Analysis of the Optimum Gain of a High-Pass L-Matching Network for Rectennas
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Abstract: Rectennas, which mainly consist of an antenna, matching network, and rectifier, are used to harvest radiofrequency energy in order to power tiny sensor nodes, e.g., the nodes of the Internet of Things. This paper demonstrates for the first time, the existence of an optimum voltage gain for high-pass L-matching networks used in rectennas by deriving an analytical expression. The optimum gain is that which leads to maximum power efficiency of the rectenna. Here, apart from the L-matching network, a Schottky single-diode rectifier was used for the rectenna, which was optimized at 868 MHz for a power range from $-30$ dBm to $-10$ dBm. As the theoretical expression depends on parameters not very well-known a priori, an accurate search of the optimum gain for each power level was performed via simulations. Experimental results show remarkable power efficiencies ranging from 16% at $-30$ dBm to 55% at $-10$ dBm, which are for almost all the tested power levels the highest published in the literature for similar designs.
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1. Introduction

Radio frequency (RF) energy harvesting has been widely proposed to power tiny devices such as RFID tags, autonomous sensors, or IoT (Internet of Things) nodes [1–9]. RF energy can be harvested either from dedicated sources, such as in the case of RFID devices, or from the RF energy already present in the ambient and coming from unintentional sources such as TV, FM radio, cellular, or WiFi emitters.

In order to harvest RF energy, a rectenna (rectifying antenna) is used. Figure 1 shows the block diagram of a conventional rectenna, consisting of an antenna, an impedance matching network and a rectifier. The rectifier provides a suitable DC voltage in order to power a load, e.g., an RFID tag or IoT node, and the matching network matches the output impedance of the antenna to the equivalent impedance at the input of the rectifier in order to transfer the available maximum power.

As the available power at the antenna decreases so does the generated voltage. Whenever this voltage is not high enough to properly bias the diodes of the rectifier, the power efficiency decreases severely. Several techniques have been proposed in order to increase the efficiency at low power levels. For example, in [1,2,10] dual-stage solutions were proposed, where each of the two implemented circuits was optimized for different ranges of input power. In [11], the size of the diode-connected MOS transistors was optimized. On the other hand, in order to reduce the voltage drop of the diodes,
novel devices have been proposed such as MOS floating-gate devices [12], tunnel FETs [13], or MOS transistors with a new bulk connection [14]. Another widely used technique consists in using the matching network for boosting the voltage at the rectifier input [4,8,14–26]. Among them, one of the simplest and most widely used is the L-matching network, where the voltage gain is fixed by the resistance value of the load.

This paper demonstrates for the first time the existence of an optimum voltage gain for a high-pass L-matching network used in rectennas by deriving an analytical expression. The optimum gain is that which leads to maximum power efficiency of the rectenna. As the focus is on the matching network, a rectifier with a single series diode configuration was selected for simplicity. Input power levels in the range of $-30$ dBm to $-10$ dBm at the 868 MHz Short Range Devices (SRD) band were considered. At each power level, the value provided by the expression of the optimum gain was used as the initial point for an ensuing accurate search via simulations. The rectenna was later implemented and experimental results show remarkable power efficiencies compared with other works with similar designs found in the literature.

The paper is organized as follows: Section 2 presents a theoretical analysis of the rectenna where the analytical expression of the optimum voltage gain of the matching network is derived. Section 3 shows simulation results of the rectenna with the Keysight ADS software for obtaining the optimum gain and components of the matching network that will be used in the implementation of the circuit. In Section 4 the performance of the implemented rectenna is presented. Section 5 concludes the work and two appendices present supplemental material.

2. Theoretical Analysis of the Rectenna

This section presents the analysis of the rectenna with both an ideal (Section 2.1) and a lossy (Section 2.2) matching network. The optimum voltage gain of the matching network is derived in Section 2.2.

2.1. Rectenna with Ideal Matching Network

Figure 2 shows the circuit schematic of the proposed rectenna, which includes a high pass L-matching network (composed of a capacitor $C_m$ and an inductor $L_m$), a half-wave rectifier and an output filtering capacitor ($C_o$) and load ($R_o$). The antenna is modelled by a sinusoidal voltage source $v_a$ with a series radiation resistance $R_a$. On the other hand, $v_{in}$, $Z_{in}$ and $P_{in}$ respectively are the voltage, impedance and power at the input of the rectifier, and $V_o$ and $P_o$ respectively are the DC voltage and power at the load.

