First Results of a GNSS-R Experiment From a Stratospheric Balloon Over Boreal Forests
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Abstract—The empirical results of a global navigation satellite systems reflectometry (GNSS-R) experiment onboard the Balloon EXperiments for University Students (BEXUS) 17 stratospheric balloon performed north of Sweden over boreal forests show that the power of the reflected signals is nearly independent of the platform height for a high coherent integration time \(T_c = 20\) ms. This experimental evidence shows a strong coherent component in the forward scattered signal, as compared with the incoherent component, that allows to be tracked. The bistatic coherent reflectivity is also evaluated as a function of the elevation angle, showing a decrease of \(-6\) dB when the elevation angle increases from 35° to 70°. The received power presents a clearly multimodal behavior, which also suggests that the coherent scattering component may be taking place in different forest elements, i.e., soil, canopy, and through multiple reflections canopy-soil and soil-trunk. This experiment has provided the first GNSS-R data set over boreal forests. The evaluation of these results can be useful for the feasibility study of this technique to perform biomass monitoring that is a key factor to analyze the carbon cycle.

Index Terms—Boreal forests, global navigation satellite systems reflectometry (GNSS-R), stratospheric balloon.

I. INTRODUCTION

In the early 1990s, the concept of global navigation satellite systems reflectometry (GNSS-R) was first proposed by Martín-Neira for mesoscale ocean altimetry [1] after the evidence that GPS navigation signals could be collected after being scattered on the sea surface [2]. Newer applications of GNSS-R include wind speed measurements [3], [4], ice altimetry [5], soil moisture, and vegetation determination [6]. Several experiments have been carried out to analyze the performance of different GNSS-R techniques, i.e., conventional GNSS-R (cGNSS-R) (GPS L1 C/A, e.g., see [7]), interferometric GNSS-R (iGNSS-R) (GPS L1 C/A, P(Y), and M) [8], and reconstructed-code GNSS-R (rGNSS-R) (GPS L1 L1 and L2 P(Y)) [9].

The potential of GNSS-R to provide soil moisture measurements over land was first assessed in 2000 [10]. As compared with GPS reflections over the ocean, the main differences identified are in the spatial and temporal variability of the soil dielectric constant, the surface roughness, and the vegetation cover. Over land, the width of the correlated waveform (WF) is much narrower than over the ocean surface, and the variability of the moisture is clearly manifested in the peak power of the WF [10]. In 2008, the multipath signal collected by geodetic GPS receivers was used to infer soil moisture information from the fluctuations of the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) [11]. In 2009, the interference pattern technique (IPT) was proposed [12] for soil moisture measurements using linear polarization antennas pointing toward the horizontal direction to increase the amplitude of the signal that is being degraded by fading noise. Then, the IPT was proposed for vegetation height retrieval [13]. In 2012, a similar technique as in [11] was proposed [14] to infer vegetation growth. The retrieval method relied on amplitude changes. In 2009, field experimental results showed the capabilities of GNSS-R polarimetric observations as a remote sensing tool for agricultural applications from ground-based receivers [15], [16], and a simulator was developed to interpret these results [17]. The framework to analyze the coherent scattering over soil was established by Fung and Eom [18], and the scattering as a function on the antenna beamwidth, the incident and scattering angles, and the distance from the antenna to the target was evaluated in [19].

In July 2014, the TechDemoSat-1 mission of Surrey Satellite Technology Ltd., was successfully launched [20]. In addition, at least four other spaceborne missions are currently approved or “under study,” i.e., NASA’s Cyclone GNSS (CyGNSS) [21], ESA’s GNSS-R, Radio Occultation, and Scatterometry Experiment Onboard the International Space Station (GEROS-ISS) [22], ESA’s Passive Reflectometry and Interferometry System In-Orbit Demonstrator (PARIS-IoD) [23], and 3Cat-2 [24].

This paper presents the results of an experiment carried out in the Swedish National Space Board (SNSB)/ESA-sponsored Balloon EXperiments for University Students (BEXUS) 17 stratospheric balloon over boreal forests north of Sweden on October 10, 2013, as a proof of concept of the payload of the 3Cat-2 mission [24]. Section II describes the experimental setup. Section III presents the study of the scattered electromagnetic fields over the boreal forests using experimental data.

