Supraspinal modulation of neuronal synchronization by nociceptive stimulation induces an enduring reorganization of dorsal horn neuronal connectivity
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Key Points

The state of central sensitization induced by the intradermic injection of capsaicin leads to structured (non-random) changes in functional connectivity between dorsal horn neuronal populations distributed along the spinal lumbar segments in anesthetized cats.

- The capsaicin-induced changes in neuronal connectivity and the concurrent increase in secondary hyperalgesia are transiently reverted by the systemic administration of small doses of lidocaine, a clinically effective procedure to treat neuropathic pain.

- The effects of both capsaicin and lidocaine are greatly attenuated in spinalized preparations, showing that supraspinal influences play a significant role in the shaping of nociceptive-induced changes in dorsal horn functional neuronal connectivity.

- We conclude that changes on functional connectivity between segmental populations of dorsal horn neurones induced by capsaicin and lidocaine result from a cooperative adaptive interaction between supraspinal and spinal neuronal networks, a process that may have a relevant role in the pathogenesis of chronic pain and analgesia.

This is the peer reviewed version of the following article: Contreras-Hernández, E., Chávez, D., Hernández, E., Béjar, J., Martín, M., Cortés, U. [et al.]. Supraspinal modulation of neuronal synchronization by nociceptive stimulation induces an enduring reorganization of dorsal horn neuronal connectivity. "Journal of physiology-London", 1 Maig 2018, vol. 596, núm. 9, p. 1747-1776. which has been published in final form at https://doi.org/10.1113/JP275228. This article may be used for non-commercial purposes in accordance with Wiley Terms and Conditions for Self-Archiving.
Abstract

Despite the profuse information on the molecular and cellular mechanisms involved in the central sensitization produced by intense nociceptive stimulation, the changes in the patterns of functional connectivity between spinal neurones associated with the development of secondary hyperalgesia and allodynia remain largely unknown. Here we show that the state of central sensitization produced by the intradermal injection of capsaicin is associated with structured transformations in neuronal synchronization that lead to an enduring reorganization of the functional connectivity within a segmentally distributed ensemble of dorsal horn neurones. These changes are transiently reverted by the systemic administration of small doses of lidocaine, a clinically effective procedure to treat neuropathic pain. Lidocaine also reduces the capsaicin-induced facilitation of the spinal responses evoked by weak mechanical stimulation of the skin in the region of secondary but not in the region of primary hyperalgesia. The effects of both intradermic capsaicin and systemic lidocaine on the segmental correlation and coherence between ongoing cord dorsum potentials and on the responses evoked by tactile stimulation in the region of secondary hyperalgesia are greatly attenuated in spinalized preparations, showing that supraspinal influences are involved in the reorganization of the nociceptive-induced structured patterns of dorsal horn neuronal connectivity. We conclude that the structured reorganization of the functional connectivity between the dorsal horn neurones induced by capsaicin nociceptive stimulation results from cooperative interactions between supraspinal and spinal networks, a process that may have a relevant role in the shaping of the spinal state in the pathogenesis of chronic pain and analgesia.

Abbreviations: ANCOVA, covariance analysis; c, caudal; C1, cluster 1; C2, cluster 2; Cap, Capsaicin; CDPs, cord dorsum potentials; D-IFPs, deep intraspinal field potentials; IFPs, intraspinal field potentials; L, left; Lido, Lidocaine; Ps, slope p value; R, right; RMSS, root-mean square significance; r, rostral; S-IFPs, superficial intraspinal field potentials.
Introduction

Acute nerve damage or neuropathic and/or neurogenic inflammatory processes usually result in long lasting plastic changes in the nervous system such as central sensitization and reorganization of nociceptive pathways (Woolf 1983; Cook et al., 1987; Kaas, 1991; Wall et al., 2002). The process of spinal sensitization is an important component of the pain experience. It includes an enhancement of the functional status of neurones and circuits in nociceptive pathways that result in a state of facilitation, potentiation or amplification, leading to the perception of ongoing pain, hyperalgesia and allodynia (Woolf 2007; Latremoliere & Woolf, 2009; Basbaum et al., 2009).

Studies in animal models have indicated that the inflammatory nociception induced by intradermic application of capsaicin leads to a prolonged state of central sensitization involving a fast reorganization of the cutaneous receptive fields of neurones in the cuneate nucleus (Pettit & Schwark, 1996). In anesthetized rats, capsaicin injected in the perioral region was also found to increase the ongoing firing of thalamo-cortical neurones and rapidly reorganize the whisker neuronal representations in both the thalamus and cortex (Katz et al., 1999). Other studies have revealed that these changes are also associated with alterations in the functional connectivity between dorsal horn neurones in the spinal cord. Thus, according to Eblen-Zajjur & Sandkühler (1996), most pairs of laminae III-V neurones with overlapping receptive fields showed increased correlated discharges during nociceptive stimulation and it has been suggested that these changes represent a stimulus-induced plasticity involving alterations in the strength and/or time of neuronal synchronization and rarely activation of new connections (see also Schaible et al., 1987; Biella et al., 1997; Galhardo et al., 2000;).

At peripheral level, the activation of C fibres by painful stimuli leads not only to the sensitization but also to long term potentiation at their central synapses referred to as secondary hyperalgesia that is reversed by brief application of a high opioid dose (Sandkuhler 2007, 2009; Sotgiu et al., 2009). Since this procedure also
reverses hyperalgesia in behaving animals, it has been suggested that opioids not only temporarily dampen pain, but may also erase a spinal memory trace of pain (Drdla-Schutting et al., 2012). Mechanical hyperalgesia may be associated with a phenomenon similar to memory reconsolidation, a process by which memories are rendered labile after reactivation and became susceptible to erasure (Bonin & De Koninck, 2014).

Despite the increasing information on the cellular and molecular mechanisms involved in the long lasting effects of acute nociceptive stimulation, there is limited information pertaining the concurrent modifications of the patterns of functional connectivity between dorsal horn neurones. Most studies have been addressed to the analysis of the changes in synchronization between pairs of neurones usually located within the same spinal segment (see Eblen-Zajjur & Sandkühler, 1996; Biella et al., 1997; Galhardo et al., 2002; Roza et al., 2016) and few have examined the reorganization of the functional connectivity between dorsal horn neuronal populations located in different spinal segments, particularly during nociceptive stimulation associated with the development of central sensitization and its modulation by supraspinal influences (see Chávez et al., 2012; Chen et al., 2015; Martin et al., 2015).

Previous studies in our laboratory have shown that the ongoing cord dorsum potentials (CDPs) recorded in the lumbosacral segments of the anesthetized cat are generated by the synchronous activity of a longitudinally distributed network of interconnected local and intersegmental sets of dorsal horn neurones (Manjarrez et al., 2000, 2003 and Chávez et al., 2012). A key finding was that depending on the level of neuronal synchronization, this ensemble could acquire specific configurations of neuronal connectivity, some leading to the preferential activation of the pathways mediating Ib non-reciprocal postsynaptic inhibition and others to the activation of the pathways mediating primary afferent depolarization and presynaptic inhibition (Contreras-Hernández et al., 2015).

Based on these observations we assumed that the analysis of the changes produced by nociceptive stimulation on the correlation and coherence between the
ongoing CDPs and intraspinal field potentials (IFPs) would be an appropriate mean
to reveal relevant features of the supraspinal modulation of the patterns of
functional connectivity between populations of dorsal horn neurones in different
spinal segments associated with the development of both secondary hyperalgesia
and allodynia, and to provide some insight on the mechanisms of action of clinically
effective analgesic procedures (Mao & Chen, 2000; Fields, 2004; Challapalli et al.,
2005; Endo et al., 2008; Sotgiu et al., 2009).

The present study was undertaken to examine in the anesthetized cat a) the
effects of nociceptive neurogenic inflammatory input induced by the acute
intradermic injection of capsaicin on the segmental distribution of correlation and
coherence between the populations of dorsal horn neurones involved in the
generation of the ongoing CDPs and IFPs, b) the extent to which these effects
were modified by procedures clinically effective in the treatment of neuropathic
pain such as the systemic injection of small clinically effective doses of lidocaine
(Dirks et al., 2000; Tremont-Lukats, et al., 2006; Gordon & Schroeder, 2008) and c)
the contribution of supraspinal influences on the capsaicin and lidocaine-induced
effects on the functional connectivity between dorsal horn neurones and the
possible relation of these changes with the development of mechanical allodynia
and secondary hyperalgesia (see Urban & Gebhart, 1999; Abaei et al., 2016).

Some of these observations have been published in abstract form (Rudomin
et al., 2012; Contreras-Hernández et al., 2013).

**Materials and Methods**

**Ethical Approval**

Cats were bred and housed under veterinarian supervision at the Institutional
Animal Care unit (SAGARPA permission AUT-B-C-0114-007). They were kept in
individual comfortable cages and had access to food and water *ad libitum*. All
experiments were approved by the Institutional Ethics Committee for Animal
Research (Protocol no. 126-03) and comply with the ethical policies and
regulations of The Journal of Physiology, including the animal ethics checklist (see
Grundy, 2015). The Guide for Care and Use of Laboratory Animals (National Research Council, 2010) was followed in all cases.