![Figure 1](image1.png) **Figure 1.** Block diagram of a rectenna with an output load.

![Figure 2](image2.png) **Figure 2.** Proposed rectenna.
The voltage amplitude (or peak voltage) of $v_a$ is given by [17]:

$$V_{ap} = 2\sqrt{2}R_o P_{av}, \quad (1)$$

where $P_{av}$ is the available power at the antenna. On the other hand, the overall power efficiency of the rectenna is defined as:

$$\eta_{rect} = \frac{\eta_{in}\eta_o}{\gamma} \quad (2)$$

where the input efficiency is given by:

$$\eta_{in} = \frac{P_{in}}{P_{av}}, \quad (3)$$

and the rectifier efficiency is:

$$\eta_o = \frac{P_o}{P_{in}} = 1 - \frac{V_y}{V_{imp}}, \quad (4)$$

being $V_{imp}$ the amplitude voltage of $v_{in}$ and $V_y$ the threshold forward voltage of the diode (assumed constant here). Equation (4) is a simplistic approximation that neglects all the parasitic components and non idealities of the diode except $V_y$.

In order to achieve a high value of $\eta_{in}$ (ideally 1), $Z_{in}$ has to be matched to $R_o$. On the other hand, from Equation (4), lower values of $V_y$ and higher values of $V_{imp}$ lead to a higher value of $\eta_o$. Thus, $\eta_o$ can be increased, for example, by using Schottky diodes (low $V_y$) and a matching network with a high voltage gain (high $V_{imp}$). In order to illustrate how the matching network provides this gain, the circuit of Figure 2 is transformed into the circuit of Figure 3, where $C_{in}$ and $R_{in}$ model $Z_{in}$ in Figure 2.

![Figure 3. Equivalent circuit of the rectenna.](image)

$C_{in}$ is mainly due to the parasitic capacitance of the diode whenever $C_o$ is much larger, which is usually the case, and $R_{in}$, which models the power delivered to the rectifier input, is given by [27]:

$$R_{in} = R_o \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{1 - V_y/V_{imp}}. \quad (5)$$

In order to transfer the maximum power to the rectifier input ($P_{in} = P_{av}$ and thus $\eta_{in} = 1$), it must be accomplished that $Z_L = Z_{in}^*$, resulting in the following voltage gain of the matching network:

$$G_t = \frac{V_{imp}}{V_{ap}} = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{R_{in}}{R_o}} = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{1 + Q^2}, \quad (6)$$

where $Q$ is the quality factor of the circuit. Appendix A shows the resulting expressions for $C_{in}$ and $L_m$ as well graphs of these parameters in function of $G_t$.

As can be seen from Equation (6), $G_t$ depends on the relationship between $R_{in}$ and $R_o$, so the gain $G_t$ can be made arbitrarily large by increasing $R_{in}$. Expression (6) can also be derived equating the power at the input of the matching network with that dissipated in $R_{in}$, assuming a lossless matching...
network [16]. Thus, an increase of $R_{\text{in}}$ requires a square increase of $\bar{v}_{\text{in}}$ (and thus of $G_t$) to keep power constant. On the other hand, $R_{\text{in}}$ can be increased, from Equation (5), by increasing $R_{a}$. However, $R_{a}$ is a priori fixed by the load to be powered, e.g., an IoT node. Fortunately, $R_{a}$ can be arbitrarily and automatically changed by placing an additional impedance matching stage between the rectenna output and the load. Such stage, which is out of the scope of this work, is normally implemented by a maximum power point tracker, which has been extensively used in solar, thermal, and mechanical energy harvesters, but also in RF harvesters, such as in [28–30].

2.2. Rectenna with Lossy Matching Network: Optimum Voltage Gain

In the previous analysis, the losses of the matching network components have not been considered and thus will be taken into account next. As will be shown, their inclusion is significant and leads to the concept of optimum voltage gain of the matching network.

