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**ABSTRACT**

The necessity of Rare Earth Elements (REEs) recycling is crucial to minimizing their supply risk and provide an alternative to greener technologies. Hence, the REEs recovery from NdFeB magnet wastes using cationic extractants by solvent extraction technique has been investigated in this research. Due to the difficulty in maintaining the aqueous pH in the industrial counter-current devices when extractants like Cyanex 272 or Cyanex 572 are used, the Primene 81R-Cyanex 572 ionic liquid has been synthesised to overcome this. 99.99% Nd(III) recovery with a purity of 99.7% from an aqueous mixture of Nd/Tb/Dy in chloride medium, the three representative REEs present in the NdFeB magnets wastes, has been achieved after two stages counter-current extraction process using 0.30M of Primene 81R-Cyanex 572 ionic liquid (1:4 A/O ratio) diluted in Solvesso 100, without any aqueous pH conditioning.

1. Introduction

Rare earth permanent magnets are increasingly playing a relevant role in clean energy applications.

In fact, this sector holds first place in the priority of recycling taking into account the difficulty in finding substitutes, the critical use of REEs in magnets which are neodymium, praseodymium, dysprosium, terbium and samarium and the potential value of the waste stream (European Commission, 2014; U.S. Department of Energy, 2012). Therefore, the magnets growing tendency does not seem to be changing in the near future, because nowadays, there is a high dependence on the REEs from different sectors and applications, like the motor industry, automation technology devices, e-mobility, renewable energies and wind power. Although prices and demand are high, only a small fraction of REEs are being recycled. In 2011, just 1% was recycled (Tsamis and Coyne, 2015). Nevertheless, recycling and recovering these metals from urban mines is economically and environmentally beneficial because these wastes are reduced and it brings some independence from REEs producing countries.

Permanent magnets are divided into four categories: (1) Neodymium-Iron-Boron (NdFeB), (2) Samarium-Cobalt (SmCo), (3) Ferrite and (4) Aluminium-Nickel-Cobalt (AlNiCo). The former are stronger than the other ones and their sizes are not restricted due to brittleness problems. Thus they are more appropriate for large applications (Smith Stegen, 2015). Therefore, the NdFeB magnets are used more than the SmCo ones, and approximately 26,000 tons per year of REEs are used in the manufacture of NdFeB permanent magnets (Rae et al., 2015). Although NdFeB magnets are more expensive than ferrite and AlNiCo magnets, which are commonly used in wind turbine generators (WTGs), they can produce a higher magneto-motive force in smaller magnet sizes (Rao et al., 2017). Due to the advantages of permanent magnet generators (PMG) based on the REEs use, the average annual demand growth rate forecast for NdFeB in WTGs will increase by 10% in the 2020–2030 period (Schulze and Buchert, 2016). For this reason, it is necessary to study these magnets and find efficient separation and recovery processes.

Up to now, there are many separation and recovery processes for REEs. Among them, the solvent extraction is the most common technique using a wide range of extractants. Cyanex 272 (bis(2,4,4-trimethylpentyl)phosphonic acid, Cy272) (Liu et al., 2014), DEHFA (di-(2-ethylhexyl)phosphoric acid) and EHEHPA (2-ethylhexylphosphonic acid mono-2-ethylhexyl ester, PC88A, P507) (Mohammadi et al., 2015), Cyanex 923 (mixture of trialkylphosphine oxides) (Batchu et al.,...
2017), TBP (tributyl phosphate) (Chen et al., 2016) and the ionic liquids (Larsson and Binnemans, 2015; Chen et al., 2017) are but a few examples. Liu et al. suggest that by adding TBP into the binary mixture of Cyanex 272 and Alamine 336 a better Nd/Pr separation factor is obtained (Liu et al., 2015). The extraction of neodymium and praseodymium abilities in using different ionic liquid were investigated by Padhan and Sarangi and they proposed the Cyanex 272-Alamine 336 IL > DEHPA-Alamine 336 IL > Cyanex 272 > DEHPA > Aliquat 336 extraction order (Padhan and Sarangi, 2017). Cyanex 572 (Cy572) is a commercial extractant that has been specially developed for the extraction and purification of REEs (CYTEC Industries Inc, 2013). It is a mixture of Cy272 and PC88A and it allows the stripping of REE using lower acid concentrations rather than using phosphonic acids due to the complex strength formed by the REEs (CYTEC Industries Inc, 2013; Quinn et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2015). Tunsu et al. reported that the separation of Yttrium and Europium from solutions of fluorescent lamp waste was successfully achieved using Cy572 (Tunsu et al., 2016). Th was recovered using Cy572 with two different processes depending on its concentration. 95% and 99.9% purity of Th were respectively obtained by Wang et al. recovering from low and high Th concentrations using different extraction, scrubbing and stripping stages (Wang et al., 2017). The extraction and separation of Heavy Rare Earth Elements (HREEs) from ion-adsorbed deposits using Cy572 was more effective than using P507; considering the stripping efficiency, the lower acid requirements and also from an environmental point of view (Wang et al., 2015).