1The WF is the temporal correlation of the received reflected signal with either a locally generated replica of the transmitted signal (cGNSS-R) or the direct signal itself (GNSS-R).
Section IV analyzes the total scattered field. Finally, Section V summarizes the main results of this paper.

II. EXPERIMENTAL SETUP

The BEXUS program is implemented under a bilateral agency agreement between the German Aerospace Center (DLR) and the SNSB. The BEXUS 17 stratospheric balloon [see Fig. 1(a) and (b)] launch campaign took place in the Esrange Space Center on October 4–14, 2013. The launch took place on October 10, 2013 at 16:00 (GPS time), and the flight duration was 6 h, with an apogee of 27 000 m (see Fig. 2). The trajectory was a single track (see Fig. 3) from the Esrange Space Center (Sweden) to Juujarvi (Finland).

The experimental setup was composed of the P(Y) and C/A ReflectOmeter (PYCARO) [25], a zenith-looking omnidirectional dual-band (L1, L2) right-hand circular polarized antenna patch to collect the direct GPS signals, a left-hand circular polarized nadir-looking dual-band (L1, L2) antenna array to collect the Earth-reflected signals [see Fig. 4(a) and (b)], three batteries providing up to 100 Wh at low temperatures inside the gondola down to −40 °C, and an onboard computer for the experiment management. The nadir-looking antenna was composed of two interleaved arrays (L1 and L2) of six elementary antenna patches each. The total gain of the antenna was 13 and 11 dB at L1 and L2, respectively. The onboard data handling (OBDH) system was composed of a programmable intelligent computer for housekeeping and scientific data management, communications with the ground station, and data storage in a amicrosecure digital (SD) card. The collected data were registered in two internal SD memory devices (PYCARO and microcontroller), and they were simultaneously sent to the ground segment via the E-Link system [26].
III. EXPERIMENTAL EVALUATION OF THE REFLECTED SIGNALS OVER BOREAL FORESTS

When an electromagnetic wave impinges from above upon the boundary surface between two semi-infinite media, a portion of the incident energy is scattered toward the upper medium, and the rest is transmitted forward into the lower medium [27, pp. 846]. If the lower medium is homogeneous, the problem in question is a surface scattering problem. On the other hand, if the lower medium is inhomogeneous (i.e., a mixture of materials of different dielectric properties), the scattering takes place within the volume of the lower medium, and it is referred to as volume scattering. Since volume scattering is mainly caused by dielectric discontinuities within a volume and, in general, the spatial locations of discontinuities are random, the scattered waves are expected to be within the volume in all directions.

The surface scattering strength is proportional to the relative complex dielectric constant of the lower medium and the surface roughness. The volume scattering strength is proportional to the dielectric discontinuities inside the medium, the density of the embedded discontinuities, the average dielectric constant of the medium, and the geometric size of the inhomogeneities relative to the incident wavelength.

Forests are perfect examples of volume scattering, with scattering elements bounded by the air at the top and by the soil surface at the bottom. The forward scattering coefficient is governed by the scattering properties of the vegetation elements and the soil surface, as well as the interaction between the canopy and the soil, and the soil with the trunks [27, pp. 863], [28]. Several models describing the backscattering of electromagnetic waves over a vegetated surface exist, i.e., from simple three-layer models to models including a continuous medium and a discrete medium characterized by scatterers (e.g., see [29]). However, few studies have been performed to evaluate the forward scattering coefficient at L-band and at circular polarization. In the case of GNSS-R bistatic reflections over a forest area, a scattering model considering both the coherent and incoherent fields was proposed [30]. This model predicts the coherent field as the result of the electromagnetic interactions of the GPS signals with the soil only, which was attenuated by the vegetation canopy above it. Recently, experimental data over forest biomass from 100 to 350 t/ha have been published [31]. As predicted in [30], a lower value of the coherent soil reflectivity is found for larger vegetation density.

Reflectivity \( \Gamma_{rl}^{coh} \) can be estimated as the ratio of the reflected power \( Y_{ref, l} \) and direct \( Y_{dir, r} \) power WF peaks after proper compensation of the noise power floor as follows:

\[
\Gamma_{rl}^{coh} = \left(\frac{|Y_{ref, l}|^2}{|Y_{dir, r}|^2}\right)
\]  

(1)

In (1), subscripts \( r \) and \( l \) denote the incident polarization (right-hand circular polarization) and the scattered polarization (left-hand circular polarization), respectively.

