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Abstract

For a curve of genus $> 1$ defined over a finite field, we present a sufficient criterion for the non-existence of automorphisms of order a power of a rational prime. We show how this criterion can be used to determine the automorphism group of some modular curves of high genus.

1 Introduction

For a curve $X$ of genus $g > 1$ defined over a field $K$, the automorphism group $\text{Aut}_K(X)$ is finite. In characteristic zero, it is well-known that one has the Hurwitz bound $|\text{Aut}_K(X)| \leq 84(g - 1)$. In [Sti73], the inequality $|\text{Aut}_K(X)| < 16g^4$ is seen to hold in positive characteristic unless $X$ is a Hermitian curve. In particular, this provides a bound for the order of any automorphism of the curve. Nevertheless, there is not a general procedure to discard possible orders.

We are interested in the case in which $K$ is a number field. The reduction of the curve $X$ at a prime of $K$ of good reduction is a curve $\tilde{X}$ defined over a finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$. Although $\text{Aut}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(\tilde{X})$ may strictly contain $\text{Aut}_K(X)$, any information allowing to discard orders of the elements in the group $\text{Aut}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(\tilde{X})$ will be useful for our goal. Moreover, if necessary, we can change the prime of $K$ of good reduction for $X$.

The main result of this work is in Section 2. For a curve $X$ of genus $> 1$ defined over a finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$, we fix an integer $s > 1$ which is a power of a rational prime. In Theorem 1, we present a criterion which, under a certain condition on the sequence $\{\lvert X(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})\rvert\}_{n \geq 1}$ depending on $s$, ensures the non-existence of elements in $\text{Aut}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(X)$ of order $s$. Although this criterion is not a characterization for the non-existence of such automorphisms, it is certainly a powerful tool that can be applied in many situations. In Section 3, in order to show the efficacy of this tool, we apply it to determine the automorphism groups of some modular curves.

2 Automorphisms of a curve defined over a finite field

Let $\mathbb{F}_q$ be the finite field with $q$ elements and let $X$ be a curve of genus $g > 1$ defined over $\mathbb{F}_q$.

Theorem 1. If for a rational prime $N$ and an integer $m > 0$, the sequence of integers $\{P_{N^m}(n)\}_{n \geq 1}$ defined by

$$0 \leq P_{N^m}(n) \leq N^m - 1, \quad P_{N^m}(n) := \begin{cases} \lvert X(\mathbb{F}_q)\rvert \pmod{N^m} & \text{if } n = 1, \\ \lvert \bigcup_{i=1}^n X(\mathbb{F}_{q^i})\rvert - \lvert \bigcup_{i=1}^{n-1} X(\mathbb{F}_{q^i})\rvert \pmod{N^m} & \text{if } n > 1, \end{cases}$$
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satisfies the condition
\[ \sum_{n \geq 1} P_{N^m}(n) > \left[ \frac{2g}{N-1} \right] + 2 \frac{N^m - 1}{N-1}, \] (2.1)
then \( X \) does not have any automorphisms defined over \( \mathbb{F}_q \) of order \( N^m \).

**Proof.** Assume that there exists \( u \in \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(X) \) of order \( N^m \). Let \( G \) be the subgroup of \( \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(X) \) generated by \( u \). Let \( \mathcal{R} \) denote the set of ramification points of the natural projection \( \pi_G : X \rightarrow X/G \).

The group \( G \) acts on the set \( X(\mathbb{F}_q) \) as a subgroup of permutations. In particular, if \( Q \in X(\mathbb{F}_q) \), then the orbit of \( Q \) under \( G \), say \( \mathcal{S}_Q \), is contained in \( X(\mathbb{F}_q) \). It is clear that \( |\mathcal{S}_Q| = N^m \) if, and only if, \( Q \not\in \mathcal{R} \). Let \( n_0 > 0 \) be an integer such that \( \mathcal{R} \subset X(\mathbb{F}_q^{n_0}) \). Hence, we have
\[ |X(\mathbb{F}_q^{n_0})| \equiv |\mathcal{R}| \pmod{N^m}. \]

So the sequence \( A(n) := |\cup_{i=1}^{n} X(\mathbb{F}_q^i)|, \ n \geq 1 \), satisfies the condition \( A(n) \equiv |\mathcal{R}| \pmod{N^m} \) for all \( n \geq n_0 \). Therefore,
\[ \sum_{n \geq 1} P_{N^m}(n) = \sum_{n \geq n_0} P_{N^m}(n) \leq |\mathcal{R} \cap X(\mathbb{F}_q^i)| + \sum_{i=2}^{n_0} |\mathcal{R} \cap (\cup_{i=1}^{n} X(\mathbb{F}_q^i) - \cup_{i=1}^{n-1} X(\mathbb{F}_q^i))| = |\mathcal{R}|. \] (2.2)

