

# Chapter 6

## Conclusion

Models reviewed in this work are applicable within an uncertainty range elaborated. Functionality of the three models applied is quite different from one another, but generate useful output.

### 1. Tennant model

- The Tennant method delivers quick initial results.
- Resulting flow recommendations for post-dam period are lower than for the pre-dam period
- Flush floods are accounted for with  $Q=640 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$  for the post-dam period.
- Optimum flows are estimated with  $Q > 100 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$  for winter and  $Q > 150 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$  for summer periods (post-dam period).

### 2. RVA model

- The RVA model does not deliver numerical output but describes flow dynamics via a statistical approach.
- IHA parameters allow to describe flow dynamics in much detail in terms of timing, frequency and flow magnitude.
- RVA allows to determine whether a discharge time-series is altered by calculating a hydrologic alteration value (HA).
- Flow patterns between pre-impact and post-impact period have changed very little at Tortosa gauging station.
- Although mean has changed generally spoken for IHA parameters, the HA is small due to large standard variation, which defines HA categories and category overlapping occurs for pre-impact post-impact period.

### 3. Phabsim model

- The Phabsim model outputs the weighted usable area (WUA), which represents the physically available water surface for habitat.
- Currently only curves for one species are available, which also still have to be validated.

- No substrate and temperature data is available for the reach.
- The Phabsim model is susceptible to errors in habitat curves.
- Optimum discharges for the chub are located around  $Q=50 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$  for adult/juvenile and spawning lifestages as well as below that for fry.
- Application of Phabsim in order to generate optimum flow calendars throughout the year is currently not possible due to lack of habitat curves.
- Physical habitat modeling can be combined with 2D (River2D) and 3D hydraulic models.
- 2/3D habitat modeling enables the precise local remodelation of river channel morphology in order to increase physically available habitat.