

# On some constructions of new triangular norms

Radko Mesiar  
Slovak Technical University Bratislava  
Radlinského 11, 813 68 Bratislava  
Slovakia

## Abstract

We discuss the properties of two types of construction of a new t-norm from a given t-norm proposed recently by B. Demant, namely the dilatation and the contraction. In general, the dilatation of a t-norm is an ordinal sum t-norm and the continuity of the outgoing t-norm is preserved. On the other hand, the contraction may violate the continuity as well as the non-continuity of the outgoing t-norm. Several examples are given.

**Keywords:** contraction, dilatation, ordinal sum, triangular norm.

## 1 Introduction

Among several constructions of the new t-norms from given ones [2,3,4], we recall two basic constructions arisen from the semigroup interpretation of a triangular norm, see Schweizer and Sklar [3].

*Ordinal sum:* Let  $[a_k, b_k[; k \in \mathcal{K}]$  be a disjoint system of open subintervals of the unit interval  $[0,1]$  and let  $[T_k; k \in \mathcal{K}]$  be a system

of given t-norms. For  $x, y \in [0, 1]$ , put

$$T(x, y) = \begin{cases} a_k + (b_k - a_k)T_k((x - a_k)/(b_k - a_k), \\ (y - a_k)/(b_k - a_k)) & \text{if } x, y \in [a_k, b_k] \\ & \text{for some } k \in \mathcal{K} \\ \min(x, y) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Then  $T$  is a t-norm and it is called an ordinal sum with summands  $\langle a_k, b_k, T_k \rangle$ ,  $k \in \mathcal{K}$ , briefly  $T \sim [\langle a_k, b_k, T_k \rangle; k \in \mathcal{K}]$ .

*Semigroup deformation:* let  $\phi : [0, 1] \rightarrow [a, 1]$ ,  $a \in [0, 1[$ , be an increasing bijection. Let  $T$  be a given t-norm. For  $x, y \in [0, 1]$  put

$$T_\phi(x, y) = \phi^{(-1)}(T(\phi(x), \phi(y))),$$

where  $\phi^{(-1)} : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is the pseudo-inverse of  $\phi$ ,  $\phi^{(-1)}(x) = \phi^{(-1)}(\max(a, x))$ . Note that if  $a = 0$  then  $T_\phi$  is called a  $\phi$ -transformation of  $T$  and  $T$  and  $T_\phi$  are isomorphic (and hence the properties such as continuity, strictness, etc., are preserved). If  $a > 0$  then the deformation  $T_\phi$  preserves the continuity and the Archimedean property (and the nilpotency) but the strictness may be violated. Take, e.g., the product t-norm  $T_P$  and let  $\phi(x) = 2^{x-1}$ , i.e.,  $a = 1/2$  and  $\phi^{-1}(x) = 1 + \log_2 x$ . Then

$$(T_P)_\phi(x, y) = 1 + \log_2 \max(1/2, 2^{x-1} \cdot 2^{y-1}) = \max(0, x + y, -1).$$

Hence the  $\phi$ -deformation of the strict product t-norm  $T_P$  is the nilpotent Lukasiewicz t-norm  $T_L$ ,  $(T_P)_\phi = T_L$ .

Recently, Demant [1] has suggested two new types of t-norm constructions. Let  $\phi : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, a]$ ,  $a \in ]0, 1]$ , be a given increasing bijection. For  $x \in [0, 1]$  we define the pseudo-inverse of  $\phi$  by  $\phi^{(-1)}(x) = \phi^{-1}(\min(a, x))$ . Let  $T$  be a given t-norm. For  $x, y \in [0, 1]$ , we define:

*Contraction:*

$$T^{(\phi)}(x, y) = \begin{cases} \phi^{(-1)}(T(\phi(x), \phi(y))) & \text{if } \max(x, y) < 1 \\ T(x, y) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases};$$

*Dilatation:*

$$T_{(\phi)}(x, y) = \begin{cases} \phi \left( T(\phi^{(-1)}(x), \phi^{(-1)}(y)) \right) & \text{if } T(x, y) < a \\ T(x, y) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} .$$

Both the contraction and the dilatation of a t-norm  $T$  are again t-norms, see [1]. Note that in the case  $a = 1$  both the dilatation and the contraction are the usual semigroup transformation of Schweizer and Sklar [3],  $T^{(\phi)} = T_\phi$  and  $T_{(\phi)} = T_{\phi^{-1}}$ . Further note that the only t-norms preserved by arbitrary deformation, transformation, and contraction are the limit t-norms  $T_M$  and  $T_W$ . However, the only t-norm preserved by an arbitrary dilatation is  $T_M$ , while  $(T_W)_{(\phi)} \neq T_W$  whenever  $\phi(1) \neq 1$ .