For \( 0 \leq i \leq m-1 \), let \( \mathcal{R}_i \) denote the subset of \( \mathcal{R} \) consisting of the points whose isotropy group in \( G \) is the subgroup generated by \( u^N \), and set \( r_i := |\mathcal{R}_i| \). One has \( \mathcal{R} = \cup_{i=0}^{m-1} \mathcal{R}_i \), and, moreover, the ramification index of \( \pi_G \) at a point \( Q \in \mathcal{R}_i \) is the order of its isotropy group, i.e. \( N^{|r_i|} \). By the Riemann-Hurwitz formula applied to \( \pi_G \), we obtain
\[ N^m(2g_G - 2) + (N^m - 1)r_0 + \cdots + (N^i - 1)r_{m-i} + \cdots + (N - 1)r_{m-1} \leq 2g - 2, \]
where \( g_G \) is the genus of \( X/G \). In particular, we have
\[ (N^m - 1)r_0 + \cdots + (N - 1)r_{m-1} \leq 2g + 2(N^m - 1). \]

Therefore,
\[ |\mathcal{R}| = r_0 + \cdots + r_{m-1} \leq \frac{1}{N-1}( (N^m - 1)r_0 + \cdots + (N - 1)r_{m-1} ) \leq \frac{2g + 2(N^m - 1)}{N-1}. \] (2.3)

Combining the inequalities (2.2) and (2.3), we get
\[ \sum_{n \geq 1} P_{N^m}(n) \leq \left[ \frac{2g}{N-1} \right] + 2 \frac{N^m - 1}{N-1}, \] (2.4)
which proves the statement. \( \square \)

**Remark 1.** To apply Theorem 1, we only need to know the characteristic polynomial \( Q(x) \) of \( \text{Frob}_q \) acting on the Tate module of \( \text{Jac}(X) \). Indeed, if \( \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{2g} \) are the roots of \( Q(x) \), then
\[ |X(\mathbb{F}_q^n)| = 1 + q^n - \sum_{i=1}^{2g} \alpha_i^n. \]

For \( n > 1 \), the integer \( R(n) := |\cup_{i=1}^{n} X(\mathbb{F}_q^i)| - |\cup_{i=1}^{n-1} X(\mathbb{F}_q^i)| \) can be computed from the sequence \( \{|X(\mathbb{F}_q^i)|\}_{1 \leq i \leq n} \) as follows. Let \( \{p_1, \ldots, p_k\} \) be the set of primes dividing \( n \) and put \( d_i = n/p_i \) for \( 1 \leq i \leq k \). Then,
\[ R(n) = |X(\mathbb{F}_q^n)| - \sum_{r=1}^{k} (-1)^{r+1} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_r \leq k} |X(\mathbb{F}_q^{d_{i_1}, \ldots, d_{i_r}})|. \] (2.5)
Indeed, using that
\[ X(\mathbb{F}_{q^{d_1}}) \cap X(\mathbb{F}_{q^{d_2}}) = X(\mathbb{F}_{q^{\gcd(d_1,d_2)}}), \]
and if \( d_1 | d_2 \) then \( X(\mathbb{F}_{q^{d_1}}) \cup X(\mathbb{F}_{q^{d_2}}) = X(\mathbb{F}_{q^{d_2}}) \),
we obtain
\[
R(n) = |X(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})| - |X(\mathbb{F}_{q^n}) \cap (\bigcup_{i=1}^{n-1} X(\mathbb{F}_{q^i}))| \\
= |X(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})| - |\bigcup_{d|n,d<n} X(\mathbb{F}_{q^d})| = |X(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})| - |\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} X(\mathbb{F}_{q^{i^2}})| \\
= |X(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})| - \sum_{r=1}^{k} (-1)^{r+1} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_r \leq k} |X(\mathbb{F}_{q^{\gcd(d_{i_1}, \ldots, d_{i_r})}})|.
\]
Note that, if \( \ell_1 = 2 < \cdots < \ell_r \) are the first \( r \) rational primes, for \( n < \prod_{i=1}^{r} \ell_i \), the sum given in (2.5) contains at most \( 2^{r-1} \) terms.
To be more precise, to apply Criterion (1) we only need to know \( Q(x) \pmod{N^m} \). In other words, we can change the polynomial \( Q(X) \) by a polynomial \( T(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x] \) such that \( Q(x) \equiv T(x) \pmod{N^m} \). We can determine \( R(n) \pmod{N^m} \) from the roots of \( T(x) \) by applying the procedure described for the roots of \( Q(x) \).

