1	Assessment of a composting process for the treatment of beef cattle manure
2	
3	ALBERT MAGRÍ $^{1,\ast}$ and M. ROSA TEIRA-ESMATGES $^2$
4	
5	<sup>1</sup> IRSTEA, UR GERE, 17 Avenue de Cucillé, CS 64427, F-35044 Rennes, France.
6	
7	<sup>2</sup> Department of Environment and Soil Sciences, University of Lleida, Avinguda Alcalde
8	Rovira Roure 191, E-25198 Lleida, Catalonia, Spain.
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	*Address correspondence to Albert Magrí, IRSTEA, UR GERE, 17 Avenue de Cucillé,
24	CS 64427, F-35044 Rennes, France; Phone: +33 223482121; Fax: +33 223482115; e-
25	mail: <u>albert.magri@irstea.fr</u>
26	
27	

28 Abstract

30	The intensive breeding of beef cattle in Juncosa de les Garrigues (Catalonia, Spain)
31	leads to the production of large volumes of manure that need appropriate management.
32	Land application in the area at agronomic rates is not enough to ensure good
33	management practices, making necessary extended on-farm storage and the export of
34	part of the production to long distances. In this context, the implementation of a
35	collective treatment based on composting could help in enhancing the handling of
36	manure. We assessed a full-scale composting process based on turned windrows (W),
37	and involving treatment of beef cattle manure (CM) alone (two typologies were
38	considered according to C/N ratios of ~ 25 (CM1, W1) and ~ 14 (CM2, W2)), or mixed
39	with bulking agent (CM2/BA, W3) and dewatered digested sewage sludge
40	(CM2/BA/DDSS, W4). Composting significantly improved the transportability of
41	nutrients (final volumes were 40-54% of initial). Temperatures > 55°C were reached in
42	all the treatments but following different time patterns. Under the applied conditions of
43	turning and rewetting, 14 weeks of processing did not ensure the production of stable,
44	and mature, compost. Thus, only compost from W1 attained the maximum degree of
45	stability, as well as concentration of ammonium-N $< 0.01\%$ (with ammonium-N/nitrate-
46	N ratio of 0.2), and low phytotoxicity. However, the high pH, salinity, and heavy metal
47	contents (Cu and Zn) may limit still its final use. Addition of BA was advised to be kept
48	to a minimum, whereas, use of DDSS as co-substrate was not recommended in
49	agreement to the higher losses of N, and levels of heavy metals in the final compost.
50	

- 51 Keywords: Organic waste management; beef cattle manure; composting process;
  52 compost; nutrients recycling; agricultural value.
- 53

54 Introduction

55

56 Cattle manure (CM) is produced in large amounts in the breeding facilities of Juncosa de les Garrigues (Catalonia, Spain).<sup>[1]</sup> In this municipality are fattened more than 9.000 57 58 beef calves per year, which leads to an annual manure production of more than 20.000 Mg. In this context, appropriate land application of manure at agronomic rates must be 59 60 assured to preserve the environmental quality of agricultural ecosystems, atmosphere, 61 and water resources. The low requirements in fertilization of the local rainfed 62 agriculture (mainly consisting on the cropping of almond trees and olive trees), coupled to the difficulty in accessing part of the existing fields due to the topography of the 63 terrain (slopes, terraces, etc.), make necessary extended storage on the farms and the 64 export of part of the production to long distances. Thus, it would be interesting to 65 66 consider the implementation of a collective low-technology treatment that could help in reducing the volume of manure to be managed while enhancing its properties, resulting 67 in the production of a material easier to handle and transport. In this regard, among the 68 available technologies to treat manure, <sup>[2]</sup> composting is attractive because allows 69 70 producing a value-added product (compost) for the recycling of organic matter (OM) and nutrients. 71

72

Indeed, composting is a widely applied process when dealing with organic solid waste
 management. <sup>[3]</sup> It consists on the biological decomposition and stabilization of organic

75 by-products, under conditions that allow development of thermophilic temperatures as a 76 result of biologically produced heat, to produce a final product that is stable, free of pathogens and plants seeds, and can be beneficially applied to land. <sup>[4]</sup> Aeration and 77 moisture need to be supplied in order to attain good process performance. Aeration can 78 be provided either by mechanical turning or by means of forced aeration. Use of bulking 79 agent (BA) will increase convective airflow through windrows. On the other hand, 80 rewetting can be required to compensate the large quantity of water that can evaporate 81 82 during composting and maintain the optimum moisture content (MC) for microbial activity. In this regard, too high MCs result in undesired anaerobic conditions while 83 84 very low contents cause early dehydration, which will stop the process.

85

The quality of the compost is dependent on many factors such as feedstock sources and 86 proportions used, composting procedure, and length of maturation. It can be evaluated 87 according to physical, chemical, and biological criteria.<sup>[5-7]</sup> Physical parameters include 88 temperature, MC, bulk density, particles size, porosity, and airflow resistance, as well as 89 90 thermal, electrical, mechanical, and optical properties. Chemical criteria focus on the composition and particularly on the content of nutrients, water-soluble extract, presence 91 of heavy metals and organic pollutants, OM quality, cation exchange capacity, etc. 92 Finally, biological criteria include microbial activity indicators based on the monitoring 93 of the respiration or enzyme activity, as well as phytotoxicity tests. The quality of the 94 compost defines the marketing potential of the product. Compost can be employed as 95 soil amendment and fertilizer in agriculture <sup>[8]</sup> and landscaping, <sup>[9]</sup> but also as growing 96 media in horticulture <sup>[10]</sup> and gardening. <sup>[11]</sup> Features of good quality are stability (and 97 98 maturity) and sufficiently low salinity and heavy metal contents. Immature or poorly

99 stabilized composts may have adverse effects on seed germination and/or plant growth
100 due to the presence of phytotoxic compounds. <sup>[12-13]</sup>