**General procedures**

*Preparation:* The experiments were performed in 9 adult cats of either sex weighting between 2.5 and 3.5 Kg. The animals were initially anesthetized with pentobarbitone sodium (40 mg/kg i.p.). The carotid artery, radial vein, trachea and urinary bladder were cannulated. Additional doses of pentobarbitone sodium (5 mg/kg/hr) were given intravenously to maintain an adequate level of anesthesia, tested by assessing that withdrawal reflexes were absent, that the pupils were constricted and that systolic arterial blood pressure was between 100 and 120 mm Hg.

The lumbo-sacral and low thoracic spinal segments were exposed by laminectomy and opening of the dura mater. After the main surgical procedures, the animals were transferred to a stereotaxic metal frame allowing immobilization of the head and spinal cord and pools were made with the skin flaps that were filled with paraffin oil to prevent desiccation of the exposed tissues. The temperature was maintained between 36 and 37°C by means of radiant heat.

Subsequently, the animals were paralyzed with pancuronium bromide (0.1 mg/kg) and artificially ventilated. The tidal volume was adjusted to maintain 4% of CO₂ concentration in the expired air. During paralysis, adequacy of anesthesia was ensured with supplementary doses of anesthetic (2 mg/kg in an hour) and by repeatedly assessing that the pupils remained constricted and that heart rate and blood pressure were not changed following a noxious stimulus (paw pinch).

*Recording and stimulation:* CDPs were recorded by means of 8-12 silver ball electrodes placed on the surface of the L4-L7 segments on both sides of the spinal cord. To reduce cross-talk contributed by the indifferent electrode, differential recordings were made between the potentials recorded at each site against an equal number of electrodes, each inserted in the adjacent paravertebral muscles (see Malliani et al., 1965; Chávez et al., 2012; Obien et al., 2015).
In several experiments, in addition to the CDPs, we recorded the intraspinal field potentials (IFPs) with a pair of glass micropipettes filled with 2M NaCl (1-2 MΩ) that were inserted in the left side of the L6 segment with a rostro-caudal separation of 1 mm and positioned at two different depths within the dorsal horn, one superficial (500-800 µm) and another deeper (1600-1800 µm). Their final position was verified histologically (see below). Ongoing and evoked CDPs and IFPs were recorded with separate preamplifiers (band pass filters 0.3 Hz to 1 KHz), visualized on-line and digitally stored for further analysis with software written in MatLab (MathWorks) and LabView version 14 (National Instruments).

**Spinalization:** When effects of a spinal section were investigated, one of the exposed thoracic segments (usually T4-T6) was bathed with chilled ringer for about 10 minutes, sprayed with liquid nitrogen until it was completely frozen and sectioned to ensure complete and permanent interruption of supraspinal influences.

**Mechanical stimulation of the skin:** In several experiments we recorded the CDPs produced by mechanical stimulation of the skin by means of an air puff delivered by a Picospritzer (Intracel LTD) through two glass tubes (1 mm diameter) placed close to but without touching the skin on the left hindlimb. One of the tubes was placed near the site of capsaicin injection into the footpad and the other 35-40 mm centrally in the region of secondary hyperalgesia. The air puffs generated by the Picospritzer with pulses lasting 5-10 ms produced a change in pressure equivalent to 1g exerted by a von Frey hair leading to a tactile non-painful sensation when tested on ourselves.

**Intradermic injection of capsaicin:** As described by Rudomin & Hernández (2008), 30 µl of 1% solution of capsaicin diluted in 10% Tween 80 and 90% saline, (around 7.5 µg/kg) were injected in the plantar cushion of the left hindlimb. To avoid desensitization, capsaicin was injected only once (Sakurada et al., 1992). In our experience the effects of capsaicin started around 10-20 min and attained maximum values between 100 and 180 min after the injection and persisted up to 4
hours. The injection of capsaicin produced a clear inflammatory response around the injection site (see Rudomin and Hernández, 2008).

**Systemic injection of lidocaine**: Lidocaine is a local anesthetic with short half-life (about 17 minutes) when systemically administered. In this series of experiments a solution of Lidocaine (5 mg/kg diluted in 6 cc of isotonic saline) was slowly injected (20-30 min) through a catheter inserted in the right femoral vein. An equivalent dose of systemic lidocaine has been used to treat neuropathic pain and to supplement general anesthesia (see Wallace et al., 1997; Gordon & Schroeder, 2008;).

**Histology**: At the end of the experiment the animal was euthanized with a pentobarbital overdose and perfused with 10% formalin. The spinal cord was removed for fixation and dehydration leaving the recording micropipettes in place. Subsequently, the spinal segments containing the micropipettes were placed in a solution of methyl salicylate for clearing and subsequently cut transversally to verify the position of the micropipettes. The tracks of the microelectrodes were drawn with a lucid camera (Wall & Werman, 1976).

**Data processing**

**Coefficients of correlation**: As in previous work (Chávez et al., 2012), the changes in correlation between the CDPs simultaneously recorded from different lumbo-sacral spinal segments were estimated by means of the Pearson correlation coefficient (\(\rho\)), as follows

\[
\text{Corr}(X,Y) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}(x_i - \bar{x})(y_i - \bar{y})}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n}(x_i - \bar{x})^2 \sum_{i=1}^{n}(y_i - \bar{y})^2}}
\]

where \(X=\{x_i\}\) and \(Y=\{y_i\}\) are two voltage-time series corresponding to the continuous records of paired sets of CDPs and/or IFPs (lasting 5-10 min).

**Power spectra and Coherence Function**: To analyze the changes in the frequency components of the CDPs and of the IFPs we calculated the power spectra of the potentials recorded in individual spinal segments as well as the
frequency-dependence correlation (coherence function) between different paired sets of potentials.

The coherence function (\( \gamma \)) was calculated using the equations provided by the LabView v 14 tool kit as follows:

\[
\gamma^2(f) = \frac{(\text{Magnitude of the Average } S_{AB}(f))^2}{(\text{Average } S_{AA}(f))(\text{Average } S_{BB}(f))}
\]

where \( S_{AB} \) is the cross power spectrum, \( S_{AA} \) is the power spectrum of A, and \( S_{BB} \) is the power spectrum of B. This equation yields a coherence factor with a value between zero and one versus frequency.

**Analysis of covariance (ANCOVA):** This analysis was implemented in R software (R development, Core team, 2016) and used in some cases to compare changes in the slope (\( P_s \)) of the best linear fits of the correlation coefficients between paired sets of CDPs generated in the L4-L7 spinal segments. \( P_s \) values below 0.05 were considered as significant (see McDonald, 2014).

**Randomness test:** The randomness of each of the correlograms obtained during the different experimental conditions (Control, Capsaicin, Lidocaine and Spinalization) was examined by using the standard runs-test for randomness (Gibbons, 1996). Briefly, for a given correlogram we calculated the difference between each of the correlation values relative to the median value of the correlogram in order to obtain a sequence of binary relations (bigger than, less than). Same values were discarded. This test assumes sequentially ordered values. The binary relationship sequence patterns were analyzed to explore if they occurred by chance in a random arrangement (null hypothesis) by considering the number of runs-distribution. P-values below 0.05 were considered as significant.

We found that in the present set of experiments all correlograms showed a non-random significance below 0.005. This implies that the segmental patterns of correlation between ongoing CDPs during the control state as well as during the different experimental conditions are the expression of non-random states of
functional connectivity between the neuronal ensembles involved in the generation of the CDPs.

Similitude tests: Tests of similarity between the histograms of the coefficients of correlation obtained from the whole set of all the combinations of the paired sets of CDPs or IFPs obtained from 5-10 min recordings (correlograms) were made to compare the effects exerted by the different experimental procedures. To this end we calculated the root mean-square significance (RMSS) between pairs of correlograms. Briefly, given two correlograms $X=\{x_i\}$ and $Y=\{y_i\}$, where $x_i$ and $y_i$ are the values on the $i$-th bin, corresponding to the correlation value between all the combinations of paired sets of CDPs. Significance between pairs of bins is defined as: $S_i = \frac{\hat{x}_i - \hat{y}_i}{\sqrt{\hat{s}^2_{x_i} + \hat{s}^2_{y_i}}}$, where $k = \frac{N_1}{N_2}$. $\hat{x}_i$, $\hat{s}^2_{x_i}$ and $\hat{y}_i$, $\hat{s}^2_{y_i}$ are the expected and variance values of the $i$-th bin and $N_1$, $N_2$ are the volumes of the correlograms (i.e. the sum of all their elements).

The RMSS values are calculated as follows: $RMSS = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{M} (S_i - \bar{S})^2}{M}}$

where $\bar{S}$ is the mean value of $S_i$. RMSS=0 indicates the same correlograms, RMSS=1 indicates that the correlograms are different, but they come from the same parent population and RMSS>>1 indicates that correlograms are completely different.

