Capillary membrane bioreactor for abatement of low soluble compounds in waste gas

Journal:	<i>Journal of Chemical Technology & Biotechnology</i>
Manuscript ID	Draft
Wiley - Manuscript type:	Research Article
Date Submitted by the Author:	n/a
Complete List of Authors:	Morral, Eloi; Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya, Escola Politècnica Superior d'Enginyeria de Manresa Lao-Luque, Conxita; Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya, Escola Politècnica Superior d'Enginyeria de Manresa Gabriel, David; Universitat Autònoma Barcelona, Chemical Engineering Gamisans, Xavier; Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya, Escola Politècnica Superior d'Enginyeria de Manresa Dorado, Antonio David; Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya, Escola Politècnica Superior d'Enginyeria de Manresa
Key Words:	Membranes, Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs), Waste Treatment and Waste Minimisation, Environmental Biotechnology, Biofiltration of waste gases, Bioprocesses

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

Capillary membrane bioreactor for abatement of low soluble compounds in waste gas

Eloi Morral^a; Conxita Lao-Luque^a; David Gabriel^b; Xavier Gamisans^a; Antonio David Dorado^{a*}

^aEscola Politècnica Superior d'Enginyeria de Manresa.
Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya.

Av. Bases de Manresa, 61-73. 08242 Manresa (Spain)

^bGENOCOV Research Group, Department of Chemical, Biological and Environmental Engineering,
Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, 08193 Barcelona (Spain)

*Corresponding autor: (0034938777230). toni.dorado@upc.edu

ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND: The removal of problematic volatile organic compounds (VOCs) from polluted gas (toluene, iso-octane and hexane) has been investigated in a membrane bioreactor (MBR) by adapting a commercial capillary microporous polypropylene membrane. The MBR performance was carried out under several operational conditions. The influence of the empty bed residence times (EBRT), the liquid velocity and the inlet concentration was evaluated.

RESULTS: For toluene, it was possible to treat higher loading rates than $1600 \text{ g} \cdot \text{m}^{-3} \cdot \text{h}^{-1}$ with a maximum elimination capacity (EC) of $1309 \text{ g} \cdot \text{m}^{-3} \cdot \text{h}^{-1}$, removal efficiencies (RE) of ~80%. However, iso-octane was poorly degraded as a single pollutant. Hexane presented lower EC values ($400 \text{ g} \cdot \text{m}^{-3} \cdot \text{h}^{-1}$) than toluene. The synergistic effect of hexane degradation in two different mixtures was also considered.

CONCLUSION: Our results demonstrate that a commercial membrane for wastewater treatment can be adapted for biological gas treatment, becoming a potential alternative

to conventional biological treatment technologies, especially for pollutants with low solubility.

Key Words: membrane bioreactor, VOCs, waste gas, hydrophobic compound

Nomenclature

VOCS	Volatile Organic Compounds
MBR	Membrane BioReactor
IL	Inlet Load
EC	Elimination Capacity
RE	Removal Efficiency
EBRT	Empty Bet Residence Time
K_{La}	Volumetric overall mass transfer coefficient
TSS	Total Suspended Solids
Log Kow	Octanol-water partition coefficients

1. INTRODUCTION

Volatile organic compounds are commonly found in waste gases from a wide range of industrial processes. Several of these compounds are toxic air pollutants which may cause serious health effects as well as environmental problems. Among them, toluene, and n-hexane are enlisted in the EPA (United States Environmental Protection Agency) hazardous air pollutants list¹. These two compounds are widely used as solvents in industry such as paints, adhesives and fuel production. On the other hand, iso-octane is a major component of gasoline and it is a very recalcitrant substrate.

Different physicochemical techniques such as absorption, adsorption and thermal and catalytic oxidation have been used in order to reduce air pollutants. In the last decades, biological gas treatment techniques such as bio(trickling)filtration, bioscrubbing and membrane biofiltration have been widely studied and implemented as alternatives for the traditional physical–chemical techniques²⁻⁴. Biological technologies

1 present several advantages over conventional physicochemical ones, such as low
2 operating cost, low energy requirements, and the absence of waste products that require
3 further treatment or disposal. However, these biotechniques have some limitations like
4 large size reactors, hard control of moisture and pH (biofilters), channelling and,
5 specially, low removal efficiencies for highly hydrophobic pollutants (biotrickling
6 filters)^{5,6}. Hydrophobicity involves poor transfer of the pollutants from the gaseous to
7 the liquid (and biofilm) phase where biodegradation occurs, as a result of a reduced
8 concentration gradient (driving force). In this sense, lower mass transfer rates means
9 higher gas residence times and higher bioreactor volumes. Due to their low solubility,
10 toluene, iso-octane and hexane have shown low elimination performances by traditional
11 biotechniques^{7,8}.

12 In membrane biological reactors (MBRs), two phases (gas and liquid) are
13 physically separated by the membrane. Pollutants dissolved in air pass through the
14 membrane by diffusion and are degraded by the microorganisms attached on the other
15 side where the culture medium is continuously recycled. The polymeric material of the
16 membrane is regarded as a mass transfer vector⁹ with the consequent improvement of
17 mass transfer of the poorly soluble compounds. In this regard, the use of membrane
18 bioreactor (MBR) for waste gas treatment is able to overcome these mass transfer
19 limitations due to the high permeability of some particular membranes for hydrophobic
20 pollutants¹⁰. Since the membrane provides a physical separation between the liquid
21 phase and the gas phase, it is possible to change the liquid and gas flow independently,
22 without evaporation or overgrow problems and pH can be easily controlled. In MBR,
23 the membrane also serves as a support for the development of the microbial biofilm
24 responsible for pollutant biodegradation.

The physical separation between the gas and liquid phase is also beneficial in applications where direct contact of the air being treated with microorganisms is undesirable¹¹ such as hospitals or cleanrooms. Moreover, the polymeric membrane has the capability to retain a certain amount of pollutant. The adsorbed pollutant can be used by the microorganisms as a secondary substrate source. Thus, the system can be capable to treat inlet flows with sudden pollutant variation, typically found in industrial facilities, avoiding starvation periods¹². This key parameter has been established in several water treatment by MBR studies^{13,14}. However, the adsorption capacity in MBR for waste gas treatment remains unstudied.

