Neuronal stretch reception – making sense of the mechanosense

Ravi Das¹, Stefan Wieser², Michael Krieg^{1,*}

¹ Neurophotonics and Mechanical Systems Biology; ICFO-Institut de Ciències Fòniques, The Barcelona Institute of Science and Technology, 08860 Castelldefels, Barcelona, Spain.

² Fast live-cell superresolution microscopy, ICFO-Institut de Ciències Fòniques, The Barcelona Institute of Science and Technology, 08860 Castelldefels, Barcelona, Spain.

*michael.krieg@icfo.eu

Abstract:

The sensation of mechanical force underlies many of our daily activities. As the sense of touch determines the quality of life, the subconscious sense of proprioception and visceral mechanosensation is indispensable for survival. Many internal organs change shape, either as an active part of their physiology or passively due to body movements. Importantly, these shape changes need to be sensed and balanced properly to prevent organ failure and dysfunction. Consequently, a failure to properly sense volume changes of internal organs has a huge clinical relevance, manifested by a plethora of congenital and age-related diseases. Here we review novel data on mammalian stretch reception as well as classical studies from insect and nematode proprioceptors with the aim to highlight the missing link between organ-level deformation and mechanosensing on the molecular level.

Keywords:

Cell mechanics; mechanosensation; mechanobiology; mechanosensitive ion channel; force from lipid; force from filament; behavior; proprioception; barosensation

Neuronal mechanosensation in peripheral neurons and beyond

Mechanosensation has a dual role in our lives, an overt and a concealed one. Brain neurons, for example, preferentially elongate their processes into regions of defined rigidity¹ – a mechanosensitive process that evades our attention and we are unaware of. On the other hand, everyone experiences movements of internal organs, in a pleasant or unpleasant way. In our body, many visceral organs in our body generate and respond to mechanical forces and consequently are subjected to repetitive cycles of stretch and compression. The most prominent of these organs is the heart and muscles (Figure 1), less prominent but equally important are the lungs, the bladder, and the gastrointestinal tract (reviewed in²). Both, the generation and the response need to be tightly regulated, in order to ensure life-long function without mechanical failure. These different organs are innervated by specialized sensory neurons³ that express and utilize specialized molecular sensors that are embedded in the plasma membrane of the neuron to sense the mechanical deformation. In most cases, these sensors are mechano-electrical transduction channels which open or close upon the application of mechanical stress⁴, ultimately leading to a change in neuronal activity. The nature and identity of these molecular mechanosensors have been long

known in *C. elegans* touch receptor neurons involved in sensing gentle body touch⁵, but have remained largely elusive for decades in mammals and humans until the discovery of the Piezo ion channel family in 2010⁶. Soon after their discovery the mystery of the sense of touch⁷⁻⁹, proprioception⁷ and the control of visceral reflexes^{10,11}, seemed solved. However, the unusual size and domain organization of the PIEZO proteins raised several questions about how these proteins sense mechanical force, a question that has driven the field for the past 35 years since the initial discovery of mechanically gated ion channels¹². In the past few years, we saw an explosion of stunning Piezo structures (reviewed in ¹³), fueled by the development of new cryo-EM detectors, generating new exciting hypotheses about their gating mechanism¹⁴. A closer look, however, warrants additional concepts to be considered and we thus would like to paint a dialectic picture of how neuronal stretch reception during organ level deformation is coupled to molecular movement of individual ion channels. As important as the nature of the channel and its location within the neuron, is the question of how the stress reaches it. A critical part of this process is inherent to the organization of the neurons and their surrounding as well as the material they are made of. We thus pay particular attention to the mechanical properties of neurons, their cytoskeleton and how impinging stresses change neuronal morphologies related to mechanosensation.

At large, the specialization of the neuronal substrates involved in mechanical somatosensation in vertebrates is very complex and situations in which sensory cells and neurons participate in the same function is not uncommon⁸. Likewise, the same behaviors and functions are driven by a set of mechanosensitive channels with overlapping but not redundant functions. Amiloride-sensitive ^{15,16} and Piezo2 driven currents ⁷ are responsible, at least partially, for mediating proprioceptive stretch-evoked currents in muscle-nerve explants, but respond to different mechanical stimuli, while TRP, ASIC, DEG/ENaC and Piezo channels have been related to barosensation^{17,18}. The nature of the channel, the direction and moiety of ion flow strongly depends on the system studied and the gating probability on the force vector applied¹⁹. Despite these wildly differing physiological functions and ion channels they employ, it is plausible that these mechanoreceptors share a common principle of mechanosensation, by sensing the change in dimension of the structure they innervate. Because the neurons hosting the mechanosensors are made of elastic proteins and membranes, the changes in length, width, radius or volume create a stress on the mechanosensors which subsequently changes the physiological states by modulating ion channel function. Underlining these fundamental principles in mechanosensitive stretch sensation is subject of this review.

Whereas the mechanical and physiological principles of the sense of touch, hearing and mechanical nociception have recently been summarized in various excellent reviews^{3,20-24}, here we highlight current findings in proprioception and visceral mechanosensation.

Proprioception

Locomotion requires the interplay of the central nervous system (CNS), muscles, and the peripheral nervous system (PNS). Sensory feedback from the mechanosensory neurons of the PNS provides moment-by-moment adjustments to the pattern, and locomotion critically depends on this adaptive motor control. Sensory neurons innervating the specialized mechanoreceptors in the muscles and tendons become stretched or otherwise deformed from their equilibrium position and sense muscle tension

changes, joint angle and tendon length^{7,15}, to initiate an action potential. This '6th senses of our own bodies', has the peculiar property that we just become aware of it when something has gone wrong: The lack of proprioception for example, is known to everyone upon a squeezed nerve, which could numb the entire limb. Less common is the so-called phantom pain after limb amputation, which has been associated to proprioceptive nerve functions, that can be minimized by coupling the terminal amputated nerve to existing muscles²⁵.

Proprioceptive stretch sensation is probably the best characterized stretch sense in mammals on the morphological and circuit levels and has been extensively reviewed in ^{15,26}. The basic feedback loop consists of sensory neurons that measure the change in muscle mechanics and adjusts the contraction via signaling through motoneurons (Figure 1A). Axons emanating from the dorsal root ganglion innervate specialized sensory receptors in the skeletal muscles, commonly summarized as muscle spindles and Golgi tendon organs. Muscle spindles are located inside the muscles and are positioned parallel with the contractile muscle fibers, making them most sensitive to length changes during contraction. They are supplied by two types of sensory afferents, each of which encode a different mechanoreponse: Afferent type 1 are most sensitive to strain rate and the movement will go undetected if it is too slow ²⁶, while type 2 signal sustained muscle activity. However, it is not known whether or not the two types of afferents use different combinations of mechanosensitive ion channels to differentiate between the differential and the sustain stimulus, or if it is an emergent property of their morphology. At this end, both groups and nerves innervating Golgi tendon organs express Piezo2 channels⁷. In both cases, however, we can find the sensory terminal of the afferents are neatly positioned to sense changes in muscle length and tension by wrapping around the muscle spindle in spiraling rings, giving them the name annulospiral sensory endings^{7,27}. In semi-isolated muscles, mechanical stretch of the spindles causes a measureable extension of the sensory region that is accompanied by an increase in the spacing between the turns of the annulospirals²⁸ and a flattening of the terminals themselves²⁹ (Figure 1B).

At least two different mechanosensitive ion channels have been implied in murine proprioceptor function, ASIC3¹⁶ and PIEZO2⁷. Patients with a mutation in Piezo2 have substantial defect in touch sensation but also movement control and posture, indicative of a defect in mechanical stretch receptions³⁰. In general, Piezo proteins are responsible for mediating wildly differing behaviors, begging for the answer how they sense force during touch, proprioception, pain, osmosis, blood flow etc. (for a review on Piezo proteins see ³¹). The data from mouse suggests that ASIC3 and Piezo2 respond to different stimuli: Force application with a mechanical probe directly to the soma or neurite primarily activated Piezo2 dependent current⁷ while substrate stretch primarily activated ASIC3 dependent currents¹⁶. Intriguingly, ASIC3 knockout had no effect on directly stimulated neurons, but eliminated substrate-stretch evoked current. Whether or not ASIC3 is a mechanoelectrical transduction channel itself or assisting other transducer like Piezo2, remains to be tested in an heterologous system. Along those lines, Piezo1 has also been shown to be sensitive to the direction of the force vector, being more sensitive to pulling than to indenting stresses¹⁹.