In general, the parasitic loss of capacitors is very small compared with that of inductors [16,31,32] and will be neglected in the analysis. Then, taking into account the inductor model of Appendix B, Figure 3 is transformed into Figure 4, where $L_{\text{in}} \approx L'_{\text{in}}$ and $C_{\text{in}}$ and $R_{\text{in}}$ have been substituted by $C_{e}$ and $R_{e}$. The resistance $R_{e}$ includes the parasitic losses ($R_{p}$) of the coil and is given by:

$$\frac{1}{R_{e}} = \frac{1}{R_{p}} + \frac{1}{R_{\text{in}}'}$$

(7)

being:

$$\frac{1}{R_{p}} = \frac{1}{R_{v}} + \frac{1}{R_{l}'}$$

(8)

and $C_{e}$ includes the parasitic capacitance of the coil and is given by:

$$C_{e} = C_{\text{in}} + C_{l}'$$

(9)

![Figure 4](image_url)  
**Figure 4.** Equivalent circuit of the rectenna taking into account the inductor model of Figure A4 with $R_{2}$ neglected.

For the circuit of Figure 4, the gain of the matching lossy network is:

$$G_{t} = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{R_{e}}{R_{a}'}}$$

(10)

Now, even with a large value of $R_{\text{in}}$, $R_{e}$ and thus $G_{t}$ will be limited by $R_{p}$. Further, at matching conditions [16]:

$$\eta_{\text{in}} = \frac{R_{e}}{R_{\text{in}}} = \frac{R_{p}}{R_{p} + R_{\text{in}}'}$$

(11)

as some power will be dissipated at $R_{p}$. Therefore, large values of $R_{\text{in}}$ ($>>R_{p}$ and thus $>>R_{e}$) decrease $\eta_{\text{in}}$ without significantly increasing $G_{t}$ and thus $\eta_{o}$. Contrariwise, low values of $R_{\text{in}}$ ($\ll R_{p}$ and thus


\( R_{in} \approx R_e \) decrease \( G_t \) and thus \( \eta_0 \) without significantly increasing \( \eta_{in} \) \((\approx 1)\). So, a trade-off exists for achieving a maximum value of \( \eta_{rect} \), which leads to an optimum \( R_{in} \) and \( G_t \).

The optimum value of \( G_t \) can be found by expressing Equation (2) in function of \( G_t \), equating its derivative to zero and finding the roots. First, operating from Equations (7) and (10), we obtain

\[
R_{in} = \frac{4C_t^2 R_a R_p}{R_p - 4G_t^2 R_a},
\]

and substituting this in Equation (11) we get:

\[
\eta_{in} = 1 - 4C_t^2 \frac{R_a}{R_p},
\]

On the other hand, using Equation (6) in Equation (4) we arrive at:

\[
\eta_o = 1 - \frac{V_y}{C_t V_{ap}},
\]

and thus from Equations (2), (13) and (14) we obtain:

\[
\eta_{rect} = \left( 1 - 4C_t^2 \frac{R_a}{R_p} \right) \left( 1 - \frac{V_y}{C_t V_{ap}} \right),
\]

Figure 5 shows a qualitative representation of Equations (13)–(15). As can be seen, \( \eta_{in} \) decreases and \( \eta_o \) increases with increasing values of \( G_t \), leading to an optimum value of \( G_t \) \((G_{t, opt})\) that provides the maximum value of \( \eta_{rect} \) \((\eta_{max})\).

![Figure 5](image)

**Figure 5.** Qualitative graphs of the efficiencies of the rectenna versus \( G_t \).

The expression of \( G_{t, opt} \) can be reached by doing the derivative of Equation (15) with respect to \( G_t \) and equating to zero, thus arriving to the following third-degree equation:

\[
G_t^3 - \frac{V_y}{2V_{ap}} \frac{C_t^2}{4R_a} - \frac{R_pV_y}{8R_a V_{ap}} = 0.
\]