The scattering of GNSS signals is originated in an area around the nominal specular point. In general, the scattered field contains both a coherent component and an incoherent component in different proportions. The coherent scattering area is limited to the first Fresnel zone. On the other side, the incoherent scattering that is also centered on the nominal specular direction is limited by an area (glistening zone) that is much larger than the first Fresnel zone. The incoherent power component is eliminated in the estimation of the reflectivity in (1) by subtracting from each incoherently averaged WF peak \( |Y_{ref, l}|^2 \) the amplitude variance of the complex WF peaks \( \sigma_{Y_{ref, l}}^2 \) as follows [32, pp. 125]:

\[
|Y_{ref, l}|^2 = |Y_{ref, l}|^2 - \sigma_{Y_{ref, l}}^2.
\]

(2)

Therefore, reflectivity values are associated to the first Fresnel zone. The semimajor axis of the first Fresnel zone \( r_a \) from which the coherent reflections are coming from a flight height of \( h = 27,000 \) m and for the L1 signal is

\[
r_a = \sqrt{\lambda h/\sin \theta_e + (\lambda/2 \sin \theta_e)^2/\sin \theta_e} = 78 \text{ m}
\]

(3)

where \( \lambda \) is the signal’s wavelength, and elevation angle \( \theta_e = 70^\circ \). The diameter of the antenna footprint varied from 1.4 to 40 km for a flight height from 1000 to 27,000 m, respectively. Since it is much larger than the size of the first Fresnel zone, different reflectivity values were simultaneously measured corresponding to different satellites (different Fresnel zones within the antenna footprint).

In this paper, the bistatic coherent reflectivity \( \Gamma_{rl}^{coh} \) of boreal forests is experimentally evaluated for the first time to the authors’ knowledge. When analyzing this problem, it has to be taken into account that the reflected GNSS signal is significantly strong around the specular direction only, and the power density rapidly decreases away from it [30]. In [30], the coherent scattering is assumed to only come from the reflected signals over the soil, which was attenuated by the vegetation canopy. On the other hand, incoherent scattering includes volume scattering from leaves and branches, double bouncing due to the soil and trunk interactions, multiple interactions between vegetation elements and between the vegetation and the soil, and incoherent scattering from the soil attenuated by the overlapping vegetation canopy. However, coherent effects may appear because the distance between scatterers is comparable with the electromagnetic wavelength [27, pp. 827].

The Topography From Reflectometric Measurements: An Experiment From the Stratosphere (TORMES) stratospheric experiment was performed north of Sweden from the Esrange Space Center (latitude 67° 53’ N, longitude 21° 04’ E) to Juujarvi (latitude 66° 24’ N, longitude 27°18’ E). The GPS space segment is divided into six orbital planes with an inclination of ~55°. As a consequence, GNSS-R acquisitions were performed with a maximum elevation angle around 75°, and an average of five GPS satellites could be simultaneously tracked during 3 h each. PYCARO [9], [25] was configured to use the C/A GPS code during this experiment. It measured the direct and reflected WFs using a coherent integration time of \( T_c = 20 \) ms and incoherent averaging of \( N_{inc} = 10 \) samples. These default parameters were implemented in the automatic mode of the OBDH system since, during the experiment preparation activities, it was determined that the SNR increases as a function of the coherent integration time up to 13 dB using...
Fig. 5. Evolution of the coherent reflectivity over the different scattering contributing media of the forest (soil, canopy, canopy–soil, and soil–trunk) as a function of the elevation angle at a flight height of $h = 27\,000$ m.