In summary, experiments on mammalian proprioceptors show that mechanoreceptor activation depends on the force-vector applied, highlighting the need to understand the mechanics of mechanoreceptor system in question.

Baroreflex:

The so-called baroreceptors (pressure sensor) are stretch-sensitive neurons of the vagus or glossopharyngeal nerve that innervate the carotid and aortic arch arteries and respond to mechanical dilatation of blood vessel walls during increased blood pressure and stress (Figure 1A). They signal blood pressure changes to the brain stem and provide a rapid negative feedback loop in which an elevated blood pressure obligatorily causes the heart rate and accordingly blood pressure to decrease. These vital functions are reflected in the huge medical literature and anatomical classification available. Unfortunately, our mechanistic and molecular understanding, of how individual baroreceptors and their ion channels sense mechanical arterial stretch, severely lacks behind the clinical importance of the baroreflex³². Recent studies, however, have begun to shed light on the mechanism of baroreception¹¹. The morphology of the afferents form extensively coiled, annulospiral morphologies³³, similar to proprioceptive endings in intrafusal muscle fibers (see Figure 1C and ^{15,27}), that are consistent with the hypothesis that arterial baroreceptors sense strain and not pressure³⁴. Whether or not these morphologies are tied to neuronal activation, remains to be established experimentally by correlating their activity to cellular strain, but it is tempting to speculate that these highly spiraling, spring-like morphologies naturally exist in a slackened state, while becoming stretched out during aortic distension³⁵.

The molecules converting arterial stretch into a biochemical signals are still a matter of debate. Aortic arch and carotid sinus baroreceptors of the rat nodose ganglia express mechanosensitive ion channels at their nerve terminals innervating the blood vessels³³. Those proposed mechanosensors included Piezo¹¹, γ ENaC³³, ASIC2³⁶ and TRPC5³⁷ ion channels, but their direct role in this context is unclear and currently disputed^{38–40}. Recent data shows that both, Piezo1 and Piezo2 act redundantly in nodose and petrosal ganglia of the vagal nerves to regulate the baroreflex¹¹.

Alterations in baroreceptor sensitivity has a tremendous clinical relevance and is implicated in a variety of cardiovascular diseases and hypertension^{41,42}. A big role in the disease process plays the elasticity of the innervated vascular wall^{43,44}, as well the mechanics of the nerve itself⁴⁵. A recent computational model emphasizes that baroreceptor signaling is sensitive to changes in mechanical strain of the aortic walls, where the changes in arterial compliance is positively related with a decline in baroreceptor sensitivity⁴⁶. Taken together, the baroreceptor measures the changes in dimensions of the innervated vessel, which deforms according to their wall tensions and intraluminal pressure. This in turn can be affected by aberrant elasticity of the vessel wall and/or neuronal cell mechanical properties.

Insights from non-vertebrate model organisms

As in other animals, the locomotory gait of the popular non-vertebrate models *Caenorhabditis elegans* and *Drosophila melanogaster* is regulated by stretch-activated mechanoreceptors innervating their body walls and segments at all stages during their lives^{47,48}. At least three different neuronal sensory organs have been related to proprioceptive feedback control in wandering fly larvae (Fig. 1D) – multidendritic (md) neurons, chordotonal organ and campaniform sensillae (since the major function of this organ is to sense cuticle strain and flight control in adult flies, we refer to ref ^{49,50}).

In the fly larvae, locomotion occurs by peristaltic extensions and contractions of the individual body segments, such that during each wave a segment experiences a cycle of tension and relaxation. The individual proprioceptors are positioned to ensure direction-specific sensing during forward and backward locomotion⁵¹. This information is carried back to the central nervous system by proprioceptive feedback from the bipolar dendrite and class I md neurons (Figure 1D), which lie just under the cuticle and based on their morphology and data from different insect species, are thought to be stretch sensitive cells. This proprioceptive feedback is critical for coordinating the rapid muscle contractions of a peristaltic wave⁵¹. The ion channels mediating this response are the transient receptor potential homolog NOMPC⁵² and transmembrane channel like proteins of the TMC family⁵³, while the fly Piezo protein has been implicated in bd neuron stretch responses⁵⁴. Employing high-speed confocal scanning microscopy it could be shown that the deformation pattern in md neurons during forward and backward locomotion and found that curling of the dendrites correlated with the strength of direction sensitive calcium transients⁵⁵ in moving animals (Figure 1D). How channel activation is related to cellular deformation of these dendrites in freely locomoting larvae is still an outstanding question, even less is known about the mechanical properties relating the deformation to mechanosensation.

The mechanics and molecules of proprioception are better defined in the larval chordotonal organs (Figure 1D), which are ciliated stretch receptors that increase their firing rate in response to substrate vibrations and act as low-frequency stretch receptors⁴⁹. Since in-situ imaging of larval crawling is missing, we do not know how the ChO deforms during locomotion and how it sense stretch. However, it was shown that under tensile, mechanical stresses to the cap cell, the dendritic cilia start to bend, which has been suggested to cause mechanoreceptor activation⁵⁶. How a mechanical stretch can cause bending of the cilia is a mystery, but active motility was suggested to cause lateral deflection of the ciliary microtubules⁵⁶. Laser cutting of the ChO suggest that the sensory and support cells are under constitutive mechanical tension⁵⁷. Direct mechanical deformation also suggest that the bending stiffness of the organs is relatively low compared to its stretch stiffness, consistent with chord held under tension. The molecules and structures supporting this pre-tension are not known, but due to the abundance of myosin in the cap cells, it is likely that it plays a prominent role in cell mechanics⁵⁷. The ECM seems to play a special role in cell mechanics and force transfer. The leucine-rich repeat protein Artichoke localizes to the dendritic tips and thus along similar anatomical regions as NOMPC. Loss of function in this protein leads to cilia disorganization reminiscent of a loss in mechanical stretch⁵⁸.

What might be the significance this tension? Similar to *C. elegans* touch receptor neurons²⁰ and the hair-cell transduction apparatus^{59,60}, this mechanical tension is hypothesized to be critical for maximizing the sensitivity of mechanotransduction channels through keeping their open probability at rest at a value, where small mechanical stimuli would cause the maximal open probability change⁶¹. In other words, even a low number of channels can cause a graded response since the current flow is proportional to the open probability. Picturing the energy landscape in Fig 2A, the channel would not reside in its local minimum, but on the time average slightly closer to the energy barrier (equivalent to a lower barrier height). Putting the channel under a constitutive tension also could set the direction in which the channel moves within the higher dimensional energy landscape (Fig. 2B), such that different states become populated. The bearing

of the pre-stress is likely mediated by cytoskeletal elements, e.g. the spectrin cytoskeleton⁶² rather the lipid bilayer, although membranes of several cell types have been proposed to resist flow during timescales that are important for mechanosignaling⁶³,

Like in *bd* neurons, the mechanoreceptor in chordotonal organs is NOMPC⁵² but its function, however, is not cell-autonomous. Unexpectedly, mechanotransduction of gentle touch, sound, and proprioceptive feedback during larval locomotion requires the adhesion-type GPCR latrophilin (dCirl)⁶⁴ as disrupting its function impaired larval locomotion and body contraction amplitudes. Furthermore, dCirl is specific for mechanosensation, as latrophilin mutants fail to increase the spike rate in chordotonal organs in response to mechanical stimuli^{65,66}. Superresolution imaging showed that dCirl colocalizes to region with the mechanosensitive channel where it suppresses cAMP levels and increases excitability of ChOs. The location of the receptor is thus in accordance with the sites of ionotropic mechanotransduction, which is present in the dendritic membrane and the single cilium of ChO neurons. The classical concept of GPCRs as sensors of chemical compounds has been changing and the notion that GPCRs detect and transduce physical modalities, such as, membrane stretch and osmolarity, has been getting traction and opened a new yet crucial avenue of research in the field of neuronal^{64,66} and non-neuronal mechanobiology⁶⁷.