101

102 Composting has been reported as alternative for manure management in beef cattle farms <sup>[14-15]</sup> in contrast to fresh handling or stockpiling. <sup>[16]</sup> On-farm factors such as 103 operational practices, bedding, and climate will significantly affect the characteristics of 104 CM (i.e., MC, carbon-to-nitrogen ratio (C/N), etc.) and, thus, the composting process 105 itself.<sup>[17]</sup> Manure sources suitable for composting include solid dung, separated solid 106 fractions, and settled sludges. <sup>[18]</sup> Mixture with a vegetable-based substrate may be 107 appropriate to give the product structure, as well as an increased C/N ratio. Liquid 108 manures should be previously processed through a solid-liquid separation treatment 109 <sup>[10,13,19-20]</sup> unless only small amounts are added to the composting blend. Ammonia 110 (NH<sub>3</sub>) volatilization from mixtures with a high initial ammonium-N content can be 111 difficult to avoid during composting. <sup>[15,18]</sup> Although a variety of materials can be 112 113 employed as bedding material, in farms, use of cereal straw is rather common and many composting experiences have been described using such farmyard manure/bedding 114 mixture. <sup>[21-24]</sup> Otherwise, straw can be also supplied as composting co-substrate. <sup>[25-26]</sup> 115 116 Yet, straw may result expensive, which can limit its use. Blend of farmyard manure with other by-products prior to composting is also feasible <sup>[27-29]</sup> but appropriateness, 117 118 availability of materials in the geographical area, and reasonable costs should be guaranteed. In this regard, co-composting may even imply an economic income for 119 manure processing facilities. 120

122	The objective of this work was to assess a composting process based on turned
123	windrows and involving treatment of beef CM. Effect of farmyard manure typology,
124	addition of BA, and use of dewatered digested sewage sludge (DDSS) as co-substrate
125	were considered. The quality of the produced compost was evaluated in terms of
126	stability, chemical composition, and agricultural value. The assessment here described
127	was carried out in the framework of a study for the farmers of Juncosa de les Garrigues.
128	
129	Materials and methods
130	
131	Feedstocks used for composting
132	
133	Table 1 shows the composition of the feedstocks used in the composting experiment.
134	Manure was collected from different beef cattle farms, where straw was used as bedding
135	material, in Juncosa de les Garrigues. Two typologies of CM were considered according
136	to their initial C/N ratio; typical value $^{[1]}$ (CM2, source: fattening calves, C/N ~ 14) and
137	high value <sup>[1]</sup> (CM1, source: highly bedded young calves, $C/N \sim 25$ ) (Table 1). DDSS
138	was obtained from the Waste Water Treatment Plant (WWTP) of Lleida (Catalonia).
139	Sewage sludge from primary and secondary clarifiers is treated in mesophilic anaerobic
140	digesters for biogas production and subsequently dewatered by means of centrifuge
141	decanters. DDSS was tested as co-substrate because there is availability of such by-
142	product in the area, and potentially, it might imply an economic income (coming from
143	the WWTP) while supplying water and nutrients to the composting mixture. The BA
144	consisted of hammer-milled municipal tree pruning waste from the city of Lleida. Use

of BA was evaluated because it influences the aeration of the windrows during the 145 composting process. 146

147

#### Compositng procedure 148

149

Four composting windrows were prepared using CM alone (windrows 1 (W1, CM1) 150 151 and 2 (W2, CM2)), CM mixed with BA (windrow 3 (W3, CM2/BA)), and CM mixed with DDSS and BA (windrow 4 (W4, CM2/DDSS/BA)) (Table 2). Experiments were 152 carried out at the Catalan Municipal Waste Treatment Centres (MWTCs) of the Baix 153 Camp County (Botarell) (W1) and the Segrià County (Montoliu de Lleida) (W2, W3, 154 and W4). Feedstocks were transported to the MWTCs by truck. Windrows were 155 156 prepared into paved and covered open buildings using a front-end loader. In W3 and W4, the materials to be composted were piled together targeting the volumetric ratios 157 shown in Table 2, and subsequently mixed using a windrow turner. Raw materials were 158 159 weighed before being piled. The resulting windrows were  $1.3 \pm 0.2$  m high and  $2.9 \pm 0.2$ m wide at the base, and varied in length from 9 to 13 m. Windrows were turned 160 occasionally for aeration (roughly once per week; except for W1 first 3 weeks, when 161 turning was 4 days per week) (Fig.1) by means of a windrow turner. Besides, the 162 windrows were rewetted with water in order to provide moisture and to maintain its 163 content between 40% and 65% (w/w)  $^{[17]}$  throughout the experimental period (14 164 165 weeks).

166

**Process monitoring** 167

169	Temperature of the windrows was measured manually. It was averaged considering 4
170	measuring points per windrow (80 cm depth). Temperature was measured using a 638
171	Pt digital thermometer and a Pt100 penetration probe -1 m long- (Crison Instruments
172	S.A., Alella, Spain). Mean daily air temperatures during the experimental period were
173	obtained from weather stations located less than 10 km from the study sites. These
174	temperatures averaged 21.9°C (ranging from 14.2°C to 26.0°C) in the case of W1, and
175	16.3°C (ranging from 7.3°C to 27.8°C) in the rest of the cases. Weekly, the MC in the
176	windrows was measured gravimetrically after drying a fresh sample at 105°C up to
177	constant weight (3 replicates). Volume of the windrows was estimated once per month
178	according to length, width, and height measurements, and expressed in relative terms
179	(% of initial). Samples of feedstocks used for physicochemical characterization were
180	obtained after receiving the feedstocks in the corresponding treatment centre. Samples
181	of compost used for physicochemical characterization, stability, and biological tests
182	were obtained after screening the compost and discarding particles larger than 15 mm.
183	
184	Compost quality

Compost stability was evaluated qualitatively according to the Dewar self-heating test
 <sup>[30-31]</sup> (2 replicates). The principle of this method is to record the highest temperature
 achieved after placement of compost into a standardized vessel for several days.

191 Interpretation of the results is based on division into five levels of 10°C increments of

*Stability* 

the compost heating over ambient, ranging from Class I (40-50°C or more) to Class V
(0-10°C).