$T_c = 20$ ms, as compared with $T_c = 1$ ms. The selection of the number of incoherent averaging samples was a tradeoff between the spatial resolution and the noise filtering. To mitigate the effect of noise, incoherent averaging of consecutive uncorrelated signals must be performed. However, the larger the number of incoherently averaged complex WFs, the lower the spatial resolution. In this experiment, the spatial resolution was very slightly degraded because of the low speed of the balloon. Unfortunately, just after takeoff, the BEXUS E-Link failed, and the experiment was autonomously operated during the whole flight. As a first step, the 20-ms coherently integrated WFs were computed onboard. The reflected GPS signals were multiplied by a locally generated carrier signal and with a $90^\circ$ phase-shifted signal to generate the inphase and quadrature components, respectively. Then, the Fourier transform of the complex input signal was multiplied with the Fourier transform of the transmitted pseudorandom noise (PRN) code. The result of the multiplication was transformed into the time domain by an inverse Fourier transform. The WFs were properly aligned to compensate for the GPS satellites’ motion [33]. Then, they were incoherently averaged ($N_{\text{inc}} = 10$) to reduce the effect of the noise.

PYCARO [9], [25] was configured to track the correlation peak of each temporal measurement during this experiment. After the flight, during data processing, the reflection coefficients were computed by applying (1) to the temporal series of data provided by the reflectometer. The temporal evolution of the coherent reflectivity at a flight height of $h = 27\,000$ m is represented in Fig. 5 as a function of elevation angle $\theta_e$ after compensating for the antenna pattern gain. It can be observed that, as expected, the higher the elevation angles (closer to zenith), the lower the values of coherent reflectivity [27, pp.1008].

Boreal forests are characterized by coniferous forests consisting mostly of pines, spruces, and larches. Boreal forests can be described using allometric relationships [34]. In the following, ground-truth data and data provided by airborne Lidar are included as additional information in this paper [34]. In Fig. 6(a), height versus biomass from ground measurement plots is shown. The measurements for the two test sites, i.e., Krycklan (latitude 64° 10’ N, longitude 20° 01’ E) in green and Remningstorp (latitude 58° 25’ N, longitude 13° 14’ E) in blue, show a high correlation ($R^2 = 075$), and both follow the same allometric relationships as follows:

$$P = 0.25h_{\text{forest}}^2$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

where $P$ is the biomass (in tons per hectare), and $h_{\text{forest}}$ is the forest height (in meters). Fig. 6(b) shows the height-to-biomass relationship derived from airborne Lidar data for the Krycklan test site. It follows the same allometric relationship as for the ground measurements. Using the data provided by NASA (see Fig. 7), an estimation of the biomass for Krycklan using (4) is $P \sim 100$ t/ha.

The multimodal behavior shown in Fig. 5 suggests that the coherent scattering may take place in differentiated scattering media with different scattering properties. The fluctuations of the coherent reflectivity as derived from the peak of the WF follow four different trends, each with different levels and relative variations of the reflectivity. Boreal forests are characterized by random variations of the dielectric properties. Different scattering media (soil, trunks, branches, and leaves), each with particular dielectric properties, may lead to different reflected power.
levels of the signals. As a consequence of the small size of the coherent scattering area (limited by the first Fresnel zone), the different dielectric properties of the forest elements, the different levels of signal attenuation due to multiple reflections and the different heights of the scatterers, and the suggestion by Wu and Jin [28] that the forward scattering of GNSS signals takes place not only over the soil but also over trees in a multimodal behavior, we hypothesize that the different scattering mechanisms are sequentially dominating (see Fig. 5) over the soil (red), the canopy (blue), and the canopy–soil (green) and soil–trunk (pink) interactions. This result indicates that coherent scattering is also taking place in the canopy and trunks. Depending on the vegetation cover fraction, the scattered power may be composed of several contributions. Fig. 8(a) and (b) shows direct scattering from the soil and the canopy, respectively, and Fig. 8(c) and (d) shows multiple reflected scattering involving both the soil and the canopy, and both trunks and the soil, respectively.

Each incoherently averaged WF was composed of several correlation peaks. PYCARO was configured to track the highest peak of each WF. The scattering over the canopy in a multimodal behavior produces reflected signals with different delays. Additionally, note that the effect of the topography can produce coherent scattering (under a specular condition) from areas (several first Fresnel zones) that do not correspond to the smaller geometric delay. Thus, only the scattering mechanism with the highest power contribution to the total scattered field can be identified at each individual WF ($T_c = 20$ ms and $N_{inc} = 10$). In addition, the histogram corresponding to the total scattered field over boreal forests at a flight height of $h = 27,000$ m and for high elevation angles in the range of $\theta_e = [35^\circ, 72^\circ]$ is represented in Fig. 9, where four amplitude distributions can be identified depending on the level of coherence of the reflected signal during the flight. These distributions are obtained taking into account the four different types of scattering mechanisms (soil, canopy, canopy–soil, and soil–trunk) considered in this section.