The advantage of this test respect other tests is that allows the analysis of gradual changes in the shape of the correlograms produced by different procedures along the same experiment instead of forcing edge threshold levels to assess similitude. We consider this feature as an advantage because in our experience, changes induced by capsaicin or lidocaine develop gradually and rather slowly. See Bityukov et al., (2013) for further details.

**Results**

Systemic lidocaine transiently reverses the action of capsaicin on the correlation between ongoing CDPs and IFPs.
These observations were undertaken to examine the effects of the intradermic injection of capsaicin on the segmental correlation between the ongoing CDPs as well as of the correlation of the IFPs with the CDPs and their modification by the systemic administration of lidocaine and spinalization.

Fig. 1A-F shows the effects of the injection of capsaicin into the left plantar paw, of the systemic administration of lidocaine and of spinalization on the ongoing potentials recorded in the left and right sides of the L5 and L6 segments with 4 out of the 12 ball electrodes placed on the cord dorsum, as well as on the intraspinal field potentials recorded in the superficial (S-IFPs) and deeper layers (D-IFPs) with two micropipettes introduced in the left side of the L6 segment (see insert in Fig. 1A).

It may be seen that by one hour after the injection of capsaicin, the CDPs as well as the IFPs showed in addition to the relative brief potentials some slow synchronized activity (Fig. 1B). The injection of lidocaine (5 mg/kg administered systemically over 30 min) transiently reduced the slow synchronized potentials leaving brief CDPs and IFPs that resembled those recorded before the injection of capsaicin (Fig. 1C). Thereafter, when most of the lidocaine effects were over, the slow synchronized activity was resumed (Fig. 1D), suggesting a long lasting central effect induced by capsaicin (see Rudomin & Hernández 2008).

At this stage, a high spinalization (T4) removed the slow synchronized potentials and increased the frequency of the brief CDPs and IFPs (Fig. 1E). After spinalization, a second injection of lidocaine had minor effects on these potentials (Fig. 1F; see below).

Fig. 1G displays the time course of the changes produced by capsaicin, lidocaine and spinalization on the segmental correlation between the different combinations of paired sets of CDPs recorded with the whole set of 12 electrodes (66 in this case). The coefficients of correlation between the paired sets of CDPs obtained from a 10 min control recording period (Control 0) were arranged in descending order, displayed vertically and colored according to their magnitude (see scale). The coefficients obtained from subsequent 10 min non-overlapping
recordings were displayed \textit{keeping the same order} that of the Control 0 coefficients. It may be seen that after the intradermic injection of capsaicin, the correlation between the paired sets of CDPs was briefly reduced and then began to increase and became rather high by 70-90 min. At this time the injected footpad was clearly inflamed (see Rudomin & Hernández, 2008).

The systemic injection of lidocaine (Lidocaine 1 in Fig. 1G) transiently reduced the capsaicin-induced increase in correlation between the CDPs. This effect was already detectable during the first 10 min after lidocaine administration and became largest 20 to 30 min later. By 40-50 min after lidocaine, the correlation between the CDPs increased again and went above the pre-lidocaine levels. At that time, spinalization at T4 abruptly reduced the correlation between the ongoing CDPs that was further reduced, albeit slightly, by a second injection of lidocaine (Lidocaine 2).

Similar changes have been observed on the correlation of the S-IFPs and D-IFPs with the CDPs (Figure 1H-I). It thus seems that the changes in correlation between paired sets of CDPs reflect the changes in correlation between the spinal neuronal networks detected by the intraspinal recordings (see below).

**Segmental distribution of the changes in correlation**

\textit{Correlation between paired sets of CDPs:} We have assumed previously that the magnitude of the coefficients of correlation displayed by the paired sets of CDPs recorded from different segments reflects the strength of the functional connectivity between the neuronal ensembles receiving inputs from different parts of the hindlimb (Chávez \textit{et al.}, 2012).

To disclose the spatial (segmental) changes induced by capsaicin, lidocaine and spinalization on the correlation between the CDPs, the coefficients obtained from all the combinations of the paired sets of CDPs during a 10 min control recording period (Control 0) were plotted as horizontal bars, displayed in descending order (correlograms) and separated in 5 ranges according to their magnitude, each with a different color (see Fig. 2A). Thereafter, the segmental
location of the paired sets of CDPs *in each range* was indicated in a spinal cord diagram with the corresponding colored lines joining the recording sites (Fig. 2 A1-A4).

It may be seen in Fig. 2A1 that the highest control coefficients of correlation were displayed by paired sets of CDPs recorded from adjacent sites (black lines), while the coefficients in lower ranges (red to green lines) were displayed by paired sets of CDPs located in more distant segments in the same and in opposite sides of the spinal cord (Fig. 2 A2-A4). This distribution is consistent with the proposal of a longitudinally bilaterally distributed set of interconnected neuronal populations (Chávez *et al.*, 2012; Contreras-Hernández *et al.*, 2015).

Quite interestingly, 70-80 min after the injection of capsaicin there was a significant increase in the correlation between the crossed CDPs generated in nearby segments (Fig. 2B1) and a concurrent reduction in the correlation between the more distant sets of CDPs (Fig. 2 B2 and B3). 10-20 min after the systemic injection of lidocaine, the effect of capsaicin on the correlation between the CDPs was reversed (Fig. 2 C1 C4), and their segmental distribution resembled the control distribution as assessed by their relatively low RMSS (0.31).

The effect of lidocaine was over by 80-90 min after the injection (Fig. 2 D1-D4) and the spatial distribution of the correlation between the CDPs again resembled that induced by capsaicin before the administration of this local anesthetic (RMSS=0.39). Spinalization also reduced the correlation, particularly that displayed by the crossed sets of CDPs (Fig. 2 E1-E4). The subsequent injection of lidocaine (20-30 min) had a small effect on the magnitude (RMSS=0.29) and segmental distribution of the correlation (Fig. 2 F1-F4).

**Correlation between IFPs and CDPs:** We expanded our observations on the correlated activity between the paired sets of CDPs to study the concurrent changes induced by capsaicin, lidocaine and spinalization on the correlation between the superficial and deep IFPs and the CDPs.
Under control conditions (Fig. 3A) the S-IFPs showed a weak correlation with
the CDPs that was highest in segment L6cL. In contrast, as shown in Fig. 3G, the
D-IFPs not only showed a higher correlation with the CDPs generated in L6cL (site
of electrode insertion) but were also correlated with the CDPs generated in
neighboring segments, including those in the opposite (right) side.

As described for the CDPs, 70-80 min after the injection of capsaicin the
correlation between both IFPs and CDPs was also increased in both sides of the
spinal cord. It was particularly stronger between the D-IFPs (recorded in laminae
III-V) and the CDPs (Fig. 3B and H). A similar early (10 min) and late (80-90 min)
effect of lidocaine occurred on the correlation patterns between the S-IFPs and the
D-IFPs with the CDPs (Fig. 3C,D and Fig. 3I,J). They now resembled the control
and capsaicin-induced patterns, respectively (see the RMSS values in figure).

Spinalization reduced the correlation between the IFPs and CDPs, but was still
larger between the D-IFPs and the CDPs recorded in the left side (Fig. 3E and K).
The effects on the correlation obtained 20-30 min after a second injection of
lidocaine were rather small (RMSS= 0.23 and 0.27; Fig. 3F, L).

Altogether the above set of observations indicates that the effects of capsaicin
and lidocaine on the correlation between the ongoing CDPs and between them and
the IFPs are exerted not only on the temporal but also on the spatial (segmental)
domain and that supraspinal influences contribute to the generation and
modulation of the observed patterns of segmental connectivity between the
populations of dorsal horn neurones in both sides of the spinal cord.

**Differential action of capsaicin on the neuronal ensembles generating the
CDPs**

When plotting the control coefficients against the correlation coefficients obtained
under different experimental procedures a different kind of information emerged
that was not evident by just observing the changes in the correlograms.
Fig. 4A shows that the coefficients of correlation between the paired sets of CDPs obtained 0-10 min after the injection of capsaicin were still similar to the control 0 coefficients. However, by 40-50 min (Fig. 4B), these coefficients became separated in two distinct clusters and remained so for 20 min more (Fig. 4C), suggesting a relatively stable configuration of neuronal connectivity as assessed by the RMSS of 0.20 and the ANCOVA Ps values.

The two cluster arrangement induced by capsaicin was temporarily reverted by the systemic administration of lidocaine giving rise to a single cluster that remained practically unchanged for half an hour (RMSS=0.15 and Ps>0.05; Fig. 4D and E). Again, as the effect of lidocaine faded, the coefficients of correlation became assembled in two separate clusters that remained stable during half an hour (RMSS=0.30 and Ps >0.05; Fig. 4F and G). After spinalization they merged into a single cluster (Fig. 4H). A second injection of lidocaine reduced, albeit slightly, the correlation between the CDPs that still remained grouped into a single cluster (RMSS= 0.29; Fig. 4I).