Different MBR configurations have been described: hollow fibre (diameter <0.5mm), capillary (0.5<diameter<10mm), tubular (diameter>10mm), flat sheet and spiral-wound¹⁵. For waste gas treatment, hollow fibres and flat sheet are the most used configurations. Flat sheet membranes have a low fouling tendency and are easy to clean, but they have a low packing density (<100-400 m² m⁻³). On the contrary, hollow fibre membranes have a very high packing density (>30000 m² m⁻³), but have a very high fouling tendency besides being difficult to clean properly¹⁶. Flat sheet membranes have been widely studied for the gas waste abatement, although capillary membranes could be a good alternative with a better performance¹⁷. Capillary membranes come to be a balance between those two, they can afford higher packing density than flat sheet avoiding the fouling problems that occurs in a hollow fibres bioreactor.

Despite MBR have been widely investigated for waste water treatment, it has been sparsely studied in gas waste treatment. Therefore, unlike water treatment, the implementation of the membranes for gas treatment at industrial scale needs much more investigation to approach its implementation at industrial scale¹⁸. To the best of our

1 knowledge, this is the first report on the hydrophobic VOCs long term operation by a
2 commercial capillary membrane, for both individual and mixture of VOCs.

3 In this work the biodegradation of three hydrophobic VOCs (toluene, iso-octane
4 and hexane) by a MBR was investigated. The MBR used is a commercial capillary
5 microporous polypropylene membrane module commonly used for wastewater
6 treatment. Toluene, n-hexane and iso-octane were selected according to their different
7 hydrophobicity in order to evaluate the influence of that factor. Their octanol-water
8 partition coefficients (Log Kow) are 2,7, 4,0 and 5,2 respectively. The effectiveness of
9 the system was studied under different operational conditions of empty bed residence
10 times, liquid velocities and inlet concentration. Moreover, experiments were performed
11 for both individual compounds and mixtures of different VOCs to emulate industrial
12 emissions, which usually contain more than one compound. The MBR adsorption
13 capability and the flow resistance were also investigated to evaluate the permeability
14 and feasibility for the biological technique.

16 2. EXPERIMENTAL

17 2.1. Membrane

18 A commercial module (Microdyn, Germany) consisting of 40 microporous
19 membrane capillaries, usually used for the treatment of wastewater, was tested. The
20 hydrophobic material of the membrane was polypropylene (PP) with an average pore
21 size of 0.2 μm . The capillaries had an inner diameter of 1.8 mm and a length of 420 mm
22 with total air volume 43. The capillaries were sealed in a polyurethane module with an
23 external diameter of 25 mm and a calculated shell volume of 206 cm^3 .

24 2.2. Mineral medium and inoculum

Mineral medium composition (per litre of water) was KH_2PO_4 (1 g), K_2HPO_4 (1 g), NH_4Cl (1 g), NaCl (1 g), MgSO_4 (0.02 g), CaCl_2 (0.02 g) and trace elements (1 cm^3); the trace elements concentration was described elsewhere¹⁹. Activated sludge obtained from an urban wastewater treatment plant (Salelles, Spain) was used for the inoculation of the MBR, which was carried out by recirculating 1 dm^3 of the activated sludge, with a biomass concentration of $4 \text{ g} \cdot \text{m}^{-3}$ (TSS), across the outer side of the membrane during 72 h. In this period biomass attachment was confirmed due to the decreasing of the biomass concentration in the recirculation ($2 \text{ g} \cdot \text{m}^{-3}$) (TSS).

2.3. MBR set up

Figure A.1 found in the supplementary data (appendix A) shows and schematic of the plant constructed for the present study. The nutrients solution tank was placed in a thermostatic bath at 30°C under continuous stirring. The recirculation liquid flow rate was adjusted to $1.20 \cdot 10^{-4} \text{ m}^3 \cdot \text{h}^{-1}$ by a peristaltic pump (Cole Palmer, USA), corresponding to a hydraulic retention time of 17 h. The liquid phase was replaced periodically with fresh solution to replenish nutrients and avoid accumulation of toxics by-products.

The contaminated air stream was prepared by bubbling clean air in an impinger filled with the target VOC. This stream was mixed with a secondary clean air stream in a mixer chamber to obtain the desired concentration in the final stream, which was introduced to the reactor. Clean air flowrate was controlled by a flowmeter (Cole Parmer, USA). The system had two sampling ports (inlet and outlet) that allowed monitoring the inlet concentration (C_{in}) and the outlet concentration, (C_{out}). This data was further used to calculate parameters such as the inlet load, IL ($\text{g} \cdot \text{m}^{-3} \cdot \text{h}^{-1}$); elimination capacity, EC ($\text{g} \cdot \text{m}^{-3} \cdot \text{h}^{-1}$); and removal efficiency, RE (%). The outlet stream

of the reactor was passed through a carbon filter to adsorb possible remaining pollutants not biodegraded in the bioreactor.

2.4. Analytical techniques

Toluene, iso-octane and hexane concentrations were monitored by gas chromatography with a Perkin Elmer Clarus 400, USA instrument equipped with a flame ionization detector (FID) and a capillary column (N65110C0, PerkinElmer, USA). The gas carrier was helium at a flow rate of $1 \text{ mL} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$. The injector and detector temperature were 250°C and oven temperature was 200°C . For gas mixtures, the oven temperature set-up was 5 min at 50°C , $10^\circ\text{C} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$ until 100°C and 5 min at 100°C . The injection volume was 5 cm^3 and was taken using a 5 cm^3 syringe (Pressure-Lok, USA). Oxygen and carbon dioxide concentrations were measured with an infrared (IR) gas analyser (Maihak S710, Germany). Pressure drop was measured with a differential pressure transmitter (Testo 506, Spain) for the gas phase and for the liquid phase (Testo 512, Spain). In the liquid phase the dissolved oxygen (Oxical, Germany) was adjusted at $7.5 \text{ g} \cdot \text{m}^{-3}$ by a constant air flow bubbling in the nutrient tank and the pH (Basic 20, Spain) at 6.5 by periodical addition of NaOH to offset the acidification of the medium due to microorganisms activity. The biomass activity can be related with the CO_2 production inside the bioreactor, thus, an increase of the CO_2 value in the outlet steam indicates that the biomass was active and the biodegradation of the pollutant was taking place.