194

195 *Physicochemical analyses* 

196

The pH and electrical conductivity (EC) at 25°C were measured using a pH-meter and a 197 conductimeter, respectively, in a 1/5 (w/w) extract made with distilled water. OM was 198 determined gravimetrically after ignition of a dry sample in a muffle furnace at 550°C. 199 Organic nitrogen (Org-N) was determined using the Kjeldahl method based on the 200 digestion of a dry sample, distillation, and final titration. <sup>[31-32]</sup> Total ammonium (NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>) 201 202 was determined by distillation of a fresh sample diluted in water, and subsequent titration. <sup>[33]</sup> Nitrates (NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>) were determined by ionic chromatography <sup>[34]</sup> in a 1/5203 (w/w) water extract from a fresh sample. The C/N ratio was estimated considering C as 204 organic-C (0.58\*OM)<sup>[13]</sup> and N as Org-N plus NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>-N. Phosphorus (P) and potassium 205 (K), as well as cadmium (Cd), chromium (Cr), copper (Cu), nickel (Ni), lead (Pb), and 206 zinc (Zn) were determined by emission spectroscopy using the inductively coupled 207 plasma (ICP) method after microwave-assisted acid digestion of a dry sample. <sup>[33-34]</sup> 208 Analogously, mercury (Hg) was determined using the cold-vapor atomic fluorescence 209 spectrometric (CVAFS) method.<sup>[35]</sup> 210 211

212 Biological tests

213

A modified germination test was carried out in order to evaluate phytotoxicity problems
linked to the use of compost. <sup>[36]</sup> A filter paper was placed inside Petri dishes and wetted

with 5 mL of compost/water extract at a ratio 1/5 (w/w). Then, 10 seeds of lettuce
(*Lactuca sativa* L.) were placed on the paper. Deionized water was used as a control and
all experiments were run in quadruplicate. The Petri dishes were covered with a bell to
minimize water loss and then were incubated at 20°C under a daily cycle of 8 h light /
16 h dark. The filter paper was kept moist throughout the test. At the end of 7 days, the
percentage of seed germination in compost extract was compared with that of the water
control and expressed in relative terms as germination percentage.

223

A modified growing test was carried out in order to evaluate the vegetal response to the 224 use of compost. <sup>[31]</sup> The test was conducted in 500 mL plastic pots using certified barley 225 226 seeds (Hordeum vulgare L.). Pots were filled with compost mixed with a reference substrate (peat) at ratios of 0, 25, and 50% (in volume) and watered with 18/20/20 227 (N/P/K) nutrient solution in order to obtain 150 mg N L<sup>-1</sup> substrate. After a short period 228 of time to allow the surplus of water to drain, 10 seeds were sown in each pot. All 229 experiments were run in quadruplicate. The planted pots were kept in a greenhouse 230 231 located at the Campus of the University of Lleida, where they were regularly watered. At the end of 21 days, the plants were harvested by cutting them off between the root 232 and stalk, and the dry weight (at 65°C) was recorded. The vegetal yield in the tested 233 234 substrates (25-50% compost mixtures) was expressed as a percentage of the yield in the 235 peat control.

236

237 Statistical analyses

239	Data concerning biological tests was subjected to an analysis of variance. The
240	separation of means was done by the Duncan's multiple range test ( $\alpha = 0.05$ ). The
241	statistical analysis was made using the SAS statistical package. <sup>[37]</sup>
242	
243	Results and discussion
244	
245	Process monitoring
246	
247	Temperatures into the thermophilic range (above 55°C) were reached in all the
248	experimental windrows (Fig.1), which is indicative of an intense microbial activity
249	linked to the degradation of organic compounds. The achievement of high temperatures
250	during composting increases the likelihood of better destruction of pathogens, parasites,
251	and weed seeds. <sup>[15]</sup> In this regard, the US EPA <sup>[38]</sup> stated as guideline for pathogen
252	elimination during biosolids windrow composting temperatures of 55°C or higher for 15
253	days or longer (during this period, the windrow must be turned a minimum of 5 times).
254	Maximum temperatures attained in this work were 71.0°C for W1, 73.6°C for W2,
255	66.6°C for W3, and 68.9°C for W4. However, time-dependent temperature profiles
256	varied according to the treatment applied. It was not always possible to identify the
257	usual pattern <sup>[10,19,24]</sup> of a thermophilic phase followed by a mesophilic phase. In
258	addition, temperatures might fluctuate greatly throughout the experiment as previously
259	reported in similar studies. <sup>[26]</sup> On the other hand, the MC in the windrows was
260	significantly lower at the end of the process than it was initially even though the
261	multiple rewetting events applied (Fig.1).
262	

263 The slowest initial temperature increase was in W1 (it took 6 days to attain temperatures 264 above 55°C), probably because of the more frequent windrow turning during the first 265 days of experiment. The averaged temperature of the windrow exceeded 55°C in 45% of 266 the days with data, and lower temperatures were obtained at the end of the experiment 267 (the temperature upturns observed at this point may be explained by the rewetting 268 events applied). Within W2, the temperature rose up faster than in W1 up to attaining 269 the maximum value and then initiated a progressive decrease throughout the 270 experimental period but not below 49°C (temperature exceeded 55°C in 74% of the days with data). A lower initial turning frequency may have reduced the composting rate <sup>[39]</sup> 271 and resulted in a longer thermophilic phase (despite of the lower C/N ratio of CM2). A 272 273 systematic turning schedule according to temperature registers, as used for example by Cáceres et al.,<sup>[10]</sup> would help in enhancing the control over the process operation. In the 274 case of W3, temperature increased similarly to W2 but then fell down below 49°C for 275 276 several weeks. Afterwards, temperatures into the thermophilic range were recovered and maintained until the end of the experiment (temperature exceeded 55°C in 46% of the 277 278 days with data). Hence, blending of CM with BA (at a volumetric ratio of 1/1), targeting an increase in the porosity of the windrow for a better aeration by natural convection, 279 limited the attainment of temperatures above 55°C. This behavior could be explained 280 281 because of a lower capability of the windrow for heat retention and the non-reduction of the turning frequency with respect to W2. Use of BA may also help in conditioning high 282 MC materials (such as sewage sludge), <sup>[40]</sup> but this is not necessarily applicable here, 283 284 where manures to be processed had MCs below 70%. Thus, it is advisable to keep the use of BA to a minimum in order to save in running costs and space within the 285

composting facility. Finally, temperature in W4 did not follow a clear trend, exceeding
55°C in 69% of the days with data.