Remark 2. If we can prove that, for an automorphism \( u \in \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(X) \) of order \( N^m \), there exists an integer \( r \) such that \( |R| \leq r < \left[ \frac{2g}{N^m} \right] + \frac{2N^{m-1}}{N-1} \), then the condition (2.1) in Theorem 1 can be replaced with the condition
\[
\sum_{n \geq 1} P_{N^m}(n) > r.
\]

Remark 3. The non-existence of an automorphism in \( \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(X) \) of order \( N^m \) is not a necessary condition to satisfy condition (2.1). For instance, it may be that two non-isomorphic curves \( X \) and \( Y \) defined over \( \mathbb{F}_q \) have jacobians which are isogenous over \( \mathbb{F}_q \). If \( Y \) has an automorphism of order \( N^m \), then condition (2.1) is not satisfied, even if \( X \) does not have an automorphism of order \( N^m \). Also, if the group \( G = \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(X) \) is nontrivial, then one has \( |\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} X(\mathbb{F}_{q^i})| \equiv |S| \pmod{|G|} \) for almost all \( n \), where \( S \) is the set of ramification points of the covering \( X \to X/G \). It may be that condition (2.1) is not satisfied when we take \( N^m \) dividing \( |G| \) and \( G \) does not contain any \( N^m \)-cyclic subgroup.

Question 1. For a prime \( N \), the condition that the sequence \( \{ |\bigcup_{i=1}^{n+1} X(\mathbb{F}_{q^i})| \pmod{N^m} \} \) is constant for some integer \( n_0 \) seems to be strong. If there exists a curve \( Y \) defined over \( \mathbb{F}_q \) such that \( \text{Jac}(Y) \) and \( \text{Jac}(X) \) are isogenous over \( \mathbb{F}_q \) and the order of the group \( \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(Y) \) is a multiple of \( N^m \), then this condition is satisfied. Is the converse true?

Several consequences can be obtained from Theorem 1. Next, we present two of them.

Corollary 1. If there is an integer \( n_0 > 0 \) such that
\[
2 < |\bigcup_{i=1}^{n_0+1} X(\mathbb{F}_{q^i})| - |\bigcup_{i=1}^{n_0} X(\mathbb{F}_{q^i})| < 2g + 2,
\]
then there are not any automorphisms in \( \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(X) \) of order a prime \( N > 2g+1 \), which improves the result obtained through the Hurwitz bound.

Corollary 2. If \( u \in \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(X) \) has order \( N^m \), then \( \sum_{n \geq 1} P_{N^m}(n) \) is a lower bound for the cardinality of the set of ramified points of the covering \( X \to X/G \), where \( G \) is the subgroup of \( \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(X) \) generated by \( u \).
3 Application to some modular curves

Let New$_N$ denote the set of normalized newforms in $S_2(\Gamma_0(N))^{\text{new}}$ and let New$_N^\lor$ be the set \{f $\in$ New$_N$: $w_N(f) = f$\}, where $w_N$ is the Fricke involution. For $f \in$ New$_N$, let $S_2(f)$ be the $\mathbb{C}$-vector space of cusp forms spanned by $f$ and its Galois conjugates. Let us denote by $A_f$ the abelian variety attached to $f$ by Shimura. It is a quotient of $J_0(N) := \text{Jac}(X_0(N))$ defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ and the pull-back of $\Omega^1_{A_f/\mathbb{Q}}$ is the $\mathbb{Q}$-vector subspace of elements in $S_2(f) dq/q$ with rational $q$-expansion, i.e. $S_2(f) dq/q \cap \mathbb{Q}[[q]]$, where $q = e^{2\pi i z}$ for $z$ in the complex upper half-plane. Moreover, the endomorphism algebra $\text{End}_0^\lor(A_f) := \text{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(A_f) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is isomorphic to a totally real number field $E_f$ whose degree is equal to $\dim A_f$.

Let $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ denote the absolute Galois group $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$. Let $X$ be a curve of genus $g > 0$ defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ such that $\text{Jac}(X)$ is a quotient of the jacobian of the curve $X_0(N)$ defined over $\mathbb{Q}$. There exists a subset $\mathcal{S}$ of the set $\cup_{M \mid N}$ New$_M$, which is stable under Galois conjugation, such that $\text{Jac}(X)$ is isogenous over $\mathbb{Q}$ to the abelian variety $\prod_{f \in \mathcal{S} / G_{\mathbb{Q}}} A_f^{n_f}$ for some integers $n_f > 0$. If $\ell$ is a prime of good reduction for $X$ not dividing $N$, by the Eichler-Shimura congruence, we can compute the characteristic polynomial $Q(x)$ of $\text{Frob}_\ell$ acting on the Tate module of $\text{Jac}(X \otimes \mathbb{F}_\ell)$ through the $\ell$-Fourier coefficients $a_\ell(f)$ of the newforms $f$ in $\mathcal{S}$:

