

# ON NOETHER'S RATIONALITY PROBLEM FOR CYCLIC GROUPS OVER $\mathbb{Q}$

BERNAT PLANS

ABSTRACT. Let  $p$  be a prime number. Let  $C_p$ , the cyclic group of order  $p$ , permute transitively a set of indeterminates  $\{x_1, \dots, x_p\}$ . We prove that the invariant field  $\mathbb{Q}(x_1, \dots, x_p)^{C_p}$  is rational over  $\mathbb{Q}$  if and only if the  $(p-1)$ -th cyclotomic field  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p-1})$  has class number one.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let a finite group  $G$  act regularly on a set of indeterminates  $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  and let  $k$  be a field. *Noether's problem for  $G$  over  $k$*  asks whether the field extension  $k(x_1, \dots, x_n)^G/k$  is rational, i.e. purely transcendental.

The present note deals with Noether's problem for finite cyclic groups over the field of rational numbers. The reader is referred to [3] for a brief survey of Noether's problem for abelian groups, including the most relevant references to work of Masuda, Swan, Endo, Miyata, Voskresenski, Lenstra and others.

Let  $P_{\mathbb{Q}}$  denote the set of prime numbers  $p$  for which  $\mathbb{Q}(x_1, \dots, x_p)^{C_p}/\mathbb{Q}$  is rational, where  $C_p$  denotes the cyclic group of order  $p$ .

Lenstra proved in [4, Cor. 7.6] that  $P_{\mathbb{Q}}$  has Dirichlet density 0 inside the set of all prime numbers. Moreover, he suggested in [5, p. 98] that  $P_{\mathbb{Q}}$  could be finite and that perhaps coincides with the set

$$R := \{2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 13, 17, 19, 23, 29, 31, 37, 41, 43, 61, 67, 71\}.$$

It is known that  $R \subseteq P_{\mathbb{Q}}$ . This is a consequence of the fact that, by the main result in [6],  $R$  is nothing but the set of prime numbers  $p$  such that the  $(p-1)$ -th cyclotomic field  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p-1})$  has class number one.

For prime numbers  $p < 20000$ , some computational evidence in favour of the equality  $P_{\mathbb{Q}} = R$  is given by Hoshi in [3].

Our goal is to check the validity of Lenstra's suggestion. We prove:

**Theorem 1.1.**  $P_{\mathbb{Q}} = R$ .

From [5, Cor. 3] and [5, Prop. 4], we get:

**Corollary 1.2.** *Let  $n$  be a positive integer and let  $C_n$  denote the cyclic group of order  $n$ . Then  $\mathbb{Q}(x_1, \dots, x_n)^{C_n}/\mathbb{Q}$  is rational if and only if  $n$  divides*

$$2^2 \cdot 3^m \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 11 \cdot 13 \cdot 17 \cdot 19 \cdot 23 \cdot 29 \cdot 31 \cdot 37 \cdot 41 \cdot 43 \cdot 61 \cdot 67 \cdot 71,$$

for some  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ .

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 12F10, 12F20, 13A50, 14E08, 11R18, 11R29.

*Key words and phrases.* Noether's problem, rational field extension, cyclic group, cyclotomic field.

Research partially supported by grant 2014 SGR-634 and grant MTM2015-66180-R.

## 2. PROOF

*Proof of Thm. 1.1.* As has already been mentioned, the inclusion  $R \subseteq P_{\mathbb{Q}}$  is known. See [2, Prop. 3.4].

Let  $p \in P_{\mathbb{Q}}$ . This implies (actually, it is equivalent to) the existence of an element  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_{p-1}]$  with norm  $N_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p-1})/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha) = \pm p$ . See [2, Thm. 3.1].

Thus,  $\mathfrak{p} = (\alpha)$  is a principal prime ideal in  $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_{p-1}]$  above  $(p)$ .

If  $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p-1})/\mathbb{Q}) = \{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m\}$ , then we have the prime ideal decomposition

$$(p)\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_{p-1}] = \sigma_1(\mathfrak{p}) \cdots \sigma_m(\mathfrak{p}).$$

Here  $m = [\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p-1}) : \mathbb{Q}] = \phi(p-1)$ , where  $\phi$  denotes Euler's totient function. Note that  $(p)$  splits completely in  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p-1})$ , hence  $\sigma_i(\mathfrak{p}) \neq \sigma_j(\mathfrak{p})$  for  $i \neq j$ .

