sible forms of $J(t)$. For example, we cannot obtain the response to an impulse function and cannot even hope to approximate to it reasonably by an f.f.t. of economical size. The same type of comment applies, although less forcibly, for a step-function input. These are the penalties for having an incomplete set of data, and cannot be avoided. We can, however, obtain the response to practical inputs in which the risetime is finite, even though the process may be expensive if the risetime is small. Again, we must emphasise that this is the only known solution to this type of problem in which we require the solution of a differential equation we cannot form.

For a nonlinear circuit, we assume that the nonlinearities are in the lumped portion of the circuit and are of the type that may be represented by voltage-dependent current generators. If we knew the differential operator $y(t)$ we could write down a set of differential equations describing the system

$$y(t) = J(t) + f[v(t)]$$

where $f$ is a vector of known nonlinear functions. The solution of eqn. 2 is given by the Volterra-integral equation

$$v(t) = v(0) + \int_0^t H(t-\tau)f[v(\tau)]d\tau$$

As before, $y(t)$ is not explicitly defined; all we know are the values of $Y(\omega)$ at specific frequencies. $v(0)$ is obtained, as in the linear case, by finding the i.f.f.t. of $Z(\omega)I(\omega)$, and $H(t)$ is the inverse Fourier transform of $Z(\omega)$, and is therefore defined at discrete points. Subject to convergence, $v(t)$ may then be found by a Picard iteration, forming successively $v^{(0)}$, $v^{(1)}$, $v^{(2)}$, ..., using the recurrence relation

$$v^{j+1}(t) = v^{(0)}(t) + \int_0^t H(t-\tau)f[v^{j}(\tau)]d\tau$$

where, at each stage, the convolution integral is evaluated by forming the f.f.t. $F(\omega)$ of $f[v^{j}(t)]$ and, for each $\omega$, pre-multiplying this vector by the corresponding $Z(\omega)$ and, finally, retransforming, via the i.f.f.t., into the time domain. This type of iteration has, in recent years, received detailed consideration by this writer and others\(^1\) in its application forming the f.f.t.

$$y(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} r_j e^{s_j t}$$

where $s_j$ are roots of $1 + C \sigma v(t)$, and $r_j$ are constants.

$$y(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} r_j e^{s_j t}$$

Thus the present situation may be summarised by saying that, with the techniques currently available, nonlinear circuits that cannot be modelled in terms of lumped elements can be analysed in the manner described provided that the nonlinearities are not too severe. No other method for obtaining such results seems to be available. No method is known by which a solution can be obtained economically in grossly nonlinear cases, and further work is required in this respect. By using the generalisation of nodal analysis described in an earlier letter,\(^4\) all four types of dependent generator may be accommodated in this type of analysis.
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SELECTION OF ZEROS OF REFLECTION COEFFICIENT IN DESIGN OF FILTERS BY INSERTION LOSS

Indexing terms: Filters, Network synthesis, Poles and zeros

It is shown that a characteristic function that can be expressed as the square of an odd rational function in $\omega$, with poles on the $\pm j\omega$ axis and at infinity, is always realisable without using transformers by the selection of the zeros of $\rho(s)$, and this is the key for a filter design based on 2-port synthesis theory.

If one wants to insert an LC filter between a unity-internal resistance generator and a load resistance $r$ (both normalised), its transmission characteristic may be expressed by means of its insertion-loss function $P_{20}/P_2$, or its characteristic function $F(\omega^2)$, related by

$$\frac{P_{20}}{P_2} = 1 + F(\omega^2)$$

Defining

$$\rho(s) = 1 - \frac{P_2}{P_{20}} = -s^2$$

with $i = 4r/(1+r)^2$; the filter input immittance is\(^1\)

$$Z(s) = Y(s) = \frac{1 - \rho(s)}{1 + \rho(s)} = \frac{m_1 + m_3}{m_2 + n_2}$$

and its transfer function is

$$H(s) = \frac{E_2}{E_{20}} = \frac{1 + r \sqrt{(m_1 m_3 - m_1 n_3)}}{r m_1 + m_2 + m_3 + n_2}$$

For a lowpass filter—other band configurations are obtained by a frequency transformation—the following expressions give its parameter matrix:

$$\begin{pmatrix}
Z_{11} & Y_{11} = \frac{m_1}{n_2} \\
1/\sqrt{r} Z_{21} & -\sqrt{r} Y_{21} = \frac{\sqrt{(m_1 m_3 - m_1 n_3)}}{n_2} \\
\frac{1}{r} Z_{22} & r Y_{22} = \frac{m_1}{n_2}
\end{pmatrix}$$