288

The high temperatures at the end of the experimental period of 14 weeks (particularly in 289 W2, W3, and W4) would make advisable a longer time frame before stopping the 290 291 process. Similar composting experiences (involving the formation of turned windrows 292 for CM treatment) considered variable processing times depending on the case study, with active decomposition periods lasting from 8 to 21 weeks that could be followed by 293 maturation periods comprising several months.<sup>[16,21-27]</sup> Inappropriate duration of the 294 global process will have negative effects on the quality of the produced compost, 295 resulting in a poorly stabilized and immature material with a limited potential use.<sup>[7]</sup> 296 297 Thus, temperature drop to ambient level (while assuring appropriate MC) should be prioritized as indicator of completion of the active decomposition period <sup>[17]</sup> in relation 298 to other operational criteria such as processing time length (e.g., linked to space 299 availability in the treatment facility). 300 301 The volume of the windrows decreased sharply throughout the experiment. Final 302 volume of the windrows accounted for only 40-54% of the initial volume (Table 3). 303 This reduction is consistent with the values reported by other authors, <sup>[13,21]</sup> being 304 305 mainly attributable to the conversion of organic compounds into carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>), loss of moisture, and reduction of the particles size during composting. Such volume 306 307 reduction (and consequent mass loss) results in the concentration of the mineral fraction, which helps to increase the transportability of the final product (compared to 308 fresh manure) for the export of nutrients to long distances.<sup>[41]</sup> 309

С	1	n
Э	T	υ.

*Compost quality* 311 312 313 Stability 314 Once the process was finalized, only compost from W1 reached the maximum degree of 315 stability in the self-heating test (Table 4). Poorly stabilized composts can pose problems 316 during storage or shipping, and use. The material may become anaerobic, odorous, and 317 develop toxic compounds. Active decomposition of the material after application to soil 318 319 or addition to growing media can impair plant growth by reducing root-available 320 oxygen, plant-available nitrogen, or through release of phytotoxic compounds into the root zone.<sup>[42]</sup> 321 322 Chemical composition 323 324 Table 5 shows the main physicochemical characteristics of the final composts obtained 325 from the four treatments applied. The pH values were neutral to alkaline depending on 326 the particular case. Final pH in compost from W1 was especially high (above 9.0), 327 which could have important implications on the fertility and productivity of soils 328 subjected to compost amendment, as well as on the development of pH-sensitive plants. 329 <sup>[43]</sup> High occurrence of nitrification (conversion of  $NH_4^+$  into  $NO_3^-$ ) after the 330 331 thermophilic phase may help in reducing the pH (and alkalinity) of the compost since it is an acidifying process. <sup>[10,13,23]</sup> The measure of EC is meaningful because it reflects the 332

salinity of the compost, i.e., overly salty compost is likely harmful to plants. In this 333

regard, higher sensibility to EC exists when compost is used as growing media. <sup>[11]</sup>
Owing to the increase in the concentration of mineral matter throughout the process, the
EC of the final compost rose up to 8.4-15.4 dS m<sup>-1</sup> (values measured after 1/5 (w/w)
water extraction). Those composts obtained exclusively using CM presented the higher
values. Generally, the EC of animal manure composts are higher than those of other
organic waste composts. <sup>[44]</sup> Blending of these composts with other non-saline materials
may help in balancing the EC.

341

The OM content of the composts was lower than that of the processed feedstocks due to 342 the degradation of organic compounds and the consequent release of C as CO<sub>2</sub>. Final 343 344 C/N ratios in the compost were 14.7 for W1, 9.6 for W2, 10.4 for W3, and 8.5 for W4. The concentration of Org-N increased for composts from W1, W2, and W3 with respect 345 to the corresponding raw feedstocks, but not for compost from W4 (prepared using 346 DDSS). Initially, this last windrow presented the highest concentration of NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>-N but 347 the lowest C/N ratio, which resulted in significant losses of N due probably to NH<sub>3</sub> 348 volatilization.<sup>[45]</sup> Literature usually describes negative correlation between the C/N 349 ratio and N loss during composting, <sup>[24,26]</sup> with recommendable initial values for the C/N 350 ratio above 20.<sup>[17]</sup> However, some works dealing with CM composting report lower 351 initial values for the C/N ratio, <sup>[16,46]</sup> and that NH<sub>3</sub> volatilization is also favored by other 352 factors such as high concentrations of easily decomposable N and C compounds in the 353 raw material, high number of turnings, good porosity, high temperature and pH, and 354 warm environmental conditions.<sup>[47]</sup> Whatever the reason, N losses by volatilization 355 should be minimized in order to (i) retain N in the compost so as to maximize its 356 fertilizing value for crop production and (ii) reduce their environmental impact, e.g., 357

358	release of NH <sub>3</sub> (offensive odorant and acidifying agent). On the other hand, high losses
359	of soluble forms by runoff were not expected since composting was performed on
360	covered surfaces that preserved the windrows from rain. Concentrations of $\mathrm{NH_4}^+$ -N
361	declined throughout processing but ranged from $< 0.01\%$ (W1) to $\sim 0.3\%$ (W2, W3, and
362	W4) on a dry weight basis. Thus, only in W1 the final $NH_4^+$ -N content was below the
363	maximum of 0.04% recommended in finished composts. <sup>[48]</sup> In addition, the $\mathrm{NH_4^+}$ -
364	N/NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> -N ratio in this compost was as low as 0.20 (NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> -N = 0.03%), practically
365	equaling the threshold of 0.16 established as indicator of maturity by Bernal et al. $^{[49]}$
366	
367	Presence of heavy metals in compost must be controlled in order to protect soil quality
368	and prevent contamination <sup>[50]</sup> . In this regard, the Spanish legislation establishes
369	limitations in the heavy metal contents of the fertilizing products made from waste and
370	other organic components <sup>[51]</sup> (threshold concentrations of Cd, Cr, Cu, Hg, Ni, Pb, and
371	Zn are 0.7, 70, 70, 0.4, 25, 45, and 200 mg kg <sup><math>-1</math></sup> dry weight for the higher quality
372	products (class A); 2, 250, 300, 1.5, 90, 150, and 500 mg kg <sup>-1</sup> for the medium quality
373	products (class B); and 3, 300, 400, 2.5, 100, 200, and 1000 mg kg <sup>-1</sup> for the lower
374	quality products (class C), respectively), as well as growing media <sup>[52]</sup> (same threshold
375	concentrations for classes A and B, but not applicable for class C). In our study,
376	compost from W4 (prepared using DDSS) presented the highest heavy metal contents.
377	Concentrations of Cd, Cr, Cu, Hg, Ni, and Zn above those previously referred for class
378	A products were measured in this compost, but still below thresholds proposed for class
379	C products. However, concentrations of Cu and Zn were also high when composting
380	CM alone. These relatively high concentrations in compost are largely derived from