Quite interestingly, we found that capsaicin also separated in two clusters the coefficients of correlation between the IFPs and the CDPs, that were reverted to a single cluster after lidocaine, as well as after spinalization performed once the action of lidocaine was over (Fig. 4J-R).

The two cluster arrangement induced by capsaicin was a rather unexpected finding and led to the question on its possible functional meaning. It clearly suggests a differential action on the neuronal ensembles involved in the generation of the CDPs and IFPs. To this end it seemed important to determine, in the first place, if there were any differences in the segmental location of the paired sets of potentials included in each of the two clusters. In this regard the data depicted in Fig. 3A-D provide part of the required information. They show that the major increase in correlation was displayed by the S-IFPs and D-IFPs versus the CDPs recorded in the caudal region of the L6 and rostral region of the L7 segments in both sides (L6cL, L6cR, L7rL, L7rR). These coefficients of correlation would contribute to the C2 cluster, Fig. 4C. The coefficients of correlation of the S-IFPs
and D-IFPs with the CDPs generated in the other, more distant segments (L6rL, L6rR, L5cL, L5rL) would contribute to the C1 cluster. It should be noted that the L6cL and L7rL segments receive most of the nociceptive inputs generated by the injection of capsaicin (see Rudomin and Hernández 2008). Additional features of the capsaicin-induced separation of the coefficients of correlation in two clusters and their reversal by lidocaine are examined in the Discussion.

**Consistency of effects of capsaicin and lidocaine in other preparations.**

The data depicted in Figs. 1-4 were obtained from the same experiment. It thus seemed necessary to examine the effects of capsaicin and lidocaine on the segmental correlation between paired sets of CDPs in other preparations with intact neuroaxis. Fig. 5 summarizes the changes in correlation produced by capsaicin and lidocaine observed in other 3 experiments and Fig. 11 provides data from another experiment. As expected, the control correlograms were different in each experiment probably because of differences in the initial state of the preparation (e.g., anesthetic level). Yet, the overall effects of capsaicin and lidocaine were similar to those observed in the experiment of Figures 1-4. Namely, the intradermal injection of capsaicin produced a structured increase in the correlation between the paired sets of CDPs and this effect was transiently reversed following the systemic injection of lidocaine. The changes in the correlograms produced by the different procedures were validated with the similarity tests described above (see Figures).

In the experiment of Fig. 5A, we asked the question on the extent to which lidocaine would be able to revert the effects of capsaicin injected several hours before, at a time when according to Bonin and De Koninck (2014) there would be already a memory consolidation of the effects produced by the nociceptive stimulus. We found that the capsaicin-induced increase in correlation persisted for at least 4 hours and that at that time the systemic injection of lidocaine reduced very effectively the correlation between the CDPs for about 30 min and was practically over by 90 min.
In the experiment of Fig. 5B, the control coefficients of correlation between the CDPs were relatively high, but even so, after capsaicin there was a significant increase in the correlation, mostly between the least correlated sets of paired CDPs. This effect was transiently reverted 20 min after the administration of lidocaine. At this stage spinalization had rather mild effects on the correlation. Yet the configuration of the coefficients of correlation resembled that attained during capsaicin (RMSS=0.22).

The experiment of Fig. 5C is interesting because the control coefficients of correlation already showed a mild separation in two clusters. Capsaicin increased the correlation in the cluster comprising the weakly correlated CDPs, practically without affecting the other cluster. This effect was also temporarily reverted by lidocaine.

**Changes in power spectra and coherence**

Analysis of the changes in power spectra and coherence of neuronal activity during motor and cognitive processes, as well as during chronic pain, have provided relevant clues on the frequency dependence of the network activity in a variety of brain structures (see Kocsis & Vertes, 1992; Davis et al., 1998; Sarnthein et al., 2003; Leblanc et al., 2014). This raised the question on the extent to which the nociceptive-induced changes in correlation between CDPs and IFPs described in the previous section were also associated with changes in power spectra and coherence of the CDPs.

**Power Spectra:** Fig. 6A displays the power spectra of the CDPs recorded from the caudal region in both sides of the L6 segment (L6cL, black traces and L6cR, blue traces) in the same experiment as that of Figs.1-4. It may be seen that 10-20 min after capsaicin (Fig. 6B) there was a clear increase in the power spectra of the CDPs in the low frequency range (1.5-4.5 Hz). This effect became largest by 80-90 min after the injection and was stronger on the CDPs recorded in the left (injected side) than in the right side of the spinal cord (Fig. 6C). As shown by the normalized traces in Fig. 6H, at that time capsaicin reduced the high frequency components of the power spectra.
10 to 20 minutes after the systemic administration of lidocaine, the amplitude of the power spectra was reduced and nearly recovered its pre-capsaicin values (Figs. 6D; see also normalized traces in Fig. 6I). This effect was short lasting and was over by one hour after the injection (Fig. 6E). At that time the frequency components of the power spectra were rather similar to those displayed during capsaicin (Fig. 6J). Spinalization reduced the lower frequency and increased the higher frequency components of the power spectra (Fig. 6F and 6K). A second injection of lidocaine had practically no effect on the power spectra throughout the whole frequency range (Fig. 6G and L).

The changes in power spectra produced by capsaicin and lidocaine were not restricted to one segment but comprised the whole lumbar segments in both sides of the spinal cord as illustrated in Fig. 6M-Q. Soon after the injection of capsaicin (Fig. 6N) there was a clear increase in the power spectra in the left side of the spinal cord (injection site), particularly in the rostral and caudal regions of the L6 segment. Later on, the increase in the power spectra expanded bilaterally and included the more rostral spinal segments, but even then was somewhat larger in the left than in the right side (Fig. 6O; see also Fig. 6E). The capsaicin-induced increase of the power spectra was very effectively counteracted by the systemic injection of lidocaine. This effect started around 10-20 min after the injection (Fig. 6P) and was over about one hour later (Fig. 6Q). Spinalization reduced the magnitude and segmental spread of the power spectra, particularly in the low frequency range, while at the same time increased the high frequency components (Fig. 6R). This effect was temporarily and mildly reverted by a second injection of lidocaine (Fig. 6S).

Coherence: Although the most significant effects of capsaicin and lidocaine on the power spectra of the CDPs occur in the low frequency range, they still provide limited information pertaining the frequency domains that underlie the overall changes in correlation described in the previous sections. Therefore, we examined the changes produced by capsaicin, lidocaine and spinalization on the frequency dependence of correlation. That is, on the coherence between CDPs.
Figure 6T to W discloses the effect of capsaicin and lidocaine on the coherence between the ongoing CDPs in four different frequency ranges (1.5-2.5, 3.5-4.5, 9-10 and 17.5-18.5 Hz). These frequencies correspond to the rising phase, peak and the falling phase of the power spectra (see red arrows and gray bars in Fig. 6A). Capsaicin increased the coherence, mostly in the low and intermediate frequency range (i.e., 1.5-2.5, 3.5-4.5 Hz and 9.0-10 Hz, Fig. 6T-V) and had clearly smaller effect at higher frequencies (above 17.5 Hz, Fig.6W).

As it was found for the overall correlations depicted in Fig. 1G, the systemic injection of lidocaine temporarily counteracted the effects of capsaicin on coherence in all the frequency ranges. Spinalization also reduced the coherence, particularly in the low range of frequencies (1.5-4.5 Hz). The second dose of lidocaine appeared to have a small effect, if any, on the low frequency components of the coherence, despite the clear reduction in the power spectra (see below).

In summary, analysis of effects of capsaicin on the power spectra of the CDPs recorded in each segment further indicates that the activity generated in the rostral and caudal regions of the left L6 segment is particularly affected. Coherence measurements show in addition that the stronger effects of capsaicin on correlation occur in the low frequency range, just when the power spectra attain their maximal amplitude. Similar effects were seen in the other 3 experiments included in Fig. 5 (not illustrated). The consequences of the effects of capsaicin and lidocaine on both power spectra and coherence for nociceptive responses will be further considered in the Discussion.

**Effects of capsaicin and lidocaine on acute spinalized preparations**

*Effects on correlation between paired sets of CDPs:* There is a wealth of evidence pertaining the modulation of spinal neuronal activity exerted by supraspinal pathways in response to intense and prolonged nociceptive stimulation (Porreca *et al.*, 2002; Vanegas & Schaible 2004; Heinricher *et al.*, 2009; Brink *et al.*, 2012).
As we have shown in the previous sections, the increased correlation between CDPs seen once the action of lidocaine was over became largely attenuated by an acute high spinal transection (see Fig. 1). This finding already indicated that the maintenance of the effects induced by capsaicin on the correlation between the CDPs was under supraspinal control. Yet, it raised the question on whether supraspinal influences were also required for the establishment of the effects of capsaicin and lidocaine, and whether this process could be prevented by previous spinalization. Such possibility might be anticipated from the findings of Urban & Gebhart (1999), who showed that spinal cord transection prevented the development of secondary, but not of primary mechanical and/or thermal hyperalgesia induced by topical mustard oil application, carrageenan inflammation or nerve section.