Fig. 8. (a) Scattering over the ground surface. (b) Direct scattering over the canopy. (c) Multiple scattering involving both the soil and the canopy. (d) Multiple scattering involving both the soil and the trunks.

Fig. 9. Total scattered field (over boreal forests) amplitude distribution histogram at a flight height of $h = 27,000$ m and for an elevation angle in the range of $\theta_e = [35^\circ, 72^\circ]$. There are four main peaks (larger number of samples at these four identified amplitude levels), i.e., $\sim 50$, $\sim 190$, $\sim 420$, and $\sim 520$ (A.U.).

The amount of coherent reflected power $P_{rl}^{coh}$ can be obtained by applying (5) with the antennas separated by a distance $R = R_{t0} + R_{trc}$ as follows [19], [36]:

$$P_{rl}^{coh} = P_{coh}G_tG_{rc}\left(\frac{4\pi}{\sqrt{R_{t0} + R_{trc}}}\right)^2$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

where $P_t$ is the power emitted by the GPS satellites, $G_t$ is the gain of the transmitting antenna, and $G_{rc}$ is the gain of the receiving antenna. Since $R_{t0} \gg R_{trc}$, the reflected coherent power is approximately constant, i.e.,

$$P_{rl}^{coh} \approx P_{coh}G_tG_{rc}\left(\frac{4\pi}{R_{t0}}\right)^2$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

On the other hand, the incoherent power can be theoretically described as [17]

$$P_{rl}^{incoh} = \frac{P_t\lambda^2T^2}{(4\pi)^2}G_tG_{rc}\Lambda^2(\delta\tau)^2(\delta f)^2 \sigma_{rl}^0dA$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

where $\Lambda$ is the autocorrelation function of the GNSS code; $S$ is the sinc exponential function; $\delta\tau$ and $\delta f$ are the differences between sampled time delay $\tau$ and sampled frequency $f$ and a reference delay and a Doppler frequency, respectively; $\sigma_{rl}^0$ is the incoherent bistatic radar scattering coefficient, and $\Lambda$ is the integration area. The scattered signal may be Doppler limited if the Doppler filter corresponding to a high coherent integration time up to $T_c = 20$ m is smaller than the first C/A chip size. An analysis has been performed for different elevation angles in the range of $\theta_e = [45^\circ, 75^\circ]$ and for different platform heights in the range of $h = [1000, 27000]$ m using the Passive Advanced Unit (PAU)/PARIS End-to-End Performance Simulator (PEPS) [37]. Results show that there are only Doppler bandwidth effects for a flight height $h \sim 1000$ m [see Fig. 10(a) and (b)]. For the flight conditions ($h \sim 27000$ m and scattering over land surfaces), the Earth region contributing to the incoherent component is the first chip isorange ellipse that is a function of the autocorrelation function of the different GNSS codes.
Fig. 10. Isorange (green lines) and iso-Doppler (blue lines) lines for different flight conditions. (a) \( h = 1000 \) m and \( \theta_e = 45^\circ \). (b) \( h = 1000 \) m and \( \theta_e = 75^\circ \). (c) \( h = 10,000 \) m and \( \theta_e = 45^\circ \). (d) \( h = 10,000 \) m and \( \theta_e = 75^\circ \). (e) \( h = 27,000 \) m and \( \theta_e = 45^\circ \). (f) \( h = 27,000 \) m and \( \theta_e = 75^\circ \).

However, in the case of ocean scattering, the spreading of the signal over the surface includes many chips. The area of the first isorange ellipse is equal to

\[
A = \pi ab = \frac{2c \tau \pi R_{0rc}}{(\sin \theta_e)^2}
\]  

(8)

where \( a \) and \( b \) are the semimajor and semiminor axes of the first isodelay ellipse, respectively, \( c \) is the speed of light, and \( \tau \) is the chip size of the PRN [38]. Therefore, the incoherent reflected power is proportional to \( \sim 1/R_{0rc} \) versus the coherent scattering that can be theoretically modeled independent of \( R_{0rc} \) [see (6)]. Fig. 11 shows that the evolution of the reflected power as a function of the flight height in the range from \( h = 0 \) to \( h = 20,000 \) m is roughly constant for the range of heights involved since the coherent integration time was set to be \( T_c = 20 \) ms to limit the incoherent scattering.