## 2 Contractions of t-norms

For a given bijection  $\phi : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, a]$  with  $a < 1$  and a given t-norm  $T$ , the values of the contraction  $T^{(\phi)}$  on the half-open square  $[0, 1[$  depend on the values of  $T$  on the half-open square  $[0, a[$  only (the remainder of the domain is contained in the borders of the unit square where all t-norms coincide). Hence the non-continuity (and the absence of the Archimedean property) of  $T$  need not be true for its contraction  $T^{(\phi)}$ . On the other hand, the continuity of  $T$  may be violated by  $T^{(\phi)}$ , too, while the Archimedean property remains preserved.

**Example 1** *i) Let  $\phi(x) = a \cdot x$ ,  $a \in ]0, 1[$ , and let  $T = T_P$ . Then the contraction  $T^{(\phi)}$  is defined by*

$$T^{(\phi)}(x, y) = \begin{cases} a \cdot x \cdot y & \text{if } \max(x, y) < 1 \\ x \cdot y & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} .$$

*Note that  $T^{(\phi)}$  is not continuous although  $T$  is continuous. Further, the strictness  $T^{(\phi)}(x, y) < T^{(\phi)}(x, z)$  for each  $x > 0$ ,  $y < z$ , holds true.*

ii) Let  $\phi(x) = a \cdot x$ ,  $a \in ]0, 1[$ , and let  $T \sim [ \langle 0, a, T_P \rangle, \langle a, 1, T_W \rangle ]$  be an ordinal sum  $t$ -norm. Then  $T$  is non-continuous (and non-Archimedean) but  $T^{(\phi)} = T_P$  is continuous and Archimedean. ■

For a composition law of two contractions we have the following result.

**Proposition 1** Let  $\phi : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, a]$  and  $\psi : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, b]$  be two bijections with  $a \leq 1$  and  $b \leq 1$ . Let  $T$  be a given  $t$ -norm. Then

$$[T^{(\phi)}]^{(\psi)} = T^{(\phi \circ \psi)},$$

i.e., the  $\psi$ -contraction of a  $\phi$ -contraction of  $T$  is the  $\phi \circ \psi$ -contraction of  $T$ . ■

The problem of  $t$ -norms invariant under given  $\phi$ -contraction will be partially solved in the next section.

### 3 Dilatations of $t$ -norms

Non-trivial dilatations (i.e., when  $a < 1$ ) are always ordinal sums with two summands.

**Proposition 2** Let  $\phi : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, a]$  be a given increasing bijection where  $a \in ]0, 1[$  and let  $T$  be a given  $t$ -norm. Then the  $\phi$ -dilatation of  $T$  is an ordinal sum with two summands,

$$T_{(\phi)} \sim [ \langle 0, a, T_{\phi/a} \rangle, \langle a, 1, T_a \rangle ],$$

where  $T_{\phi/a}$  is the transformation  $T$  of with respect to the mapping  $\phi/a : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ , while  $T_a$  is the deformation of  $T$  with respect to the linear transformation  $\lambda_a : [0, 1] \rightarrow [a, 1]$ ,  $\lambda_a(x) = a + (1 - a) \cdot x$ , depending only on  $T$  and  $a$  (independent of  $\phi$  up to the value  $a = \phi(1)$ ),  $T_a = T_{\lambda_a}$ ,

$$T_a(x, y) = \left( \max [0, T(a + (1 - a) \cdot x, a + (1 - a) \cdot y) - a] \right) / (1 - a). \quad \blacksquare$$

**Remark 1** For Archimedean continuous t-norms we have the following result: let  $f$  be an additive generator of a given t-norm  $T$  [5] and let the left derivative of  $f$  in the point 1 be non-trivial,  $f'_-(1) \in ]-\infty, 0[$ . Then  $\lim_{a \rightarrow 1^-} T_a = T_L$ , where  $T_L$  is the Lukasiewicz t-norm. The proof follows from the fact that if  $T$  has an additive generator  $f$  then  $T_a$  has an additive generator  $f(a + (1 - a) \cdot x)$ . ■