The raw recordings displayed in Fig. 7A and B show that spinalization reduced the slow synchronized CDPs and increased the frequency of the brief potentials recorded in the L5 and L6 segments. In contrast with what has been observed in the preparations with intact neuraxis, capsaicin applied after spinalization slightly increased the frequency of the fast components of the CDPs (Fig. 7C; see also Fig. 10A), an effect that was transiently reduced by lidocaine (Fig. 7D and E).

Fig. 7F shows that before spinalization the control coefficients of correlation of the paired sets of CDPs had a rather stable configuration that was changed after spinalization to another, also stable configuration. Following the intradermal injection of capsaicin there was a small reduction in the correlation, but later on, the distribution of the coefficients of correlation resembled that displayed before capsaicin and appeared to be slightly affected by the subsequent administration of lidocaine. Equivalent behavior was seen for the correlation between the IFPs (both superficial and deep) and the CDPs (Fig. 7G-H). In other words, after spinalization, neither capsaicin nor lidocaine appeared to induce major changes on the patterns of correlation between the ongoing CDPs and IFPs.

**Segmental distribution of the correlation**
The data depicted in Figure 8A-E show that in contrast with what has been observed in the preparation with intact neuroaxis, capsaicin and lidocaine had minor effects on the spatial (segmental) distribution of the correlation between the spontaneous CDPs when tested after spinalization. This was particularly clear for the CDPs recorded from neighboring pairs exhibiting the highest coefficients of correlation (above 0.8; Fig. 8B1-E1), but was also seen on pairs with coefficients in the 0.6-0.8 range (Fig. 8B2-E2) as well as in the lower ranges (see panels B3-E3, B4-E4 and B5-E5). It should be noted that the effects of spinalization were particularly notorious for the sets of crossed CDPs whose correlation was reduced by this procedure (compare Fig. 8A2 with Fig 8B2), a finding that suggests that crossed connectivity between dorsal horn neuronal populations is particularly affected by supraspinal influences.

Plotting the coefficients of correlation obtained during a given procedure against the control coefficients showed very clearly that spinalization led to the separation of the coefficients in two distinct clusters (Fig. 8F and G) resembling the effect of capsaicin observed in some experiments with intact neuroaxis (see Fig. 4). However in this case the effect of capsaicin and lidocaine on both clusters was rather mild (Fig. 8H-J), as it could be assessed by the relatively small changes in the slope of best linear fits of the coefficients (Ps>0.05). Yet, the RMSS values between the corresponding correlograms were of 0.4, 0.41 and 0.56, respectively, suggesting a modest resemblance between them.

Effects of capsaicin and lidocaine in other experiments
In addition to the experiment described above we examined the effects of capsaicin and lidocaine applied after acute spinalization in three additional experiments (two in Fig. 9 and one in Fig. 12). In general the results obtained agreed with those described for the experiment illustrated in Figs. 7-8. Namely, in the spinal preparation, capsaicin as well as lidocaine had rather weak effects on the intrasegmental correlation between the ongoing CDPs.

The experiment depicted in Fig. 9A is interesting because the control coefficients of correlation were rather high for all paired sets of CDPs.
Nevertheless, 30 min after spinalization there was an overall reduction in the correlation that was barely affected 20-60 min after capsaicin. The systemic injection of lidocaine (10-55 min) increased the variance of the coefficients, but even so the overall changes were not significantly different from those attained before the administration of this local anesthetic, as it could be verified by the coefficients of similarity (see Figure). As shown in the lower set of graphs, after spinalization the slopes of the best linear fits of the coefficients also remained essentially the same after capsaicin and lidocaine (Ps> 0.05).

Fig. 9B shows data from another experiment where spinalization also reduced the correlation between the CDPs and the subsequent effects of capsaicin and lidocaine were rather small. Quite interestingly, as indicated by the low coefficients of similarity, the capsaicin-induced correlograms were barely affected 20, 40 and 55 min after the systemic injection of lidocaine (RMSS= 0.24, 0.18 and 0.20, respectively). This, together with the finding that all the best linear fits had a Ps>0.05 suggests further that after spinalization the neuronal populations generating the CDPs had rather stable structured patterns of connectivity that were barely affected by capsaicin and lidocaine.

Changes in power spectra and coherence in previously spinalized preparations

Power spectra: The relatively small effects of capsaicin and lidocaine on the correlation between the CDPs observed in the spinal preparations displayed in Figs. 7 and 8 prompted us to examine the effects on their power spectra.

Spinalization reduced the power spectra in the low frequency range to about one third of control while at the same time slightly increased the high frequency components (Fig. 10A, B). In contrast with what has been observed in the preparation with intact neuraxis (Fig. 6A-C), after spinalization capsaicin produced a relatively small increase in the power spectra of the CDPs recorded in the L6rL segment, basically without affecting the power spectra of the CDPs recorded in the
right side (Fig. 10C), while lidocaine slightly and transiently reduced the power spectra of the CDPs recorded in both sides (Fig. 10D-F).

Figures 10G-L illustrate the segmental distribution of the power spectra of the CDPs after spinalization, capsaicin and lidocaine. They show that spinalization reduced the magnitude of the power spectra in the low frequency range and at the same time increased the spatial (segmental) spread of the power spectra in the higher frequencies, particularly in the left side (Fig. 10H), suggesting that descending influences play a relevant role in the shaping (and spatial focusing) of the segmental distribution of neuronal connectivity. It should be noted that the effects of capsaicin were relatively small (Fig. 10I) and included networks located farther away from the primary projections of the capsaicin-activated afferents. This effect was partly reversed by lidocaine, but never as it did in the preparation with intact neuroaxis (Fig. 10J-L).

Coherence: The largest changes in coherence produced by spinalization were observed in the low frequency range (2.5-5.0 Hz; Fig. 10M and N), but even within that range the changes produced by capsaicin and lidocaine were rather small. In the 9.5-10.5 Hz range capsaicin appeared to slightly reduce the correlation (Fig. 10O) and had almost no effects in the higher ranges (18.0-19.0 Hz; Fig. 10P). Similar results were observed for the correlation and coherence of the S-IFPs and D-IFPs with the CDPs recorded in this experiment (not illustrated).

Altogether this set of observations indicates that after acute spinalization the action of capsaicin and lidocaine on the spinal networks was relatively weak in comparison with that observed in preparations with intact neuroaxis. These findings indicate that supraspinal influences are required not only for the maintenance of the effects of capsaicin and lidocaine on the correlation between the CDPs, but also for their establishment.

Effects of capsaicin and lidocaine on the responses evoked by mechanical stimulation of the skin
Preparations with intact neuroaxis: One of the questions that emerged from the analysis of the effects of capsaicin and lidocaine on the correlation between paired sets of ongoing CDPs is the extent to which these changes had any relation with the development of secondary hyperalgesia and allodynia induced by intense and prolonged nociceptive stimulation. To this end, we examined in preparations with intact neuroaxis the effects of the intradermic injection of capsaicin and of the subsequent systemic administration of lidocaine on the spinal responses evoked by light mechanical stimulation of the skin delivered close and distant to the site of capsaicin injection (sites showing primary and secondary hyperalgesia; see Treede et al., 1992; Burstein et al., 2010; Sang et al., 1996) and how these changes were related to alterations in the patterns of segmental correlation between the ongoing CDPs.

In these experiments the recordings of the ongoing CDPs were briefly interrupted to stimulate the skin by means of a pair of small glass tubes connected to a device that was able to provide mechanical stimulation by delivering air puffs of controlled duration and intensity and resumed after these tests were completed (see Methods).

Figure 11A depicts the CDPs evoked in the rostral and caudal regions of the left L5 and L6 segments by mechanical stimulation of the skin with an air puff applied close to the capsaicin injection site. That is, on the region of primary hyperalgesia (Site 1). The intradermic injection of capsaicin increased both the amplitude and area of the CDPs evoked by mechanical stimulation of the skin at this site. This effect was already evident 20 min after the injection of capsaicin and became largest 75 min after the injection. At that time the amplitude of the evoked responses was increased between 128 and 148% (see 2nd column in Fig. 11A).

40 min after the injection of lidocaine the responses recorded in the L6 as well as in the rostral region of the L5 segment were further increased (144-163%), in contrast with the responses recorded in the L5cL that were slightly reduced (from 147 to 134%; 3rd column in Fig. 11A). Later on (60-85 min) the evoked responses
remained facilitated (fourth and fifth columns), suggesting a prolonged effect of capsaicin that was not reversed by lidocaine.