2.5 MBR abiotic characterization

In order to determine the abiotic parameters of the MBR, mass transfer, sorption capacity and oxygen mass transfer were evaluated before inoculation. Sorption capacity was determined by frontal analysis methodology using toluene measurements at the

inlet (tube side) and outlet (shell side) of the reactor as described elsewhere²⁰. To adapt this technique at the MBR characteristics, the polluted stream was introduced inside the capillary. The outlet of the capillary module was sealed tightly. Thus, the total amount of polluted gas was forced to pass through the membrane.

The total amount of toluene transferred through the membrane was another abiotic parameter studied. To evaluate the toluene mass transfer between gas and liquid phases a test without biomass was carried out. For this purpose, the polluted stream circulated inside the capillary membrane while water circulated along the shell side. During this experiment several gas flows ($0.1\text{--}0.8\text{ m}^3\cdot\text{h}^{-1}$), pollutant concentration ($0.05\text{--}0.45\text{ g}\cdot\text{m}^{-3}$) and water flows ($1.4\cdot 10^{-2}\text{--}7\cdot 10^{-4}\text{ m}^3\cdot\text{h}^{-1}$) were tested. The co-current and counter-current gas and liquid flows configurations were also tested.

Finally, to determine the oxygen overall volumetric mass transfer coefficient (K_La), a clean gas flow was circulated inside the capillary membrane while a deoxygenated water stream was circulated along the shell side. In order to deoxygenate the water a nitrogen flux was bubbled in the nutrient tank. The experiment was kept on until the equilibrium between the air and liquid phases was reached. To monitor the increase in dissolved oxygen concentration an oxygen sensor was put inside the nutrient tank. A dynamic mass balance for the liquid phase (Equation 1) allows to calculate the K_La value.

$$\frac{dS_{O_2}}{dt} = K_La \cdot (S_{O_2}^* - S_{O_2}(t)) \quad (1)$$

Where $dS_{O_2}\cdot dt^{-1}$ is the dissolved oxygen (DO) rate, $S_{O_2}^*$ is the DO saturation concentration and $S_{O_2}(t)$ is the DO concentration at the instant 't'. A numerical solution algorithm based on Runge–Kutta methods was applied to compute the parameters in Eq. (1). All computations were performed in MATLAB R2016 using a curve fitting of

1 experimental data. Parameters were estimated by minimizing the difference between the
2 experimental and predicted DO concentrations according to the following objective
3 function (2):

$$F = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n (DO_{exp} - DO_{model})^2} \quad (2)$$

4
5 Where F is the objective function to minimize, n is the number of experimental
6 measurements, DO_{model} is the simulated oxygen concentration (g/dm^3) and DO_{exp} is the
7 experimentally measured oxygen concentration (g/dm^3).

8 2.6 MBR performance tests

9 After abiotic tests, inoculation of the system was conducted. Table I summarizes
10 the tests performed with the MBR throughout the period studied. The membrane
11 bioreactor was operated for 7 months and experiments were divided into three stages.
12 The first stage experiment was started using toluene as unique pollutant. Elimination
13 capacity (EC) and the relationship with EBRT and efficiency percentage (RE%) were
14 analysed over 70 days. Afterwards, the second stage was started using, firstly iso-octane
15 as unique pollutant and later, a mixture of toluene and iso-octane. When the second
16 stage was finished, the MBR was thoroughly washed, in order to remove the pollutants
17 previously adsorbed on the membrane, and inoculated again. Subsequently, the third
18 stage was started, firstly only with hexane over eighty days, the EC and the relationship
19 between RE% and EBRT was determined and, then, the mixture performance of
20 hexane-toluene and hexane-methanol was tested.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 MBR abiotic characterization

3.1.1 Adsorption capacity

Adsorption capacity represents the amount of pollutant retained by the membrane. This parameter has been well established in several water treatment by MBR studies^{13,14}. However, the adsorption capacity of MBR for waste gas treatment remains unstudied. To determinate the adsorption capacity, the polluted stream was introduced inside the capillary while the outlet of the capillary module was sealed tightly. Therefore, the total amount of polluted gas was forced to pass through the membrane. The amount of pollutant adsorbed on the membrane was calculated by frontal analysis methodology.

<FIGURE 1>

Fig 1 shows the amount of toluene adsorbed as a function of the inlet toluene concentration. As it can be seen, the amount of toluene adsorbed was linearly correlated with the toluene concentration in the inlet stream up to $1.5 \text{ g}\cdot\text{m}^{-3}$. However, beyond $1.7 \text{ g}\cdot\text{m}^{-3}$, the toluene adsorption remains almost constant regardless toluene inlet concentration. The maximum amount of toluene adsorbed on the membrane was $1.13 \text{ g}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}$. This value is quite similar to the value previously reported ($1.47 \text{ g}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}$) by Juang et al.¹³. This type of isotherm curve is characteristic of interactions between a non-ionic aromatic solvent (toluene) and a hydrophobic polymer (polypropylene). This behavior could be for the high affinity of toluene for the membrane matrix, and the ability of the pollutant to diffuse into the crystalline regions of the polymer²¹.

A good adsorption capacity is a key parameter which can improve the robustness of the system when industrial emissions are treated. As an example, the system would

be capable to adsorb toluene during ~ 12 h under an IL $220 \text{ g}\cdot\text{m}^{-3}\cdot\text{h}^{-1}$ ($0.1 \text{ g}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{h}^{-1}$). The microorganisms can use this retained pollutant as a secondary source of substrate. So the negative effects of starvation periods can be eliminated or reduced. It also can avoid concentration fluctuations in the inlet stream that normally can be found in the exhausted air from industrial facilities due to their daily and weekly rotation in the production. Therefore, the membrane can be used as a buffer when fluctuations in the gas inlet occurred and avoid starvation periods^{12,22}.

3.1.2 Mass transfer characterization

In order to determine the maximum amount of pollutant that the system was capable to transfer from the gas side to the liquid side, a set of experiments using toluene as pollutant were carried out at several EBRT, IL and water flows. The polluted stream at different flows ($1\cdot 10^{-2}$ - $8\cdot 10^{-2} \text{ m}^3\cdot\text{h}^{-1}$) and different concentrations ($5\cdot 10^{-2}$ - $4.5\cdot 10^{-1} \text{ g}\cdot\text{m}^{-3}$) was forced to circulate inside the capillary membrane while water flow ($7\cdot 10^{-4}$ - $1.4\cdot 10^{-2} \text{ m}^3\cdot\text{h}^{-1}$) circulated along the shell side. Those configurations correspond to a gas linear velocity of 100 - $800 \text{ m}\cdot\text{h}^{-1}$ (tube side) and a water linear velocity of 35 - $1.75 \text{ m}\cdot\text{h}^{-1}$ (shell side).