Likewise, the visual opsins NINAE and Rh6 are primarily expressed in the chordotonal organs and require all-trans retinal as a cofactor, but act independent of temperature, light and vision⁶⁵. They have an indirect effect on the expression and localization of mechanosensitive TRP channels such as NOMPC, Nanchung and Inactive and its mutations lead to defect in locomotion and neuronal firing upon stretch. Interestingly, the opsins also seem to have a neuroprotective function, as dendrites lacking NINAE and Rh6 are more flaccid, disorganized and showed increased membrane blebbing than in wildtype flies⁶⁵. These phenotypes are similar to neuromechanical defects seen in *C. elegans* mechanosensors⁶⁸ and it is interesting to speculate whether or not the mechanical pre-stress seen in chordotonal organs⁵⁷ depends on opsin function is necessary for sensory function.

Proprioceptive coordination of locomotion in *C. elegans* is similarly complex as in *Drosophila*. At least five different neuron classes have been implicated, PVD multimodal neurons⁶⁹, TRN mechanosensors⁷⁰, DVA interneurons^{48,71,72}, the SMD neurons⁷³ and VA, VB motoneurons^{74,75} (Fig. 1E). Motoneurons, PVD and DVA have been directly shown to be activated by body bending, either in microfluidic devices⁷⁵ or microcapillaries^{48,69}, although the contribution of DVA activity in flexural control of freely behaving animals needs further studies⁷¹. In particular, the cholinergic motor neurons have long undifferentiated processes that extend along the nerve cords without forming any synapses. Intriguingly, in the B-type motor neurons, these long asynaptic processes extend past posteriorly than their neuromuscular junctions. These asynaptic processes are hypothesized to be proprioceptive sensors of these motoneurons^(75 and references therein).

On one hand there is considerable data on the mechanical properties for *C. elegans* TRNs, which have been shown to be under constitutive, spectrin-dependent mechanical tension of a $\sim 15 \mu\text{N}/\text{m}$ ⁶² with an average elasticity⁶⁸ of $\sim 6 \text{ kPa}$, but on the other hand almost no mechanical information is available for *C. elegans* proprioceptors. However, in the current 'mission accomplished' model for *C. elegans*

proprioception⁷⁵, the cellular and molecular mechanics are hypothesized to play an important role. In this model, muscle contraction causes a bending strain which generates a stress in the proprioceptors⁴⁸ dependent on the neuron's elasticity. Our own data derived from animals expressing a spectrin-tension sensors in candidate proprioceptors like DVA or DA9 show that these neurons experience a similar mechanical pre-stress as TRNs (Das et al, in preparation), indicative that tension is an emergent property of the spectrin cytoskeleton. Whether or not this tension in proprioceptors has a role in locomotion remains to be tested. The morphological changes associated with signaling in proprioceptors of *C. elegans* are also ill-defined. However, we can speculate that in SMDD, DVA and motoneurons body posture sensing is coupled to compression and extension of their processes, similar to the morphological changes that happen in ventral TRNs during body bending (Figure 1F, G and ref⁶²). In contrast to the lateral neurons, ventrally and dorsally located neurites are perfectly positioned to receive the maximum amount of stress per change in body posture. Likewise, the most distal dendrites in PVD might experience lateral movement, and thus shares significant similarity with mammalian muscle spindles, such that the sensory terminals are oriented perpendicular to the long-axis of the sarcomeres, an arrangement that might maximize strain sensitivity.

The channels mediating the mechanical activation are less well defined and each of these neurons expresses multiple sets of potentially mechanosensitive ion channels. DEG/ENaC channels are implicated in the response to mechanical bending in PVD and the motoneurons. UNC-8 and DEL-1 are putative pore-forming subunits expressed in VA and VB motoneurons and are expected to localize to specialized undifferentiated processes in the VA neurons proposed as stretch receptors that do not form neuromuscular junctions⁷⁶. However, precise localization of these channels has not been determined and mutations therein only result in subtle uncoordination and reduced sinusoidal amplitude during locomotion⁷⁴, suggesting that proprioception is mediated by other yet to be identified channels⁷⁶. MEC-10 is a pore-forming subunit of the mechanosensory channel in PVD and TRNs and its mutations lead to a reduction in bending evoked calcium transients in PVD⁶⁹. It localizes to the dendrites consistent with its function in sensing muscle tension⁷⁷.

Channels of the TRP family have been proposed to act as mechanosensors in SMD and DVA neurons. Mutations in TRP-4 lead to an increase in body curvature while ablation of DVA decreases body bending, consistent with their function in proprioceptive mechanosensation. Although it could be shown that TRP-4 is a mechanosensitive pore-forming channel subunit^{48,72}, mechanosensitivity for TRP-1 and TRP-2 in a heterologous system still needs to be determined⁷³.

How TRNs are involved in proprioception is not completely understood. Mutations in the mechanosensitive ion channel MEC-4 that lead to TRN degeneration as well as targeted laser ablation of anterior and posterior TRNs also lead to changes in swimming locomotion⁷⁰. However, MEC-4 expressing neurons are not sensitive to mechanical stimulations delivered in the low frequency range that occurs during locomotion, but only at higher >5Hz frequencies^{78,79}, making it unlikely that TRNs sense body deformations. MEC-4 might sense viscosity of the surrounding medium by measuring the load on the body wall which is then involved in gait adaptation⁷⁰.

Despite all of these neurons are activated by changes in body posture, it is not clear whether or not these neurons act directly as a cell autonomous stretch receptor or if they respond to an upstream sensory factor. The central question remains to be answered, how bending radii of the body in the order of 25-50 μ m are sensed on the molecular scale by ion channels that are more than 3 orders of magnitude smaller. But, even if we knew the mechanosensitive ion channel and the sole mechanoreceptor neurons, it remains unclear how cellular deformation is coupled to mechanoreceptor activation.

How do proprioceptors sense organ and muscle stretch?

Several mechanisms have been proposed to govern mechanosensitive ion channel opening, all of which can be classified under two general, not necessarily mutually exclusive, scenarios, independent of the exact nature of the channel and its environment. The two paradigms at play have been dubbed the force-from-lipid⁸⁰⁻⁸² and force-from-filament principles^{20,83}, according to the dominant force transmission pathway through the membrane or some protein connection, respectively. In either case, the force applied to the mechanosensitive channel will tilt its energy landscape and thus alter the probabilities whether or not it is found in the open or closed conformation. The work done by the force needs to be larger than the surrounding thermal energy (on the order of $1k_bT$) such that the molecular sensor can differentiate between stochastic thermal fluctuations and a deterministic, mechanical signal⁸⁴. For example, $1k_bT$ is the work required for stretching a molecular spring with a spring constant of 8pN/nm by 1nm. In terms of a planar lipid bilayer, $1k_bT$ corresponds to an area fluctuation of 200nm² (0.00001% of cell surface) for a eukaryotic cell of size 20 μ m with a typical neuronal membrane tension of about 20 μ N/m^{85,86}, or ~ 10 nm² for bacterial membrane tension of 1mN/m⁸⁷.

The force from lipid principle dates back more than 40 years and has received much theoretical attention. According to this principle, mechanosensitive ion channels are sensitive to changes in plasma membrane bilayer mechanics, notably membrane tension and/or membrane bending¹⁷ (Fig. 2C). The importance is evident since all ion channels are embedded into a cell membrane and thus must comply, one way or another, to changes in lipid bilayer mechanics. Second, the unique pressure profile in biomembranes is well suited to exert directed forces on the molecular scale⁸⁸.