$$Q(x) = \prod_{f \in \mathcal{S}} (x^2 - a_\ell(f) x + \ell^{n_f}).$$

3.1 The split Cartan modular curves $X_s(p)$

For a prime $p$, let us denote by $X_s(p)$ the modular curve attached to the normalizer of a split Cartan subgroup of $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$. This curve is a quotient of the modular curve $X(p)$ defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ and is isomorphic over $\mathbb{Q}$ to the modular curve $X_0^+(p^2) = X_0(p^2)/\langle w_p^2 \rangle$. In [Gon15], the automorphism group of the curve $X_s(p)$ is determined for all primes $p$. There, to conclude the article, it is needed to prove that $X_s(p)$ does not have any involutions defined over the quadratic field $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p^*})$, where $p^* = (-1)^{(p-1)/2}$, for $p = 17, 19, 23, 29, 31$. In fact, this problem is at the origin of Theorem 1 for $N^m = 2$. Since in [Gon15], it is proved that the number of fixed points of an involution is $\leq 12$, we can apply Remark 2 to the reduction of $X_s(p)$ at a prime of $K$ over a rational prime $\ell \neq p$, i.e. we can use that the condition $\sum P_2(n) > 12$ implies the non-existence of an involution of $X_s(p)$ defined over $K$. This fact is proved by taking a prime $\ell$ splitting in $K$ and by applying this version of Theorem 1 to the curve $X_s(p) \otimes \mathbb{F}_\ell$ for $N^m = 2$ (see Section 5 of [Gon15]).

3.2 The modular curves $X_0^+(p)$

In [BH03], the automorphism group of the modular curves $X_0^+(p) := X_0(p)/\langle w_p \rangle$ is determined for all primes $p$. After applying some theoretical results and to conclude the article, the authors need to prove that the modular curve $X_0^+(p)$ does not have any involution defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ for $p = 163, 193, 197, 211, 223, 227, 229, 269, 331, 347, 359, 383, 389, 431, 461, 563, 571, 607$. In order to do that, they apply two different arguments. The first one is used to discard 11 cases and the second one allows to discard the remaining 7 cases. Although in [BH03], it is proved that the number of fixed points of an involution is $\leq 12$, next we show the table obtained by applying
Theorem 1 (without using Remark 2) to the curve \( X = X_0^+(p) \otimes \mathbb{F}_2 \) and \( N^m = 2 \):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( p )</th>
<th>( g )</th>
<th>( \sum_n P_2(n) )</th>
<th>( p )</th>
<th>( g )</th>
<th>( \sum_n P_2(n) )</th>
<th>( p )</th>
<th>( g )</th>
<th>( \sum_n P_2(n) )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>163</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>( \sum_{n \leq 53} P_2(n) = 15 )</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>( \sum_{n \leq 63} P_2(n) = 17 )</td>
<td>389</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>( \sum_{n \leq 123} P_2(n) = 25 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>193</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>( \sum_{n \leq 58} P_2(n) = 17 )</td>
<td>269</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>( \sum_{n \leq 43} P_2(n) = 13 )</td>
<td>431</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>( \sum_{n \leq 89} P_2(n) = 19 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>197</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>( \sum_{n \leq 42} P_2(n) = 15 )</td>
<td>331</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>( \sum_{n \leq 79} P_2(n) = 25 )</td>
<td>461</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>( \sum_{n \leq 91} P_2(n) = 27 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>211</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>( \sum_{n \leq 60} P_2(n) = 15 )</td>
<td>347</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>( \sum_{n \leq 74} P_2(n) = 23 )</td>
<td>563</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>( \sum_{n \leq 116} P_2(n) = 33 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>223</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>( \sum_{n \leq 54} P_2(n) = 15 )</td>
<td>359</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>( \sum_{n \leq 60} P_2(n) = 15 )</td>
<td>571</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>( \sum_{n \leq 156} P_2(n) = 41 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>227</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>( \sum_{n \leq 40} P_2(n) = 13 )</td>
<td>383</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>( \sum_{n \leq 88} P_2(n) = 19 )</td>
<td>607</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>( \sum_{n \leq 166} P_2(n) = 41 )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In all cases \( \sum_n P_2(n) > 2g + 2 \) and, thus, all these curves do not have any involutions defined over \( \mathbb{Q} \).

### 3.3 The non-split Cartan modular curves \( X_{ns}(p) \)

Let \( p \) be a rational prime and let \( X_{ns}(p) \) be the modular curve attached to a non-split Cartan subgroup of \( \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p) \). This curve is a quotient of the modular curve \( X(p) \) defined over \( \mathbb{Q} \), which has a canonical involution \( w \) defined over \( \mathbb{Q} \), the so-called modular involution. The genus \( g \) of \( X_{ns}(p) \) is greater than 1 for \( p \geq 11 \). In [DFGS14], the following is proved

\[
\text{Aut}(X_{ns}(11)) = \text{Aut}_\mathbb{Q}(X_{ns}(11)) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2.
\]

In [Dos15], it is proved that for \( p \geq 37 \) all automorphisms of \( X_{ns}(p) \) preserve cusps and, moreover, if \( p \equiv 1 \pmod{12} \) then \( \text{Aut}(X_{ns}(p)) = \{1, w\} \).