The maximum mass transfer, $226 \text{ g}\cdot\text{m}^{-3}\cdot\text{h}^{-1}$ (RE 26%), ($0.1 \text{ g}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{h}^{-1}$), was obtained when the gas flow was $8\cdot 10^{-2} \text{ m}^3\cdot\text{h}^{-1}$ and the liquid flow was counter-current pumped at $1.4\cdot 10^{-2} \text{ m}^3\cdot\text{h}^{-1}$. Working under the same conditions, except for the water flow rate which was reduced to $7\cdot 10^{-4} \text{ m}^3\cdot\text{h}^{-1}$, the RE slightly decreases (23%). This indicates that, in the range studied in the present work, the liquid flow rate has little influence on the system performance, when the reactor works at counter-current flows set-up. On the other hand, when the same operation conditions were tested using co-current flow an important reduction of the RE% was observed. RE% values decrease

from 23% to 14% when liquid flowrates of $1.4 \cdot 10^{-2} \text{ m}^3 \cdot \text{h}^{-1}$ and $7 \cdot 10^{-4} \text{ m}^3 \cdot \text{h}^{-1}$ were used, respectively. Modelsky et al.²³ also observed an important reduction of the mass transfer, between the gas side to the liquid side, when the liquid velocity was decreased in a polypropylene capillary reactor working under co-current flows. Based on these results co-current operation and a liquid flow rate of $7 \cdot 10^{-4} \text{ m}^3 \cdot \text{h}^{-1}$ were used in the biotics experiments to evaluate the performance of the MBR working at the more unfavorable conditions and, thus, to evaluate the system improvement when the bioreactor was inoculated.

3.1.3 Determination of oxygen mass transfer

In the MBR, VOCs are degraded aerobically; this means that O_2 concentration in the liquid medium is a key parameter for proper operation of the system. To evaluate the membrane capability to supply O_2 , the volumetric mass transfer coefficient, $K_L a$, was calculated. In order to determine the $K_L a$ a clean gas flow was circulated inside the capillary membrane while a deoxygenated water stream was circulated along the shell side. The experiment was kept on until equilibrium between the air and liquid phases was reached. $K_L a$ values determined for the experiments were found to be in the range $2.75\text{--}3.25 \text{ h}^{-1}$. These values are significantly lower than those reported in the literature for other kind of reactors ($3.6\text{--}180 \text{ h}^{-1}$ for a perforated plate, $360\text{--}1800 \text{ h}^{-1}$ for a jet-venturi and $54\text{--}108 \text{ h}^{-1}$ for a venturi ejector²⁴). However, one advantage when a MBR is used it is possible to create a bubbleless aeration system²⁵. The absence of bubbles in the liquid side might improve the biomass grow.

In order to calculate the amount of oxygen transferred from the gas side to the liquid side through the membrane, the standard oxygen transfer rate (SOTR, $\text{kg O}_2 \text{ h}^{-1}$) was calculated according to equation 3:

$$SOTR = K_L a \cdot S_{O_2}^* \cdot V_M \quad (3)$$

Where K_{La} is the mass transfer coefficient h^{-1} , $S_{O_2}^*$ is the dissolved oxygen concentration at saturation, $kg \cdot m^{-3}$ and V_M is the volume of the membrane, m^3 . In the present work, a SOTR value of $1.2 \cdot 10^{-3} g \cdot h^{-1}$ was obtained for a K_{La} of $3.25 h^{-1}$ (the most favourable K_{La} value obtained). Equation 4 shows the theoretical complete biodegradation (mineralization) of toluene. Taking into account the reaction stoichiometry, it has been estimated that an oxygen rate of $1.3 \cdot 10^{-2} g \cdot h^{-1}$ would be necessary to degrade an IL of $100 g \cdot m^{-3} \cdot h^{-1}$. Therefore, the maximum amount of O_2 ($1.2 \cdot 10^{-3} g \cdot h^{-1}$) that the system is capable to supply is ten times lower than the theoretical O_2 amount needed. In order to improve the total amount of dissolved oxygen, a secondary diffuser was introduced into the nutrient tank when the biotic tests were carried out. Actually, this behaviour is similar to the observation reported by Kumar et al.²⁶ who observed an improvement of toluene rate consumption when oxygen is supplied through both the membrane and the liquid phase.

3.2 Biotic performance

3.2.1 Study of toluene abatement

After the abiotic experiments, the MBR was inoculated with a mixed consortium. During the first 50 days of this research, only toluene was fed into the MBR at an inlet concentration ranging from 200 to 5000 ppm_v at an EBRT of 60 s which corresponded to IL from $43 g \cdot m^{-3} \cdot h^{-1}$ ($2 \cdot 10^{-2} g \cdot m^{-2} \cdot h^{-1}$) to $1600 g \cdot m^{-3} \cdot h^{-1}$ ($7 \cdot 10^{-1} g \cdot m^{-2} \cdot h^{-1}$), respectively. This concentration could be highest than the typically values found in industrial gas waste, however the aim of this work was studied the maximum performance of the system. The performance of the reactor was determined by

measuring the inlet and outlet toluene concentrations to calculate EC and RE. After day 50, the inlet concentration was gradually decreased to 1000 ppm_v, moment at which the tests to determinate the relationship between the RE and EBRT was started (from day 60 to 70).

<FIGURE 2>

Fig 2 shows the EC and the RE of the system along the first 70 days. As can be seen the start-up period was quick, the RE quickly increased to around 90% after 72 h of operation. This behaviour is similar to the observation reported by Dorado et al.²⁷, who had used activated sludge obtained from the same urban wastewater treatment plant that was used in the present work. As can be also observed, the maximum EC was 1300 g·m⁻³·h⁻¹ (6·10⁻¹ g·m⁻²·h⁻¹) and values of RE obtained were always higher than 95% and sometimes even 100% in the inlet loading rate between 43 g·m⁻³·h⁻¹ - 1300 g·m⁻³·h⁻¹. But, when the IL was higher than 1300 g·m⁻³·h⁻¹ a RE drop down to 80% was observed. On the other hand, when the relationship between EBRT and RE was studied an important decrease on the overall system performance at low EBRT was observed (from day 60 to 70).

