

Table of Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Site description	2
2.1	Installations	2
2.2	Ecologic division	4
2.3	Conclusion	6
3	Impacts of River Dams	8
3.1	Hydrologic impacts	8
3.1.1	Introduction	8
3.1.2	Data basis	8
3.1.3	Peak Over Threshold Analysis (POT)	9
3.1.4	Conclusion	12
3.2	Ecologic impacts	12
3.2.1	Sedimentology	14
3.2.2	Salinity	15
3.2.3	Water quality	16
3.2.4	Conclusion	17
4	Overview Instream Flow methods	18
4.1	Determining Instream Flow: Historic methods	19
4.1.1	Tennant Method	19
4.2	Determining Instream Flow: Current models	19
4.2.1	Range of Variability Approach (RVA)	19
4.2.2	Instream Flow Incremental Methodology (IFIM)	20
4.2.3	PHysical HABitat SIMulation System (PHABSIM)	21
4.2.4	Discussion	22
4.2.5	Conclusion	22
5	Models	24
5.1	Tennant	24
5.1.1	Discussion	24
5.2	Range of Variability	25
5.2.1	Parameters	25
5.2.2	Error	25
5.2.3	IHA Results	26
5.2.4	RVA Results	27

5.2.5	Discussion	33
5.2.6	Conclusion	35
5.3	Phabsim	35
5.3.1	Data Basis	35
5.3.2	Cross-Sections	36
5.3.3	Hydraulic Simulation	36
5.3.4	Calibration	37
5.3.5	Habitat Suitability Curves	40
5.3.6	Velocities	41
5.3.7	WUA	42
5.3.8	Error	42
5.3.9	Results	43
5.3.10	Discussion	45
5.3.11	Conclusion	45
6	Conclusion	46
	Appendix	48
A	Ebro Basin	48
A.1	Ecologic Regions	49
B	RVA	55
B.1	RVA Analysis	55
C	Phabsim	56
C.1	Phabsim Results	56
	Bibliography	58

List of Figures

2.1	Ebro basin illustrated using data from the CHE	3
2.2	Reservoir constructions in the Ebro basin as seen with [27]	5
2.3	Ecologic regions in the Ebro basin according to CHE studies [7]	6
2.4	View of study site.	7
3.1	Comparison of LN pre-/post-impact distributions fitted with ML-method	10
3.2	Quasi-linear relation for excesses over a threshold u	11
3.3	Pareto parameter estimation with $\Delta t = 10$ years moving window	13
5.1	IHA parameter: maximum values with pre-impact vs. post-impact	28
5.2	IHA parameter: monthly values with pre-impact vs. post-impact	31
5.3	Months: Hydrological alteration; Lowest category on 2 nd axis	32
5.4	Misc: Hydrological alteration; Lowest category on 2 nd axis	32
5.5	Maximum: Hydrological alteration; Lowest category on 2 nd axis	33
5.6	Stage-discharge linear regression on \log_{10} -scale	38
5.7	Visualized results for RMOD calibration	39
5.8	Visualized results for water surface levels for calibrated discharges	40
5.9	HSC for spawning chub as seen in [1]	41
5.10	HSC for fry chub as seen in [1]	41
5.11	HSC for adult/juvenile chub as seen in [1]	42
5.12	Results of Phabsim error evaluation	43
5.13	Results of physical habitat simulation for chub	44

List of Tables

3.1	Basic statistic parameters for pre-, post-dam data	9
3.2	T-test for pre-impact, post-impact data	9
3.3	Historical data on sediment transport in the lower Ebro basin [2]	14
3.4	Changes in the salt-wedge before and after dam construction [2]	15
4.1	Instream flow recommendations by Tennant method	19
4.2	Overview of model input requirements or dependencies respectively	22
5.1	Results from Tennant method for pre- and post-impact data	24
5.2	Time-range used for RVA analysis	25
5.3	IHA scorecard for Tortosa discharge data	26
5.3	IHA scorecard for Tortosa discharge data	27
5.4	Results for RVA categories from Tortosa discharge data	29
5.5	RVA scorecard for Tortosa discharge data	30
5.6	Selected area for backwater curve simulation	36
5.7	Stage-discharge observations (Xerta) and extrapolations (Tortosa)	37
5.8	$Q=1000\text{m}^3/\text{s}$ Manning coefficient calibration	38
A.1	Region 6: High mountain region characteristics as seen in [7]	49
A.2	Region 1: Humid mountain region characteristics as seen in [7]	50
A.3	Region 4: Mediterranean mountain region characteristics as seen in [7]	51
A.4	Region 3: Plane region characteristics as seen in [7]	52
A.5	Region 2: Great river region characteristics as seen in [7]	53
A.6	Region 5: Ebro axis region characteristics as seen in [7]	54
B.1	Results from changes in IHA parameters	55
C.1	Results for RMOD calibration	56
C.2	HSC values for juvenile chub as seen in [1]	56
C.3	HSC values for chub fry as seen in [1]	57
C.4	HSC values for spawning chub as seen in [1]	57