It is expected that \( \text{Aut}(X_{ns}(p)) = \{1, w\} \) for \( p > 11 \). The goal of this subsection is to prove this fact for \( 13 \leq p \leq 31 \). We point out that the genera of these six curves are 8, 15, 20, 35, 54 and 63.

Set \( X_{ns}^+(p) = X_{ns}(p)/\langle w \rangle \) and let us denote by \( g^+ \) its genus. For \( p \geq 11 \), the splitting over \( \mathbb{Q} \) of the jacobians of these curves is as follows (cf. [Che98]):

\[
J_{ns}(p) := \text{Jac}(X_{ns}(p)) \cong \prod_{f \in \text{New}_{ns, 2}/G_{\mathbb{Q}}} A_f, \quad J_{ns}^+(p) := \text{Jac}(X_{ns}^+(p)) \cong \prod_{f \in \text{New}_{ns, 2}^+ \setminus G_{\mathbb{Q}}} A_f.
\]

From now on, \( \chi \) denotes the quadratic Dirichlet character of conductor \( p \), i.e. the Dirichlet character attached to the quadratic number field \( K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p^2}) \), where \( p^* = (-1)^{(p-1)/2} \). Next, we summarize some facts concerning the modular abelian varieties \( A_f \) attached to newforms \( f \in \text{New}_{p^2} \) (see Section 2 of [Gon15] for detailed references).

The map \( f \mapsto f \otimes \chi \) is a permutation of the set \( \text{New}_{p^2} \cup \text{New}_p \). Under this bijection, there is a unique newform \( f \), up to Galois conjugation, such that \( f = f \otimes \chi \) when \( p \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \) and, moreover, in this case \( f \in \text{New}_{p^2} \).

If \( f \in \text{New}_{p^2} \) has complex multiplication (CM), i.e. \( f = f \otimes \chi \), then the dimension of \( A_f \) is the class number of \( K \) and \( A_f \) has all its endomorphisms defined over the Hilbert class field of \( K \). The endomorphism algebra \( \text{End}_K^0(A_f) \) is isomorphic to the CM field \( E_f \otimes K \) which only contains the roots of unity \( \pm 1 \). Moreover, \( f \in \text{New}_{p^2}^+ \) if and only if, \( p \equiv 3 \pmod{8} \).

Let \( f = \sum a_n q^n \in \text{New}_{p^2} \) be without CM. If \( f \) has an inner twist \( \chi' \neq 1 \), i.e. \( f \otimes \chi' = \sigma f \) for some \( \sigma \in G_{\mathbb{Q}} \), then \( \chi' = \chi \) because \( \chi' \) must be a quadratic character of conductor dividing \( p^2 \). In such a case, \( \text{End}_K^0(A_f) = \text{End}_K^0(A_f) \) is a noncommutative algebra. More precisely, set \( F_f := \mathbb{Q}(\{a_\ell^2\}) \), with \( \ell \) running over the set of all rational primes. If \( A_f \) is simple, then
\( \text{End}^0_K(A_f) \) is a quaternion algebra \( \mathcal{Q}_f \) over \( F_f \) (QM case), otherwise \( A_f \) is isogenous over \( K \) to the square of an abelian variety \( B_f \) and \( \text{End}^0_K(A_f) \) is isomorphic to the matrix algebra \( M_2(F_f) \) (RM case).

If \( \chi \) is not an inner twist for \( f \in \text{New}_{p^2}, \) then \( A_f \) is simple and \( \text{End}^0(A_f) \) is isomorphic to \( E_f \) (RM case).

For two distinct \( f_1, f_2 \in \text{New}_{p^2}/G_\mathbb{Q}, \) the abelian varieties \( A_{f_1} \) and \( A_{f_2} \) are not isogenous over \( \mathbb{Q} \) and are isogenous if, and only if, \( f_1 \otimes \chi = \sigma f_2 \) for some \( \sigma \in G_\mathbb{Q}. \) In this particular case, there is an isogeny defined over \( K. \)

In the sequel, we restrict our attention to the values \( 13 \leq p \leq 31. \) The next lemma can be obtained through the instruction \texttt{BrauerClass} in the program \textit{Magma}.