IV. ANALYSIS OF THE TOTAL SCATTERED FIELD

In Section II, the reflectivity was analyzed considering the temporal evolution of the scattered signals, finding out just from
power considerations that there was a strong coherent component. Now, using the inphase and quadrature components, the total scattered field is analyzed. The total scattered field of this configuration of scatterers (~15-min-long data set each over boreal forests) can be described as a vector sum in the complex plane of the temporal measurements provided by PYCARO. The coherent\(^3\) versus incoherent scattering analysis is performed using the complete data sets of 15 min each. Instantaneously, during each coherent integration time, the reflected signals are highly coherent [9], [25], and PYCARO was able to track the phase of the coherent component of the reflected signal because the coherent integration time was set to be very high, i.e., \(T_c = 20\) ms, to limit the incoherent scattering. However, when the complete temporal series of data is taken into account, the resulting reflected signal (the sum of the instantaneous signal vectors) is composed of both coherent and incoherent components. The contribution of the four different types of scattering mechanisms (soil, canopy, canopy–soil, and soil–trunk) to the total scattered field is equally distributed in the same region of the complex plane [see Fig. 12]. Fig. 12(a)–(c) shows the total scattered complex field distribution for three different flight height ranges \(h = [0, 5000]\) m, \(h = [20000, 25000]\) m, and \(h \sim 27000\) m for midlow elevation angles, respectively, and Fig. 12(d)–(f) shows that for high elevation angles. If the scattered complex field described a circle centered around \((0, 0)\), the scattering\(^4\) would be completely incoherent. However, the scattered field is displaced from the origin\(^5\) by a value \(\alpha\) (equal to the mean of the amplitude distribution). The relative weight of the coherent to the incoherent components is quantified by the following parameter [32, pp. 126]:

\[
B^2 = \frac{\alpha^2}{s_1 + s_2} \tag{9}
\]

\(^3\)Valencia et al. [39] show the existence of a coherent component in addition to the incoherent component.

\(^4\)In a general case, the resulting scattered field can be described as the sum of a constant vector and a Hoyt vector that is defined as a 2-D Gaussian distribution with mean zero value and variances \(s_1\) and \(s_2\) [32, pp. 125].

\(^5\)There are two regions displaced by \(\pm \alpha\) from the center because the phase changes due to the navigation bit changing sign.

where \(\alpha\) is the mean amplitude distribution, and \(s_1\) and \(s_2\) are the variances of the inphase and quadrature components of the scattered field, respectively (see Table I). Note that \(B\) tends to \(\infty\) for a totally coherent field, and it is equal to 0 for a totally incoherent field. The evolution of this parameter for each type of scattering element is represented as a function of the flight height in Fig. 13(a) for high elevation angles in the range of \(\theta_e = [60^\circ, 70^\circ]\) and in Fig. 13(b) for low elevation angles in the range of \(\theta_e = [25^\circ, 45^\circ]\), showing that the relative weight is roughly independent of the flight height for a reconstructed scattered field corresponding to an along track\(^6\) of \(\sim 25\) km.

The coherent and incoherent components of the reconstructed field (25 km along track) tend to increase with the flight height (see Table I) because of the larger noise of the received signals during the takeoff (see Fig. 12) due to the attitude oscillations of the gondola. At a flight height in the range of \(h = [20000, 25000]\) m, the scattering area is larger (see Table I) that partially mitigates these oscillations; however, also note that, during this part of the flight, the trajectory was being totally stable during the float phase with an apogee of \(h \sim 27000\) m. Note that this effect\(^7\) is only visible in the reconstructed field because, during the coherent integration time, the orientation of the gondola is practically frozen (see Section II). In Fig. 12, it is clear that the clusters of points are better defined in Fig. 12(c) and (f) than in Fig. 12(a) and (d). This behavior is evaluated using the asymmetry factor defined as

\[
K = \frac{s_1}{s_2}. \tag{10}
\]