<FIGURE 3A and 3B>

EC values as function of IL are shown in Fig. 3A. In this figure, it is observed that values of RE obtained for inlet loading rate between 43 and 1200 g·m⁻³·h⁻¹ were higher than 95% and sometimes, 100%. Over this IL value, the efficiency of the system decreased to 80%. However, the EC remained almost constant and close to 1300 g·m⁻³·h⁻¹ (0.6 g·m⁻²·h⁻¹) regardless the increasing inlet concentration. This indicates that this value is the maximum EC that the system was capable to eliminate at an EBRT of 60s. It's noteworthy that these EC values found in the present work are quite superior to those reported by some authors working under similar condition but with different

1 configurations of bioreactors. For example, Zilli et al.²⁸ reached an EC of $242 \text{ g}\cdot\text{m}^{-3}\cdot\text{h}^{-1}$
2 (RE 24%) when a biofilter was fed with an IL of $1000 \text{ g}\cdot\text{m}^{-3}\cdot\text{h}^{-1}$ at EBRT of 28s.
3 Whereas Aizpuru et al.⁴ studied the biofilter performance at EBRT of 90s and an IL of
4 $1700 \text{ g}\cdot\text{m}^{-3}\cdot\text{h}^{-1}$, obtaining an EC of $290 \text{ g}\cdot\text{m}^{-3}\cdot\text{h}^{-1}$ (RE 17%). These promising results
5 obtained in the present study shown that MBR has a very high potential to be used for
6 the treatment of poorly soluble pollutants at very high loads. The higher EC obtained
7 here compared to traditional biofilters configuration could be due to the fact that the
8 membrane constitutes a physical separation between the gas and the liquid phases.
9 Thus, the pollutant diffuses directly from the membrane to biofilm avoiding the
10 diffusion through water, limited step when hydrophobic compounds are treated.

11 To evaluate the relationship between RE and EBRT a constant inlet
12 concentration of 1000 ppm_v was used while the EBRT was decreased. The results
13 obtained during this stage are plotted in Fig 3B. The maximum RE of the system was
14 99% at the EBRT of 60s, but when the EBRT was decreased to 5s the RE dropped to
15 23%. This could indicate that the time that the pollutant was inside the capillaries was
16 not long enough to allow that the whole pollutant passes through the membrane,
17 indicating mass transport limitation, and only a little part of toluene was available for
18 the microorganisms. Anyway, it should be noted that despite the reduction in the
19 efficiency of system when EBRT was halved (30 s), the RE of 79% (EC of $551 \text{ g}\cdot\text{m}^{-3}\cdot\text{h}^{-1}$
20 or $0.2 \text{ g}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{h}^{-1}$) is still higher compared to classic biological configurations. In the
21 light of these results, a range between 30 and 60 s could be considered as the optimum
22 work range.

23 3.2.2 Study of iso-octane abatement

24 After proving the efficiency of the MBR in treating gas streams contaminated
25 with a common model compound (toluene), the performance of the bioreactor was

1 evaluated using a more hydrophobic pollutant (iso-octane). Iso-octane is almost two
2 times more hydrophobic than toluene, apart of being a very recalcitrant pollutant due to
3 its quaternary carbon. The study was carried out for 22 days at EBRT of 60 s and an
4 average inlet concentration of 175 ppm_v.

5 <FIGURA 4>

6 In Fig 4, where the EC and the RE for iso-octane along 22 days of operation is
7 shown, it can be observed that the RE for iso-octane was slightly above 80% for the first
8 9 days, indicating a rapid acclimation of the biomass to the new pollutant. However,
9 from day 79 a continuous decrease of the removal efficiency was observed up to a
10 minimum of 38% on day 85. Some authors have reported the complexity of the
11 biodegradation of this compound due to its chemical structure. In this sense, quaternary
12 carbon structures are known as highly recalcitrant. Actually, only one bacteria strain
13 (*Mycobacterium austroafricanum*) has been found to be capable to use iso-octane as
14 sole carbon and energy sources²⁹. Nevertheless, Auffret et al.³⁰ reported better
15 degradation rates of isooctane when this compound was supplied in a mixture, rather
16 than alone. For this reason, after day 85, a mixture of toluene and iso-octane was
17 introduced in order to verify whether iso-octane degradation is improved. As can be
18 seen, from the instant that toluene was reintroduced in the MBR, a gradual increase of
19 the removal efficiency (expressed as the total VOC's at the inlet) is reached, once again,
20 with values close to 80%. The improvement in the RE%, after toluene addition might
21 indicate that the microorganisms present in the biofilm were not capable to use the iso-
22 octane as a sole carbon source and they needed a co-substrate, in this case toluene. High
23 RE values observed during the first days might be explained due to the fact that the
24 microorganisms used the toluene retained and adsorbed on the membrane as a
25 secondary source of substrate.

3.2.3 Study of hexane performance

According to literature, unlike iso-octane, hexane can be used as sole carbon and energy sources by many bacteria and fungi³¹⁻³³; a compound that is normally used as standard of highly hydrophobic pollutant. After iso-octane experiments, the MBR was thoroughly washed and dried with clean air, in order to remove any compound adsorbed on the membrane and to avoid further interactions. Then, the membrane was inoculated again following the same procedure explained above and after the adaptation period, the MBR was fed with a single hexane stream at EBRT of 60 s and an inlet concentration ranged between 6 and 6000 ppm_v. The bioreactor performance was again evaluated based on EC and RE% values (Fig 5).

<FIGURE 5>

From the day 95 to 155 the maximum concentration that the system was capable to treat (EC) was studied. After this period an inlet concentration of 1000 ppm_v was fed again, moment at which the tests to determinate the relationship between the RE and EBRT were started (from day 156 to 174).

As can be observed in Fig 5, where the EC and the RE of the system for hexane is represented, the maximum EC was $400 \text{ g}\cdot\text{m}^{-3}\cdot\text{h}^{-1}$ ($0.2 \text{ g}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{h}^{-1}$) and the maximum values of RE obtained were close 50%. However, when the relationship between EBRT and RE was studied an important decrease on the overall system performance at low EBRT was observed (from day 156 to 174). During this period, RE values lower than 10% were obtained.