Taking into account that 30% of the NdFeB magnets are REEs (Tunsu et al., 2015; Binnemans et al., 2013) and Nd, Dy and Tb, are critical REEs according to their supply risk and importance in clean energy markets (Tunsu et al., 2015), the current research has been focused on how to recover and separate these REEs from magnet wastes.

In order to evaluate the selectivity to separate the REEs from a mixture containing neodymium, terbium and dysprosium in chloride media, extractants such as Cyanex 272 and Cyanex 572 have been studied using the solvent extraction technique. However, extrapolating the results obtained in this study to set up an industrial separation process, it appears the difficulty in maintaining the pH in a counter-current process using cationic extractants, as can be seen in Eq. (1) where a possible extraction mechanism considering the dimeric form of the extractant involved in the extraction process is shown (Tunsu et al., 2016). Although there are many industrial processes, where the pH is controlled when cation extractants are used, this research suggests an alternative to avoid the necessity of the inter-step pH control using an ionic liquid as an extractant.

\[
M^{3+} + 3(\text{HA})_2 \leftrightarrow Me(\text{HA}_2)_3^+ + 3H^+ \tag{1}
\]

For this reason, the investigation of Primene 81R-Cyanex II behaviour as the extractant to selectively recover REEs from magnet wastes is promising. As shown in Eq. (2), there is no proton exchange to the aqueous phase when rare earths are extracted with this IL, and consequently, the aqueous phase pH is not modified after extraction.

\[
3\text{RNH}_2^+\text{A}^- + Me^{3+} + 3\text{Cl}^- \leftrightarrow \text{K}_2Me\text{A}_3; 3\text{RNH}_2^+\text{Cl}^- \tag{2}
\]

According to this, the first part of the study was focused on the Cyanex choice (C272 or C572) to form the ionic liquid taking into account its selectivity HREEs/neodymium and its behaviour on the neodymium separation. When the Primene 81R-Cyanex IL was synthesized, different parameters were studied, such as contact time, pH, ratio of IL, IL concentration and diluent used, to achieve the Nd(III) separation from a mixture of Nd/Tb/Dy using an aqueous phase concentration coincident with the real concentration of these REEs in the magnet wastes by a counter-current extraction process.

2. Experimental

2.1. Reagents

The aqueous phase solutions were prepared by dissolving Nd₂O₃ (Sigma Aldrich Ref. 228656, 99.9%), Tb₂O₃ (Sigma Aldrich Ref. 590509, 99.99%) and Dy₂O₃ (Sigma Aldrich Ref. 289264, 99.9%) in hydrochloric acid. NaCl, NaOH or HCl were added to adjust the pH and the chloride concentration. Citric acid (Sigma Aldrich Ref. 251275, 99.5%) and hydrochloric acid were used as stripping agents. Cy272, Cy572 and Primene 81R-Cyanex 572 IL (P81R-Cy572 IL) were used as extractants. Both Cyanex extractants and P81R were kindly supplied by Cytec Canada Industries and Dow Chemical, respectively, and they were used as received. Detailed specifications of these extractants are summarized in Table 1. Kerosene, from Sigma-Aldrich, was used mainly in the majority of the experiments as a diluent. Toluene (methylbenzene), Cumene (isopropylbenzene), Solvesso 100 (a mixture of alkyl(C₆-C₁₀)-benzenes) and Solvesso 200 (a mixture of alkyl(C₆-C₁₀)-benzenes) were also used to investigate the effects of the diluent on the extraction. ExxonMobil supplied both Solvesso diluents and they were used as received.