In this case, only a single positive real root results, which can be approximated to:

\[
G_{t, opt} \approx \frac{1}{6} \frac{V_y}{V_{ap}} + \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{V_y R_p}{V_{ap} R_a}},
\]
The derivation and expression for $G_{t,\text{opt}}$ has not previously reported in the literature. As can be seen, $G_{t,\text{opt}}$ increases with increasing values of $R_p$ and $V_\gamma$ and with decreasing values of $V_{ap}$. This can be also inferred from the above expressions and Figure 5. Effectively, from Equation (13), an increase of $R_p$ (decrease of inductor losses) increases $\eta_{in}$, shifting upwards the corresponding curve in Figure 5 and thus to the right $G_{t,\text{opt}}$ (higher value). At the same time, the value of $\eta_{max}$ will increase. On the other hand, from Equation (14), a higher value of $V_\gamma$ or a lower value of $V_{ap}$ decrease $\eta_o$, shifting downwards the corresponding curve in Figure 5 and thus again to the right $G_{t,\text{opt}}$. In this case, though, $\eta_{max}$ will decrease. So, $\eta_{max}$ increases with increasing values of $R_p$ and $V_{ap}$ and with decreasing values of $V_\gamma$.

In order to obtain Equation (17), the values of $V_\gamma$ and $R_p$ are required. However, $V_\gamma$ depends on the current flowing through the diode, which depends on $P_{av}$ but also on $G_t$. On the other hand, $R_p$ depends on the specific commercial component of $L_m$, whose value, from Equation (A6), again depends on $G_t$. Therefore, it is not straightforward obtaining $G_{t,\text{opt}}$ and it will be found here by simulations, as shown in Section 3. Anyhow, the above derivation demonstrates the existence of an optimum gain value, provides more insight on the optimum gain and rectenna efficiency, and from Equation (17) an initial guess can be used for the simulations.

3. Rectenna Simulation Analysis

Simulations of the rectenna of Figure 2 have been carried out using the Keysight ADS software. The Harmonic Balance Analysis was used in order to compute the steady state solutions. For the diode, a Schottky HSMS-2850 device (Avago Technologies, Sant Jose, CA, USA) was selected, as it presents a low voltage drop ($V_\gamma \approx 0.1$ V @ 0.1 mA) and a low capacitance ($C_m = 0.18$ pF). Input power levels from $-30$ dBm to $-10$ dBm in steps of 5 dBm were used at a frequency of 868 MHz. Commercial components from the vendor libraries for $C_m$ (AVX, Fountain Inn, SC, USA) and $L_m$ (Coilcraft, Cary, IL, USA) were also used. A layout was also included in the simulations and the Momentum simulator was executed in order to obtain the related S-parameters. The physical dimensions of the printed circuit board (PCB) were 30.75 mm long and 12.10 mm width. Figure 6 shows the layout of the PCB, with indications to the placement of the components. The parameters of a Rogers substrate (RO4003C, Rogers, Chandler, AZ, USA) were selected ($\varepsilon_r = 3.55$, $\tan\delta = 0.0021$, thickness = 1.524 mm).

![Figure 6. PCB Layout of the rectenna with indication to the placement of the components.](image)

In order to find $G_{t,\text{opt}}$ for each specific power level ($P_{av}$), the following procedure was followed. First, an initial value of $G_t$ is calculated using Equation (17) with appropriate values of $V_\gamma$ and $R_p$. From Equation (A5), the corresponding value of $C_m$ is calculated and the component with the nearer commercial value is selected. Then, an appropriate component value of $L_m$ is selected and a sweep of $\eta_{rect}$ over $R_o$ is performed. The procedure is repeated for several values of $L_m$ until finding the curve with the maximum efficiency. In order to better illustrate the implemented procedure, Figure 7 shows the case for $P_{av} = -10$ dBm and $C_m = 0.5$ pF ($G_t = 3.7$). As can be seen, there is a maximum value of $\eta_{rect}$ (around 55%) for $L_m = 27$ nH and $R_o = 4$ kΩ. These parameters values are saved and the
whole procedure is repeated for different values of \(C_m\) (and thus of \(G_t\)). Figure 8 shows the attained maximum efficiencies for \(P_{av} = -10\) dBm for each one of the selected values of \(C_m\) (or \(G_t\)). As can be seen, the maximum efficiency (\(\eta_{\text{max}}\)) at \(-10\) dBm was achieved for \(G_t = 3.7\) (\(C_m = 0.5\) pF, the case represented in Figure 7). Finally, the whole process is repeated for the different input power levels.

\[
\begin{array}{cccccc}
P_{av} (\text{dBm}) & \eta_{\text{max}} (%) & G_t & C_m (\text{pF}) & L_m (\text{nH}) & R_o (\text{k}\Omega) \\
-30 & 10.9 & 5.48 & 0.3 & 30 & 8.6 & 30.7
\end{array}
\]

**Figure 7.** Simulation results of \(\eta_{\text{rect}}\) versus \(R_o\) for several values of \(L_m\) at \(C_m = 0.5\) pF (or \(G_t = 3.7\)) for \(P_{av} = -10\) dBm.