381	additives used as animal feeds that can contain high levels of these metals since most of
382	the dietary Cu and Zn are not assimilated by livestock, but excreted in manure. <sup>[44]</sup>
383	
384	Biological tests
385	
386	Germination test
387	
388	The best results of the germination test were obtained for the compost extract from W1,
389	although germination was lower than for the water control (Table 6). Conversely, strong
390	phytotoxicity was evidenced for the compost extract from W2 since no seed
391	germination took place in this case. Phytotoxicity linked to the use of immature
392	compost, or fresh manure, can be caused by several parameters including salinity, $\mathrm{NH_4^+}$ ,
393	organic compounds such as fatty acids and phenolic substances, and heavy metals. <sup>[12,53-</sup>
394	54]
395	
396	Growth test
397	
398	According to FCQAO <sup>[31]</sup> recommendations, compost is considered to be tolerated by
399	plants, and suitable as soil improver and fertilizer, if no visible chlorosis or necrosis
400	appear on the leaves, and the vegetal yield when using 25% compost mixture reaches at
401	least 90% of the yield obtained using the reference substrate alone. In this study, the
402	yield achieved using mixture of compost from W1 (25% compost + 75% peat) was even
403	higher than the yield achieved using reference substrate (100% peat) (Table 7), and no
404	visible damage was detected in the barley plants. These satisfactory results were not

405	obtain	ed for composts from W2, W3, and W4. On the other hand, and according to the
406	aforer	nentioned source, <sup>[31]</sup> compost can be used as blending component for growing
407	media	if the vegetal yield when using 50% compost mixture reaches at least 90% of the
408	yield o	obtained using the reference substrate alone. Again, results for compost from W1
409	were s	satisfactory (but not for the others), although there was a little delay in
410	germi	nation which had no effects in the final growth.
411		
412	Conc	lusions
413		
414	I.	A full-scale composting process in turned windrows was monitored during 14
415		weeks. CM alone (two typologies of manure, i.e., with C/N ratios of ~ 25 (CM1,
416		W1) and $\sim$ 14 (CM2, W2)), or mixed with BA (CM2/BA blended at volumetric
417		ratios of 1/1, W3) and DDSS/BA (CM2/DDSS/BA blended at volumetric ratios
418		of 1/1/1, W4) was used in this experiment.
419		
420	II.	Temperatures $> 55^{\circ}$ C were reached in all the treatments (which has positive
421		implications concerning sanitation), but following different time patterns. Under
422		the applied conditions of turning (frequency of $\sim 1$ time per week; except for W1
423		first 3 weeks, when turning was 4 days per week) and rewetting (40% $<$ MC $<$
424		65%), the length of processing was not enough to obtain stable composts. Thus,
425		only compost from W1 attained the maximum degree of stability. Use of BA
426		(while maintaining turning frequency) reduced temperatures into the
427		thermophilic range. A systematic program for the turning of the windrows
428		according to the temperatures achieved during processing, as well as the

429		assurance of the temperature drop to ambient levels at the end of the active
430		decomposition period, would enhance the control over the process.
431		
432	III.	The volume of the windrows decreased sharply throughout the process, with
433		final volumes accounting for 40-54% of initial.
434		
435	IV.	Chemical composition of the final composts evidenced high fertilizing values in
436		terms of N/P/K. However, only compost from W1 satisfied the
437		recommendations for the concentration of $NH_4^+$ -N in mature composts (<
438		0.04%), with $NH_4^+$ -N/NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> -N = 0.20. Addition of DDSS in W4 resulted in high
439		contents of metals in the compost although Cu and Zn were also high when
440		composting CM alone. The high pH (in case of W1), EC, or heavy metal
441		contents may limit the use of the composts.
442		
443	V.	Maturation must be assured to reduce phytotoxicity issues. Biological tests
444		(germination and growth) were conducted to evaluate the agronomic value of the
445		final composts. Positive results were obtained only for compost from W1,
446		satisfying the required criteria to be used as soil improver, fertilizer, or in the
447		preparation of growing media.
448		
449	VI.	Use of BA is advised to be kept to a minimum when composting CM (although
450		it may enhance convective aeration of windrows or help in the conditioning of
451		feedstocks with MCs $>$ 70%) to save in running costs and space within the
452		treatment facility. Addition of DDSS is not advisable (even if it might represent

453		an economic income) because it favors the loss of N, and increases the heavy
454		metal contents in the final compost. Nutrient retention during composting must
455		be guaranteed by minimizing NH <sub>3</sub> emissions.
456		
457	VII.	Overall, composting enhances the transportability of nutrients to long distances.
458		This will reduce the risk of environmental affectations (soil, air, and water
459		quality degradation) in areas with high farm densities such as Juncosa de les
460		Garrigues while enhancing soil quality and crop productivity in other nutrient-
461		deficient areas.
462		
463	Ackno	owledgements
464		
465	This re	esearch was funded by the Farmers Association of Juncosa de les Garrigues.
466	Author	rs thank the collaboration of FCC Medio Ambiente exploiting the MWTC of the
467	Segrià	County, SECOMSA exploiting the MWTC of the Baix Camp County, and the
468	experi	mental work carried out by the students Patrícia Martín and Vanessa Salvador
469	(Unive	ersity of Lleida) as part of their degree thesis.
470		
471	Refere	ences
472		
473	[1] Tei	ira, R.; Flotats, X.; Casañé, A.; Magrí, A.; Martín, P.; Montané, L.; Tarradas, J.;
474	Campo	os, E.; Bonmatí, A. A case study on livestock waste management: Juncosa de les
475	Garrig	ues (Catalonia, Spain). In Avances en Ingeniería Ambiental. Libro de Textos
476	Compl	letos de las Jornadas Internacionales de Ingeniería Ambiental. Vol 1: Aire,

- 477 Suelos, Residuos, Modelización Ambiental; Moreno, S.; Moreno, J.M.; Elvira, B., Eds.;
  478 UPCT: Cartagena, Spain, 1999; 283-292.
- 479
- 480 [2] Flotats, X.; Foged, H.L.; Bonmatí, A.; Palatsi, J.; Magrí, A.; Martin-Schelde, K.
- 481 Manure Processing Technologies, Technical Report No. II concerning "Manure
- 482 Processing Activities in Europe"; European Commission, Directorate-General
- 483 Environment, 2011. Internet: <a href="http://ec.europa.eu/environment/water/water-">http://ec.europa.eu/environment/water/water-</a>
- 484 nitrates/studies.html> (accessed Nov. 2014).
- 485
- 486 [3] Gajalakshmi, S.; Abbasi, S.A. Solid waste management by composting: state of the

487 art. Crit. Rev. Environ. Sci. Technol. 2008, 38 (5), 311-400.

