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In this paper, we study the recombination mechanisms in ion-implanted black silicon (bSi) emitters and discuss their advantages over diffused emitters. In the case of diffusion, the large bSi surface area increases emitter doping and consequently Auger recombination compared to a planar surface. The total doping dose is on the contrary independent of the surface area in implanted emitters, and as a result, we show that ion implantation allows control of emitter doping without compromise in the surface aspect ratio. The possibility to control surface doping via implantation anneal becomes highly advantageous in bSi emitters, where surface passivation becomes critical due to the increased surface area. We extract fundamental surface recombination velocities $S_n$ through numerical simulations and obtain the lowest values at the highest anneal temperatures. With these conditions, an excellent emitter saturation current ($J_{0e}$) is obtained in implanted bSi emitters, reaching $20 fA/cm^2 \pm 5 fA/cm^2$ at a sheet resistance of 170 $\Omega$/sq. Finally, we identify the different regimes of recombination in planar and bSi emitters as a function of implantation anneal temperature. Based on experimental data and numerical simulations, we show that surface recombination can be reduced to a negligible contribution in implanted bSi emitters, which explains the low $J_{0e}$ obtained.

Published by AIP Publishing. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4983297]

I. INTRODUCTION

A promising process for high-efficiency solar cells, surface nanostructuring (black silicon or bSi), can provide exceptional benefits in terms of light absorption.1 While surface recombination has limited bSi electrical performance for years, advances in passivation—and especially the use of atomic layer deposition (ALD)—have made it possible to obtain low surface recombination velocities in such high aspect ratio surfaces.2–5 Consequently, research on bSi emitters has been steadily expanding in the past few years.6–10 and ALD has also demonstrated effective passivation of both phosphorus11 and boron bSi emitters.12,13 However, most of the research involving textured emitters has been limited to emitter doping via diffusion, although a number of studies point out the necessity of a compromise in the bSi dimensions in order to limit emitter recombination.6,7,14–17 In fact, excessive diffusion through the bSi increased surface area causes higher Auger recombination than in planar surfaces.10,18 This phenomenon has been reported to result in high emitter saturation current ($J_{0e}$) and/or in the poor blue response of nanostructured solar cells that integrate emitter on the front side (for instance, PERC and Al-BSF cells).5,10,14–16 This issue can be prevented with the help of case-specific approaches. Oh et al. identified the doping ranges at which the Auger mechanism dominates over surface recombination, showing that diffusion parameters should be carefully adapted to bSi structures.19 However, further etching had to be performed to decrease bSi doping in their final solar cell, which altered the antireflection properties and the sheet resistance. Kafle et al. optimized emitter performance by decreasing the bSi aspect ratio and by reducing the amount of diffused dopant in the structures,20 which also resulted in a tradeoff in reflectance.

Here, we study the possibility to form high quality bSi emitters by boron implantation. We employ ALD Al$_2$O$_3$ passivation, which allows efficient surface passivation of highly doped p-type emitters.20,21 We discuss the possibility to solve the common issues encountered with emitter diffusion and analyze the recombination process in implanted emitters. Although few studies exist on ion-implanted textured silicon22,23 and to our knowledge none on bSi, ion implantation can provide a number of advantages in comparison to diffusion. It may allow controlling recombination in bSi without tradeoff in dimensions, since the total impurity dose injected in the nanostructures is fixed regardless of surface area. It also provides higher uniformity and reproducibility,24 reduces the number of processing steps by avoiding formation of dopant glass at the silicon surface, and finally, facilitates the formation of selective emitters.25 Thus, the first part of this paper compares sheet resistance and reflectance in implanted and diffused emitters. In the second part, the same emitters are compared in terms of emitter saturation current performance and of recombination mechanisms. The respective effect of surface and Auger recombination mechanisms is discussed for both doping techniques. Finally, in the third...
part, electron surface recombination velocities are extracted based on experimental data and numerical simulations, which allows determination of the limiting recombination mechanism in bSi implanted emitters.

II. EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

This study was realized on magnetically cast Czochralski phosphorus-doped silicon wafers with (100) orientation and a resistivity of 3.4 ± 0.2 Ω·cm. The oxygen concentration was 6.0–10.0 ppma and the wafer thickness 445 ± 5 μm. All samples were processed identically on both sides to obtain symmetrical samples needed for emitter saturation current (J0e) measurements. Black silicon was prepared by inductively coupled plasma reactive ion etching in an Oxford Instruments Plasmalab System 100-ICP 180 device. The etching was performed using a SF6/O2 plasma at a temperature of −120°C and at a pressure of 10 mTorr. After black silicon etching, the wafers were separated into two groups for diffusion and implantation. Boron implantation was performed at an energy of 10 keV, typically used in the photovoltaics industry to limit damage formation, and with a dose of 3 × 1015 cm−2, which ensures that implantation damage can be cured in a range of anneal temperatures from 950°C to 1050°C. The ion beam tilt was kept to the standard value of 7° as a tradeoff between damage formation and beam screening by the bSi needles. After implantation, a 20 min anneal was performed in the nitrogen ambient at temperatures ranging from 850°C to 1050°C (Table I), followed by oxidation for 20 min at the same temperature and by a 5 min anneal in nitrogen to anneal the silicon oxide.

Similarly, boron diffusions were performed at different temperatures (825°C, 875°C, 925°C, and 975°C). Doping was performed using a solid boron source in the N2 ambient for 45 min followed by a 5% HF dip for 2 min. Next, the diffused samples underwent a low thermal oxidation (LTO step) in pure O2 atmosphere at 650°C for 30 min and another 5% HF dip for 2 min in order to etch the boron rich layer.

The surface of the implanted emitters was passivated using different dielectric layers listed in Table II. Thermal SiO2 was grown during implantation anneal, and 20 nm of Al2O3 was deposited by thermal atomic layer deposition (ALD) at 200°C from trimethylaluminium and a combination of water and ozone at a concentration of 162 g/m3. The thermal oxide was removed in sample SRV4 in a BHF solution before ALD. In samples SRV1 and SRV3, Al2O3 was grown directly on top of thermal SiO2. The Al2O3 passivation was activated by annealing at 425°C for 30 min in N2 and the term “as deposited” refers to non-annealed Al2O3 samples. The diffused emitters were passivated only with Al2O3 films, deposited and annealed in the same conditions as reported above.

The oxide thickness was measured by ellipsometry and supplemented by capacitance values extracted with the corona oxide characterization of semiconductor (COCOS) technique. COCOS was also used to extract the interface fixed charge in the films. Sheet resistance was measured in all samples with a four-point probe and verified with the Sinton WCT-120 apparatus (conductance technique). Despite the lack of knowledge on the current distribution inside bSi needles when it is injected through metal probes, the four-point probe results are usually consistent with the values measured from the dark conductance. The J0e tool was also used for minority carrier lifetime and J0e measurements. The J0e was extracted at 298 K from the injection level-dependent carrier lifetime curve, based on the method originally developed by Kane and Swanson, which was later improved by Blum et al. and is now used in WCT-120. Note that J0e tends to be underestimated with the models previously implemented. Contrarily to other studies, no J0e rescaling based on the surface area was performed in the bSi results, because the effective value is more relevant from the solar cell point of view. The J0e was averaged over the values extracted at ±50% of the specified minority carrier excess density (SMCD) taken as ΔN = 10N0 with N0 the bulk doping. Note that the SMCD was however limited by the maximum achievable injection level, which depends on the minority carrier lifetime. The J0e extraction is based on the intrinsic lifetime parametrization by Richter et al. and on the band gap narrowing model from Schenk. In addition, corrections can be performed employing finite diffusion coefficients, but they become critical only for very low lifetime values. In this work, neglecting

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table I. Estimated SiO2 thicknesses corresponding to each implantation anneal temperature.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Implantation anneal temperature (°C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1050</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| Table II. Passivation layers employed with implanted emitters. The SiO2 layer thickness depends on the implantation anneal temperature and is reported for each temperature in Table I. Passivation results and dielectric charge values are reported after oxidation at 1050°C (950°C in the case of SRV2 charge). The same n-type substrates as in the rest of the study were used without additional doping step. |
|-----------------|------------------|------------------|</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Passivation name</th>
<th>Dielectric layer(s)</th>
<th>Dielectric charge (cm−2)</th>
<th>Maximum surface recombination velocity at 1015 cm−3 (cm/s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SRV1</td>
<td>SiO2 + As deposited Al2O3</td>
<td>−(6.1 ± 0.2) × 1011</td>
<td>165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SRV2</td>
<td>SiO2</td>
<td>+(1.4 ± 0.1) × 1011</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SRV3</td>
<td>SiO2 + annealed Al2O3</td>
<td>−(7.2 ± 0.1) × 1011</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SRV4</td>
<td>Annealed Al2O3</td>
<td>−(2.5 ± 0.1) × 1012</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
finite diffusion coefficients may lead to $J_{0e}$ underestimation in the poor quality emitters, e.g., those annealed at 850°C. Apart from this error source, a large uncertainty in the extracted $J_{0e}$ can arise from its dependence on the minority carrier excess density. The error bars in the graphs thus indicate the $J_{0e}$ variation in the range $\Delta n = SMCD(1\pm30\%)$. Note that some of the error bars are included within the symbols.

III. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS ON DIFFUSED AND IMPLANTED EMITTERS

A. Sheet resistance, doping, and reflectance

This section presents sheet resistance and doping results, which are needed to assess the $J_{0e}$ performance. Reflectance curves will be used to discuss the impact of the doping processes on the optical properties of bSi.

Figure 1 shows the measured sheet resistance as a function of implantation or diffusion temperature in both black silicon and planar samples. Implanted and diffused emitters differ by the nature of the doping dose. As implantation dose is fixed, sheet resistance should not vary as a function of temperature once all boron atoms have been activated, given the limited variation of mobilities in the doping range of $10^{15}$ to $10^{20}$ cm$^{-3}$ considered here. On the contrary, the boron source is virtually infinite in the case of diffused emitters, and consequently, the boron concentration in silicon is in this case determined by temperature. Figure 1(a) shows that, in implanted emitters, sheet resistance saturates at temperatures of 950°C and beyond, reaching a value of approximately 170Ω/sq in bSi. Consequently, an anneal temperature of at least 950°C is necessary to activate all boron atoms, which is consistent with the results reported by Müller et al. at the same implantation dose. Figure 1(b) shows that, as expected in diffused emitters, sheet resistance decreases down to 20Ω/sq in bSi when the temperature is increased to 975°C. In addition, Fig. 1 highlights an interesting difference between implanted and diffused emitters. With identical process parameters, the sheet resistance of implanted emitters appears higher in bSi than in planar surfaces, while the opposite trend is observed in diffused emitters. Although it remains unclear whether bSi sheet resistance is directly comparable to the planar values due to the difference in current path, this observation may originate from the difference of geometry between bSi and planar surfaces. In diffused emitters, boron is able to penetrate from the sides of the bSi needles, thus increasing the total boron content in comparison with a planar surface. On the other hand, the local dose in implanted bSi structures is lower than the target dose because a fixed amount of boron is implanted. This may explain the high sheet resistance values measured compared to planar references. A similar reduction of effective dose was also suggested in a previous work on implanted pyramid-textured silicon.

The doping profiles measured in planar emitters using the electrochemical capacitance-voltage (ECV) method are shown in Fig. 2. The surface doping, which can significantly affect boron and phosphorus emitter surface passivation, decreases in implanter emitters from $(4.5 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{19}$ cm$^{-3}$ to $(1.90 \pm 0.07) \times 10^{19}$ cm$^{-3}$ under the effect of increasing anneal temperature. In the same time, the emitter depth increases from 0.7 μm to about 1.5 μm [Fig. 2(a)]. In diffused emitters, surface doping increases from $4.5 \times 10^{19}$ cm$^{-3}$ to $2.2 \times 10^{20}$ cm$^{-3}$ at higher temperatures. Doping profiles generated using the process simulator ICECREM are plotted along the ECV profiles in Fig. 2(a); it appears that those profiles match well with the experimental ones.

The bSi structures used in this study, with a height of 450 nm and a base diameter of 200 nm in average, are shown in Fig. 3 and seem not to have been altered by solid source diffusion or by implantation and anneal. This is confirmed by the reflectance curves that demonstrate extremely low values in the wavelength range from UV to near infrared. Little difference is observed compared to the reference curve of base-doping bSi, as reported in a previous study on bSi doped using various diffusion processes. Thus, the slight difference between the reflectance curves should be attributed to measurement uncertainty rather than to a potential effect of the doping process.

B. Surface passivation

ALD Al$_2$O$_3$ is known to passivate well boron-doped emitters after post-anneal. Therefore, we focus first on this passivation layer and study the way it affects samples with various boron profiles. The emitter saturation current $J_{0e}$, which is a common measure for surface passivation and

![FIG. 1. Average sheet resistance values obtained from four-point probe and conductance measurements as a function of processing temperature. Results are shown for both planar and black silicon samples in (a) implanted emitters and (b) diffused emitters. Error bars indicate the standard deviation of four-point probe and of conductance measurements.](image)
emitter recombination, is plotted in Fig. 4. Figure 4(a) shows that the implanted emitter saturation current is systematically lower in bSi than in its planar counterpart, while it is systematically higher in diffused emitters. This is coherent with the trend observed in sheet resistances, assuming that they are comparable for bSi and planar emitters. Thus, it can be inferred that the high $J_{0e}$ values in bSi diffused emitters, compared to the planar references, originate from high Auger recombination caused by increased doping.