Now, a result of Amoroso and Dvornicich [1, Cor. 2] ensures that

$$\frac{\log(p)}{\phi(p-1)} \geq \begin{cases} \frac{\log(5)}{12}, & \text{for every } p, \\ \frac{\log(7/2)}{8}, & \text{for every } p \not\equiv 1 \pmod{7}. \end{cases}$$

It may be worth mentioning here that we are not assuming that  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p-1})$  contains an imaginary quadratic subfield, even though this hypothesis is apparently used in the proof of [1, Cor. 2]; in fact, if  $\bar{\alpha}$  denotes the complex conjugate of  $\alpha$ , then the argument in [1, Cor. 2] works whenever  $(\alpha) \neq (\bar{\alpha})$ , and this holds because  $(p)$  splits completely in  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p-1})$ .

On the other hand, from a result of Rosser and Schoenfeld [7, Thm. 15], we also know that

$$\frac{\log(p)}{\phi(p-1)} < \frac{\log(p)}{p-1} \left( e^C \log(\log(p-1)) + \frac{5}{2 \log(\log(p-1))} \right),$$

where  $C \approx 0.57721$  denotes Euler's constant.

If  $f(p)$  denotes the right hand side of the above inequality, it is easily checked that  $f(x)$  defines a decreasing function for, say,  $x > 43$ . Since  $f(173) < \frac{\log(5)}{12}$ , we conclude that  $p < 173$ .

Once we restrict ourselves to prime numbers  $p < 173$ , Hoshi's computations [3] show that the only possible counterexamples to the inclusion  $P_{\mathbb{Q}} \subseteq R$  are 59, 83, 107 and 163.

Finally, each  $p \in \{59, 83, 107, 163\}$  satisfies

$$p \not\equiv 1 \pmod{7} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\log(p)}{\phi(p-1)} < \frac{\log(7/2)}{8},$$

hence  $p \notin P_{\mathbb{Q}}$ . □

*Remark 2.1.* Let  $n = p^r$  for some prime number  $p \geq 5$ .

Lenstra proved [5, Lemma 5] that  $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_{\phi(n)}]$  contains no element of norm  $\pm p$  in the following cases:

- (i)  $p \geq 11$  and  $r \geq 2$ .
- (ii)  $p \geq 5$  and  $r \geq 3$ .

Then, by [2, Thm. 3.1],  $\mathbb{Q}(x_1, \dots, x_n)^{C_n}/\mathbb{Q}$  cannot be rational in these cases [5, Prop. 4].

Arguing as in the proof of Theorem 1.1, one can easily prove Lenstra's Lemma as follows.

If  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_{\phi(n)}]$  has norm  $\pm p$ , then  $\mathfrak{p} = (\alpha)$  is a principal prime ideal above  $(p)$  whose inertia degree over  $(p)$  is 1. Since  $(p)$  splits completely in  $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_{p-1}]$ , it must be  $\mathfrak{p} \neq \bar{\mathfrak{p}}$ . It follows that Amoroso and Dvornicich's result [1, Cor. 2] applies and it ensures that

$$\frac{\log(p)}{\phi(\phi(n))} \geq \frac{\log(5)}{12}.$$

But it is readily seen that this inequality does not hold in cases (i) and (ii), just checking that:

- 1) In case (i),  $\frac{\log(p)}{\phi(\phi(n))} \leq \frac{\log(p)}{2(p-1)} \leq \frac{\log(11)}{2 \cdot 10} < \frac{\log(5)}{12}$ .
- 2) In case (ii),  $\frac{\log(p)}{\phi(\phi(n))} \leq \frac{\log(p)}{p(p-1)} \leq \frac{\log(5)}{5 \cdot 4} < \frac{\log(5)}{12}$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [1] F. Amoroso and R. Dvornicich, *A lower bound for the height in abelian extensions*, J. Number theory **80**(2) (2000), 260–272.
- [2] S. Endo and T. Miyata, *Invariants of finite abelian groups*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **25** (1973), 7–26.
- [3] A. Hoshi, *On Noether's problem for cyclic groups of prime order*, Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A **91** (2015), 39–44.
- [4] H. W. Lenstra Jr., *Rational functions invariant under a finite abelian group*, Invent. Math. **25** (1974), 299–325.
- [5] H. W. Lenstra Jr., *Rational functions invariant under a cyclic group*, in: Proc. of the Queen's Number Theory Conference, 1979 (Kingston, Ont., 1979), Queen's Papers in Pure and Appl. Math. 54, pp. 91–99. Queen's Univ., Kingston, Ont., 1980.
- [6] J. M. Masley and H. L. Montgomery, *Cyclotomic fields with unique factorization*, J. Reine. Angew. Math. **286/287** (1976), 248–256.
- [7] J. B. Rosser and L. Schoenfeld, *Approximate formulas for some functions of prime numbers*, Illinois J. Math. **6** (1962), 64–94.

DEPARTAMENT DE MATEMÀTIQUES, UNIVERSITAT POLITÈCNICA DE CATALUNYA, AV. DIAGONAL, 647, 08028 BARCELONA

*E-mail address:* bernat.plans@upc.edu