**Figure 8.** Simulation results of \(\eta_{\text{rect}}\) for several values of \(G_t\) at \(P_{av} = -10\) dBm. A maximum value (\(\eta_{\text{max}}\)) of 55.2\% was achieved at \(G_t = 3.7\) (\(C_m = 0.5\) pF).

Table 1 summarizes the results of the simulations showing \(\eta_{\text{max}}\) along with the optimal values of \(G_t\), \(C_m\), \(L_m\), \(R_o\), and \(V_o\) for each one of the selected power levels (\(P_{av}\)). As can be seen, the optimum value of \(G_t\) increases and \(\eta_{\text{max}}\) decreases with a decreasing value of \(P_{av}\) and thus of \(V_{ap}\), which agrees with the discussion of Section 2.2. As a numerical example, the value of \(G_{t,\text{opt}}\) for \(-20\) dBm is calculated using Equation (17). Taking the data of the 27 nH inductor from Appendix B, it can

Equation (17). Taking the data of the 27 nH inductor from Appendix B, it can be found from Appendix B, it can be seen, the maximum efficiency (\(\eta_{\text{max}}\)) at \(-10\) dBm was achieved for \(G_t = 3.7\) (\(C_m = 0.5\) pF, the case represented in Figure 7). Finally, the whole process is repeated for the different input power levels.
be found from Equation (8) that $R_p$ is 12.6 kΩ. Then, assuming a value of $V_T = 0.1 \, \text{V}$, $G_{t,\text{opt}} = 3.94$ results ($C_m = 0.47 \, \text{pF}$). The value of $C_m$ shown in Table 1 (0.5 pF) is in fact the nearest commercial value available from the vendor library.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$P_{av}$ (dBm)</th>
<th>$\eta_{max}$ (%)</th>
<th>$G_t$ (pF)</th>
<th>$C_m$ (pF)</th>
<th>$L_m$ (nH)</th>
<th>$R_o$ (kΩ)</th>
<th>$V_o$ (mV)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>−30</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>5.48</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>30.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>−25</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>5.48</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>64.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>−20</td>
<td>30.8</td>
<td>3.70</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>−15</td>
<td>44.6</td>
<td>3.70</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>249</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>−10</td>
<td>55.2</td>
<td>3.70</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>470</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Experimental Results and Discussion

The PCB layout of Figure 6 was produced and $C_m= 0.5 \, \text{pF}$, $L_m = 27 \, \text{nH}$, and $C_o = 1 \, \text{nF}$ were used. The selected values of $C_m$ and $L_m$ lead to $G_t = 3.7$ and match that of $P_{av} = −20 \, \text{dBm}$, −15 dBm and −10 dBm in Table 1. In order to choose an appropriate frequency for the experimental tests, the input reflection coefficient $S_{11}$ of the rectenna was measured for $P_{av}$ from −30 dBm to −10 dBm in steps of 5 dBm using for $R_o$ the corresponding values of Table 1. Results are shown in Figure 9.