This is a consequence of the fact that membranes have a large elastic area expansion modulus endowing the bilayer with little area elasticity. Thus, without a membrane reservoir, little increase in surface area by a mechanical forces must rapidly elevate in-plane membrane stress, which is accompanied by thinning of the membrane. This membrane thinning generates an energetically unfavorable hydrophobic mismatch between the trans-membrane segments of the embedded proteins and the fatty acid core of the lipid molecules, to which the conformationally flexible channels respond by tilting their TMDs to reduce this mismatch such that the free energy of the membrane protein/lipid system is minimized⁸⁹. This tilting is observed in molecular dynamics simulation and crystal structures of plant and bacterial mechanosensitive channel of large and small conductance (MscL/S; reviewed in^{87,90,91}). This associated conformational change is coupled to pore opening and the predicted area expansion of ~ 20 nm², necessary to traverse the energy barrier. Further evidence for the FFL principle comes from reconstitution of mechanosensitive ion channels TREK, TRAAK and Piezo in heterologous systems and lipid vesicles, in which high enough

membrane stretch easily occurs due to the lack of an extensive membrane reservoir⁹². However, the questions of how these proteins are gated in eukaryotic cells remains an interesting intellectual challenge. Piezo ion channels for example, are humongous protein complexes curving the membrane into a cup-like structure⁹³, which could lead to a channel opening by coupling changes in membrane tension to changes in curvature and bending⁹⁴. Despite these unusual structural organization and doming, Piezo1 gates at unphysiological membrane tensions of 1-5mN/m³¹, levels that lead to phase transitions in model membranes⁹⁵ and are two or three order of magnitude of what is normally found in neurons^{62,86}.

As noted by Howard and colleagues¹⁴, the little changes in membrane tension in eukaryotic cells might not be sufficient to cause an expansion of ~100nm² necessary to gate ion channels such as Deg/ENaCs, Asics or TRPs due to the small area change associated to their conformational change. Whether or not membrane tension is the primary gating mechanism employed in neurons, remains challenging to probe, but the possibility exists that a physical interaction between channel and the cytoskeleton is involved in force transfer to stabilize the open conformation and permit ion flux.

This so-called force-from-filament principle (FFF, Figure 2D, ⁸³), postulates an interaction of the ion channel with a 'tether' on the intracellular (e.g. to the cytoskeleton) and/or the extracellular side (e.g. the ECM). Whereas it is undisputable that an ion channel interacts with the membrane, the quest for intra- and extracellular interaction partners has been notoriously difficult²⁰. A genetic interaction between the stomatin protein MEC-2 and microtubules in *C. elegans* was long time the basis for proposed a tethering mechanism⁹⁶, a line of thought that was abandoned based on results from structural and functional experiments^{97,98}. Recent data, however, highlight the importance of the mammalian MEC-2 homolog STOML3 for Piezo1 mediated mechanoreceptor currents in cultured dorsal root ganglion (DRG) neurons^{99,100} that are involved in the sense of touch and proprioception. It has been suggested that STOML3 facilitates force transfer to ion channels and the observation that this protein was targeted specifically to neuron - substrate adhesion domains emphasizes the potential role of extracellular tethering¹⁰¹. In *C. elegans*, several extracellular matrix proteins are required for proper touch sensation (reviewed in ²⁰), but the role of ECM in proprioception remains to be determined.

Strong candidates for the FFF principles is NOMPC (or TRPN, similar to TRP-4 in *C. elegans*), the *Drosophila* TRP channel homolog responsible for proprioceptive functions in md neurons, neurons of the campaniform sensillae and chordotonal organs. NOMPC molecules have a large, helical intracellular domain containing 29 ankyrin repeats implicated in force transfer from the cytoskeleton to the channel gate¹⁰². Molecular dynamics simulation of the ankyrin from erythrocyte Ankyrin-R domain reveals an enormous flexibility and the capability to buffer stresses by eventually unfolding and refolding. The repeats extend far into the cytoplasm and make contacts to microtubules – an interaction that is critical for proper physiological function. Strikingly, transplanting the MT-binding domain to voltage gated potassium channels (Kv2.1) confers mechanosensitivity to otherwise non-mechanosensitive channels, presumably by acting as a gating tether and transferring stretch from the microtubule network to stabilize the open conformation¹⁰³.

Another mechanism of channel gating has been proposed for osmosensory neurons of the brain. During cell shrinkage, the plasma-membrane collapses, creating negative tensions differentials and collides with the underlying microtubules network visible as microtubule buckling mechanism¹⁰⁴. This generates

pushing forces from the cortical microtubule cytoskeleton to activate TRPV1 ion channels in ONs and signal plasma hypertonicity to command thirst during dehydration. The interaction between the channel and the microtubules is likely direct, as TRPV1 has two microtubule binding sites at the C-terminus¹⁰⁴ leading to very fast activation within 4ms of force application. Taken together, new methods and experimental strategies will reveal the natural stimulus and how different mechanosensitive ion channels are gated by mechanical stresses within their native environment.

Future perspectives, potentials and open questions

Although we just concentrated on mechanical signaling by neuronal stretch receptors, without comprehensive discussion of the sense of touch, hearing, pain and most visceral mechanosensation processes, the picture is already very complex. In order to build predictive models, we need a better understanding of how mechanoreceptor morphology enables mechanical signaling and how the shapes of their sensory terminals change upon stretch. This alone is not sufficient to estimate the stresses during signaling, we also need information about their mechanical properties. This change in geometry together with information about the mechanical properties of the constituent cell types, will allow us to quantify the mechanical stresses involved in mechanical signal. We thus need to deploy techniques that allow us to visualize the distribution of stresses along and within mechanoreceptors and gain insights into the force transmission pathway. We will also need single molecule techniques to identify energy barriers and the force associated with gating to map the kinetics to structural changes. But how can small model organisms help us to gain a better understanding of the fundamental processes, even though they lack lungs and blood vessels?

Strikingly, the deformation frequencies and strain rates that the vagal sensory afferents experience during breathing and arterial dilation are very similar to the deformation rates that proprioceptive neurons experience during *C. elegans* and *Drosophila* larval locomotion. Both processes involve the repetitive mechanical deformation in the range of 0.6-1.5 Hz (heart beat and breathing frequency¹⁰⁵) that is sensed by specialized mechanoreceptors, while the limit cycle of *C. elegans* and *Drosophila* locomotion behavior repeats every 0.5-1s¹⁰⁶. Thus, *C. elegans* sensory neurons and vagal afferents experience similar stresses during mechanosensation and, as postmitotic and terminally differentiated cells need to be functional and sensitive for their entire life span. This has dramatic demands on their mechanostability and how they achieve their resilience is yet to be explored. Thus, *C. elegans* and *Drosophila* remain excellent models to explore the mechanics of MS channel gating and mechanical stability under continuous mechanical stresses. Since the ion channels involved and the components of the load bearing cytoskeleton are highly conserved in worms and humans and ubiquitous components of all neurons, the findings may have direct implications on mammalian systems. Despite the mind-boggling progress made in the field of mechanosensory transduction in the last 10 years, several fundamental questions remain open: Do cells sense stress or strain? Is the major mechanotransduction pathway along the membrane or the cytoskeleton? The future promises answers combining high-resolution force spectroscopy with new imaging modalities to probe functional mechanics in mechanical stretch reception.

Acknowledgments:

We would like to thank the all the researchers and technicians involved in the mechanosensation field for the exciting discoveries and cultivating an open discussion. At the same time, we apologize to all whose work we could not incorporate due to space limitations. We acknowledge generous financial support by the Spanish Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness through the Ramon y Cajal program (RYC-2015-17935), “Severo Ochoa” program for Centres of Excellence in R&D (SEV-2015-0522) from Fundació Privada Cellex, Generalitat de Catalunya through the CERCA program, and ERC (MechanoSystems grant 715243).

Figure Legends

Figure 1: Brain-body feedback loops initiated by mechanical stretch receptors

A) Schematic representation of the two peripheral neuronal pathways connecting the central nervous system with muscles and the blood vessels.

i Proprioceptive sensory afferent of the dorsal root ganglion neurons innervate muscle spindles and golgi tendon organs (not shown) embedded in skeletal muscle. The afferent form annulospiral sensory terminals, which wrap around the intrafusal muscle fibers become compressed during muscle tension, which is hypothesized to activate embedded mechanoreceptors¹⁵.

ii Specialized mechanosensitive baroreceptors of the carotid sinus travel within the glossopharyngeal while aortic arch baroreceptors go via the vagus nerve and become activated by increased blood pressure, which signal to the brainstem. This leads to an inhibition of the sympathetic nervous system and a reduction in blood pressure, heart rate and arterial vasoconstriction³⁴.