It is easy to see that for arbitrary dilatation the t-norm  $T_M$  remains stable. Further, for each  $a \in ]0, 1[$  it is  $[T_W]_a = T_W$  and hence for arbitrary  $\phi$  it is  $[T_W]_{(\phi)} \sim [ \langle 0, a, T_W \rangle, \langle a, 1, T_W \rangle ]$ . Applying the  $\phi$ -dilatation to  $T_W$  infinitely many times we get a new t-norm  $T_a^*$  depending only on  $a$  and invariant under  $\phi$ -dilatation,

$$T_a^* \sim [ \langle a^n, a^{n-1}, T_W \rangle; n \in \mathbb{N} ] .$$

A natural question arises: for a given transformation  $\phi$ , are there some other  $\phi$ -dilatation invariant t-norms up to  $T_M$  (a continuous t-norm) and  $T_a^*$  (a discontinuous t-norm)? It is obvious that each  $\phi$ -dilatation invariant t-norm  $T^*$  different from  $T_M$  should be an ordinal sum of type

$$T^* \sim [ \langle a^n, a^{n-1}, T \rangle; n \in N ] ,$$

where  $T$  is a t-norm such that  $T = T_a = T_{\phi/a}$ . Requiring the continuity of  $T$ , we have the following result.

**Proposition 3** *Let  $T$  be a continuous t-norm and let  $a \in ]0, 1[$ . Then  $T_a$  equals  $T$  if and only if  $T$  is the member of the extended Yager's family  $[T_p^y, p \in ]0, \infty[$ ] [6], i.e.,  $T_\infty^y = T_M$  and for  $p \in ]0, \infty[$ , the t-norm  $T_p^y$  is generated by an additive generator  $f_p, f_p(x) = (1 - x)^p, x \in [0, 1]$ . ■*

Note that the proof is based on a modified Cauchy functional equation. Further, let  $f$  be an additive generator of a given t-norm  $T$ . Then  $T_{\phi/a}$  has an additive generator  $f \circ (\phi/a)$ , see [5], and thus  $T$  equals

$T_{\phi/a}$  if and only if  $f$  differs from  $f \circ (\phi/a)$  only by a multiplicative constant. For nilpotent t-norm  $T$  with the normed generator  $f$  (this is the case of the Yager's t-norms) this means that  $\phi/a$  is the identity, i.e.,  $\phi(x) = a \cdot x$ . We have just shown the next result.

**Proposition 4** *Let  $\phi : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, a]$ , where  $a \in ]0, 1[$ , be an increasing bijection. If  $\phi$  is not linear then the only continuous t-norm invariant under  $\phi$ -dilatation is the strongest t-norm  $T_M$ . If  $\phi$  is linear, then the only continuous t-norms invariant under  $\phi$ -dilatation are the members of the family  $[T_{a,p}^*; p \in ]0, \infty[$ ], where  $T_{a,p}^* \sim [ < a^n, a^{n-1}, T_p^y >; n \in N ]$ . ■*

Note that  $T_\infty^* = T_M$ . Further, it is usual to put  $T_0^y = T_W$  (the left limit member of the Yager family). Then each member of the family  $[T_{a,p}^*; p \in [0, \infty[$ ], where  $T_{a,0}^* = T_a^*$ , is invariant under the  $\phi$ -dilatation for  $\phi(x) = a \cdot x$ .

**Remark 2** Note that the  $\phi$ -contraction acts as an inverse of the  $\phi$ -dilatation, the opposite being not true, i.e., for arbitrary t-norm  $T$  it is  $[T_{(\phi)}]^{(\phi)} = T$ . Now, it is obvious that if a given t-norm  $T$  is invariant with respect to a given  $\phi$ -dilatation it has to be invariant also with respect to the corresponding  $\phi$ -contraction.

## References

- [1] Demant, B., Deformationen von t-Normen, ihre Symmetrien und Symmetrieberechnungen, *preprint*.
- [2] Fodor, J.C., A remark on constructing t-norms, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* **41** (1991), 195-199.
- [3] Schweizer, B. and Sklar, A., Associative functions and statistical triangle inequalities, *Publ. Math. Debrecen* **8** (1961), 169-186.
- [4] Schweizer, B. and Sklar, A., Associative functions and abstract semigroups, *Publ. Math. Debrecen* **10** (1963), 69-81.

- [5] Schweizer, B. and Sklar, A., *Probabilistic metric spaces*, North-Holland, New York, 1983.
- [6] Yager, R.R., On a general class of fuzzy connectives, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 4 (1980), 235-242.