- 488
- 489 [4] Haug, R.T. *The Practical Handbook of Compost Engineering*; Lewis Publishers:
- 490 Boca Raton, FL, USA, 1993.
- 491
- 492 [5] Agnew, J.M.; Leonard, J.J. The physical properties of compost. Compost Sci. Util.
  493 2003, 11 (3), 238-264.
- 494
- 495 [6] de Guardia, A.; Tremier, A.; Martinez, J. 16 Indicators for determination of
- 496 stability of composts and recycled organic wastes. In Resource Recovery and Reuse in
- 497 Organic Solid Waste Management; Lens, P.; Hamelers, B.; Hoitink, H.; Bidlingmaier,
- W., Eds.; Integrated Environmental Technology Series; IWA Publishing: London, UK,
  2004; 338-376.
- 500

501	[7] Bernal, M.P.; Alburquerque, J.A.; Moral, R. Composting of manures and chemical
502	criteria for compost maturity assessment. A review. Bioresour. Technol. 2009, 100 (22),
503	5444-5453.
504	
505	[8] Hargreaves, J.C.; Adl, M.S.; Warman, P.R. A review of the use of composted
506	municipal solid waste in agriculture. Agric. Ecosyst. Environ. 2008, 123 (1-3), 1-14.
507	
508	[9] Sæbø,A.; Ferrini, F. The use of compost in urban green areas – A review for
509	practical application. Urban For. Urban Green. 2006, 4 (3-4), 159-169.
510	

511 [10] Cáceres, R.; Flotats, X.; Marfà, O. Changes in the chemical and physicochemical

512 properties of the solid fraction of cattle slurry during composting using different

513 aeration strategies. Waste Manage. **2006**, *26* (10), 1081-1091.

514

515 [11] Barral, M.T.; Moldes, A.; Cendón, Y.; Díaz-Fierros, F. Assessment of municipal

solid waste compost quality using standardized methods before preparation of plant

517 growth media. Waste Manage. Res. **2007**, *25* (2), 99-108.

518

519 [12] Wong, M.H. Phytotoxicity of refuse compost during the process of maturation.

- 520 Environ. Pollut. A–Ecol. Biol. **1985**, *37* (2), 159-174.
- 521

[13] Inbar, Y.; Hadar, Y.; Chen, Y. Recycling of cattle manure: the composting process
and characterization of maturity. J. Environ. Qual. 1993, *22* (4), 857-863.

525	[14] DeLuca, T.H.; DeLuca, D.K. Composting for feedlot manure management and soil
526	quality. J. Prod. Agric. 1997, 10 (2), 235-241.

528 [15] Larney, F.J.; Hao, X. A review of composting as a management alternative for beef

cattle feedlot manure in southern Alberta, Canada. Bioresour. Technol. **2007**, *98* (17),

 530
 3221-3227.

531

532 [16] Larney, F.J.; Buckley, K.E.; Hao, X.; McCaughey, W.P. Fresh, stockpiled, and

533 composted beef cattle feedlot manure: Nutrient levels and mass balance estimates in

534 Alberta and Manitoba. J. Environ. Qual. **2006**, *35* (6), 1844-1854.

535

536 [17] Rynk, R.; van de Kamp, M.; Willson, G.B.; Singley, M.E.; Richard, T.L.; Kolega,

537 J.J.; Gouin, F.R.; Laliberty Jr., L.; Kay, D.; Murphy, D.W.; Hoitink, H.A.J.; Brinton,

538 W.F. On-Farm Composting Handbook; Northeast Regional Agricultural Engineering

539 Service: Ithaca, NY, USA, 1992.

540

541 [18] Petersen, S.O.; Sommer, S.G.; Béline, F.; Burton, C.; Dach, J.; Dourmad, J.Y.;

Leip, A.; Misselbrook, T.; Nicholson, F., Poulsen, H.D.; Provolo, G.; Sørensen, P.;

543 Vinnerås, B.; Weiske, A.; Bernal, M.-P.; Böhm, R.; Juhász, C.; Mihelic, R. Recycling

of livestock manure in a whole-farm perspective. Livest. Sci. 2007, 112 (3), 180-191.

- 546 [19] Brito, L.M.; Coutinho, J.; Smith, S.R. Methods to improve the composting process
- of the solid fraction of dairy cattle slurry. Bioresour. Technol. 2008, 99 (18), 8955-
- 548 8960.

550	[20] Brito, L.M.; Mourão, I.; Coutinho, J.; Smith, S.R. Simple technologies for on-farm
551	composting of cattle slurry solid fraction. Waste Manage. 2012, 32 (7), 1332-1340.
552	
553	[21] Larney, F.J.; Olson, A.F.; Carcamo, A.A.; Chang, C. Physical changes during
554	active and passive composting of beef feedlot manure in winter and summer. Bioresour.
555	Technol. 2000, 75 (2), 139-148.
556	
557	[22] Parkinson, R.; Gibbs, P.; Burchett, S.; Misselbrook, T. Effect of turning regime and
558	seasonal weather conditions on nitrogen and phosphorus losses during aerobic
559	composting of cattle manure. Bioresour. Technol. 2004, 91 (2), 171-178.
560	
561	[23] Larney, F.J.; Olson, A.F. Windrow temperatures and chemical properties during
562	active and passive aeration composting of beef cattle feedlot manure. Can. J. Soil Sci.
563	<b>2006</b> , <i>86</i> (5), 783-797.
564	
565	[24] Larney, F.J.; Olson, A.F.; Miller, J.J.; DeMaere, P.R.; Zvomuya, F.; McAllister,
566	T.A. Physical and chemical changes during composting of wood chip-bedded and
567	straw-bedded beef cattle feedlot manure. J. Environ. Qual. 2008, 37 (2), 725-735.
568	
569	[25] Shi, W.; Norton, J.M.; Miller, B.E.; Pace, M.G. Effects of aeration and moisture
570	during windrow composting on the nitrogen fertilizer values of dairy waste composts.
571	Appl. Soil Ecol. <b>1999</b> , <i>11</i> (1), 17-28.
572	