B) Representative morphologies of mechanosensors innervating the i proprioceptors of the mouse muscle spindle (Photograph reproduced from ²⁷), and ii, their schematic change in dimensions. Note the flattening of the terminals (blue) and separation with longitudinal strain (Schematic reproduced from ²⁹).

C) Representative morphologies of mechanosensors innervating the i aortic arch (reproduced from ³³), and ii the hypothetical deformation by increased blood pressure/flow.

D) Location and schematic morphology of fly larval md and chordotonal neurons.

E) Location and morphology of proposed proprioceptors in *C. elegans*. In total four SMD neurons have their cell bodies in the head and extend two long processes on the ventral and dorsal side respectively. DVA interneuron is located ventrally and are subjected to repeated elongation and contraction cycles during locomotion (unpublished), similar to the ventral touch receptor neurons⁶⁸. A, B and D-type motoneurons innervate ventral and dorsal side and are subjected to similar stresses during locomotion. Only A and B-type motoneurons have synaptic processes implicated in proprioception. PVD extends elaborate dendritic processes between the sarcomeres of body wall muscles. Their location between the muscles and hypodermis is ideally placed for muscular strain measurements.

F) Snapshots of ventral and lateral neurons (ALM and AVM) in animals with ventral and dorsal body bends. (Figure adapted from ⁶⁸)

G) Possible deformation of ventral proprioceptors as a function of body bending (unpublished). Proprioceptors located at the ventral and dorsal side experience cyclic compressive and tensile strains due to body movement, whereas lateral neurons solely experience bending. Note, lateral neurons close to the midline do not experience any contraction/elongation cycles (like ALM, ⁶⁸).

Figure 2: Potential force transmission pathways in mechanosensitive ion channels.

A: Sketch of a hypothetical 1D energy landscape of a mechanosensitive ion channel subjected to stress. The force tilts the energy landscape leading to an effective lowering of the barrier separating the closed and open state. A pre-stressed channel will not reside in the deepest portion of the well, but will be statistically be more likely closer to the barrier apex. Black ovals indicate positions of the ion channel state.

B: Two-dimensional representation of the energy landscape. A mechanical pre-stress could prescribe a preferred reaction coordinate¹⁰⁷ during application of mechanical stress and thus define the opening mechanism.

C: Schematic scenarios of the force-from-lipid principle. **i** unstressed state, closed. **ii** membrane under mechanical tension with thinning bilayer leading to hydrophobic mismatch. **iii** local membrane deformation due to local phase separation by increased membrane tension⁹⁵. **iv** increased membrane tension due to membrane bending³¹.

D: Schematic representation of the force from filament principle, in which an ion channel interacts with an ECM protein (green triple helix) and/or an intracellular tether to the cytoskeleton. Force application will stretch the tether and cause a conformational change independent of an area increase. The inset shows a hypothesized gating tether composed of 24 ankyrin repeats subjected to a terminal force of 50pN¹⁰⁸.

References

- (1) Koser, D. E.; Thompson, A. J.; Foster, S. K.; Dwivedy, A.; Pillai, E. K.; Sheridan, G. K.; Svoboda, H.; Viana, M.; Costa, L. D. F.; Guck, J.; et al. Mechanosensing Is Critical for Axon Growth in the Developing Brain. *Nat. Neurosci.* **2016**, *19* (12), 1592–1598.
- (2) Umans, B. D.; Liberles, S. D. Neural Sensing of Organ Volume. *Trends Neurosci.* **2018**, *xx*, 1–14.
- (3) Chalfie, M. Neurosensory Mechanotransduction. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* **2009**, *10* (1), 44–52.
- (4) Ranade, S. S.; Syeda, R.; Patapoutian, A. Mechanically Activated Ion Channels. *Neuron* **2015**, *87* (6), 1162–1179.
- (5) Hong, K.; Driscoll, M. A Transmembrane Domain of the Putative Channel Subunit MEC-4 Influences Mechanotransduction and Neurodegeneration in *C. Elegans*. *Nature* **1994**, *367* (6462), 470–473.
- (6) Coste, B.; Mathur, J.; Schmidt, M.; Earley, T. J.; Ranade, S.; Petrus, M. J.; Dubin, A. E.; Patapoutian, A. Piezo1 and Piezo2 Are Essential Components of Distinct Mechanically Activated Cation Channels. *Science* (80-.). **2010**, *330* (October), 55.

- (7) Woo, S. H.; Lukacs, V.; De Nooij, J. C.; Zaytseva, D.; Criddle, C. R.; Francisco, A.; Jessell, T. M.; Wilkinson, K. A.; Patapoutian, A. Piezo2 Is the Principal Mechanotransduction Channel for Proprioception. *Nat. Neurosci.* **2015**, *18* (12), 1756–1762.
- (8) Maksimovic, S.; Nakatani, M.; Baba, Y.; Nelson, A. M.; Marshall, K. L.; Wellnitz, S. A.; Firozi, P.; Woo, S.-H.; Ranade, S.; Patapoutian, A.; et al. Epidermal Merkel Cells Are Mechanosensory Cells That Tune Mammalian Touch Receptors. *Nature* **2014**, *509* (7502), 617–621.
- (9) Ikeda, R.; Cha, M.; Ling, J.; Jia, Z.; Coyle, D.; Gu, J. G. Merkel Cells Transduce and Encode Tactile Stimuli to Drive A β -Afferent Impulses. *Cell* **2014**, *157* (3), 664–675.
- (10) Nonomura, K.; Woo, S. H.; Chang, R. B.; Gillich, A.; Qiu, Z.; Francisco, A. G.; Ranade, S. S.; Liberles, S. D.; Patapoutian, A. Piezo2 Senses Airway Stretch and Mediates Lung Inflation-Induced Apnoea. *Nature* **2017**, *541* (7636), 176–181.
- (11) Zeng, W.-Z.; Marshall, K. L.; Min, S.; Daou, I.; Chapleau, M. W.; Abboud, F. M.; Liberles, S. D.; Patapoutian, A. PIEZO2 Mediate Neuronal Sensing of Blood Pressure and the Baroreceptor Reflex. *Science* (80-.). **2018**, *362* (6413), 464–467.
- (12) Guharay, F.; Sachs, F. Stretch-Activated Single Ion Channel Currents in Tissue-Cultured Embryonic Chick Skeletal Muscle. *J. Physiol.* **1984**, *352* (1), 685–701.
- (13) Chesler, A. T.; Szczot, M. Piezo Ion Channels: Portraits of a Pressure Sensor. *Elife* **2018**, *7*, e34396.
- (14) Liang, X.; Howard, J. Structural Biology: Piezo Senses Tension through Curvature. *Curr. Biol.* **2018**, *28* (8), R357–R359.
- (15) Bewick, G. S.; Banks, R. W. Mechanotransduction in the Muscle Spindle. *Pflügers Arch. Eur. J. Physiol.* **2014**, *467* (1), 175–190.
- (16) Lin, S. H.; Cheng, Y. R.; Banks, R. W.; Min, M. Y.; Bewick, G. S.; Chen, C. C. Evidence for the Involvement of ASIC3 in Sensory Mechanotransduction in Proprioceptors. *Nat. Commun.* **2016**, *7* (May), 1–15.
- (17) Tyler, W. J. The Mechanobiology of Brain Function. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* **2012**, *13* (December), 867–878.
- (18) Katta, S.; Krieg, M.; Goodman, M. B. Feeling Force: Physical and Physiological Principles Enabling Sensory Mechanotransduction. *Annu. Rev. Cell Dev. Biol.* **2015**, *31* (1), 347–371.
- (19) Gaub, B. M.; Müller, D. J. Mechanical Stimulation of Piezo1 Receptors Depends on Extracellular Matrix Proteins and Directionality of Force. *Nano Lett.* **2017**, *17* (3), 2064–2072.
- (20) Krieg, M.; Dunn, A. R.; Goodman, M. B. Mechanical Systems Biology of *C. Elegans* Touch Sensation. *BioEssays* **2015**, *37* (3), 335–344.
- (21) Tracey, W. D. Nociception. *Curr. Biol.* **2017**, *27* (4), R129–R133.
- (22) Eilers, H.; Schumacher, M. A. Mechanosensitivity of Primary Afferent Nociceptors in the Pain Pathway Mechanical Activation of Peripheral Nociceptors. *Mechanosensitivity in Cells and Tissues* **2005**, 8–15.
- (23) Zimmerman, A.; Bai, L.; Ginty, D. D. The Gentle Touch Receptors of Mammalian Skin. *Science* (80-.). **2014**, *346*, 950–954.
- (24) Lewin, G. R.; Moshourab, R. Mechanosensation and Pain. *J. Neurobiol.* **2004**, *61* (1), 30–44.
- (25) Srinivasan, S.; Carty, M. J.; Calvaresi, P. W.; Clites, T. R.; Maimon, B. E.; Taylor, C. R.; Zorzos, A.