2.2. Primene 81R-Cyanex 572 IL preparation

P81R-Cy572 IL is a home-made ionic liquid that was produced by mixing these two commercial extractants, Primene 81R (primary t-alkyl amine, P81R) and Cy572. In order to prepare the P81R-Cy572 IL, stoichiometric quantities of P81R and Cy572 were mixed in kerosene as can be seen in Eq. (3) below.

\[
2\text{RNH}_2^+ + \text{(HA)}_2 \leftrightarrow 2\text{RNH}_2^+\text{A}^- \tag{3}
\]

2.3. Solvent extraction procedure

For the extraction experiments, the concentration of Nd(III), Tb(III) and Dy(III) in the aqueous phase was 1 g dm⁻³ of each metal (or specified concentration) in 4 M Cl⁻. 10 cm² of this aqueous phase were equilibrated at room temperature (20 ± 2°C) with an equal volume of organic phase in a separatory funnel using a horizontal mechanical shaker (SBS Mechanical Shaker) at 140 rpm for 10 min, until the equilibrium was achieved. In order to evaluate the effect of the citric acid and HCl as stripping agents, 5 cm² aliquots of the organic phase were placed in two new separatory funnels to make the stripping process using citric acid 1 M and HCl 1.2 M. After the separation phases, the con-

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Commercial name</th>
<th>Content %</th>
<th>Density kg m⁻³</th>
<th>Viscosity (25°C) mPa s</th>
<th>Av. Mol. Weight g mol⁻¹</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cyanex 272 (CYTEC Industries Inc, 2008)</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>920</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>290&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyanex 572 (CYTEC Industries Inc, 2013)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>933</td>
<td>&lt;50</td>
<td>310&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primene 81R</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>860</td>
<td>2.58&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>191&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> As a monomer.
<sup>b</sup> Experimental data by potentiometric titration in water/ethanol.
<sup>c</sup> Viscosity data by Rohm HA&AS (ROHM HA&AS, 1961).
centration of the REEs in the aqueous phase was determined by atomic emission spectrometry using a 4100MP AES System (Agilent Technologies) with an analytical error ≤ 5%. A triplicate test was made.

Extraction efficiency (%E), stripping efficiency (%S), distribution ratio (D) and separation factor (β) are defined in Eqs. (4)–(7) to evaluate the results.

\[
%E = \frac{[Me]_{aq,0} - [Me]_{aq,eq}}{[Me]_{aq,0}} \times 100
\]  

(4)

\[
%S = \frac{[Me]_{aq,eq}}{[Me]_{org}} \times 100
\]  

(5)

\[
D_{Me} = \frac{[Me]_{org}}{[Me]_{aq}}
\]  

(6)

\[
\beta_{1,2} = \frac{D_{Me1}}{D_{Me2}}
\]  

(7)

where [Me]_{aq,0} and [Me]_{aq,eq} refer to the initial and equilibrium concentration of rare earth in the aqueous phase, [Me]_{aq,eq} is the equilibrium concentration of REE in the stripping phase and [Me]_{org} is the concentration of REE in the loaded organic phase.

2.4. Extraction mechanism

The metal extraction mechanism was investigated taking into account Eq. (1) using Cy272 or Cy572, Eq. (2) in the case of P81R-Cy572 II and irrespective of the REEs speciation. In this extraction mechanism investigation, the equilibrium constants can be written as follows in Eq. (8) and Eq. (9) corresponding to the equilibrium equations Eq. (1) and Eq. (2), respectively:

\[
K_1 = \frac{[Me(HA)_3] \cdot [H^+]^3}{[Me^{3+}] \cdot [HA]_2^3}
\]  

(8)

\[
K_2 = \frac{[MeA \cdot 3RNH_3Cl^-]}{[Me^{3+}] \cdot [RNH_3^+A^-]^3 \cdot [Cl^-]^3}
\]  

(9)

Replacing the distribution ratio D (Eq. (6)) on Eq. (8) and Eq. (9):

\[
K_1 = \frac{D \cdot [H^+]^3}{[HA]_2^3}
\]  

(10)

\[
K_2 = \frac{D}{[RNH_3^+A^-]^3 \cdot [Cl^-]^3}
\]  