It is observed that the asymmetry factor of each forest contribution increases with the flight height, with the asymmetry factor being larger in the case of low elevation angles (see Table I). It means that the phase is less noisy at a flight height of \(h = [20000, 25000]\) m than in the range of \(h = [0, 5000]\) m, which explains the clustered behavior [see Fig. 12(c) and (f)]. In particular, the asymmetry factor increment from the ascend to the float phase is in the range of \(K = [15, 35]\) A.U. for lower elevation angles, whereas for high elevation angles, it is in the range of \(K = [12, 18]\) A.U. (see Table I). The phase information is retrieved from the coherent component of the scattered field. Additionally, it can be stated that the effect of the amplitude noise is larger than the effect of the phase noise since the asymmetry factor is larger than 1 in all cases. The multimodal behavior due to the scattering over different types of scatterers creates fluctuations in the amplitude of the signal, which is larger than the phase noise.

The distributions of the reflected WF peak phase (\(T_c = 20\) ms, the number of incoherent averaging samples \(N_{\text{inc}} = 10\)) as measured by PYCARO before the GPS signal is retracked are represented in Fig. 14(a) and (b) for high and midlow elevation angles, respectively, and for a flight height of \(h \sim 27000\) m. These distributions are fitted by log-logistic probability density

\(^6\)The horizontal speed of the balloon was approximately 100 km/h, and the duration of the data sets is 15 min each.

\(^7\)The flight data provided by the Swedish Space Corporation were computed onboard the balloon. The vertical speed of the balloon during the float phase was smaller than 1 m/s.
Fig. 12. (a) Scattered field complex plane representation for a flight height $h = [0, 5000]$ m and an elevation angle in the range of $\theta_e = [24^\circ, 35^\circ]$. (b) Scattered field complex plane representation for a flight height $h = [20000, 25000]$ m and an elevation angle in the range of $\theta_e = [21^\circ, 30^\circ]$. (c) Scattered field complex plane representation for a flight height $h \sim 27000$ m and an elevation angle in the range of $\theta_e = [30^\circ, 43^\circ]$. (d) Scattered field complex plane representation for a flight height $h = [0, 5000]$ m and an elevation angle in the range of $\theta_e = [68^\circ, 85^\circ]$. (e) Scattered field complex plane representation for a flight height $h = [20000, 25000]$ m and an elevation angle in the range of $\theta_e = [65^\circ, 71^\circ]$. (f) Scattered field complex plane representation for a flight height $h \sim 27000$ m and an elevation angle in the range of $\theta_e = [57^\circ, 68^\circ]$. Note: The normalization factor of each axis (inphase and quadrature) of each figure [from (a) to (f)] is the maximum value.
Fig. 13. (a) Evolution of the ratio of the coherent to incoherent scattering as a function of the flight height and for an elevation angle in the range of $\theta_e = [60^\circ, 70^\circ]$. (b) Evolution of the weight of the coherent to incoherent scattering as a function of the flight height and for an elevation angle in the range of $\theta_e = [25^\circ, 45^\circ]$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$h = [0, 5,000]$ m, $\theta_e = [65^\circ, 70^\circ]$</td>
<td>Cluster 1 (red)</td>
<td>32,761</td>
<td>54,756</td>
<td>20,449</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coherent Scattering: $a^1$ (A.U.)</td>
<td>Incoherent Scattering: $s_1 + s_2$ (A.U.)</td>
<td>51,650</td>
<td>73,021</td>
<td>37,837</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asymmetry Factor: $K = s_1 : s_2$</td>
<td>Residual Phase Std. (degrees)</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual Phase Std. (degrees)</td>
<td>24.7</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>8.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$h = [0, 5,000]$ m, $\theta_e = [30^\circ, 35^\circ]$</td>
<td>Cluster 1 (red)</td>
<td>43,264</td>
<td>33,124</td>
<td>29,929</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coherent Scattering: $a^1$ (A.U.)</td>
<td>Incoherent Scattering: $s_1 + s_2$ (A.U.)</td>
<td>73,021</td>
<td>52,432</td>
<td>44,234</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asymmetry Factor: $K = s_1 : s_2$</td>
<td>Residual Phase Std. (degrees)</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual Phase Std. (degrees)</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$h = [20,000, 25,000]$ m, $\theta_e = [65^\circ, 70^\circ]$</td>
<td>Cluster 1 (red)</td>
<td>34,969</td>
<td>41,616</td>
<td>33,856</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coherent Scattering: $a^1$ (A.U.)</td>
<td>Incoherent Scattering: $s_1 + s_2$ (A.U.)</td>
<td>56,813</td>
<td>67,796</td>
<td>58,685</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asymmetry Factor: $K = s_1 : s_2$</td>
<td>Residual Phase Std. (degrees)</td>
<td>18.3</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual Phase Std. (degrees)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>8.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$h = [20,000, 25,000]$ m, $\theta_e = [30^\circ, 35^\circ]$</td>
<td>Cluster 1 (red)</td>
<td>54,756</td>
<td>45,639</td>
<td>33,124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coherent Scattering: $a^1$ (A.U.)</td>
<td>Incoherent Scattering: $s_1 + s_2$ (A.U.)</td>
<td>86,557</td>
<td>72,410</td>
<td>121,248</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asymmetry Factor: $K = s_1 : s_2$</td>
<td>Residual Phase Std. (degrees)</td>
<td>26.7</td>
<td>38.4</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual Phase Std. (degrees)</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