The effect of capsaicin and lidocaine on the segmental distribution of the CDPs produced by mechanical stimulation of the region of secondary hyperalgesia (Site 2) are illustrated in Fig. 11B. The control responses produced by the mechanical stimulus were clearly smaller than those produced by stimulation in the primary zone (see calibration bar), but even so, those recorded in the L5 segments and in the rostral region of L6 segment (L6rL) were clearly increased 75 min after the injection of capsaicin (between 109-154%; see 2nd column in Fig. 11B).

In contrast with the lack of effects of lidocaine on the capsaicin-facilitated responses produced by stimulation at site 1, 40 min after the injection of lidocaine, the amplitude of the responses recorded in the rostral and caudal region of the L5 segment and in the rostral region of the L6 segment was reduced and went below the control amplitudes (99, 78 and 82% respectively; 3rd column in Fig. 11B). By 60-85 min the effects of lidocaine were over (4th and 5th columns in Fig. 11B).

The capsaicin-induced separation of the coefficients of correlation between the CDPs in two distinct clusters coincided in time with the increase of the CDPs evoked by mechanical stimulation of the skin, both at sites 1 and 2 (Fig. 11C, D, G and H). An unexpected and quite interesting finding was that the lidocaine-induced merging of the coefficients in one cluster (Fig. 11E, I) occurred during the reversion of the capsaicin-induced facilitation of the CDPs evoked by mechanical stimulation at site 2. Furthermore, the subsequent increase in the mechanically evoked responses observed after the lidocaine effects were over, again coincided with the separation of the coefficients in two clusters (Fig. 11F, J) suggesting a persistent action of capsaicin.

**Effects in previously spinalized preparations**: The observations described in Figs. 7-10 already indicated that in previously spinalized preparations capsaicin and lidocaine had rather small effects on the correlation between the ongoing CDPs. It thus seemed important to examine the effects of these procedures on the responses evoked after spinalization by mechanical stimulation of the skin.
The first column in Fig. 12A shows the responses recorded in several spinal segments following a mechanical stimulus applied rather close to the site of the injection of capsaicin in the footpad (Site 1). The largest responses were generated in the caudal region of the left L6 segment (L6cL) and in the rostral part of the L7 segment (not illustrated). After spinalization the responses recorded in L6cL following tactile stimulation were facilitated to 116% relative to control and remained about the same in the other segments (2nd column in Fig. 12A). 65 min after the intradermic injection of capsaicin in the already spinalized preparation, the amplitude of the evoked responses recorded in all segments was clearly smaller (from 58 to 77% relative to the amplitude of the responses recorded after spinalization; see 3rd column Fig. 12A) and increased again after lidocaine (4th and 5th columns in Fig. 12A).

After spinalization, the responses produced in segments L5 and L6 by mechanical stimulation applied to the region of secondary hyperalgesia (Site 2) showed relatively small changes when tested 65 min after capsaicin except in segment L5cL that were reduced to 83% (compare 2nd and 3rd columns in Fig. 12B). The subsequent injection of lidocaine slightly reduced the responses evoked in the L5 segment and had a rather small effect on the responses evoked in the L6 segment (4th and 5th columns in Fig. 12B).

As in Fig. 8G, spinalization separated the coefficients of correlation in two clusters (Fig. 12C, D, H and I). 70-75 min after capsaicin there was a clear reduction in the correlation of the paired set of CDPs included in cluster C2, practically without affecting the correlation between the CDPs included in cluster C1 (Fig. 12 E and J). The slopes of the best fits of the C1 and C2 clusters obtained after capsaicin remained basically the same 15-20 min and 40-45 min after lidocaine (Ps>0.05; Fig. 12F,G,K,L), even though the correlograms obtained after capsaicin (Fig. 12E) and Lidocaine 15-20min (Fig. 12F) were somewhat different (RMSS=0.74).

In summary, these observations indicate that the effects of capsaicin and lidocaine on the segmental correlation between paired sets of ongoing CDPs as...
well as on the CDPs evoked by mechanical stimulation of the skin in the region of secondary hyperalgesia are relatively small when these tests are performed in preparations previously devoid of supraspinal influences.

Discussion

The present observations have shown a) that the intradermic injection of capsaicin in the left hind paw increases the coefficients of correlation between the ongoing cord dorsum potentials simultaneously recorded from different lumbar spinal segments as well as their correlation with the superficial and deep intraspinal field potentials, b) the effects of capsaicin on these correlations are transiently counteracted by the systemic administration of a small dose of lidocaine, c) the effects of capsaicin and lidocaine on the correlation between CDPs as well as on the cord dorsum responses evoked by mechanical stimulation of the skin in the region of secondary hyperalgesia are greatly attenuated when tested in previously spinalized preparations.

Altogether the present findings are taken as an indication that capsaicin induces a structured, non-random (see Methods) supraspinally mediated reorganization of the functional connectivity between the spinal neuronal networks involved in the generation of the ongoing CDPs that is transiently reversed by lidocaine. Similar increases in correlation between CDPs as those exerted by capsaicin and lidocaine have been observed with skin lesions produced by localized burning (unpublished observations).

The action of Capsaicin and lidocaine on neuronal correlation

The intradermic injection of capsaicin induces inflammatory nociception through the activation of the VR1 receptors in the A\textalpha and C fibres innervating the affected skin areas and increases their synaptic effectiveness (Hui et al., 2003) as well as mechanical hyperalgesia in humans (Wallace et al., 1997; Holthusen et al., 2000). The timing of the long lasting increase in correlation and coherence between cord dorsum potentials induced by intradermal capsaicin suggests that this effect is not related to the initial short lasting activation of C-fiber nociceptors that follows the
intradermic injection (Wall & Woolf, 1984; Cook et al., 1987), but to enduring central influences, since the maximum effects of capsaicin are seen about 90 minutes after the intradermic injection, while the capsaicin-induced increase in the C fiber activity lasts less than 60 minutes and is followed by inhibition (Galhardo et al., 2002). Moreover, after the central effect of capsaicin has been established, local anesthesia of the inflamed paw produced no substantial changes on the capsaicin-induced changes in correlation between CDPs (unpublished observations).

The slight reduction in correlation observed during the first 10 minutes after the injection of capsaicin shown in Fig. 1G could be due to a short-lasting capsaicin induced inhibition of the synaptic actions of the nociceptive afferents in the dorsal horn (Yanga et al., 1999). It is also possible that the desynchronized barrage of sensory input produced by this nociceptive stimulus temporarily counteracts the correlation between CDPs (see Inbar et al., 1979).

Pertaining the effect of lidocaine, Puig & Sorkin (1996) showed that the effects of systemic injection of lidocaine were not related to blockade of impulse conduction in low threshold tactile afferents, although they could silence the Aδ and C fibres already activated by the nociceptive stimulus. These findings agree with our observation that the systemic administration of a low dose of lidocaine had no anesthetic effect on the peripheral and intraspinal terminals of low threshold afferents since it did not depress the cord dorsum responses produced by mechanical stimulation of the skin at the site of the primary hyperalgesia produced by the injection of capsaicin (Fig. 11A).

Alternatively, lidocaine could have a direct effect on the capsaicin-activated nociceptive afferents as well as on the spinal neurones affected by capsaicin. It could also act as an anesthetic onto the supraspinal networks and reduce their influence on the spinal neuronal activity in response to the nociceptive stimuli. Although these possibilities are not mutually exclusive, a relevant supraspinal action is supported by the finding that the capsaicin-induced increase in the correlation between the spinal networks and its temporal reversal by lidocaine are
minimal when capsaicin and lidocaine are administered in previously spinalized preparations (Figs. 7-10 and Fig. 12; for review see Urban & Gebhart 1999).

We suggest that the intradermic injection of capsaicin activates ascending nociceptive pathways (most likely via the lateral spinothalamic pathway) that trigger supraspinally mediated changes. The state of central sensitization induced by the nociceptive stimulus would be transiently curtailed by lidocaine acting most likely on supraspinal neurones in the periaqueductal gray (PAG) which is a relay of ascending and descending nociceptive pathways, as well as in the ventromedial medulla (RVM) and raphe nuclei, among others (see Willis, 1985; Jones & Gebhart, 1987; Zhuo & Gebhart, 1997; Urban & Gebhart, 1999; Fields 2000; Millan, 2002; Suzuki and Dickenson, 2005).

**Nociceptive-induced coupling between supraspinal and spinal activity?**

There is a wealth of information showing that many central structures display delta and theta waves during nociception both in animal models (Miletic & Coffield, 1989; Kocsis & Vertes, 1992; Leblanc et al., 2014) and in humans under different neurological conditions as well as during neuropathic pain (Sarnthein & Jeanmonod, 2008). Our data indicate that in the preparations with intact neuroaxis the capsaicin-induced increase in coherence between spinal neuronal activity also occurs within this range, that is also the range of activity observed in spinalized preparations, even before the injection of capsaicin.

It is tempting to suggest that spinal and supraspinal oscillations at similar frequency rates provide the temporal structure that allows them to enter in resonance (Fries, 2005), a feature of relevance for the shaping of the nociceptive message (Katz et al., 1999; Averbeck & Lee., 2004; Shyu & Vogt, 2009) and for pain perception (Burstein et al., 2010).