(11)

Applying logarithms on Eq. (10) and Eq. (11), the linearized Eq. (12) and Eq. (13) were obtained.

\[
\log D = \log K_1 + 3 \log [HA]_2 - 3 \log [H^+]
\]  

(12)

\[
\log D = \log K_2 + 3 \log [RNH_3^+A^-] + 3 \log [Cl^-]
\]  

(13)

According to these equations, plotting \( \log D + 3 \log [H^+] \) vs. \( \log [HA]_2 \) and \( \log D - 3 \log [Cl^-] \) vs. \( \log [RNH_3^+A^-] \), a slope equal to 3 should be observed if the stoichiometry metal-ligand matches with the proposed mechanism. Additionally, a slope of 3 should be obtained plotting \( \log D - 3 \log [HA]_2 \) vs. \( -\log [H^+] \), meaning that three protons are involved in the suggested extraction process.

The equations have been written in terms of hydrogen ion concentration, since the pH measurements are not accurate under the highly acidic conditions studied.

2.5. Counter-current extraction procedure

The counter-current process was carried out using separatory funnels in which 5cm³ of the aqueous phase and 20cm³ of the organic phase were introduced. The metal concentrations in the feed solution corresponded to the representative REE concentrations on magnet wastes. Therefore, the aqueous phase was a mixture containing 9.00, 0.50 and 2.55dg⁻³ of Nd(III), Tb(III) and Dy(III), respectively (Binnemans et al., 2013; Sasai and Shimamura, 2016; Yang et al., 2017) at an initial pH 0.10 and 4M Cl⁻ medium. The organic phase was 0.30M of P81R-Cy572 II. The process separation started with 2 separation funnels, named funnel 1 and funnel 2, with an aqueous to organic (A/O) phase ratio 1:4, then shaken for 10min. When both phases were separated, the steps described below were followed:

1. Take a new separatory funnel, the number 2', and a fresh organic phase is introduced.
2. Remove the aqueous phase from the separatory funnel number 2 as a sample.
3. Put the raffinate from the funnel 1 into funnel 2'.
4. A fresh aqueous phase in the separatory funnel 2 is introduced.
5. Take out the funnel 1 and the other two funnels (funnel 2 and 2' will be relabelled as 1 and 2, respectively) are shaken for 10min.

This procedure was repeated (11 times) until the REE concentrations were constant, meaning that the process was stabilized. In order to check the process stabilization, the remaining organic phases were stripped. Then, Nd(III), Tb(III) and Dy(III) concentrations in the aqueous phases were determined.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Cyanex 272 and Cyanex 572

3.1.1. Effect of the contact time

The extraction of Nd/Tb/Dy by Cy272 and Cy572 and the stripping of these REEs by HCl 1.2M and citric acid 1M were investigated at specific contact times. The results showed that the equilibrium was achieved within 5min and the extraction and stripping percentages were unchanged while extending the mixing time. Consequently, a fixed mixing time of 10min was used in all the subsequent experiments to ensure complete equilibrium.

3.1.2. Effect of pH

The pH is a critical parameter to separate REEs using cationic extractants. As is seen in Fig. 1a and Fig. 1b, the extraction of Nd(III),
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Tb(III) and Dy(III) increased due to the increasing equilibrium pH. The appropriate pH ranges to achieve the REEs separation are from 1.4 to 1.8 using Cy272 (Fig. 1a) and from 0.4 to 1.3 using Cy572 (Fig. 1b); because, in these ranges, the bigger selectivity values of HREEs/neodymium were obtained (Pavon et al., 2017).

The contrasting plots of $\log D - 3 \log [HA]_2$ vs. $-\log [H]^+$ (see Fig. S1.a and b in the supplementary material) allow us to determine the slope values for each metal using both Cyanex. The obtained results are consistent with the proposed extraction mechanism in Eq. (1). Although the values of the Nd(III) slopes for both extractants are less than 2.50, the slope values of terbium and dysprosium indicate that the extraction of these metals followed a cationic exchange mechanism and three protons are involved in the extraction process within our experimental ranges (Eq. (1)). The extraction process result obtained is also in accordance with references Tunsu et al. (2016) and Huang and Tanaka (2010).