While excellent $J_{0e}$ values of 10 fA/cm² can be obtained with boron diffusion on planar samples [Fig. 4(a)], the application to bSi surfaces is not straightforward and requires fine tuning of the experimental conditions to ensure sufficient emitter passivation. Indeed, in order to obtain low $J_{0e}$ (30 fA/cm²) on diffused bSi, significant reduction of the doping
(Rs of 275 Ω/sq) was required [Fig. 4(c)]. In implanted bSi emitters, the contrary, it was possible to obtain a low J0e of 20 fA/cm² with a sheet resistance of 170 Ω/sq.

Figure 4(b) focuses more specifically on the effect of implantation on J0e and on sheet resistance. After anneal at 850 °C, the planar and bSi emitter saturation current is the highest most likely due to lattice defects and inactive boron remaining after implantation, as confirmed by the higher sheet resistance. The J0e values then continuously improve under the influence of temperature increase. In fact, Müller et al. showed that a 10 min anneal at a temperature of 1050 °C was needed to fully suppress Shockley-Read-Hall (SRH) recombination due to inactive boron and lattice defects. However, the relative impact of each recombination mechanism (Auger recombination, surface recombination, and emitter SRH recombination) on J0e cannot be obtained from the results displayed in Fig. 4.

In order to study the impact of emitter Auger recombination on J0e, the surface passivation quality was varied with the help of different dielectric layers (Table II). The same n-type substrates without diffusion or implantation step were used. Maximum surface recombination velocities Seff,max (assuming infinite bulk lifetime) were calculated from measurements of lifetime τeff and from wafer thickness W through $S_{\text{eff}, \text{max}} = \frac{W}{\tau_{\text{eff}}}$. Those dielectrics layers were then applied to passivate the implanted emitters and Fig. 5 reports the corresponding J0e values.

The most pronounced variation of J0e as a function of surface passivation quality is observed at the highest anneal temperatures, due to the reduction of Auger and SRH recombination. Remarkably, the critical temperature at which Auger and SRH recombination becomes negligible compared to surface recombination (i.e., the temperature at which a variation of surface recombination velocity starts affecting J0e) is different for planar and bSi emitters. According to Figs. 5(a) and 5(b), a temperature 950 °C or higher is required in bSi emitters to reduce Auger and SRH recombination, against 1050 °C in planar emitters.

Although Fig. 5(b) suggests a decisive effect of surface passivation after anneal at 1050 °C, it remains limited to available experimental points. In addition, it does not allow separating Auger and surface mechanisms because SRH recombination also affects the results. Consequently, simulations are needed to extract fundamental surface recombination velocities and to comment on possible further improvement of emitter surface passivation.

IV. DISCUSSION ON THE PASSIVATION QUALITY AND EXTRACTION OF FUNDAMENTAL SURFACE RECOMBINATION VELOCITIES IN IMPLANTED b-Si EMITTERS

The minority carrier surface recombination velocity $S_n$ (p+ emitters) or $S_n$ (n+ emitters) can be obtained from fits of the experimental lifetime and J0e data using numerical simulations, e.g., with Sentarus or PC1D. The performance of textured emitters can be simulated in two dimensions, which thus allows using two-dimensional bSi doping profiles generated by a separate process simulator. However, we show that, implying some approximations in the bSi regions, useful insight on bSi emitter recombination mechanisms can be obtained using the PC1D freeware.

The simulations were performed with the updated PC1Dmod 6.2 software and were based on the most recent models mentioned in the corresponding publications by Haug et al. The simulation procedure is presented in detail in the supplementary material. In this section, the effect of implantation anneal temperature on surface recombination is analyzed qualitatively based on the extracted surface recombination velocities.

The $S_n$ values extracted from Al2O3-passivated emitters are shown in Fig. 6 as a function of implantation anneal temperature. The figure also displays literature results of planar emitters with a surface doping of approximately $2 \times 10^{19}$ cm⁻³, corresponding to the value obtained after anneal at 1050 °C. In this work, the extracted $S_n$ or J0e values were not rescaled by the area increase of the textured surfaces, contrarily to those reported in other studies.