<FIGURE 6A and 6B>

A maximum EC close to $400 \text{ g}\cdot\text{m}^{-3}\cdot\text{h}^{-1}$ ($0.2 \text{ g}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{h}^{-1}$) was obtained (Fig 6A) when the IL was $2600 \text{ g}\cdot\text{m}^{-3}\cdot\text{h}^{-1}$ ($1.1 \text{ g}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{h}^{-1}$) (RE 16%). This value of EC is

1 significantly lower in comparison to the EC previously observed for toluene. This
2 different behavior can be partially explained by the presence of a water layer. Due to the
3 liposolubility of hexane, the presence of water in the shell might produce a decrease in
4 the diffusion of the pollutant through the membrane. The EC obtained in the present
5 study are similar to those found in the literature. For instance, Zamir et al.³⁴ found an
6 EC of $400 \text{ g} \cdot \text{m}^{-3} \cdot \text{h}^{-1}$ at EBRT between 78-120s when a biofilter inoculated with an
7 unidentified fungal consortium was used. The maximum EC obtained in the present
8 work is equal to the maximum EC reported previously. Therefore, the present system
9 allowed operating at low EBRT (meaning smaller reactor volume) and bacterial
10 consortium (more controllable and robust) instead of fungi. It is well-known that the use
11 of fungi instead of bacteria for degrading hexane normally achieves better performance
12 because fungi can directly transfer hexane from the gaseous phase into the aerial
13 structure of hyphae³¹. However, in this case the role of the membrane replaces this
14 advantage.

15 The relationship between RE and EBRT is plotted in Fig 6B. The maximum RE
16 of the system was close to 60% at the EBRT of 60s but when the EBRT was reduced
17 from 60 s to 50 s the RE decreased drastically from ~60% (60 s) to ~30% (50 s). The
18 RE and the EC values obtained for hexane are very low in comparison to the values
19 observed previously, in the present work, for toluene. This means that despite the fact
20 that the membrane, is used here as a mass transfer vector, in an attempt to improve the
21 mass transfer of pollutants between the contaminated gas to the liquid phase (biofilm),
22 the pollutant hydrophobicity is a key parameter that can limit the effectiveness of the
23 system. Although the polymeric material of the membrane is used as a mass transfer
24 vector, the relatively low efficiency observed for the hexane, demonstrated that the
25 pollutant hydrophobicity is a key parameter when VOC are treated. Based on these

1 results, the optimum EBRT working range to obtain a good abatement performance
2 when hexane was treated could be the EBRT ranged between 60 and 50 s.

3 During the last days of the experiment, the system capability to treat hexane in
4 presence of other pollutants was studied. This part of the study was motivated for two
5 reasons. The first one is that a RE improvement of iso-octane was observed when it was
6 mixed with toluene and the second one was that most of the industrial VOC gaseous
7 emissions comprise more than a unique pollutant. Thus, a more realistic scenario for the
8 hexane degradation was studied. For this purpose, two different mixtures were used in
9 this stage, hexane-toluene and hexane-methanol. When toluene was introduced as a
10 second pollutant, no effect on the hexane removal RE% was observed. Zhao et al.¹¹
11 reported similar results when a mixture of hexane and toluene was treated in a hollow
12 fiber MBR. On the other hand, when the second mixture (hexane-methanol) was used a
13 decreased in hexane RE% was observed. According to literature, the addition of
14 methanol to other VOCs might have neutral, positive or negative effect depending on
15 the VOCs and their loading rates, nutrients availability, pH, and temperature³⁵. Under
16 the operating conditions used in the present study, the presence of methanol in the gas
17 stream had a negative effect on the hexane removal performance, a reduction of hexane
18 RE% was observed. This reduction on the overall performance of hexane might be due
19 to the fact that methanol is a more biodegradable compound than hexane, so, the
20 microorganisms attached on the membrane had more preference to degrade methanol,
21 probably a competitive effect rather than a synergic effect occurred. These differences
22 suggested that further research is needed to understand the complex relationship
23 between co-substrates and the polypropylene capillary MBR behavior when complex
24 mixtures of compounds with different hydrophobic properties are treated.

1 It is important to highlight that during the whole MBR performance the pressure
2 drop inside the membrane (gas side) was between 2-12 mmH₂O/m, even when the
3 highest IL were fed. These values are lower than typically pressure drop reported by a
4 traditional biofiltration technique. Furthermore, no problems of bioclogging or
5 biofouling were observed. This is a valuable feature of MBR respect to biofiltres or
6 biotrickling filters, since biomass accumulation is one of the most critical problems that
7 need to be controlled for long-term operations. Thus, capillary MBR have the potential
8 to overcome one of the main problem of the traditional biotechniques. The excess of
9 biomass inside the bioreactor results in an increase of pressure drop, which ultimately
10 may lead to wash out of part of the biomass from the system or to force the
11 replacement of the support media³. The absence of bioclogging during the whole MBR
12 performance might be produced by the fact that the sheer stress of liquid flow was
13 enough to detach the biofilm overgrow and eliminated through the purge. Thus, a thin
14 biofilm was established on the membrane surface avoiding bioclogging and channeling
15 but with enough thickness to abate satisfactorily hydrophobic pollutants.

16 4. CONCLUSIONS

17 Results illustrate the effective abatement of different VOC's with a commercial
18 microporous membrane adapted for waste gas treatment. Toluene was removed with
19 high efficiencies (80-99%) with a maximum EC of 1309 g·m⁻³·h⁻¹, which improves
20 previous results for biological processes. For iso-octane, a poorer RE was observed
21 when it was fed alone as well as for hexane. Low and constant pressure drop monitored
22 during the whole experiment indicates the high stability and robustness of MBR
23 overcoming one of the main limitation of classic biofiltration. The main application of
24 this module is the treatment of moderate-small flows with high loading rates.