- N.; Herr, H. On Prosthetic Control: A Regenerative Agonist-Antagonist Myoneural Interface. *Sci. Robot.* **2017**, *2* (6).
- (26) Proske, U.; Gandevia, S. C. The Proprioceptive Senses: Their Roles in Signaling Body Shape, Body Position and Movement, and Muscle Force. *Physiol. Rev.* **2012**, *92* (4), 1651–1697.
 - (27) Sonner, M. J.; Walters, M. C.; Ladle, D. R. Analysis of Proprioceptive Sensory Innervation of the Mouse Soleus: A Whole-Mount Muscle Approach. *PLoS One* **2017**, *12* (1), 1–18.
 - (28) Poppele, R. E.; Quick, D. C. Effect of Intrafusal Muscle Mechanics on Mammalian Muscle Spindle Sensitivity. *J. Neurosci.* **1985**, *5* (7), 1881–1885.
 - (29) Banks, R. W. Observations on the Primary Sensory Ending of Tenuissimus Muscle Spindles in the Cat. *Cell Tissue Res.* **1986**, *246* (2), 309–319.
 - (30) Alexander T. Chesler, Ph.D., Marcin Szczot, Ph.D., Diana Bharucha-Goebel, M.D., Marta Čeko, Ph.D., Sandra Donkervoort, M.S., C.G.C., Claire Laubacher, B.A., Leslie H. Hayes, M.D., Katharine Alter, M.D., Cristiane Zampieri, Ph.D., Christopher Stanley, M.S., M. D. The Role of PIEZO2 in Human Mechanosensation. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **2016**, *55* (10), 1355–1364.
 - (31) Wu, J.; Lewis, A. H.; Grandl, J. Touch, Tension, and Transduction – The Function and Regulation of Piezo Ion Channels. *Trends Biochem. Sci.* **2017**, *42* (1), 57–71.
 - (32) La Rovere, M. T.; Pinna, G. D.; Maestri, R.; Sleight, P. Clinical Value of Baroreflex Sensitivity. *Netherlands Hear. J.* **2013**, *21* (2), 61–63.
 - (33) Drummond, H. A.; Price, M. P.; Welsh, M. J.; Abboud, F. M. A Molecular Component of the Arterial Baroreceptor Mechanotransducer. *Neuron* **1998**, *21* (6), 1435–1441.
 - (34) Guyenet, P. G. The Sympathetic Control of Blood Pressure. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* **2006**, *7* (5), 335–346.
 - (35) Krauhs, J. M. Structure of Rat Aortic Baroreceptors and Their Relationship to Connective Tissue. *J. Neurocytol.* **1979**, *8* (4), 401–414.
 - (36) Lu, Y.; Ma, X.; Sabharwal, R.; Snitsarev, V.; Morgan, D.; Rahmouni, K.; Drummond, H. A.; Whiteis, C. A.; Costa, V.; Price, M.; et al. The Ion Channel ASIC2 Is Required for Baroreceptor and Autonomic Control of the Circulation. *Neuron* **2009**, *64* (6), 885–897.
 - (37) Lau, O. C.; Shen, B.; Wong, C. O.; Tjong, Y. W.; Lo, C. Y.; Wang, H. C.; Huang, Y.; Yung, W. H.; Chen, Y. C.; Fung, M. L.; et al. TRPC5 Channels Participate in Pressure-Sensing in Aortic Baroreceptors. *Nat. Commun.* **2016**, *7* (May), 1–12.
 - (38) Thakore, P.; Brain, S. D.; Beech, D. J. Correspondence: Challenging a Proposed Role for TRPC5 in Aortic Baroreceptor Pressure-Sensing. *Nat. Commun.* **2018**, *9* (1), 9–11.
 - (39) Drew, L. J.; Rohrer, D. K.; Price, M. P.; Blaver, K. E.; Cockayne, D. A.; Cesare, P.; Wood, J. N. Acid-Sensing Ion Channels ASIC2 and ASIC3 Do Not Contribute to Mechanically Activated Currents in Mammalian Sensory Neurones. *J. Physiol.* **2004**, *556* (3), 691–710.
 - (40) McCleskey, E. W. A Molecular Sensor for the Baroreceptor Reflex? *Neuron* **2009**, *64* (6), 776–777.
 - (41) Lage, G.; Leary, H. O.; Creager, A.; Silvia, G.; Polak, J. F.; Leary, D. H. O. Relationship of Arterial Compliance to Baroreflex Function in Hypertensive Patients. *Am J Physiol Hear. Circ Physiol* **1993**, *265* (1), H232–H237.
 - (42) Thrasher, T. N. Baroreceptors, Baroreceptor Unloading, and the Long-Term Control of Blood