It has been shown that a reduction of boron surface concentration decreases surface recombination. Accordingly, the effect of anneal temperature on surface recombination is clearly visible in Fig. 6. The decrease of surface doping caused by increased anneal temperature significantly reduces $S_n$ values in bSi emitters. The surface recombination velocity decreases from over $10^5$ cm/s after anneal at 950 °C or below to a value between 0 cm/s and $10^4$ cm/s at 1050 °C, which corresponds to a J0e of 20 fA/cm² in bSi. This suggests that
surface doping reduction also plays a major role in \( J_{0e} \) improvement in bSi implanted emitters. It should be noted that the large error margins reported at high anneal temperatures originate from fitting limitations. The Sn in the planar emitter annealed at 1050°C cannot be determined accurately because values between 0 and 1500 cm/s generate identical fits, as reported by Liao et al. and Ortega et al.\textsuperscript{47,50} The maximum value of 1500 cm/s is however in good agreement, although somewhat higher, with the surface recombination values reported in the literature. Simulations of bSi emitters annealed at 1000°C and 1050°C involved the same limitation, explaining the large range of Sn values obtained.

The uncertainty in \( S_n \) observed above 1000°C seems to be in fact limited by Auger recombination, although this is not clearly displayed in Fig. 6. This phenomenon appears more clearly in Fig. 7, presenting the simulated variation of \( J_{0e} \) as a function of \( S_n \) in planar and bSi emitters. The emitters were annealed at 1050°C and passivated with annealed \( \text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 \) (SRV4 film). The saturation of \( J_{0e} \) in bSi emitters at low \( S_n \) [Fig. 7(b)] indicates that, at 1050°C, a further reduction of fundamental surface recombination velocity has virtually no effect on the \( J_{0e} \) values. This result suggests that recombination in bSi emitters is dominated by the Auger mechanism at this temperature. A similar observation can be made in bSi emitters annealed at 1000°C. On the other hand, the inset in Fig. 7(b) shows that the point SRV4 is not yet in the saturation regime after anneal at 950°C. In this case, it indicates that \( J_{0e} \) could be further reduced by improving surface passivation.

In summary, increasing anneal temperature from 850°C to 1000°C significantly reduces surface recombination, suggesting a decrease in surface doping. Auger recombination dominates after anneals at 1000°C and 1050°C; thus, no further improvement of surface passivation beyond annealed \( \text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 \) quality could improve \( J_{0e} \).

V. CONCLUSION

We discussed the advantages of ion implantation for bSi emitter formation and compared it with the more commonly used diffusion process. By nature, ion implantation prevents the increased Auger recombination observed in diffused emitters, which is caused by excessive doping through the sides of the nanostructures. An emitter saturation current (\( J_{0e} \)) as low as 20 fA/cm\(^2\) was measured in an implanted bSi emitter passivated by annealed \( \text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 \) for a \( R_{sh} \) of 170 Ω/sq, which demonstrates the potential of this technique for nanostructure doping. The possibility to control surface doping via implantation anneal parameters represents a significant advantage over emitter diffusion, as it implies that \( J_{0e} \) can be improved without modification of the bSi morphology or of the sheet resistance. In addition, low reflectance was preserved after implantation and high temperature treatments.

FIG. 6. Influence of implantation anneal temperature in implanted emitters passivated with annealed \( \text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 \) (SRV4 film) on fundamental surface recombination velocity \( S_n \). The values were extracted with PC1D using doping profiles measured by ECV in the case of planar samples, and uniform profiles in the case of bSi. An effective dielectric constant corrected with surface area enhancement was used in bSi simulations. The right side of the graph displays literature results on planar surfaces with a surface doping of approximately 2 \( \times \) 10\(^{19} \) cm\(^{-3}\).\textsuperscript{3,6,43,48} The error bars indicate uncertainty in \( J_{0e} \) extraction caused by injection-level-dependence.

FIG. 7. Experimental and simulated \( J_{0e} \) values as a function of \( S_n \) for different passivation layers after implantation anneal at 1050°C (and 950°C in the inset). The lines represent \( J_{0e} \) simulation results on (a) planar emitters with ECV doping profiles and (b) bSi emitters with uniform doping profiles. The symbols represent the experimental points corresponding to the passivation films SRV1,2,3,4 listed in Table II, which were fitted with the help of PC1D simulations to obtain \( S_n \) values. The error bars indicate fitting uncertainty. The values in brackets indicate the fixed charge densities used in the simulations.
In addition, we separated the different recombination mechanisms in implanted bSi emitters and discussed the contribution of bulk and surface recombination to the overall emitter recombination. The implantation anneal temperature required to significantly reduce bulk emitter recombination was found to be lower in bSi than in planar emitters. Relatively low electron surface recombination velocities were found in bSi emitters despite the high surface area and were reduced by increasing anneal temperature. The simulations also indicated that, beyond 1000 °C, bSi doping was sufficiently reduced so that $J_{0e}$ was not anymore limited by surface recombination. The results reported here open opportunities for implantation on bSi and allow relatively simple processing of selective bSi emitters.

**SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL**

See supplementary material for a detailed description of the simulation procedure.
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