3.1.3. Effect of the extractant concentration

The effect of the extractant concentration was investigated in the range 5%-20% range (v/v) using Cy272 (0.14-0.54M) and Cy572 (0.15-0.60M) as extractants (Fig. 2a and Fig. 2b) and kerosene as diluent. The concentration of each REE in the mixture was 1 g dm$^{-3}$ in 4 M Cl$^{-}$ medium. The initial pH was set to 3.50 for both extractants and the stripping agent used was HCl 1.2M.

Although the results showed that the percentages of REEs extraction increases when the extractant concentration increases, the rise in the extracted Nd(III) was less than the other two REEs. Even though the equilibrium pHs decreased when the concentration of the extractants increased in all the cases, the equilibrium pH values using Cy572 was less than when Cy272 was used, being in agreement to the obtained extraction percentages (Fig. 2a and b). This fact accords with the extraction mechanism for cationic extractants depicted previously in Eq. (1).

Because the neodymium was not extracted using Cy272 throughout the studied range, 0.54M was chosen since the HREES extraction rate was the highest. This Nd(III) behaviour was also observed using the lowest (0.15M) Cy572 concentration. In order to separate Nd(III) from the mixture, 0.30M was decided using Cy572 due to their highest selectivity values in Table 2.

With the aim of investigating the extraction reaction stoichiometry of both Cyanex, the $\log D + 3 \log [H]^+$ vs. $\log [HA]_2$ presented in (Fig. S2. a and b in the supplementary material). In these plots, Cy272 and Cy572 are considered as dimers according to Eq. (1) and both plots show linear tendencies using both Cyanex. Due to the non-extraction of the neodymium using Cy272, the $D_{Nd}$ cannot be calculated and therefore no slope is presented. The slope values were 2.76 and 2.85 for Tb(III) and Dy(III), respectively. In the same way, the obtained slope values using Cy572 were 3.37, 3.36 and 3.30. This accords with the extraction mechanism depicted in Eq. (1).

3.1.4. Effect of the chloride concentration in the aqueous feed

In order to compare the chloride concentration effect using both extractants, this was investigated in the 0.10-4 M concentration range. The aqueous phase contained 1 g dm$^{-3}$ of each metal at initial pH 3.50. The extractant concentrations used were 0.54 M and 0.30 M for Cy272 and Cy572, respectively.

It can be observed from the results in Fig. 3 that there is an inverse proportional relationship between the percentage of REEs extraction and the Cl$^{-}$ concentration. These results can be explained according to the hypothesis that the Me$^{3+}$ is the extracted species. If the MeCl$^{2+}$, MeCl$_2^+$ or MeCl$^-$ species were produced, consistently with Eq. (14)-(16), less Me$^{3+}$ would have been extracted and consequently, the extraction would decrease, as is depicted in Eq. (1).

$$Me^{3+} + Cl^- \leftrightarrow MeCl^{2+}$$

(14)

![Image 1](https://via.placeholder.com/150)

![Image 2](https://via.placeholder.com/150)

Fig. 1. Effect of the equilibrium pH on the extraction of 1 g dm$^{-3}$ REEs in 4 M Cl$^{-}$ (triplicate test). a) Cy272 10% (v/v) in kerosene b) Cy572 10% (v/v) in kerosene.

Fig. 2. Effect of extractant concentration of 1 g dm$^{-3}$ of REEs at initial pH 3.50 in 4 M Cl$^{-}$ (triplicate test). Diluent: kerosene a) Effect of [Cy272]. b) Effect of [Cy572].
According to all the aforesaid, and with the aim of achieving a low extraction yield for neodymium and, simultaneously, achieving greater selectivity values in reference to terbium and dysprosium, 4 M Cl\(^{-}\) concentration has been chosen (Table 3).

3.1.5. Effect of the different stripping agents

The loaded organic phases obtained in the previous experiments described in section 3.1.3 and 3.1.4 were used to investigate the effect of HCl 1.2 M and citric acid 1 M as stripping solutions. They have been chosen due to the acidic character in promoting the extraction process as is written in Eq. (1). As is shown in Fig. 4, the stripping percentage using hydrochloric acid 1.2 M as the stripping agent for Tb(III) and Dy(III) is around to 80% for both extractants. Neodymium data is not included in Fig. 4 a because the metal was not extracted using Cy272 in the whole range of extractant concentrations, and so it is not considered for stripping in this case.