Thus the present situation may be summarised by saying that, with the techniques currently available, nonlinear circuits that cannot be modelled in terms of lumped elements can be analysed in the manner described provided that the nonlinearities are not too severe. No other method for obtaining such results seems to be available. No method is known by which a solution can be obtained economically in grossly nonlinear cases, and further work is required in this respect. By using the generalisation of nodal analysis described in an earlier letter, all four types of dependent generator may be accommodated in this type of analysis.
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SELECTION OF ZEROS OF REFLECTION COEFFICIENT IN DESIGN OF FILTERS BY INSERTION LOSS

Indexing terms: Filters, Network synthesis, Poles and zeros

It is shown that a characteristic function that can be expressed as the square of an odd rational function in $\omega$, with poles on the $\pm j\omega$ axis and at infinity, is always realisable without using transformers by the selection of the zeros of $\rho(s)$, and this is the key for a filter design based on 2-port synthesis theory.

If one wants to insert an LC filter between a unity-internal resistance generator and a load resistance $r$ (both normalised), its transmission characteristic may be expressed by means of its insertion-loss function $P_{20}/P_2$, or its characteristic function $F(\omega^2)$, related by

$$\frac{P_{20}}{P_2} = 1 + F(\omega^2)$$

Defining

$$\rho(s) = 1 - \frac{P_2}{P_{20}} = -s^2$$

with $i = 4r/(1+r)^2$; the filter input immittance is\(^1\)

$$Z(s) = Y(s) = \frac{1 - \rho(s)}{1 + \rho(s)} = \frac{m_1 + m_3}{m_2 + n_2}$$

and its transfer function is

$$H(s) = \frac{E_2}{E_{20}} = \frac{1 + r \sqrt{(m_1 m_3 - m_1 n_3)}}{r m_1 + m_2 + m_3 + n_2}$$

For a lowpass filter—other band configurations are obtained by a frequency transformation—the following expressions give its parameter matrix:

$$\begin{pmatrix}
Z_{11} & Y_{11} = \frac{m_1}{n_2} \\
1/\sqrt{r} Z_{21} & -\sqrt{r} Y_{21} = \frac{\sqrt{(m_1 m_3 - m_1 n_3)}}{n_2} \\
\frac{1}{r} Z_{22} & r Y_{22} = \frac{m_1}{n_2}
\end{pmatrix}$$
If \( F(\omega^2) > 0 \) for all \( \omega \), and its poles are located on the \( j\omega \) axis, taking from eqn. 1 the left-half-plane poles of \( \rho(s) \), eqn. 2 gives an immittance that is a real positive function, and the parameters from eqn. 4 will constitute an odd real transformers, provided that the Fialcow-Gerst conditions are met. At this point, the selection of the zeros of \( \rho(s) \) is of greatest importance.

Let \( N \) be an odd and \( M \) an even polynomial in \( s \) satisfying

(a) degree of \( N > \) degree of \( M \)
(b) highest-degree-term coefficient of \( N \) equals 1.

Taking

\[
F(\omega^2) = -\frac{N^2}{M^2} \quad s = j\omega
\]

as the characteristic function, * then

\[
\frac{P_2}{P_{20}} = H(s) H(-s) \quad \text{as} \quad s = j\omega
\]

and, from eqns. 3 and 1,

\[
\sqrt{(m_1 m_2 - n_1 n_2)} = \frac{\sqrt{r}}{1+r} M
\]

and

\[
\rho(s) \rho(-s) = \frac{(m_2 - m_1)^2 - (n_2 - n_1)^2}{(m_2 + m_1)^2 - (n_2 + n_1)^2} = \frac{(1-t) M^2 - N^2}{M^2 - N^2}
\]

(6)

Obviously, from eqn. 6, the immittance has a zero or a pole at infinity; hereafter it will be supposed to have a zero, without loss of generality, and

\[
\rho(0) = \pm \frac{1-r}{1+r} \quad \ldots \ldots \ldots (7)
\]

To form \( \rho(s) \), the left-half-plane roots of \( M^2 - N^2 \) must be obtained, giving \( M_1 + N_1 \). It may be easily proved that

(a) degree of \( N_1 = \) degree of \( N \)
(b) degree of \( N_1 > \) degree of \( M_1 \)
(c) \( M_1(0) = M(0) \)
(d) \( N_1 \) highest-degree-term coefficient equals 1
(e) the coefficient of a given power of \( s \) in \( M_1 \) = the corresponding coefficient in \( M \) (coefficients \( M_1 \geq \) coefficients \( M \)).