![Figure 9. Experimental results of $|S_{11}|$ for different power levels.](image)

As can be seen, there is a deviation of the frequencies at which the minimum value is achieved with respect to the theoretical frequency of 868 MHz used in the simulations. This is probably due to differences between the models of the components used in the simulations and their actual values. The tolerance of the network components and deviations of the parasitic capacitances of the inductor and diode can be the main cause. In addition, the capacitance of the diode is nonlinear with the diode voltage drop and thus with $v_{in}$, which accounts for the frequency shift down as the power decreases [8]. On the other hand, Table 2 shows the values of the input impedance of the rectenna at a frequency of 814 MHz, which was the value selected for the rest of tests. As can be seen, values approach the value of $R_o = 50 \, \Omega$ and, from Figure 9, $|S_{11}|$ was lower than −10 dB at that frequency for all power levels.
Table 2. Values of the input impedance of the rectenna at 814 MHz using for \( R_o \) the values of Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( P_{av} ) (dBm)</th>
<th>67.3 + j22.4</th>
<th>65.9 + j18.8</th>
<th>59.6 + j10.7</th>
<th>60.7 – j9.9</th>
<th>62.3 – j11.7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

An RF signal generator was used at the input of the harvester to emulate the antenna and to generate different values of \( P_{av} \). Output power (\( P_o \)) was measured by means of a Source Measurement Unit (SMU, B2901, Agilent, Santa Rosa, CA, USA). The frequency of the RF signal generator was set to 814 MHz, as previously stated, since it provided a relative high output power at all values of \( P_{av} \). Anyhow, other nearby frequencies (with a difference of few units of megahertz, e.g., 810 MHz or 805 MHz) could have been chosen without significant changes in \( P_o \).

While measuring \( P_o \), the SMU fixed the output voltage (\( V_o \)) and this voltage was manually swept until the maximum value of \( P_o \) (\( P_{o,max} \)) was obtained. Maximum efficiency (\( \eta_{max} \)) was estimated as \( P_{o,max} \) divided by \( P_{av} \). Then, the equivalent value of \( R_o \) was estimated from \( V_o \) and \( P_{o,max} \). This procedure was faster than using a trimmer for \( R_o \) and estimating \( P_o \) from the measurements of \( R_o \) and \( V_o \) until \( P_{o,max} \) was obtained. Table 3 shows the values of \( \eta_{max} \), \( R_o \), and \( V_o \). Values are similar to that of the simulations (Table 1). Efficiencies range from 15.7% at −30 dBm to 55.2% at −10 dBm.

Table 3. Experimental results of \( \eta_{max} \), \( R_o \), and \( V_o \).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( P_{av} ) (dBm)</th>
<th>( \eta_{max} ) (%)</th>
<th>( R_o ) (k( \Omega ))</th>
<th>( V_o ) (mV)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>−30</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>−25</td>
<td>24.6</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>−20</td>
<td>36.0</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>−15</td>
<td>47.2</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>−10</td>
<td>55.2</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4 shows a comparative of the rectenna efficiency (in percentage) of this work with other papers using similar designs. Some of the values are imprecise as they were inferred from graphs. All of them use a matching network (in most cases an L-type) and the same model of diode (except in [10] that use an HSMS-282X model (Avago Technologies). The frequency was similar (in the range of 850 MHz to 950 MHz) except in [10] (2.45 GHz), [20] (434 MHz), and [33] (1.8 GHz). As can be seen, this work outperforms the results of the rest of papers except at −30 dBm, where [20] presents a higher efficiency. It is possible that the losses of the inductor used here, which limit the network gain and efficiency, are higher than those of the inductor used in [20] (details of the commercial inductor not provided).

Table 4. Comparative of the rectenna efficiency (%) of this work with other papers with similar designs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( P_{av} ) (dBm)</th>
<th>This Work</th>
<th>[1]</th>
<th>[2]</th>
<th>[8]</th>
<th>[10]</th>
<th>[20]</th>
<th>[33]</th>
<th>[34]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>−30</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>−25</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>−20</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>−15</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>−10</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. Conclusions

This work has demonstrated the existence of an optimum voltage gain for L-matching networks used in rectennas by providing an analytical expression. The rectenna, which also includes a Schottky single-diode rectifier, has been optimized at 868 MHz for a power range from −30 dBm to −10 dBm. As not all the parameters of the expression are well known a priori, an accurate search of the gain has
been performed by simulations. Furthermore, a prototype has been implemented with experimental results showing remarkable power efficiencies, ranging from 16% at \(-30\) dBm to 55% at \(-10\) dBm. These results are amongst the highest published in the literature for similar designs.
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**Appendix A. Expressions for \(C_m\) and \(L_m\) and Corresponding Graphs**

This appendix provides the expressions for \(C_m\) and \(L_m\) of the matching network along with graphs of these parameters.

