, 2010.
- [11] Dafermos, C. M. *Contraction semigroups and trend to equilibrium in continuum mechanics*, in Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 503, pp. 295–306, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1976.



- [12] Eringen, A. C. *Microcontinuum Field Theories. I. Foundations and Solids*, New York, Springer, 1999.
- [13] Green, A. E., Lindsay, K. A. *Thermoelasticity*, J. Elasticity, 2, pp. 1–7, 1972.
- [14] Green, A. E., Naghdi, P. M. *On undamped heat waves in an elastic solid*, J. Thermal Stresses, 15, pp. 253–264, 1992.
- [15] Green, A. E., Naghdi, P. M. *Thermoelasticity without energy dissipation*, J. Elasticity, 31, pp. 189–208, 1993.
- [16] Green, A. E., Naghdi, P. M. *A unified procedure for construction of theories of deformable media. I. Classical continuum physics, II. Generalized continua, III. Mixtures of interacting continua*. Proc. Royal Society London A 448, pp. 335–356, 357–377, 379–388, 1995.
- [17] Grot, R. *Thermodynamics of a continuum with microstructure*. Int. J. Eng. Sci., 7, pp. 801–814, 1969.
- [18] Gurtin, M. E. *Time-reversal and symmetry in the thermodynamics of materials with memory*, Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal., 44, pp. 387–399, 1971.
- [19] Huang, F. L. *Strong asymptotic stability of linear dynamical systems in Banach spaces*. J. Differential Equations, 104, pp. 307–324, 1993.
- [20] Iesan, D. *Incremental equations in thermoelasticity*. J. Thermal Stresses, 3, pp. 41–56, 1980.
- [21] Iesan, D. *Thermoelasticity of initially heated bodies*. J. Thermal Stresses, 11, pp. 17–39, 1988.
- [22] Iesan, D. *On a theory of micromorphic elastic solids with microtemperatures*. J. Thermal Stresses, 24, pp. 737–752, 2001.
- [23] Iesan, D. *Thermoelasticity of bodies with microstructure and microtemperatures*. Int. J. Solids Struct., 44, pp. 8648–8662, 2007.
- [24] Iesan, D. *On a theory of thermoelasticity without energy dissipation for solids with microtemperatures*. ZAMM - Journal of Applied Mathematics and Mechanics, 98, pp. 870–885, 2018.
- [25] Iesan, D., Quintanilla, R. *On a theory of thermoelasticity with microtemperatures*. J. Thermal Stresses, 23, pp. 195–215, 2000.
- [26] Iesan, D., Quintanilla, R. *On thermoelastic bodies with inner structure and microtemperatures*. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 354, pp. 12–23, 2009.
- [27] Iesan, D., Quintanilla, R. *Two-dimensional heat conduction in thermodynamics of continua with microtemperature distributions. I*. J. Thermal Sciences, 55, pp. 48–59, 2012.
- [28] Iesan, D., Quintanilla, R. *Qualitative properties in strain gradient thermoelasticity with microtemperatures*. Mathematics and Mechanics of Solids, 23, pp. 240–258, 2018.
- [29] Iesan, D., Scalia A. *Thermoelastic Deformation*. Kluwer Academic Publisher, Dordrecht, 1996.
- [30] Knops, R. J. *Existence of weak solutions to linear indefinite systems of differential equations* Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis, 98, 179–190, 1987.
- [31] Knops, R. J., Payne, L. E. *Growth estimates for solutions of evolutionary equations in Hilbert space with applications to Elastodynamics*. Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal., 41, pp. 363–398, 1971.
- [32] Knops R.J., and Quintanilla R. *Continuous Data Dependence in Linear Theories of Thermoelastodynamics. Part I: Classical Theories. Basics and Logarithmic Convexity*. In: Hetnarski R.B. (eds) Encyclopedia of Thermal Stresses. Springer, Dordrecht, 2014
- [33] Knops R.J., and Quintanilla R. *Continuous Data Dependence in Linear Theories of Thermoelastodynamics. Part II: Classical Theories, Lagrange Identity Methods, and Positive-Definite Arguments*. In: Hetnarski R.B. (eds) Encyclopedia of Thermal Stresses. Springer, Dordrecht, 2014
- [34] Knops, R. J., S. Rionero, S and Russo R. *Stability and related results in linear elastodynamics on unbounded regions*. Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis, 115, 179–200, 1991.
- [35] Levine, H. A. *On a theorem of Knops and Payne in dynamical linear thermo-elasticity*. Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis, 38, 290–307, 1970.
- [36] Liu, Z., Zheng, S. *Semigroups associated with dissipative systems*, Chapman & Hall/CRC Research Notes in Mathematics, vol. 398, Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL, 1999.
- [37] Lord, H. W., Shulman, Y. *A generalized dynamical theory of thermoelasticity*. Journal of the Mechanics and Physics of Solids, 15, pp. 299–309, 1967.
- [38] Pazy, A. *Semigroups of linear operators and applications to partial differential equations*, Applied Mathematical Sciences, 44, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1983.
- [39] Prüss, J. *On the spectrum of  $C_0$ -semigroups*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 284, no. 2, 847–857, 1984.
- [40] Quintanilla, R. *Structural stability and continuous dependence of solutions in thermoelasticity of type III*. Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems, B, 1, 463–470, 2001.
- [41] Quintanilla, R. *On the growth and continuous dependence in thermoelasticity with microtemperatures*. J. Thermal Stresses, 34, pp. 911–922, 2011.

- [42] Quintanilla, R. *On the logarithmic convexity in thermoelasticity with microtemperatures*. J. Thermal Stresses, 36, pp. 378–386, 2013.
- [43] Riha, B. *On the microcontinuum model of heat conduction in materials with inner structure*. I. J. Eng. Sci., 14, pp. 529–535, 1976.
- [44] Wilkes, N. S. *Continuous dependence and instability in linear thermoelasticity*. SIAM J. Appl. Math. 11, 292–299, 1980.