- Pressure. *AJP Regul. Integr. Comp. Physiol.* **2004**, 288 (4), R819–R827.
- (43) Coleridge, H. M.; Coleridge, J. C.; Poore, E. R.; Roberts, A. M.; Schultz, H. D. Aortic Wall Properties and Baroreceptor Behaviour at Normal Arterial Pressure and in Acute Hypertensive Resetting in Dogs. *J. Physiol.* **1984**, 350 (1), 309–326.
 - (44) Steinback, C. D.; O’Leary, D. D.; Bakker, J.; Cechetto, A. D.; Ladak, H. M.; Shoemaker, J. K. Carotid Distensibility, Baroreflex Sensitivity, and Orthostatic Stress. *J. Appl. Physiol.* **2005**, 99 (1), 64–70.
 - (45) Chesterton, L. J.; Sigrist, M. K.; Bennett, T.; Taal, M. W.; McIntyre, C. W. Reduced Baroreflex Sensitivity Is Associated with Increased Vascular Calcification and Arterial Stiffness. *Nephrol. Dial. Transplant* **2005**, 20 (6), 1140–1147.
 - (46) Pettersen, K. H.; Bugenhagen, S. M.; Nauman, J.; Beard, D. A.; Omholt, S. W. Arterial Stiffening Provides Sufficient Explanation for Primary Hypertension. *PLoS Comput. Biol.* **2014**, 10 (5).
 - (47) Desai, B. S.; Chadha, A.; Cook, B. The Stum Gene Is Essential for Mechanical Sensing in Proprioceptive Neurons. *Sci. (New York, NY)* **2014**, 343 (6176), 1256–1259.
 - (48) Li, W.; Feng, Z.; Sternberg, P. W. A C. Elegans Stretch Receptor Neuron Revealed by a Mechanosensitive TRP Channel Homologue. *Nature* **2006**, 440 (7084), 684–687.
 - (49) Tuthill, J. C.; Wilson, R. I. Mechanosensation and Adaptive Motor Control in Insects. *Curr. Biol.* **2016**, 26 (20), R1022–R1038.
 - (50) Liang, X.; Madrid, J.; Howard, J. The Microtubule-Based Cytoskeleton Is a Component of a Mechanical Signaling Pathway in Fly Campaniform Receptors. *Biophys. J.* **2014**, 107 (12), 2767–2774.
 - (51) Hughes, C. L.; Thomas, J. B. A Sensory Feedback Circuit Coordinates Muscle Activity in *Drosophila*. *Mol. Cell. Neurosci.* **2007**, 35 (2), 383–396.
 - (52) Cheng, L. E.; Song, W.; Looger, L. L.; Jan, L. Y.; Jan, Y. N. The Role of the TRP Channel NompC in *Drosophila* Larval and Adult Locomotion. *Neuron* **2010**, 67 (3), 373–380.
 - (53) Guo, Y.; Wang, Y.; Zhang, W.; Meltzer, S.; Zanini, D.; Yu, Y.; Li, J.; Cheng, T.; Guo, Z.; Wang, Q.; et al. Transmembrane Channel-like (*Tmc*) Gene Regulates *Drosophila* Larval Locomotion. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **2016**, 113 (26), 7243–7248.
 - (54) Suslak, T. J.; Watson, S.; Thompson, K. J.; Shenton, F. C.; Bewick, G. S.; Armstrong, J. D.; Jarman, A. P. Piezo Is Essential for Amiloride-Sensitive Stretch-Activated Mechanotransduction in Larval *Drosophila* Dorsal Bipolar Dendritic Sensory Neurons. *PLoS One* **2015**, 10 (7), 1–16.
 - (55) He, L.; Gulyanov, S.; Skanata, M. M.; Karagoyozov, D.; Heckscher, E.; Krieg, M.; Tsechpenakis, G.; Gershow, M.; Jr., W. D. T. Proprioceptive Neurons of Larval *Drosophila Melanogaster* Show Direction Selective Activity Requiring the Mechanosensory Channel TMC. *BioArXiv* **2018**.
 - (56) Moran, D. T.; Varela, F. J.; Rowley, J. C. Evidence for Active Role of Cilia in Sensory Transduction. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **1977**, 74 (2), 793–797.
 - (57) Prahlad, A.; Spalthoff, C.; Kong, D.; Großhans, J.; Göpfert, M. C.; Schmidt, C. F. Mechanical Properties of a *Drosophila* Larval Chordotonal Organ. *Biophys. J.* **2017**, 113 (12), 2796–2804.
 - (58) Andrés, M.; Turiégano, E.; Göpfert, M. C.; Canal, I.; Torroja, L. The Extracellular Matrix Protein Artichoke Is Required for Integrity of Ciliated Mechanosensory and Chemosensory Organs in *Drosophila* Embryos. *Genetics* **2014**, 196 (4), 1091–1102.

- (59) Hudspeth, a J. Integrating the Active Process of Hair Cells with Cochlear Function. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* **2014**, 15 (9), 600–614.
- (60) Hudspeth, A. J.; Choe, Y.; Mehta, A. D.; Martin, P. Putting Ion Channels to Work: Mechanoelectrical Transduction, Adaptation, and Amplification by Hair Cells. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **2000**, 97 (22), 11765–11772.
- (61) Bechstedt, S.; Howard, J. Models of Hair Cell Mechanotransduction. In *Current Topics in Membranes*; Elsevier, 2007; Vol. 59, pp 399–424.
- (62) Krieg, M.; Dunn, A. R.; Goodman, M. B. Mechanical Control of the Sense of Touch by β -Spectrin. Supplementary Material. *Nat. Cell Biol.* **2014**, 16 (3), 224–233.
- (63) Shi, Z.; Graber, Z. T.; Baumgart, T.; Stone, H. A.; Cohen, A. E. Cell Membranes Resist Flow. *bioRxiv* **2018**, 290643.
- (64) Scholz, N.; Guan, C.; Nieberler, M.; Grottemeyer, A.; Maiellaro, I.; Gao, S.; Beck, S.; Pawlak, M.; Sauer, M.; Asan, E.; et al. Mechano-Dependent Signaling by Latrophilin/CIRL Quenches CAMP in Proprioceptive Neurons. *Elife* **2017**, 6, 1–21.
- (65) Zanini, D.; Giraldo, D.; Warren, B.; Katana, R.; Andrés, M.; Reddy, S.; Pauls, S.; Schwedhelm-Domeyer, N.; Geurten, B. R. H.; Göpfert, M. C. Proprioceptive Opsin Functions in Drosophila Larval Locomotion. *Neuron* **2018**, 98 (1), 67–74.e4.
- (66) Scholz, N.; Gehring, J.; Guan, C.; Ljaschenko, D.; Fischer, R.; Lakshmanan, V.; Kittel, R. J.; Langenhan, T. The Adhesion GPCR Latrophilin/CIRL Shapes Mechanosensation. *Cell Rep.* **2015**, 11 (6), 866–874.
- (67) Xu, J.; Mathur, J.; Chien, S.; Henrion, D.; Patapoutian, A.; Vessière, E.; Hammack, S.; Nonomura, K.; Favre, J.; Grimaud, L.; et al. GPR68 Senses Flow and Is Essential for Vascular Physiology Article GPR68 Senses Flow and Is Essential for Vascular Physiology. *Cell* **2018**, 173, 762–775.
- (68) Krieg, M.; Stühmer, J.; Cueva, J. G.; Fetter, R.; Spliker, K. A.; Cremers, D.; Shen, K.; Dunn, A. R.; Goodman, M. B. Genetic Defects in β -Spectrin and Tau Sensitize *C. Elegans* Axons to Movement-Induced Damage via Torque-Tension Coupling. *Elife* **2017**, 6 (2010), e20172.
- (69) Albeg, A.; Smith, C. J.; Chatzigeorgiou, M.; Feitelson, D. G.; Hall, D. H.; Schafer, W. R.; Miller David M, I. I. I.; Treinin, M. C. *Elegans* Multi-Dendritic Sensory Neurons: Morphology and Function. *Mol. Cell. Neurosci.* **2011**, 46 (1), 308–317.
- (70) Korta, J.; Clark, D. A.; Gabel, C. V.; Mahadevan, L.; Samuel, A. D. T. Mechanosensation and Mechanical Load Modulate the Locomotory Gait of Swimming *C. Elegans*. *J. Exp. Biol.* **2007**, 210 (13), 2383–2389.
- (71) Hums, I.; Riedl, J.; Mende, F.; Kato, S.; Kaplan, H. S.; Latham, R.; Sonntag, M.; Traunmüller, L.; Zimmer, M. Regulation of Two Motor Patterns Enables the Gradual Adjustment of Locomotion Strategy in *Caenorhabditis Elegans*. *Elife* **2016**, 5 (MAY2016), 1–36.
- (72) Kang, L.; Gao, J.; Schafer, W. R.; Xie, Z.; Xu, X. Z. S. C. *Elegans* TRP Family Protein TRP-4 Is a Pore-Forming Subunit of a Native Mechanotransduction Channel. *Neuron* **2010**, 67 (3), 381–391.
- (73) Yeon, J.; Kim, J.; Kim, D.-Y.; Kim, H.; Kim, J.; Du, E. J.; Kang, K.; Lim, H.-H.; Moon, D.; Kim, K. A Sensory-Motor Neuron Type Mediates Proprioceptive Coordination of Steering in *C. Elegans* via Two TRPC Channels. *PLOS Biol.* **2018**, 16 (6), e2004929.