The main differences between Cy272 and Cy572 appear when citric acid 1 M is used as the stripping agent. In this case, metals are stripped from organic phase containing Cy272 (Fig. 4 a and Fig. S3.a in the supplementary material) and not from Cy572 (Fig. S3.a and Fig. S3.b in the supplementary material). It may be due to the complexing effect of

\[
\text{MeCl}^{2+} + \text{Cl}^{-} \leftrightarrow \text{MeCl}^{+}_2
\]  

(15)  

\[
\text{MeCl}^{+}_2 + \text{Cl}^{-} \leftrightarrow \text{MeCl}_3
\]  

(16)

The Cl\(^{-}\) influence order on the extraction is Nd(III) > Tb(III) > and Dy(III) in the studied chloride range. This behaviour can be associated with bigger metal complex formation constants in the same order as mentioned above. Although the extraction decreasing tendency is the same using both extractants, it is more accentuated using Cy572 rather than Cy272. That is why the best optimal range to separate Nd(III) from the mixture is considered to be from 2M up to 4M of chlorides.

![Fig. 3. Effect of medium concentration on the extraction of Nd(III), Tb(III) and Dy(III) at initial pH 3.50 (triplicate test). Aqueous phase: 1 g dm\(^{-3}\) of each metal solution. a) Cy272 in kerosene. b) Cy572 in kerosene.](image)

**Table 2** Separation studies of Nd/Tb/Dy using different concentrations of Cy572.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>[Cy572] (M)</th>
<th>(D_{\text{Nd}})</th>
<th>(D_{\text{Tb}})</th>
<th>(D_{\text{Dy}})</th>
<th>(\beta_{\text{Nd/Td}})</th>
<th>(\beta_{\text{Tb/Dy}})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>28.1</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Fig. 4. Effect of different stripping agents of Nd(III), Tb(III) and Dy(III) at initial pH 3.50 (triplicate test). Aqueous phase: 1 g dm\(^{-3}\) of each metal solution. Diluent: kerosene a) [Cy272] effect. b) [Cy572] effect.](image)

**Table 3** Separation studies of Nd/Tb/Dy using different Cl\(^{-}\) concentrations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>[Cl(^{-})] (M)</th>
<th>Cy272</th>
<th>Cy572</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(D_{\text{Nd}})</td>
<td>(D_{\text{Tb}})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>1.72</td>
<td>1.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
citric acid which compensates its lack of acidity. To prove it, a solution of HCl 0.01 M was prepared with the same pH as the 1 M solution of citric acid (pH 2) and no stripping of any REEs was obtained using both Cyanex. Therefore, citric acid mainly strips due to its complexing effect.

The results show that to achieve a complete stripping for both extractants using HCl 1.2 M, two stages will be required or the HCl concentration would have to be increased (Panda et al., 2015). As already noted previously, the optimum stripping agent to achieve a good stripping is HCl 1.2 M for both extractants while citric acid 1 M could be also used in the case of Cy272.

3.2. Primene 81R-Cyanex 572 IL

Even though good separation factors of Dy/Nd and Tb/Nd were obtained using both Cyanex, more than one stage is required to achieve a complete neodymium separation from the mixture of Nd/Tb/Dy in 4 M Cl\textsuperscript{−}. From an industrial separation process scale-up point of view, this fact makes the previous conditioning of the pH in the successive extraction stages necessary.

In this sense, the use of an extractant like P81R-Cyanex IL is essential in order to avoid a proton exchange during the extraction process. Comparing the results of Cy272 and Cy572, the HREEs selectivity value is better when Cy572 is used. The amount of Cy572 necessary to achieve higher values of separation factors is also less than using Cy272. Consequently, Cy572 has been chosen to be used in the P81R-Cy572 ionic liquid.

3.2.1. Effect of the contact time

Following the procedure previously mentioned in 3.2, the extraction and the stripping using HCl 4 M were investigated at specific contact times; and within 5 min, the equilibrium was achieved. In order to make sure that equilibrium was completely achieved, a 10 min of shaking time was utilised.