**Supraspinal control of allodynia and secondary hyperalgesia**

Our observations indicate that during the state of central sensitization induced by capsaicin there is a significant increase in the correlated activity of superficial and deep IFPs with the CDPs (Fig. 3). This effect occurs on both sides of the
spinal cord, is larger between the deep IFPs (laminae III-V) and CDPs than between the superficial IFPs (laminae I and II) and CDPs at the segmental level of entrance of nociceptive information in the ipsilateral (left) side, and gradually expands in a rostral and caudal direction on both sides of the cord.

This fits very well with the observations of Schoffnegger et al., (2008) who showed that allodynia (pain elicited by innocuous stimuli), is associated with a synaptically mediated spread of excitation from deep intraspinal areas of termination of Aβ fibers (laminae III-V) to the superficial dorsal horn (laminae I and II; see also Willis & Coggeshall, 2004), and partly explains the finding of Levine et al., (1985) who showed in rats that capsaicin injected in one hindlimb induced hyperalgesia and edema on both ipsi and contrateral hindlimbs, possibly through a supraspinal neural action.

These findings, together with the observation that the capsaicin-induced increase in the amplitude of the CDPs produced by mechanical stimulation of the skin in the region of secondary hyperalgesia occurred in association with a state of increased correlation between CDPs, while the reduction of the capsaicin-induced facilitation of the evoked potentials that followed the administration of lidocaine happened during the state of decreased correlation between CDPs (Fig. 11), are compatible with a causal relation between the changes in correlation of the CDP-generating neuronal ensembles and the changes in the responses produced by mechanical stimulation of the skin. An additional argument supporting this proposal is that both require the connection of the spinal neuronal networks with supraspinal structures (Fig. 12).

Some functional implications

The present set of observations suggests that the changes in functional connectivity between spinal neurones produced by acute nociceptive stimulation are the expression of the dynamic response of a system in conditions of criticality in which descending control is able to shift the neuronal networks to a different functional state. That is, of a self-organized system in a critical state where minor
disturbances in neuronal synchronization may lead to events way out of balance (Bak, 1997; Parker & Srivastava, 2013; Haimovici et al., 2013, Hesse & Gross, 2014; Massobrio et al., 2015).

The tempering of this state by systemic lidocaine correlates well with clinical observations in humans and provides further evidence that descending supraspinal influences operating on the spinal cord are part of the process of central sensitization which persists once it has been established (pain memory?; see Vera-Portocarrero et al., 2006; Smith et al., 2002; Bee & Dickenson 2007, 2008).

One important question that remains to be addressed is how the observed effects of capsaicin and lidocaine are brought about. Are the capsaicin induced changes product of the activation of a limited repertoire of structured configurations of tightly coupled sets of neurones (modules?) (see Song et al., 2005; d’Avella & Bizzi, 2005) or else, are these configurations produced by graded changes in neuronal connectivity within the same distributed ensemble, as suggested by the observations of Contreras-Hernández et al., (2015).

Structured changes in synchronization between dorsal horn neurones appear to be an effective way to address information flow to specific neuronal networks (see also Abarbanel et al., 1996; Jiao, 2006; Womelsdorf et al., 2007). In fact, the recruitment of presynaptic inhibitory pathways during high levels of spontaneous dorsal horn neuronal synchronization described by Contreras-Hernández et al., (2015), could play a relevant role in the addressing of sensory information during secondary hyperalgesia and alldynia induced by nociceptive stimulation (see Cervero et al., 2003).

The present study provides important evidence regarding the overall changes in neuronal correlation during nociceptive stimulation but rather limited information on the concurrent changes in the connectivity of specific, functionally identified neuronal populations. Based on the assumption that the spontaneous CDPs are produced by the synchronous activation of specific populations of dorsal horn neurones (Manjarrez et al., 2000, 2003; Chávez et al., 2012), one possible approach to this problem would be to examine the changes induced by nociceptive
stimulation on the different types of spontaneous CDPs and relate them to a specific function as it was recently done by Contreras-Hernández et al. (2015).

To this end, we developed a machine learning procedure for the automatic selection of the ongoing CDPs according to their shape and amplitude (Martín et al., 2015). With this method the CDPs recorded in a particular experiment during different procedures could be reliably separated in different classes. We found that the classes comprising the smallest CDPs had higher probabilities of occurrence than those including the largest CDPs. We also found that capsaicin had a dual action on the CDPs. Namely, it reduced the probabilities of occurrence of some of the small CDP classes while at the same time increased the probabilities of occurrence of most of the largest CDP classes. These changes led to a different non-random configuration of the whole set of CDPs that was fully and temporarily reversed by lidocaine (Rudomin et al., 2012). These differential effects of capsaicin on the CDPs could also contribute to the assemblage of the coefficients of correlation in two distinct clusters (Figs. 3 and 4). The finding that spinalization also separates the coefficients in two classes (Fig. 8G) further suggests that the single cluster arrangement depends, to a great extent, on supraspinal influences that are disrupted by capsaicin.

To fully appreciate the functional implications of the supraspinal modulation of the effects of capsaicin on the different classes of CDPs it is necessary to examine the association of each class with a specific function (e.g. with the generation of DRPs and presynaptic inhibition), as well as their correlation with the activity of individual, functionally identified neurones (see Contreras-Hernández et al., 2015). A detailed characterization of the genetic identity of the neurones contributing to the different classes of CDPs could also contribute to this endeavor (see Zagoraiou et al., 2009; Goulding 2009; Fink et al., 2014).

A final point: Changes in the ongoing cord dorsum activity have been occasionally used to evaluate disorders in patients with peripheral nerve, root and spinal cord damage (Ertekin et al., 1983), to monitor changes in spinal cord activity during microsurgical sectioning of dorsal roots for pain, spasticity and hyperactive bladder (Sindou et al., 1994) and also to predict harmful spinal cord ischemia
during repair of thoracic or thoraco-abdominal aortic aneurysms (Stuhmeier et al.,
1993). We believe that information obtained from the changes in correlation
between ongoing CDPs may provide useful indicators of the functional states of the
spinal cord in humans under diverse normal and pathological situations.
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Figure Legends

Figure 1.- Systemic lidocaine reverses the capsaicin-induced increase in correlation between ongoing spinal cord activity. A-F, CDPs recorded from the L5 caudal and the L6 rostral segments in both sides and IFPs recorded at two different depths in the L6cL segment before and after capsaicin, lidocaine and spinalization, as indicated. Negativity is upward for CDPs and downward for the IFPs. The histological section on the left shows the intraspinal location of the IFP recording sites. G, changes produced by capsaicin, lidocaine and spinalization on the correlation between the paired sets of CDPs recorded with the ensemble of 12 electrodes placed along the L4-L7 segments on both sides of the spinal cord. The whole set of coefficients of correlation obtained during the 10 min Control 0 recording period is displayed in descending order as a vertical column. The coefficients of correlation obtained from 10 min non-overlapping recordings made at subsequent times are displayed keeping the same order as the Control 0 coefficients. Colors show magnitude of correlation (see scale). Arrows show time of capsaicin and lidocaine injections and of spinalization. H-I, equivalent displays of the coefficients of correlation of the S-IFPs and D-IFPs with the CDPs recorded from different segments, as indicated. See text for further explanations.

Figure 2.- The patterns of segmental correlation between CDPs are disrupted after the intradermic injection of capsaicin and temporarily restored by systemic lidocaine. A, horizontal display of the coefficients of correlation obtained from all the combinations between paired sets of the CDPs recorded during the control period ordered according to their magnitude and separated in 4 different ranges as shown by colors. A1-A4, spinal cord diagrams showing the segmental location of the paired sets of CDPs used to calculate the coefficients of correlation in each range. Lines indicate segmental location of CDP recording sites. B-B4, correlograms and segmental distribution of coefficients obtained from recordings made 70-80 min after the injection of capsaicin. Note in panel B1 increased correlation between CDPs recorded from neighboring segments. C-C4, the effects
of capsaicin are reversed 10-20 min after the systemic injection of lidocaine. D-D4, restoration of the effects of capsaicin 80-90 min after the injection of lidocaine. E-E4, spinalization removes the post-lidocaine increase in correlation. F-F4, after a second injection of lidocaine the segmental distribution of the coefficients of correlation resembles the configuration attained 10-20 min after the first administration of lidocaine. The coefficients of similarity (RMSS) between correlograms generated under different experimental conditions are indicated by the brackets. Red numbers denote correlograms with highest similarity. Same experiment as that of Fig. 1. Further explanations in text.