In order to transfer the maximum power to the rectifier input, it must be accomplished that \(Z_L = Z_s^*\) in Figure 3, where:

\[
Z_s = R_a + \frac{1}{j\omega C_m},
\]

\[
\frac{1}{Z_L} = \frac{1}{R_m} + j\omega C_{in} + \frac{1}{j\omega L_m},
\]

being \(\omega\) the angular frequency. Operating, we obtain:

\[
C_m = \frac{1}{\omega \sqrt{R_a(R_m - R_a)}},
\]

\[
L_m = \frac{1}{\omega^2 C_{in} + \omega^2 C_m + \frac{R_m - R_a}{R_m}},
\]

and the gain given by Equation (6). The parameters \(L_m\) and \(C_m\) can also be expressed in function of \(G_t\) and \(Q\) as:

\[
C_m = \frac{1}{\omega R_a \sqrt{4 G_t^2 - 1}} = \frac{1}{\omega R_a Q'},
\]

\[
L_m = \frac{4 G_t^2 R_d}{\omega \sqrt{4 G_t^2 - 1 + 4 G_t^2 R_d C_{in}}} = \frac{1}{\omega^2 C_{in} + C_m Q^2/(1 + Q^2)}.
\]

Figures A1 and A2 show the values of \(C_m\) and \(L_m\) as a function of \(G_t\) for \(R_a = 50\) Ω, respectively. As for the calculus of \(L_m\), two different cases have been considered, \(C_{in}\) equal to 0 pF and to 0.18 pF. The value of 0.18 pF emulates the input capacitance of the selected diode (HSMS-2850) for the rectenna (Sections 3 and 4). \(C_m\) is inversely proportional to \(G_t\) (strictly only for \(G_t >> 1\)) and \(Q\). On the other hand, \(L_m\) is inversely proportional to \(C_m\) (so, proportional to \(G_t\) and \(Q\)) for \(C_{in} = 0\) but for \(C_{in} = 0.18\) pF saturates to \(1/(\omega^2 C_{in})\) with \(G_t\) (or \(Q\)) increasing (\(C_m\) decreasing).
Appendix B. Lumped Model of the Inductor Including Parasitic Effects

Figure A3 shows the lumped model of the Coilcraft RF surface mount inductor used in the implementation of the circuit of Figure 2. The value of the frequency-dependent variable resistor $R_v$ relates to the skin effect and is calculated from $R_v = k' \sqrt{f}$, where $f$ is the operation frequency and $k'$ is a constant that depends on the nominal value of the inductance.

Using the series to parallel equivalent circuit transformation for the two branches of Figure A3 the equivalent circuit of Figure A4 results.
Figure A4. Parallel equivalent circuit of the inductor of Figure A3.

The value of the components of the circuit of Figure A4 respect to those of the circuit of Figure A3 are given by the following expressions:

\[ R'_1 = R_1 \frac{R_2^2 C_1^2 \omega^2 + 1}{R_2^2 C_1^2 \omega^2}, \]  
\[ C'_1 = \frac{C_1}{R_2^2 C_1^2 \omega^2 + 1}, \]  
\[ L'_m = L_m \left( 1 + \left( \frac{R_v}{\omega L_m} \right)^2 \right), \]  
\[ R'_v = R_v \left( 1 + \left( \frac{\omega L_v}{R_v} \right)^2 \right). \]

The model of Figure A4 is substituted in the circuit of Figure 3, resulting in the circuit of Figure 4, where the value of \( R_2 \) has been neglected as it usually is very small. As an example, the 27 nH inductor used in the implemented matching network (Section 4) has the following parameters: \( R_1 = 17 \ \Omega, \) \( R_2 = 30 \ \text{m}\Omega, \) \( C_1 = 49 \ \text{fF}, \) \( L_m = 27 \ \text{nH}, \) \( k' = 5.75 \times 10^{-5}. \) At 868 MHz the value of the parallel components of Figure A4 are: \( R'_1 = 824 \ \text{k}\Omega, \) \( C'_1 = 49 \ \text{fF}, \) \( R'_v = 12.8 \ \text{k}\Omega, \) \( L'_m = 27 \ \text{nH}. \)
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