**Lemma 1.** There is not any \( f \in \text{New}_{p^2} \) with quaternionic multiplication for all \( 13 \leq p \leq 31. \)

Let us fix a set \( \{f_1, \cdots, f_r\} \) of representative cusp forms for the set \( \text{New}_{p^2}/G_\mathbb{Q}. \) We introduce the subsets \( S_{cm}, S_{rm}, S_s, \) and \( S_t \) of \( \text{New}_{p^2}/G_\mathbb{Q} \) as follows. The subsets \( S_{cm} \) and \( S_{rm} \) are the sets of newforms in \( \text{New}_{p^2}/G_\mathbb{Q} \) having \( \chi \) as an inner twist and corresponding to the CM and RM cases respectively. The subsets \( S_s \) and \( S_t \) are defined as follows:

\[ S_s = \{ f \in \text{New}_{p^2}/G_\mathbb{Q} : f \otimes \chi \in \text{New}_p/G_\mathbb{Q} \}, \quad S_t = \{ f_i \in \text{New}_{p^2}/G_\mathbb{Q} : f_j = f_i \otimes \chi, i < j \}. \]

For \( \text{New}^+_{p^2}/G_\mathbb{Q}, \) we introduce the following four sets \( S_{cm}^+ = S_{cm} \cap \text{New}^+_{p^2}, S_{rm}^+ = S_{rm} \cap \text{New}^+_{p^2}, S_s^+ = S_s \cap \text{New}^+_{p^2}, \) and \( S_t^+ = \{ f_i \in S_t \cap \text{New}^+_{p^2} : f_i \otimes \chi \in \text{New}^+_{p^2} \}. \) Hence, the splitting over \( K \) of \( J_{ns}(p) \) and \( J_{ns}^+(p) \) are

\[
J_{ns}(p) \cong \prod_{f \in S_{cm}} A_f \prod_{f \in S_s} A_f \prod_{f \in S_{rm}} B_f^2 \prod_{f \in S_t} A_f^2
\]

and

\[
J_{ns}^+(p) \cong \prod_{f \in S_{cm}^+} A_f \prod_{f \in S_s^+} A_f \prod_{f \in S_{rm}^+} B_f^2 \prod_{f \in S_t^+} A_f^2.
\]

The corresponding decomposition of their endomorphism algebras over \( K \) are

\[
\text{End}^0_K(J_{ns}(p)) \cong \prod_{f \in S_{cm}} E_f \otimes K \prod_{f \in S_s} E_f \prod_{f \in S_{rm}} M_2(F_f) \prod_{f \in S_t} M_2(E_f)
\]  \hspace{1cm} \text{(3.1)}

and

\[
\text{End}^0_K(J_{ns}^+(p)) \cong \prod_{f \in S_{cm}^+} E_f \otimes K \prod_{f \in S_s^+} E_f \prod_{f \in S_{rm}^+} M_2(F_f) \prod_{f \in S_t^+} M_2(E_f).
\]  \hspace{1cm} \text{(3.2)}

For \( 13 \leq p \leq 31, \) the following table shows the description of the sets \( \text{New}_{p^2}/G_\mathbb{Q} \) and \( \text{New}^+_{p^2}/G_\mathbb{Q} \) as well as the action of the map \( f \mapsto f \otimes \chi \) on the set \( \text{New}_{p^2} \cup \text{New}_p/G_\mathbb{Q}. \)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$p$</th>
<th>$g$</th>
<th>$\text{New}<em>{p^2}/G</em>{\mathbb{Q}}$</th>
<th>$\dim A_{f_i}$</th>
<th>$\mathcal{S}_{\text{rm}}$</th>
<th>$\mathcal{S}_{\text{cm}}$</th>
<th>$\mathcal{S}_t$ (twists)</th>
<th>$g^+$</th>
<th>$\text{New}<em>{p^2}^+/G</em>{\mathbb{Q}}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>${f_1, f_2, f_3}$</td>
<td>${f_1}$</td>
<td>$\emptyset$</td>
<td>${f_2}$</td>
<td>${f_2}$</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>${f_2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>${f_1, \ldots, f_6}$</td>
<td>${f_6}$</td>
<td>$\emptyset$</td>
<td>${f_2, f_4}$</td>
<td>${f_2, f_4}$</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>${f_1, f_2, f_4}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>${f_1, \ldots, f_6}$</td>
<td>${f_9}$</td>
<td>${f_3}$</td>
<td>${f_3, f_5, f_7}$</td>
<td>${f_3, f_5, f_7}$</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>${f_1, f_7, f_9}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>${f_1, \ldots, f_{10}}$</td>
<td>${f_7, f_8}$</td>
<td>${f_6}$</td>
<td>${f_1, f_4, f_9}$</td>
<td>${f_1, f_4, f_9}$</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>${f_7, f_8, f_9}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>${f_1, \ldots, f_{11}}$</td>
<td>${f_3, f_{11}}$</td>
<td>$\emptyset$</td>
<td>${f_1, f_5, f_7, f_9}$</td>
<td>${f_1, f_5, f_7, f_9}$</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>${f_1, f_2, f_5, f_6, f_7, f_9}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>${f_1, \ldots, f_{12}}$</td>
<td>${f_5, f_8, f_{11}, f_{12}}$</td>
<td>${f_7}$</td>
<td>${f_1, f_2, f_9}$</td>
<td>${f_1, f_2, f_9}$</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>${f_1, f_2, f_9, f_{12}}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1

The label of the newforms in $\text{New}_{p^2}$ is the one given by $\text{Magma}$. For a prime $p$, the set $\mathcal{S}_s$ is the set of newforms $f$ which do not appear in the columns corresponding to $\mathcal{S}_{\text{rm}}, \mathcal{S}_{\text{cm}}$ and $\mathcal{S}_t$ (twists).