- (74) Tavernarakis, N.; Shreffler, W.; Wang, S.; Driscoll, M. Unc-8, a DEG/ENaC Family Member, Encodes a Subunit of a Candidate Mechanically Gated Channel That Modulates C. Elegans Locomotion. *Neuron* **1997**, *18* (1), 107–119.
- (75) Wen, Q.; Po, M. D.; Hulme, E.; Chen, S.; Liu, X.; Kwok, S. W.; Gershow, M.; Leifer, A. M.; Butler, V.; Fang-Yen, C.; et al. Proprioceptive Coupling within Motor Neurons Drives C. Elegans Forward Locomotion. *Neuron* **2012**, *76* (4), 750–761.
- (76) Schafer, W. R. Mechanosensory Molecules and Circuits in C. Elegans. *Pflugers Arch. Eur. J. Physiol.* **2014**, *467* (1), 39–48.
- (77) Chatzigeorgiou, M.; Yoo, S.; Watson, J. D.; Lee, W.; Clay, W.; Kindt, K. S.; Hwang, S. W.; Iii, D. M. M.; Treinin, M.; Schafer, W. R.; et al. Specific Roles for DEG / ENaC and TRP Channels in Touch and Thermosensation in C. Elegans Nociceptors. *Nat. Publ. Gr.* **2011**, *13* (7), 861–868.
- (78) Eastwood, A. L.; Sanzeni, A.; Petzold, B. C.; Park, S.; Pruitt, B. L.; Goodman, M. B. Tissue Mechanics Govern the Rapidly Adapting and Symmetrical Response to Touch. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **2015**, *113* (50), E2471–E2471.
- (79) Nekimken, A. L.; Fehlaue, H.; Kim, A. A.; Manosalvas-Kjono, S. N.; Ladpli, P.; Memon, F.; Gopisetty, D.; Sanchez, V.; Goodman, M. B.; Pruitt, B. L.; et al. Pneumatic Stimulation of C. Elegans Mechanoreceptor Neurons in a Microfluidic Trap. *Lab Chip* **2017**, *17* (6), 1116–1127.
- (80) Teng, J.; Loukin, S.; Anishkin, A.; Kung, C. The Force-from-Lipid (FFL) Principle of Mechanosensitivity, at Large and in Elements. *Pflügers Arch. - Eur. J. Physiol.* **2014**.
- (81) Anishkin, A.; Loukin, S. H.; Teng, J.; Kung, C. Feeling the Hidden Mechanical Forces in Lipid Bilayer Is an Original Sense. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **2014**.
- (82) Anishkin, A.; Kung, C. Stiffened Lipid Platforms at Molecular Force Foci. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **2013**, *110* (13), 4886–4892.
- (83) Martinac, B. The Ion Channels to Cytoskeleton Connection as Potential Mechanism of Mechanosensitivity. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta - Biomembranes*. Elsevier B.V. 2014, pp 682–691.
- (84) Phillips, R.; Quake, S. R. The Biological Frontier of Physics. *Phys. Today* **2006**, *59* (5), 38–43.
- (85) Nussenzveig, H. M. Cell Membrane Biophysics with Optical Tweezers. *Eur. Biophys. J.* **2017**, No. 0123456789, 1–16.
- (86) Vásquez, V.; Krieg, M.; Lockhead, D.; Goodman, M. B. Phospholipids That Contain Polyunsaturated Fatty Acids Enhance Neuronal Cell Mechanics and Touch Sensation. *Cell Rep.* **2014**, *6* (1), 70–80.
- (87) Haswell, E. S.; Phillips, R.; Rees, D. C. Mechanosensitive Channels: What Can They Do and How Do They Do It? *Struct. Des.* **2011**, *19* (10), 1356–1369.
- (88) Gullingsrud, J.; Schulten, K. Lipid Bilayer Pressure Profiles and Mechanosensitive Channel Gating. *Biophys. J.* **2004**, *86* (6), 3496–3509.
- (89) Killian, J. A. Hydrophobic Mismatch between Proteins and Lipids in Membranes. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* **1998**, *1376* (3), 401–415.
- (90) Wiggins, P.; Phillips, R. Analytic Models for Mechanotransduction: Gating a Mechanosensitive Channel. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **2004**, *101* (12), 4071–4076.
- (91) Gullingsrud, J.; Schulten, K. Gating of MscL Studied by Steered Molecular Dynamics. *Biophys. J.*

2003, 85 (4), 2087–2099.

- (92) Brohawn, S. G.; Su, Z.; MacKinnon, R. Mechanosensitivity Is Mediated Directly by the Lipid Membrane in TRAAK and TREK1 K⁺ Channels. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **2014**, 111 (9), 3614–3619.
- (93) Zhao, Q.; Zhou, H.; Chi, S.; Wang, Y.; Wang, J.; Geng, J.; Wu, K.; Liu, W.; Zhang, T.; Dong, M. Q.; et al. Structure and Mechanogating Mechanism of the Piezo1 Channel. *Nature* **2018**, 554 (7693), 487–492.
- (94) Guo, Y. R.; MacKinnon, R. Structure-Based Membrane Dome Mechanism for Piezo Mechanosensitivity. *Elife* **2017**, 6, 1–19.
- (95) Chen, D.; Santore, M. M. Large Effect of Membrane Tension on the Fluid-Solid Phase Transitions of Two-Component Phosphatidylcholine Vesicles. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **2014**, 111 (1), 179–184.
- (96) Gu, G.; Caldwell, G. A.; Chalfie, M. Genetic Interactions Affecting Touch Sensitivity in *Caenorhabditis Elegans*. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **1996**, 93 (13), 6577–6582.
- (97) Cueva, J. G.; Mulholland, A.; Goodman, M. B. Nanoscale Organization of the MEC-4 DEG/ENaC Sensory Mechanotransduction Channel in *Caenorhabditis Elegans* Touch Receptor Neurons. *J. Neurosci.* **2007**, 27 (51), 14089–14098.
- (98) O'Hagan, R.; Chalfie, M.; Goodman, M. B. The MEC-4 DEG/ENaC Channel of *Caenorhabditis Elegans* Touch Receptor Neurons Transduces Mechanical Signals. *Nat. Neurosci.* **2005**, 8 (1), 43–50.
- (99) Brand, J.; Smith, E. S. J.; Schwefel, D.; Lapatsina, L.; Poole, K.; cacute, D. O. scaron i; Kozlenkov, A.; Behlke, J.; Lewin, G. R.; Daumke, O. A Stomatin Dimer Modulates the Activity of Acid-Sensing Ion Channels. *EMBO J.* **2012**, 31 (17), 3635–3646.
- (100) Hu, J.; Chiang, L.-Y.; Koch, M.; Lewin, G. R. Evidence for a Protein Tether Involved in Somatic Touch. *EMBO J.* **2010**, 29 (4), 855–867.
- (101) Poole, K.; Herget, R.; Lapatsina, L.; Ngo, H.-D.; Lewin, G. R. Tuning Piezo Ion Channels to Detect Molecular-Scale Movements Relevant for Fine Touch. *Nat. Commun.* **2014**, 5, 1–14.
- (102) Howard, J.; Bechstedt, S. Hypothesis: A Helix of Ankyrin Repeats of the NOMPC-TRP Ion Channel Is the Gating Spring of Mechanoreceptors [1]. *Curr. Biol.* **2004**, 14 (6), 224–226.
- (103) Zhang, W.; Cheng, L. E.; Kittelmann, M.; Li, J.; Petkovic, M.; Cheng, T.; Jin, P.; Guo, Z.; Göpfert, M. C.; Jan, L. Y.; et al. Ankyrin Repeats Convey Force to Gate the NOMPC Mechanotransduction Channel. *Cell* **2015**, 162 (6), 1391–1403.
- (104) Prager-Khoutorsky, M.; Khoutorsky, A.; Bourque, C. Unique Interweaved Microtubule Scaffold Mediates Osmosensory Transduction via Physical Interaction with TRPV1. *Neuron* **2014**.
- (105) Schmitt, S.; Hendricks, P.; Weir, J.; Somasundaram, R.; Sittampalam, G. S.; Nirmalanandhan, V. S. Stretching Mechanotransduction from the Lung to the Lab: Approaches and Physiological Relevance in Drug Discovery. *Assay Drug Dev. Technol.* **2012**, 10 (2), 137–147.
- (106) Stephens, G. J.; Johnson-Kerner, B.; Bialek, W.; Ryu, W. S. Dimensionality and Dynamics in the Behavior of *C. Elegans*. *PLoS Comput. Biol.* **2008**, 4 (4).
- (107) Edwards, S. A.; Wagner, J.; Gräter, F. Dynamic Prestress in a Globular Protein. *PLoS Comput. Biol.* **2012**, 8 (5).
- (108) Sotomayor, M.; Corey, D. P.; Schulten, K. In Search of the Hair-Cell Gating Spring. *Structure* **2005**,

13 (4), 669–682.