functions (pdfs). It has been reported that, in a foliage environment, log-logistic pdfs can provide more accurate fitting of the amplitude of multipath impulse responses other than log-normal, Weibull, and Rayleigh models for narrowband signals [40]. The Kolmogorov–Smirnov test was used to perform a goodness-of-fit test. This test rejected the null hypothesis that the phase comes from Weibull, Rayleigh, and log-logistic distributions at 9%, 6%, and 3% of the significance level, respectively. The log-logistic distribution for this particular set of data is the more accurate distribution that is in agreement with the work in [40]. The maximum likelihood estimates for the parameters are mean $\mu = 2.47^\circ$ and scale parameter $\beta = 1.48$.
for high [58°, 70°] elevation angles and mean $\mu = 2.57^\circ$ and scale parameter $\beta = 1.48$ for low [30°, 40°] elevation angles.

Increasing the flight height reduces the phase noise (see Table I) of consecutive samples after signal retracking. The re-tracking strategy implemented in PYCARO tends to align the sum of the inphase and quadrature components of the scattered field with the inphase axis, and it switches 180° during each data bit reversal. The purpose of this re-tracking strategy was to properly align the WFs before the incoherent averaging was performed [33]. The coherently integrated WFs need to be aligned to compensate for the GPS satellites’ motion. This motion induces a change in the delay difference of the direct and reflected WFs during the incoherent averaging. The performance of a spaceborne GNSS-R altimeter is seriously degraded without the alignment of the WF samples [33]. Thus, Figs. 12 and 13 deal with the phase after demodulation as provided by the lock-loop mechanism implemented to perform the retracking of the WFs.

V. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

This paper has described, to the authors’ knowledge, the first-ever GNSS-R stratospheric experiment over land surfaces. The BEXUS 17 stratospheric flight trajectory was a single track from the Esrange Space Center (latitude 67° 53’ N, longitude 21° 04’ E) to Juujarvi (latitude 66° 24’ N, longitude 27° 18’ E), with an apogee around 27 000 m and the float phase of 4 h. The outdoor temperature during the flight reached $-67^\circ$ C; however, the environmental conditions in North Sweden during the complete launch campaign were relatively warm, and no ice cover over the ground was found. During this flight, contributions from four different scattering sources over boreal forests have been observed, coming from the soil, the canopy, and the canopy–soil and soil–trunk interactions. It is found that the coherent reflectivity decreases from $\sim$15 to $\sim$21 dB (for the soil), from $\sim$19 to $\sim$25 dB (for the canopy), from $\sim$22 to $\sim$30 dB (for the canopy–soil), and from $\sim$25 to $\sim$33 dB (for the soil–trunk) when elevation angle $\theta_e$ increases from 35° to 72°. The reflected power is nearly independent of the flight height that evidences a strong coherent component for a very high coherent integration time $T_c = 20$ ms. These empirical results show that the scattering over the forest elements occurs in a clear multimodal manner.
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