573	[26] Michel Jr., F.C.; Pecchia, J.A.; Rigot, J.; Keener, H.M. Mass and nutrient losses
574	during the composting of dairy manure amended with sawdust or straw. Compost Sci.
575	Util. <b>2004</b> , <i>12</i> (4), 323-334.
576	
577	[27] Zvomuya, F.; Larney, F.J.; Nichol, C.K.; Olson, A.F.; Miller, J.J.; DeMaere, P.R.
578	Chemical and physical changes following co-composting of beef cattle feedlot manure
579	with phosphogypsum. J. Environ. Qual. 2005, 34 (3), 2318-2327.
580	
581	[28] Bustamante, M.A.; Paredes, C.; Marhuenda-Egea, F.C.; Pérez-Espinosa, A.;
582	Bernal, M.P.; Moral, R. Co-composting of distillery wastes with animal manures:
583	Carbon and nitrogen transformations in the evaluation of compost stability.
584	Chemosphere <b>2008</b> , <i>72</i> (4), 551-557.
585	
586	[29] Kulcu, R.; Sönmez, I.; Yaldiz, O.; Kaplan, M. Composting of spent mushroom
587	compost, carnation wastes, chicken and cattle manures. Bioresour. Technol. 2008, 99

- 588 (17), 8259-8264.
- 589
- 590 [30] Brinton Jr., W.F.; Evans, E.; Droffner, M.L.; Brinton, R.B. Standardized test for

evaluation of compost self-heating. BioCycle **1995**, *36* (11), 64-68.

- 592
- 593 [31] FCQAO. Methods Book for the Analysis of Compost; 3rd Ed.; Federal Compost
- 594 Quality Assurance Organization [Bundesgütegemeinschaft Kompost e.V. (BGK)]:
- 595 Cologne, Germany, 2003.
- 596

- 597 [32] Page, A.L.; Miller, R.H.; Keeney, D.R., Eds. Methods of Soil Analysis, Part 2
- 598 Chemical and Microbiological Properties; 2nd Ed.; Agronomy No. 9; American Society
- of Agronomy Inc., Soil Science Society of America Inc.: Madison, WI, USA, 1982.
- 600
- 601 [33] Peters, J.; Combs, S.M.; Hoskins, B.; Jarman, J.; Kovar, J.L.; Watson, M.E.; Wolf,
- 602 A.M.; Wolf, N. Recommended Methods of Manure Analysis, Pub. A3769; University of
- 603 Wisconsin-Extension, 2003. Internet:
- 604 <http://learningstore.uwex.edu/Assets/pdfs/A3769.pdf> (accessed Nov. 2014).
- 605
- 606 [34] APHA; AWWA; WEF. Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and
- 607 *Wastewater*; 21st Ed.; American Public Health Association, American Water Works
- Association, Water Environment Federation: Washington, DC, USA, 2005.
- 609
- 610 [35] ISO 17852:2006. Water Quality Determination of Mercury Method Using
- 611 *Atomic Fluorescence Spectrometry*; International Organization for Standardization:
- 612 Geneva, Switzerland, 2006.
- 613
- [36] Zucconi, F.; Pera, A.; Forte, M.; de Bertoldi, M. Evaluating toxicity of immature
  compost. BioCycle 1981, 22 (2), 54-57.
- 616
- 617 [37] SAS Institute. *SAS/STAT Software. Ver.* 8.2; SAS Institute Inc.: Cary, NC, USA,
  618 2001.
- 619
- 620 [38] US EPA. Standards for the Use or Disposal of Sewage Sludge, Final Rules; 40
- 621 CFR Parts 257, 403, 503; United States Environmental Protection Agency, 1993.

622	Internet: <http: biosolids="" fr2-19-93.pdf="" scitech="" upload="" wastetech="" water.epa.gov=""></http:>
623	(accessed Nov. 2014).
624	
625	[39] Tiquia, S.M.; Tam, N.F.Y.; Hodgkiss, I.J. Effects of turning frequency on
626	composting of spent pig-manure sawdust litter. Bioresour. Technol. 1997, 62 (1-2), 37-
627	42.
628	
629	[40] Ponsá, S.; Pagans, E.; Sánchez, A. Composting of dewatered wastewater sludge
630	with various ratios of pruning waste used as a bulking agent and monitored by
631	respirometer. Biosyst. Eng. 2009, 102 (4), 433-443.
632	
633	[41] Larney, F.J.; Sullivan, D.M.; Buckley, K.E.; Eghball, B. The role of composting in
634	recycling manure nutrients. Can. J. Soil Sci. 2006, 86 (4), 597-611.
635	
636	[42] CCQC. Compost Maturity Index; California Compost Quality Council, 2001.
637	Internet: <http: ca-index.pdf="" compost="" pubs="" www.epa.gov=""> (accessed Nov. 2014).</http:>
638	
639	[43] Gómez-Brandón, M.; Lazcano, C.; Domínguez, J. The evaluation of stability and
640	maturity during the composting of cattle manure. Chemosphere <b>2008</b> , <i>70</i> (3), 436-444.
641	
642	[44] Ko, H.J.; Kim, K.Y.; Kim, H.T.; Kim, C.N.; Umeda, M. Evaluation of maturity
643	parameters and heavy metal contents in composts made from animal manure. Waste
644	Manage. 2008, 28 (5), 813-820.

646	[45] Martins, O.; Dewes, T. Loss of nitrogenous compounds during composting of
647	animal wastes. Bioresour. Technol. 1992, 42 (2), 103-111.
648	
649	[46] Eghball, B.; Power, J.F.; Gilley, J.E.; Doran, J.W. Nutrient, carbon, and mass loss
650	during composting of beef cattle feedlot manure. J. Environ. Qual. 1997, 26 (1), 189-
651	193.
652	
653	[47] Peigné, J.; Girardin, P. Environmental impacts of farm-scale composting practices.
654	Water Air Soil Pollut. 2004, 153 (1-4), 45-68.
655	
656	[48] Zucconi, F.; de Bertoldi, M. Compost specifications for the production and
657	characterization of compost from municipal solid waste. In Compost: Production,
658	Quality and Use; de Bertoldi, M.; Ferranti, M.P.; L'Hermite, P.; Zucconi, F., Eds.;
659	Elsevier Applied Science: London, UK, 1987; 30-50.
660	
661	[49] Bernal, M.P.; Paredes, C.; Sánchez-Monedero, M.A.; Cegarra, J. Maturity and
662	stability parameters of composts prepared with a wide range of organic wastes.
663	Bioresour. Technol. 1998, 63 (1), 91-99.
664	
665	[50] Smith, S.R. A critical review of the bioavailability and impacts of heavy metals in
666	municipal solid waste composts compared to sewage sludge. Environ. Int. 2009, 35 (1),
667	142-156.
668	