**Proposition 1.** Let $p$ be a prime such that $13 \leq p \leq 31$. Then,

(i) The group $\text{Aut}(X^+_{ns}(p))$ is trivial.

(ii) The modular involution $w$ is the only nontrivial automorphism of $X_{ns}(p)$.

**Proof.** For $p = 13$, we already know that $\text{Aut}(X^+_{ns}(13))$ is trivial because $X^+_{ns}(13)$ is not hyperelliptic (cf. Bar10) and the endomorphism algebra $\text{End}^0(J^+_{ns}(13))$ is a totally real number field which only contains the roots of unity $\pm 1$.

We split the proof into the following steps.

**Step 1:** All automorphisms of $X_{ns}(p)$ and $X^+_{ns}(p)$ are defined over $K$.

On the one hand, for two distinct $f_1, f_2$ lying in $\text{New}_{p^2}/G_{\mathbb{Q}}$, without CM, $A_{f_1}$ and $A_{f_2}$ are isogenous if, and only if, $f_2$ is a Galois conjugate of $f_1 \otimes \chi$ and, in this case, the isogeny is defined over $K$. On the other hand, if $f \in \text{New}_{p^2}/G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ does not have CM, all endomorphisms
of $A_f$ are defined over $K$. Hence, if $\text{New}_{\wp^2}/G_{\mathbb{Q}}$, resp. $\text{New}_{\wp^2}^+/G_{\mathbb{Q}}$, does not contain a newform with CM, all endomorphisms of $J_{ns}(p)$, resp. $J_{ns}^+(p)$, are defined over $K$ and, in particular, also all automorphisms of the corresponding curve.

Assume that $\text{New}_{\wp^2}/G_{\mathbb{Q}}$, resp $\text{New}_{\wp^2}^+/G_{\mathbb{Q}}$, contains a newform $f$ with CM. Then all endomorphisms of $A_f$ are defined over the Hilbert class field of $K$ and, in particular, also all automorphisms of the corresponding curve.

Step 2: The only primes $N$ which can divide the order of a nontrivial automorphism of $X_{ns}(p)$ or $X_{ns}^+(p)$ are the displayed in the following tables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$p$</th>
<th>$N$</th>
<th>$p$</th>
<th>$N$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>2, 3, 7</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>2, 3</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>2, 3, 5</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>2, 3, 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>2, 3, 11</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>2, 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>2, 3, 5, 7</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>2, 3, 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>2, 3, 5</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>2, 3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The number fields which appear in the decomposition of $\text{End}^0_K(J_{ns}(p))$ (see (3.1)), resp. $\text{End}^0_K(J_{ns}^+(p))$ (see (3.2)), only contain the roots of unity $\pm 1$. The only matrix algebras in this decomposition are of the form $M_2(F)$ for $f \in S_{rm}$ and $f \in S_r$ for $J_{ns}(p)$, resp. $f \in S_{rm}^+$ and $f \in S_r^+$ for $J_{ns}^+(p)$. In the first case, $F = F_f$ and, in the second case, $F = E_f$. In any case, $F$ is a totally real number field. If there exists a nontrivial automorphism of order an odd prime $N$, then the maximal real subfield $K_N$ of the $N$-th cyclotomic field must be contained in some of these number fields $F$. In particular, $N - 1$ must divide $2[F : \mathbb{Q}]$. By looking at the following tables, obtained from Table 1,

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$p$</th>
<th>$[F : \mathbb{Q}]$</th>
<th>$p$</th>
<th>$[F : \mathbb{Q}]$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>1, 3</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>2, 3</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>2, 3</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>2, 5</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>1, 2, 3, 6, 8</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>1, 2, 6, 8</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

we obtain a few possibilities for $N$. After checking all of them, we obtain that the only cases in which $K_N$ is contained in some $F$ are the displayed in (3.3).

Step 3: There are no automorphisms of $X_{ns}(p)$ and $X_{ns}^+(p)$ of odd order.