1
2
3 **1 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

4
5 This work was supported by Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad (Spain)
6
7 (CTQ2015-69802-C2-2-R, 2016). The authors would like to thank the financial support
8
9 from Ecología Técnica, S.A. The authors gratefully acknowledge Jordi Flores and
10
11 technical lab staff for his help in the tests.
12

13
14 **6 REFERENCES**

- 15
16 1. Agency(EPA), U.S.E.P. 2016. The original list of hazardous air (2016) [WWW
17
18 Document]. Orig. List Hazard. air Pollut. URL
19
20 <https://www3.epa.gov/ttn/atw/188polls.html> (accessed 3.11.16) .
21
22
23 2. Lebrero R, Rodríguez E, Estrada JM, García-Encina PA and Muñoz R, Odor
24
25 abatement in biotrickling filters: Effect of the EBRT on methyl mercaptan and
26
27 hydrophobic VOCs removal. *Bioresour Technol* **109**: 38–45 (2012)
28
29 doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2012.01.052
30
31
32 3. Dorado AD, Baeza JA., Lafuente, J, Gabriel D and Gamisans X, Biomass
33
34 accumulation in a biofilter treating toluene at high loads – Part 1: Experimental
35
36 performance from inoculation to clogging. *Chem Eng J* **209**: 661–669 (2012).
37
38 doi:10.1016/j.cej.2012.08.018
39
40
41 4. Aizpuru A, Dunat B, Christen P, Auria R, García-Peña I and Revah S, Fungal
42
43 Biofiltration of Toluene on Ceramic Rings. *J Environ Eng* **131**: 396–402 (2005).
44
45 doi:10.1061/(ASCE)0733-9372(2005)131:3(396)
46
47
48 5. Attaway H, Gooding CH and Schmidt MG, Biodegradation of BTEX vapors in a
49
50 silicone membrane bioreactor system. *J Ind Microbiol Biotechnol* **26**: 316–325
51
52 (2001). doi:10.1038/sj/jim/7000137
53
54
55 7774–7782 (2009). doi:10.1128/AEM.01117-09
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 1 6. Fitch M, Neeman J and England E, Mass transfer and benzene removal from air using
4 latex rubber tubing and a hollow-fiber membrane module. *Appl. Biochem.*
5
6 *Biotechnol.* **104**: 199–214 (2003)
7
8
9
10 4 7. Muñoz R, Gan EIHH, Hernández M and Quijano G, Hexane biodegradation in two-
11 liquid phase bioreactors: High-performance operation based on the use of
12 hydrophobic biomass. *Biochem Eng J* **70**: 9–16 (2013)
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20 8. Álvarez-Hornos FJ, Volckaert D, Heynderickx PM and Van Langenhove H,
21 Performance of a composite membrane bioreactor for the removal of ethyl acetate
22 from waste air. *Bioresour Technol* **102**: 8893–8 (2011).
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30 12 9. Kraakman NJR, Rocha-Rios J and Van Loosdrecht, MCM, Review of mass transfer
31 aspects for biological gas treatment. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol.* **91**: 873–886
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39 15 10. Dingemans, M., Dewulf, J., Kumar, A. and Van Langenhove, H. Solubility of
40 volatile organic compounds in polymers: Effect of polymer type and processing. *J.*
41 *Membr. Sci.*, 312: 107–114 (2008)
42
43
44
45 18 11. Zhao K, Xiu G, Xu L, Zhang D, Zhang, X and Deshusses M A, Biological
46 treatment of mixtures of toluene and n-hexane vapours in a hollow fibre membrane
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54 22 12. Sempere F, Gabaldón C, Martínez-Soria V, Peña-roja JM and Álvarez-Hornos FJ,
55 Evaluation of a combined activated carbon prefilter and biotrickling filter system
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 1 treating variable ethanol and ethyl acetate gaseous emissions. *Eng Life Sci* **9**: 317–
4 323 (2009) doi:10.1002/elsc.200900011
5
6
7
8 13. Juang RS, Lin SH and Yang MC, Mass transfer analysis on air stripping of VOCs
9 from water in microporous hollow fibers. *J Memb Sci* **255**: 79–87(2005)
10 doi:10.1016/j.memsci.2005.01.022
11
12
13
14
15 14. Mahmud H, Kumar A, Narbaitz RM and Matsuura, T, Mass transport in the
16 membrane air-stripping process using microporous polypropylene hollow fibers:
17 Effect of toluene in aqueous feed. *J Memb Sci* **209**: 207–219 (2002)
18 doi:10.1016/S0376-7388(02)00320-4
19
20
21
22
23
24
25 15. Kumar A, Dewulf J and Van Langenhove H, Membrane-based biological waste gas
26 treatment. *Chem Eng J* **136**: 82–91 (2008) doi:10.1016/j.cej.2007.06.006
27
28
29
30 16. Volckaert D, Wuytens S and Van Langenhove H, Two phase partitioning membrane
31 bioreactor: A novel biotechnique for the removal of dimethyl sulphide, n-hexane
32 and toluene from waste air. *Chem Eng J* **256**: 160–168 (2014)
33 doi:10.1016/j.cej.2014.06.033
34
35
36
37
38
39 17. Wang Z, Xiu G, Wu X, Wang L, Cai J and Zhang D, Biodegradation of xylene
40 mixture from artificial simulated waste gases by capillary membrane bioreactors.
41 *Chem. Eng. J.* **229**: 508–514 (2013) doi:10.1016/j.cej.2013.05.001
42
43
44
45
46
47 18. Reij MW, Keurentjes JT and Hartmans, S, Membrane bioreactors for waste gas
48 treatment. *J Biotechnol* **59**: 155–167 (1998) doi:10.1016/S0168-1656(97)00169-7
49
50
51
52 19. Fortuny M, Baeza JA, Gamisans X, Casas C, Lafuente J, Deshusses MA and
53 Gabriel D, Biological sweetening of energy gases mimics in biotrickling filters.
54 *Chemosphere* **71**: 10–17 (2008) doi:10.1016/j.chemosphere.2007.10.072
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 1 20. Dorado AD, Lafuente J, Gabriel D and Gamisans, X, The role of water in the
4
5 2 performance of biofilters: parameterization of pressure drop and sorption capacities
6
7 3 for common packing materials. *J. Hazard. Mater.* **180**: 693–702 (2010)
8
9 4 doi:10.1016/j.jhazmat.2010.04.093
10
11
12 5 21. Giles CH, MacEwan TH, Nakhwa SN and Smith D, Studies in adsorption. Part XI.
13
14 6 A system of classification of solution adsorption isotherms, and its use in diagnosis
15
16 7 of adsorption mechanisms and in measurement of specific surface areas of solids.
17
18 8 *J. Chem. Soc.* **846**: 3973–3993 (1960) doi:10.1039/jr9600003973
19
20
21 9 22. Dorado AD, Lafuente J, Gabriel D, Gamisans X,. Interaction between sorption and
22
23 10 biodegradation in a biofilter packed with activated carbon. *Water Sci Technol* **66**:
24
25 11 1743–1750 (2012) doi:10.2166/wst.2012.384
26
27
28
29 12 23. Modelski S, Kołtuniewicz A and Witek-Krowiak A, Kinetics of VOC absorption
30
31 13 using capillary membrane contactor. *Chem Eng J* **168**: 1016–1023(2011)
32
33 14 doi:10.1016/j.cej.2011.01.075
34
35
36
37 15 24. Rodriguez G, Dorado AD, Bonsfills A, Sanahuja R, Gabriel D and Gamisans X,
38
39 16 Optimization of oxygen transfer through venturi-based systems applied to the
40
41 17 biological sweetening of biogas. *J Chem Technol Biotechnol* **87**: 854–860 (2012)
42
43 18 doi:10.1002/jctb.3731
44
45
46 19 25. Brindle K and Stephenson T, Nitrification in a bubbleless oxygen mass transfer
47
48 20 membrane bioreactor. *Water Sci Technol* **34**: 261–267 (1996) doi:10.1016/S0273-
49
50 21 1223(96)00813-X
51
52
53 22 26. Kumar A, Hille-Reichel A, Horn H, Dewulf J, Lens P and Van Langenhove, H,
54
55 23 Oxygen transport within the biofilm matrix of a membrane biofilm reactor treating
56
57 24 gaseous toluene. *J Chem Technol Biotechnol* **87**: 751–757 (2012)
58
59
60