3.2.2. Effect of pH

Although the IL is a mixture of P81R and Cy572, its behaviour -when combined -could be different compared to its individual components (Liu et al., 2014). To study the pH effect on the Nd/Tb/Dy extraction using the P81R-Cy572 IL diluted in kerosene, the extraction curves using 0.3 M of IL and 1 g dm\textsuperscript{−3} REE solution in 4 M Cl\textsuperscript{−} medium were carried out.

The results show that the extraction percentages of Tb(III) and Dy(III) are similar throughout the entire pH range. However, Nd(III) shows an extraction behaviour completely different which can be harnessed to separate it from the mixture (Nd/Tb/Dy). As can be seen in Fig. 5 a, the greater difference in the Nd(III) extraction compared to the other two REEs is obtained in the 0.8-1.5 pH range.

In order to confirm the mechanism described in Eq. (3), the plot of $pH_{\text{exp}}$ vs. $pH_{\text{total}}$ for the Nd/Tb/Dy metals is presented in Fig. 5 b. The results indicate that there is no proton release when the REEs are extracted. As a consequence, the choice of using an ionic liquid like the P81R-Cy572 IL, in order to maintain the pH during the extraction process, is confirmed.

3.2.3. Effect of Primene 81R concentration

Being the objective to determinate the P81R/Cy572 ratio in the IL, in this section, a study has been done maintaining 0.30 M of Cy572 and varying the P81R concentration from 0.09 M up to 0.36 M. The diluent used was kerosene. The aqueous phase was 1 g dm\textsuperscript{−3} of each REE in 4 M Cl\textsuperscript{−} at an initial pH 0.10. The higher the P81R concentration is in the IL, the bigger the extraction of REEs is as shown in Fig. 6. This is because when the P81R concentration increases, more IL is formed up to 0.30 M (1:1 M ratio). However, the neodymium extraction increase is suppressed by the preferential loading of the two heavy REEs in the organic phase.

As shown in Table 4, the $f_{\text{Nd/Nd}}$ values increase until achieving the maximum value, 86, using 0.30 M of both extractants (P81R and Cy572), then the separation factor decreases because the Dy(III) extraction is almost maintained, and the extraction of Nd(III) increases at higher P81R concentrations.

Taking into account that the neutral equivalent values of P81R and Cy572 are 191 and 310 g/mol, respectively (Table 1) and regarding Table 4 results, the maximum selectivity corresponds to an equimolar mixture of Cy572 and P81R, which also accords with the IL formation stoichiometry (Eq. (2)).

3.2.4. Effect of IL concentration

In order to investigate the effect of the P81R-Cy572 IL concentration on the extraction, experiments were performed varying the IL concentration from 0.10 up to 0.60 M. Kerosene was the diluent used. The aqueous phase was 1 g dm\textsuperscript{−3} of each REE in 4 M Cl\textsuperscript{−} fixing the initial pH at 0.10. The extraction percentages of neodymium, terbium and dysprosium increase as the ionic liquid concentration increases (Fig. 7).

The equilibrium pH is maintained in the 1.19-1.25 range. This confirms that there are no protons exchange to the aqueous phase when the REEs are extracted with P81R-Cy572 IL (Eq. (3)). Additionally, taking into account the Padhan and Sarangi investigation where Pr and Nd were stripped with an efficiency of 98.11 and 98.75%, respectively, using 1.8 M of H\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4} (Padhan and Sarangi, 2017), the Nd(III), Tb(III) and Dy(III) loaded in the organic phase was completely stripped using HCl 4M.

The aforementioned experiment by Padhan and Sarangi, also suggests that three molecules of Aliquat 336-Cy272 IL are involved in the solvent extraction of one molecule of neodymium and praseodymium (Padhan and Sarangi, 2017) and our results are in consonance with this study because the slope values of $\log D - 3 \log [\text{Cl}^{-}]$
Taking into account the third phase formation restraining, Solvesso 100 was selected to be the diluent to make the counter-current extraction experiments.

3.2.6. Counter-current experiment

The REEs separation from a synthetic magnet waste solution sample which is considered equal to a real magnet waste sample, was carried out by the counter-current extraction process. The theoretical number of stages required to separate neodymium from the mixture using a counter-current system can be calculated if the extraction equilibria are known and solving the mass balance equations at all stages. Taking into account that the REE concentrations in magnet wastes are Nd(III) \( \gg \) Dy(III) \( \gg \) Tb(III) and the REEs affinity using P81R-Cy572 IL is Dy(III) \( \gg \) Tb(III) \( \gg \) Nd(III), the extraction isotherm study has been done referring to Dy(III).