The claim is obtained applying Theorem[4] to the curves $X_{ns}(p) \otimes \mathbb{F}_\ell$ and $X_{ns}^+(p) \otimes \mathbb{F}_\ell$, where
\( \ell \) is a prime splitting in \( K \), and for all \( N^m = N \) as in (3.3). We only show the case \( N = 3 \):

\[
\begin{array}{c|c|c|c}
\ell & X_{ns}(p) \otimes \mathbb{F}_\ell : \sum_n P_3(n) & X_{ns}^+(p) \otimes \mathbb{F}_\ell : \sum_n P_3(n) \\
13 & 3 & \sum_{n \leq 16} P_3(n) = 12 & - \\
17 & 2 & \sum_{n \leq 34} P_3(n) = 18 & - \\
19 & 5 & \sum_{n \leq 31} P_3(n) = 24 & \sum_{n \leq 14} P_3(n) = 12 \\
23 & 2 & \sum_{n \leq 52} P_3(n) = 35 & \sum_{n \leq 19} P_3(n) = 16 \\
29 & 5 & \sum_{n \leq 76} P_3(n) = 58 & \sum_{n \leq 47} P_3(n) = 27 \\
31 & 2 & \sum_{n \leq 86} P_3(n) = 66 & \sum_{n \leq 58} P_3(n) = 31 \\
\end{array}
\]

**Step 4:** The group \( \text{Aut}(X_{ns}^+(p)) \) is trivial.

We only need to prove that \( X_{ns}^+(p) \) does not have any involutions defined over \( K \). Again, the claim is obtained applying Theorem 1 to the curves \( X = X_{ns}^+(p) \otimes \mathbb{F}_\ell \) for \( p \neq 19 \) and \( X = X_{ns}^+(p) \otimes \mathbb{F}_2 \) for \( p = 19 \), and \( N^m = 2 \):

\[
\begin{array}{c|c|c}
p & \ell & \sum_n P_2(n) \\
17 & 2 & \sum_{n \leq 59} P_2(n) = 15 \\
19 & 2 & \sum_{n \leq 83} P_2(n) = 19 \\
23 & 2 & \sum_{n \leq 95} P_2(n) = 29 \\
29 & 5 & \sum_{n \leq 253} P_2(n) = 51 \\
31 & 2 & \sum_{n \leq 258} P_2(n) = 59 \\
\end{array}
\]

For \( p = 19 \), we have changed the prime \( \ell = 5 \) by \( \ell = 2 \) (2 is inert in \( K \)), because for \( \ell = 5 \) the sequence \( P_2(n) \) turns out to be equal to 0 for \( 8 \leq n \leq 200 \).

**Step 5:** The modular involution \( w \) is the only nontrivial automorphism of \( X_{ns}(p) \).

A nontrivial automorphism different from \( w \) does not commute with \( w \) because the group \( \text{Aut}(X_{ns}^+(p)) \) is trivial. Assume that there is a nontrivial automorphism \( u \) of \( X_{ns}(p) \) different from \( w \). Since the order of \( \text{Aut}_K(X_{ns}(p)) \) is a power of 2, we can suppose that \( u \) is an involution different from \( w \). The automorphism \( v = u \cdot w \) cannot be an involution, otherwise \( u \) and \( w \) would commute. Therefore, either \( v \) or a power of \( v \) has order 4.

Now, applying Theorem 1 to \( X = X_{ns}(p) \otimes \mathbb{F}_\ell \) for \( N^m = 4 \) and \( p \neq 13, 19 \), we obtain

\[
\begin{array}{c|c|c}
p & \ell & \sum_n P_4(n) \\
17 & 2 & \sum_{n \leq 81} P_4(n) = 38 \\
23 & 2 & \sum_{n \leq 127} P_4(n) = 70 \\
29 & 5 & \sum_{n \leq 143} P_4(n) = 115 \\
31 & 2 & \sum_{n \leq 291} P_4(n) = 134 \\
\end{array}
\]

Hence, for these four values of \( p \) the statement is proved. For \( p = 13 \) or 19, the sequence \( P_4(n) \) turns out to be equal to 0 for \( 6 < n \leq 250 \), even changing the prime \( \ell \). Nevertheless, applying Theorem 1 for \( N^m = 8 \), we prove that \( X_{ns}(13) \) and \( X_{ns}(19) \) do not have any automorphisms of order 8:

\[
\begin{array}{c|c|c}
p & \ell & \sum_n P_8(n) \\
13 & 3 & \sum_{n \leq 15} P_8(n) = 34 \\
19 & 5 & \sum_{n \leq 34} P_8(n) = 58 \\
\end{array}
\]
Therefore, the order of any automorphism of $X_{ns}(p)$ must divide 4. Assume that there is $v \in \text{Aut}(X_{ns}(p))$ of order 4. Then, the automorphism $u := v^2 \cdot w$ can only have order 2 or 4. On the one hand, $u$ cannot be an involution since $v^2$ and $w$ do no commute. On the other hand, if $u$ has order 4, then $u^2$ is an involution different from $w$ and, thus, $u^2 \cdot w = v^2 \cdot w \cdot v^2$ must have order 4, but $(u^2 \cdot w)^2 = 1$. Therefore, none of these two curves has automorphisms of order 4.

□
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