- doi:10.1002/jctb.3800
27. Dorado AD, Baeza JA, Lafuente J, Gabriel D and Gamisans X, Biomass accumulation in a biofilter treating toluene at high loads – Part 1: Experimental performance from inoculation to clogging. *Chem Eng J* **209**: 661–669 (2012). doi:10.1016/j.cej.2012.08.018
28. Zilli M, Del Borghi A and Converti A, Toluene vapour removal in a laboratory-scale biofilter. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* **54**: 248–254 (2000) doi:10.1007/s002530000379
29. Solano-Serena F, Marchal R, Heiss S and Vandecasteele JP, Degradation of isooctane by *Mycobacterium austroafricanum* IFP 2173: Growth and catabolic pathway. *J Appl Microbiol* **97**: 629–639 (2004) doi:10.1111/j.1365-2672.2004.02344.x
30. Auffret M, Labbé D, Thouand G, Greer, CW and Fayolle-Guichard F, Degradation of a mixture of hydrocarbons, gasoline, and diesel oil additives by *Rhodococcus aetherivorans* and *Rhodococcus wratislaviensis*. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **75**, 7774–7782 (2009) doi:10.1128/AEM.01117-09
31. Lee EH, Kim J, Cho KS, Ahn YG and Hwang GS, Degradation of hexane and other recalcitrant hydrocarbons by a novel isolate, *Rhodococcus* sp. EH831. *Environ Sci Pollut Res* **17**: 64–77 (2010) doi:10.1007/s11356-009-0238-x
32. Hernández M, Quijano G, Thalasso F, Daugulis AJ, Villaverde S and Muñoz R, A comparative study of solid and liquid non-aqueous phases for the biodegradation of hexane in two-phase partitioning bioreactors. *Biotechnol Bioeng* **106**: 731–740 (2010) doi:10.1002/bit.22748

- 1 33. Spigno G, Pagella C, Fumi MD, Molteni R and De Faveri DM, VOCs removal from
2 waste gases: Gas-phase bioreactor for the abatement of hexane by *Aspergillus*
3 *niger*. *Chem Eng Sci* **58**: 739–746 (2003) doi:10.1016/S0009-2509(02)00603-6
4
5 34. Zamir SM, Ferdowsi M and Halladj R, Effects of loading type and temperature on
6 performance, transient operation, and kinetics of n-hexane vapor removal in a
7 biofilter. *Water Air Soil Pollut* **225**: 1825–1830 (2014) doi:10.1007/s11270-013-
8 1825-5
9
10 35. Zehraoui A, Hassan AA and Sorial GA, Effect of methanol on the biofiltration of n-
11 hexane. *J Hazard Mater* **15**: 219–220, 176–182 (2012)
12 doi:10.1016/j.jhazmat.2012.03.075
13

Supplementary material

Sorption capacity of toluene (●) in the membrane
117x92mm (300 x 300 DPI)

Evolution of the RE (x, secondary axis) and EC (o) of toluene

141x119mm (300 x 300 DPI)

A; Toluene variation of EC (●) with IL. B; Toluene relationship between EBRT and RE(●)

96x46mm (300 x 300 DPI)

Evolution of the RE (x, secondary axis) and EC (o) of iso-octane and iso-octane/toluene mixtures

117x84mm (300 x 300 DPI)

EC (○) and RE (x, secondary axis) during hexane and hexane/toluene and hexane/methanol mixtures tests

120x87mm (300 x 300 DPI)

A; Hexane variation of EC with IL, (●) hexane, (○) hexane+toluene, (▼) hexane+methanol. B; Hexane relationship between EBRT and RE (●)

97x47mm (300 x 300 DPI)

Stage	Pollutant	Days	EBRT	Experiment
Stage 1	Toluene	2-50	60s	Maximum EC
	Toluene	60-70	5-60s	EBRT
Stage 2	Iso-octane	71-84	60s	-
	Iso-octane/Toluene	85-92	60s	Co-metabolism
Stage 3	Hexane	95-154	60s	Maximum EC
	Hexane	155-175	5-60s	EBRT
	Hexane/Toluene	176-185	60s	Pollutant mix
	Hexane/Methanol	186-190	60s	Pollutant mix

Table I Summarize of operation conditions during the 3 stages

Supplementary material

Figure A.1: Schematic of the lab scale plant. 1: Liquid sampling port, 2: Carbon filter, 3: Membrane bioreactor, 4: Flowmeter, 5: Mixer chamber, 6: VOC's bottle, 7: Nutrients tank, 8: Peristaltic pump, 9: Gas sampling port