- [51] Spanish Government. Real Decreto 824/2005, de 8 de julio, sobre productos
- 670 fertilizantes. Boletín Oficial del Estado **2005**, *171*, 25592-25669 (in Spanish).
- 671
- [52] Spanish Government. Real Decreto 865/2010, de 2 de julio, sobre sustratos de
- 673 cultivo. Boletín Oficial del Estado **2010**, *170*, 61831-61859 (in Spanish).
- 674
- [53] Edney, N.A.; Rizvi, M. Phytotoxicity of fatty acids present in dairy and hog
- 676 manure. J. Environ. Sci. Health Part B-Pestic. Contam. Agric. Wastes 1996, 31 (2),
- 677 269-281.
- 678
- [54] Tiquia, S.M. Reduction of compost phytotoxicity during the process of
- 680 decomposition. Chemosphere **2010**, *79* (5), 506-512.
- 681

#### **Table 1.** Physicochemical characteristics of the feedstocks used for composting (results

Parameter	CM1	CM2	DDSS
MC (%)	68.7	65.6	76.6
pН	8.8	8.6	8.3
$EC (dS m^{-1})$	8.2	6.5	3.8
OM (%)	79.8	72.8	45.6
Org-N (%)	1.64	2.36	3.73
NH4 <sup>+</sup> -N (%)	0.20	0.70	2.18
NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> N (%)	0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01
C/N ratio	25.2	13.8	4.5
P (%)	0.78	0.72	2.63
K (%)	3.44	2.76	0.46
Cu (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )	11.4	60.5	267
$Zn (mg kg^{-1})$	157	520	693
Cd (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )	nm	0.1	0.9
Cr (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )	nm	< 11	153
Hg (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )	nm	nd	1.93
Ni (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )	nm	nd	27
Pb (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )	nm	nd	60

684 except the MC, pH, and EC are expressed on a dry matter basis).

<sup>685</sup> CM: cattle manure; DDSS: dewatered digested sewage sludge; EC: electrical conductivity; MC: moisture
686 content; nd: not detected; nm: not measured; OM: organic matter; Org-N: organic nitrogen.

	Windrow	Materials	Targeted volumetric ratio	Weight (Mg)
	W1	CM1	-	20
	W2	CM2	-	9.3
	W3	CM2/BA	1/1	10.3/1.8
	W4	CM2/DDSS/BA	1/1/1	7.4/11.0/1.4
701	BA: bulking	g agent; CM: cattle	manure; DDSS: dewatered dig	gested sewage sludge; W: windrow.
702				
703				
704				
705				
706				
707				
708				
709				
711				
712				
713				
714				
715				
716				
717				
710				
719				
721				
722				

# **Table 2.** Mixtures of materials to be composted.

4	100 83 68 54	100 77 59 47	100 66 60 40	100 86 54 41
4	83 68 54	77 59 <u>47</u>	66 60 40	86 54 41
4	68 54	59 47	60 40	54 41
4		4/	40	41

**Table 3.** Evolution of the relative volume (% of initial) for the composting windrows

	Compost	Class	
	W1	V	
	W2 W3	III III	
	W4	IV	
748	W: windrow.		
749			
750			
751			
752			
753			
754			
755			
756			
757			
758			
759			
760			
761			
762			
763			
764			
765			
766			
767			
768			

# **Table 4.** Results of the self-heating test at the end of the composting process.

## 

### **Table 5.** Physicochemical characteristics of the final composts (results except the MC,

Parameter		Compo	st	
	W1	W2	W3	W4
MC (%)	34.8	24.2	18.2	24.5
pН	9.3	8.0	8.0	7.2
$EC (dS m^{-1})$	14.7	15.4	12.4	8.4
OM (%)	66.6	52.4	55.7	35.9
Org-N (%)	2.63	2.85	2.82	2.15
NH4 <sup>+</sup> -N (%)	< 0.01	0.30	0.28	0.30
NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> -N (%)	0.03	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.10
C/N ratio	14.7	9.6	10.4	8.5
P (%)	1.58	1.12	1.07	2.07
K (%)	2.42	4.23	3.34	2.22
Cu (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )	21	97	103	181
$Zn (mg kg^{-1})$	242	873	801	629
$Cd (mg kg^{-1})$	nm	< 0.7	< 0.7	0.8
$Cr (mg kg^{-1})$	nm	< 10	13	81
Hg (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )	nm	0.13	0.12	1.32
Ni (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )	nm	25	44	38
$Pb (mg kg^{-1})$	nm	< 20	< 20	42

pH, and EC are expressed on a dry matter basis).

773 EC: electrical conductivity; MC: moisture content; nm: not measured; OM: organic matter; Org-N:

774 organic nitrogen; W: windrow.

- **Table 6.** Results of the germination test. Relative germination percentage for lettuce
- seeds after 7 days of incubation. Values followed by the same letter are not significantly

790	different ( $\alpha = 0.05$ ).

	Extract	Germination (%)
	Control (water)	100 e
	Compost from W1	89 d
	Compost from W2	0 a
	Compost from W3	19 b
	Compost from W4	77 c
791	W: windrow.	
792		
793		
794		
795		
796		
797		
700		
/98		
700		
799		
800		
800		
<u>801</u>		
001		
802		
002		
803		
804		
805		
806		
807		
808		
809		

811 **Table 7.** Results of the growth test. Relative vegetal yield of barley after 21 days in the

- 812 25-50% compost mixtures with respect to the reference substrate. Values in the same
- column followed by the same letter are not significantly different ( $\alpha = 0.05$ ).

	Substrate	25% compost + 75% peat	50% compost + 50% peat
	Reference (100% peat)	100% b	100% b
	Compost from W1	197% с	124% b
	Compost from W2	56% a	12% a
	Compost from W3	36% a	28% a
	Compost from W4	30% a	33% a
814	W: windrow.		
815			
816			
010			
017			
01/			
010			
818			
040			
819			
820			
821			
822			
823			
824			
825			
826			
827			
828			
010			
829			
025			
830			
050			
021			
150			
000			
832			

833	
834	Figure 1. Evolution of the temperature and moisture content (MC) in the composting
835	windrows (W).
836	
837	
838	
839	
840	
841	
842	
843	
844	
845	
846	
847	
848	
849	
850	
851	
852	
853	
854	
855	
856	