Figure 3.- Differential effects of capsaicin and lidocaine on the correlation of superficial and deep intraspinal fields with the CDPs recorded from different segments. The graphs with the horizontal bars display the coefficients of correlation arranged in descending order. The segmental distribution of these coefficients is shown in the right. In both graphs the colors indicate the magnitude of the correlation (see scale). Separate plots were made for the correlations of the S-IFPs and D-IFPs with the CDPs as indicated. Location of intraspinal electrodes is shown in Fig. 1. The brackets show the RMSS values between different pairs of correlograms. Numbers in red indicate denote the lowest RMSS values, suggesting similar distributions. Same experiment as that of Fig. 1 and 2. See text for further explanations.

Figure 4.- The differential effects of capsaicin on the functional connectivity between dorsal horn neurones are transiently reversed by lidocaine and suppressed by spinalization. Panels A-I show the graphs obtained by plotting the control coefficients of correlation between paired sets of CDPs (Control 0, abscissae) versus the coefficients obtained at different times before and during the action of capsaicin (A-C), after lidocaine (D-G), after spinalization (H) and after a second administration of lidocaine (I). Note that after capsaicin the coefficients of correlation were separated in two distinct clusters that persisted without substantial
changes until the injection of lidocaine transiently reverted the effects of capsaicin giving rise to a single cluster. After spinalization the post-lidocaine two-cluster arrangement of the coefficients changed to a single cluster. The RMSS similarity coefficients between the different correlograms as well as the ANCOVA p values for the C1 and C2 components are included in the figure. **J-R**, effects of capsaicin, lidocaine and spinalization on the correlation of the S-IFPS and D-IFPs with the CDPs. Data obtained from the same experiment as that of Fig. 1-3. See text for further details.

**Figure 5.** Consistency of effects on correlation between CDPs produced by capsaicin and lidocaine in preparations with intact neuraxis. **A, B and C**, data from 3 different experiments showing correlograms and graphs relating control coefficients of correlation versus effects produced by capsaicin and lidocaine as indicated. Note that despite the differences in the control correlograms in the three experiments, capsaicin increased the correlation between CDPs and lidocaine transiently reversed the effects of capsaicin. RSMM coefficients of similarity between different correlograms are indicated in the figure. Bars at the bottom show timing of the different procedures. See text for further details.

**Figure 6.** Systemic lidocaine transiently reverses the capsaicin-induced increase in power spectra and coherence between CDPs. **A-C**, power spectra of the CDPs recorded from segments L6cL (black traces) and L6cR (blue traces) before, 10-20 min and 80-90 min after the intradermic injection of capsaicin. **D, E** power spectra obtained from recordings made 10-20 min and 80-90 min after the systemic administration of lidocaine. **F**, 10-20 min after spinalization. **G**, second dose of lidocaine injected 60-70 min after spinalization. **H-L**, superposed traces of the normalized spectra of the L6cL CDPs allow comparison of the changes in the different frequency components produced by capsaicin, lidocaine and spinalization, as indicated (see colors). **M-S**, segmental distribution of the changes in power spectra produced by capsaicin, lidocaine and spinalization. Graphs show
frequency of power spectra versus segmental location of the recording sites. Frequency changes in left (L) and right (R) sides are plotted separately as mirror images (see abscissa). The colors indicate the magnitude of the power spectra in logarithmic scale (see calibration). Note the expansion of the capsaicin-induced spectral increase towards the more rostral segments and the transient suppression of this effect by lidocaine. **T-W**, changes in coherence between CDPs produced by the different experimental procedures in four frequency ranges as indicated (see red arrows and gray bars in control spectra displayed in A). Note that the capsaicin increase in coherence is largest in the low frequency range (1.5-4.5Hz). Same experiment as that of Figs.1 and 2. Further explanations in text.

**Figure 7.-** Supraspinal dependence of the effects of capsaicin and lidocaine on the correlation between ongoing CDPs and IFPs. Same format as that of Figure 1. **A-E**, raw recordings of the CDPs and IFPs obtained after spinalization, capsaicin and lidocaine, as indicated. **F**, vertical display of the coefficients of correlation obtained from sets of 5 min continuous recordings displayed taking as reference the distribution of the Control 0 coefficients. **G-H**, correlation of S-IFPs and D-IFPs with CDPs. Insert shows spinal location of IFP recording sites. See text for further explanations.

**Figure 8.-** The effects of capsaicin and lidocaine on the segmental distribution of the correlation between the CDPs are subjected to a supraspinal control. **A-E**, same format as that of Fig. 2. The effects of the different procedures are indicated in each panel. Note that after spinalization the segmental distribution of the coefficients of correlation was not significantly changed by capsaicin and lidocaine. The RMSS values between different correlograms are indicated. **F-J** graphs obtained by plotting the control coefficients of correlation between CDPs (Control 0, abscissae) versus the coefficients obtained at different times as indicated. Ps was >0.05 for both C1 and C2 in Spinal
10-15 min vs Cap 65-70 min, Cap 65-70 min vs Lido 15-20 min and Lido 15-20 min vs Lido 55-60 min. See text for further explanations.

**Figure 9.** Changes in correlation produced by capsaicin and lidocaine in previously spinalized preparations. A and B data from 2 different experiments showing correlograms and graphs relating control coefficients of correlation versus changes induced by different procedures as indicated. Same format as that of Fig. 5. Note that after spinalization, capsaicin and lidocaine had rather small effects on the correlation between CDPs. RMSS values between different correlograms, best linear fits and Ps values are indicated in the figures. Bars at the bottom show timing of the different procedures. See text for further details.

**Figure 10.** Spinalization greatly attenuates the effects of capsaicin and lidocaine on the power spectra and coherence between CDPs seen in preparations with intact neuroaxis. Same format as that of Fig. 6. A-F, changes in the power spectra of CDPs recorded from segments L6rL (black traces) and L6rR (blue traces) during several experimental procedures, as indicated. G-L, graphs showing frequency versus segmental location of the changes in power spectra produced by spinalization, capsaicin and lidocaine. Note that after spinalization, capsaicin slightly increases the power spectra in the low frequency range and that this effect was mildly reduced by lidocaine, particularly in the right side. Recordings of L7rR were not available. M-P, changes in coherence between CDPs produced by the different experimental procedures in four frequency ranges as indicated. Note that lidocaine has a rather weak action on the capsaicin changes induced after spinalization, particularly for frequencies above 9.5 Hz. Further explanations in text.

**Figure 11.** Systemic lidocaine transiently reverses the facilitation of the spinal responses evoked by mechanical stimulation in the region of secondary hyperalgesia as well as the capsaicin-induced disruption of correlation between CDPs. A, CDPs produced by mechanical stimulation of the
skin with an air puff applied close to the site of capsaicin injection (Site 1). B, same as A, following mechanical stimulation farther away from the capsaicin-injection site (35 mm), within the region of secondary hyperalgesia (Site 2). The numbers indicate percentage changes in peak amplitude of the mechanically evoked responses relative to the amplitude of the control responses. C-F changes in the coefficients of correlation between paired sets of CDPs produced by capsaicin and lidocaine at the indicated times. Numbers show the RMSS values between pairs of correlograms obtained at different times after capsaicin and lidocaine, as indicated. G-J, plots of the control 0 coefficients (abscissae) against the correlation coefficients obtained under the different experimental procedures (ordinates). The graphs H and J show that the separation between the two clusters observed 60-70 min after capsaicin was transiently reduced 30-40 min after lidocaine. At that time the correlogram resembled the control one (RMSS value 0.34). 50-60 min after lidocaine the coefficients were again distributed in two similar clusters resembling those displayed 60-70 min after capsaicin (Ps> 0.05 for both C1 and C2). Bar at the bottom shows timing of the different procedures. See text for further details.

Fig. 12.- After acute spinalization the effects of capsaicin and lidocaine on the responses produced by mechanical stimulation of the skin as well as on the correlation between CDPs are strongly attenuated. Same format as Fig. 11. A, Effects of spinalization, capsaicin and lidocaine on the CDPs recorded in the rostral and caudal regions of the L5 and L6 segments following tactile stimulation of the skin close to the site of capsaicin injection (Site 1, primary hyperalgesia). B, effects on CDPs evoked by mechanical stimulation away from the capsaicin-injection site (35 mm), within the region of secondary hyperalgesia (Site 2). The numbers indicate percentage changes in peak amplitude of the mechanically evoked responses relative to the amplitude of the responses produced after spinalization. C-G changes in the coefficients of correlation between CDPs produced by capsaicin and lidocaine at the indicated times. RMSS values between correlograms are shown. H-L, plots of the control 0 coefficients (abscissae) against
the correlation coefficients obtained under different experimental procedures (ordinates). Note that spinalization separated the coefficients in two clusters. Capsaicin slightly reduced the correlation between the paired sets of CDPs grouped in cluster C2, practically without affecting the correlation between CDPs in cluster C1. Lines show best linear fits. Ps >0.05 for C12 and C2 and Ps>0.05 for C1 in Spinal 30-35 min vs Cap 70-75 min, * Ps>0.05 for C1 and C2 in Cap 70-75 min vs Lido 15-20 min and Lido 15-20 min vs Lido 40-45 min. Bar at the bottom shows timing of the different procedures. See text for further explanations.
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