The aqueous phase was a mixture of 9.00 g dm\(^{-3}\) of Nd(III), 0.50 g dm\(^{-3}\) of Tb(III) and a range of Dy(III) concentrations from 0.10 up to 2.55 g dm\(^{-3}\), coincident with the real concentration of these REEs in the magnet wastes (Binnemans et al., 2013; Sasaki and Shimamura, 2016; Yang et al., 2017). The IL concentration was 0.30 M and Solvesso 100 was used as the diluent to avoid the third phase formation in the organic phase. The working conditions required an A/O ratio of 1:4.

The McCabe-Thiele plot (Fig. 8a) indicates that at least two stages are required to obtain the total elimination of Dy(III) from the aqueous phase at A/O ratio of 1:4. Following the procedure established in Section 2.5 and as can also be seen in Fig. 5S (in the supplementary material), eleven contacts were necessary to achieve the steady-state concentrations in all the phases. The bold points shown in Fig. 8a are the Dy(III) experimental concentrations obtained in the two counter-current stages after the system stabilisation. Bold points are close to the isothermal line and the differences can be explained because the initial pH was not exactly the same that the isotherm experiment.

Fig. 8b shows the measured concentrations of Nd(III), Tb(III) and Dy(III) in each phase and for every step of the separation process in the counter-current extraction process. The equilibria pH for each stage is also shown. The Tb(III) and Dy(III) concentrations in the raffinate gradually decrease while the concentration of Nd(III) remains constant throughout the whole process, so there is no co-extraction of this LREE at these pHs. This can be explained by the HREEs preferential extraction over the LREEs. Conversely, terbium and dysprosium concentrations increase in the loaded organic solutions. Consequently, the main objective for using the P81R-Cy572 IL in the counter-current extraction experiment has been demonstrated because the pH during the extraction remained close to 1.2.

Summing up, the counter-current process allows us to recover 99.99% of the neodymium with a purity of 99.7% maintaining the pH close to 1.2 without pH conditioning.

4. Conclusions

The P81R-Cy572 IL had been proposed as the extractant to recover neodymium from synthetic magnet waste leachings and its choice to be used in an industrial counter-current process has been successfully demonstrated.

Table 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>[Primene 81R] (M)</th>
<th>pH(_{eq})</th>
<th>D(_{Nd})</th>
<th>D(_{Dy})</th>
<th>D(_{Tb})</th>
<th>β(_{Dy/Nd})</th>
<th>β(_{Tb/Nd})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>1.58</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>4.13</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>2.34</td>
<td>5.75</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The study of the extraction mechanism of REEs using P81R-Cy572 IL by slope analysis suggests that three molecules of IL are involved in the solvent extraction of one molecule of Nd(III), Tb(III) and Dy(III).

The counter-current process results indicate that to separate Nd(III) from the mixture Nd/Tb/Dy using 0.30 M of P81R-Cy572 IL and Solvesso 100 as the organic phase permits the elimination of 99.99% and 99% of the initial Tb(III) and Dy(III), respectively, while only working two stages without any aqueous pH conditioning. Thus, the pH remained constant around 1.2 during the extraction in the two counter-current process stages. This fact is the main claim of the current investigation in order to recover REEs using an industrial process.
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Fig. 8. a) McCabe-Thiele plot for extraction of Dy(III) at initial pH 0.10 (triplicate test). b) Counter-current extraction process Nd/Tb/Dy concentrations in each step under stable conditions (feed pH 0.26). Aqueous phase: 9.00, 0.50 and 2.50 g dm⁻³ of Nd(III), Tb(III) and Dy(III) respectively. Organic phase: 0.30 M PHR Cy572 II in Solvesso 100.

References


Mohammadi, M., Forsberg, K., Kloos, L., Martínez de La Cruz, J., Rasmussen, K., 2015. Separation of Nd(III), Dy(III) and Y(III) by solvent extraction using D2EHPA and HEHHPA. Hydrometallurgy 156, 215–224. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hydromet.2015.05.004.