The selection of the zeros of \( \rho(s) \) that guarantees the fulfillment of the Fialcow-Gerst conditions by the matrix of eqn. 4 leads to

\[
\rho(s) = \rho(0) M + N
\]

where \( \rho(0) \) is given in eqn. 7, and, reserving the symbol + to obtain \( Z(s) \) and the symbol − for \( Y(s) \), is equivalent to taking \( Z(0) = r \) and \( Y(0) = 1/r \).

From eqns. 2, 4 and 5, the proof that this choice of \( \rho(s) \) is correct reduces to verifying that

\[
\text{coefficients}\left(\frac{1}{2} \left( M_1 - \frac{1-r}{1+r} M \right) \geq \text{coefficients} \frac{r}{1+r} M \right)
\]

and

\[
\text{coefficients} \frac{r}{2} \left( M_1 + \frac{1-r}{1+r} M \right) \geq \text{coefficients} \frac{r}{1+r} M
\]

(8)

where the factor 1/2 has been introduced, so that

\[
n_2 = 1/2(N_1 + N)
\]

has its highest-degree coefficient equal to unity, and to preserve consistency with eqn. 6; verification of eqn. 8 is immediate once it has been established that coefficients \( M_1 \geq \) coefficients \( M \).

* Obviously, we suppose the zeros of \( M \) to be on the \( j\omega \) axis

It is interesting to mention that, when \( M \) is a constant, all filter transmission zeros at infinity, since the zero-degree numerator term is independent of the choice of the zeros of \( \rho(s) \), the fulfillment of the Fialcow-Gerst conditions will not depend on that selection.
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OBLIQUITY FACTOR FOR RADIATION FROM SOLID-STATE LASER

Indexing terms: Laser beams, Solid lasers

The radiated field consists of forward radiation at an angle \( \theta \) and backward radiation at \( \pi - \theta \) reflected from the dielectric-air interface into an additional component of field at angle \( \theta \). The total radiation contains a factor that simplifies into an expression recently obtained by more sophisticated methods, and which is here interpreted as the Huygens obliquity factor for the arrangement. A small correction to the expression is also obtained.

Recently, 1 by means of mathematical techniques involving Fourier integrals and saddle-point asymptotic evaluations, expressions have been produced for some properties of solid-state-laser radiation, including the damped interference waves at the dielectric-air boundary, and an expression leading to the observed sharpening of the radiation-pattern. The latter factor can be written

\[
g(\theta) = \frac{2 \cos \theta}{(\cos \theta + \sqrt{(e_2 - \sin^2 \theta)}) \left[ \beta k_0 + \sqrt{(e_2 - \sin^2 \theta)} \right]}
\]

(1)

where

\[
g(\theta) = \text{radiation-pattern factor additional to main radiation-pattern expression}
\]

\( \theta \) = angle off-axis at which the field is observed
\( e_2 \) = relative permittivity of laser material
\( \beta \) = axial propagation factor in laser
\( k_0 \) = propagation factor in air

It is interesting to note that eqn. 1 can be obtained by elementary means, and that it represents, for the dielectric-air configuration, the form taken by the Huygens obliquity factor. 2

Fig. 1 shows the arrangement, with an incident surface wave of the form

\[
E_x = E_i(x) \exp(-j\beta z) \quad z < 0 \ldots \ldots \ldots (2)
\]

where \( E_i(x) \) is the transverse-field distribution of the incident surface-wave mode. In region 3 (air), the field can be found from the form of Huygens' integral in cylindrical co-ordinates:

\[
\Psi = (8\pi\kappa_0)^{-1} \int_0^\infty \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp(-jk_0 r) \frac{\partial \psi_0}{\partial n} \exp(-jk_0 r) \frac{\exp(jn/\lambda)}{\sqrt{r}} \left( -j\gamma \frac{\exp(-jk_0 r)}{\sqrt{r}} \right) dx \text{ (3)}
\]

* The Huygens obliquity factor is the radiation pattern for an element of a radiating aperture. It has the value \( \cos \phi = \cos \theta \) for radiation at an angle \( \theta \) for incident radiation at an angle \( \phi \). It is slowly varying, and is often ignored when calculating radiation patterns from large apertures.
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