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Abstract—The scope of our work focuses on investigating the potential use of the built-in accelerometer of the smartphones for the recording of the respiratory activity and deriving the respiratory rate. Five healthy subjects performed an inspiratory load protocol. The excursion of the right chest was recorded using the built-in triaxial accelerometer of a smartphone along the \( x \), \( y \) and \( z \) axes and with an external uniaxial accelerometer. Simultaneously, the respiratory airflow and the inspiratory mouth pressure were recorded, as reference respiratory signals. The chest acceleration signal recorded in the \( z \) axis with the smartphone was denoised using a scheme based on the ensemble empirical mode decomposition, a noise data assisted method which decomposes nonstationary and nonlinear signals into intrinsic mode functions. To distinguish noisy oscillatory modes from the relevant modes we use the detrended fluctuation analysis. We reported a very strong correlation between the acceleration of the \( z \) axis of the smartphone and the reference accelerometer across the inspiratory load protocol (from 0.80 to 0.97). Furthermore, the evaluation of the respiratory rate showed a very strong correlation (0.98). A good agreement was observed between the respiratory rate estimated with the chest acceleration signal from the \( z \) axis of the smartphone and with the respiratory airflow signal: Bland-Altman limits of agreement between -1.44 and 1.46 breaths per minute with a mean bias of -0.01 breaths per minute. This preliminary study provides a valuable insight into the use of the smartphone and its built-in accelerometer for respiratory monitoring.

I. INTRODUCTION

The widespread use of mobile technologies represents an opportunity to facilitate the clinical practice and improve the healthcare delivery. Mobile health (mHealth), a term referred to the use of modern smart mobile devices (such as smartphones and tablets) in the medical and public health practice has been recognized as important due to its huge potential for bringing solutions in medical services [1]. Moreover, special attention has been paid to using these mobile wireless technologies to improve the live condition of elderly population and individuals suffering from chronic diseases [2]. Respiratory rate (RR), an important vital sign, reflects the respiratory status of an individual and consequently is an indicator of deteriorating health. However, to date, RR is considered the vital sign recorded less frequently by medical staff [3]. To handle this situation, several authors are evaluating novel technologies including the use of smartphones, devices that integrate miniaturized sensors capable of non-invasively collect physiological signals [4], [5]. Additionally, RR has been evaluated by several monitoring technologies, classified in contact and non-contact devices with the patients [6]. Unfortunately, most of these technologies are expensive or are not available for most people. With the penetration of mobile technologies in the market, several strategies are being explored for the recording of vital signs as the RR. Indeed, previous studies have shown the possibility of using the smartphone built-in sensors such as accelerometers [4], [5] or accessory hardware, as electrocardiogram electrodes or external accelerometers [7] to measure the respiration and derive the RR. In this work, we addressed the use of the built-in accelerometer of the smartphone as a low-cost and an easy-to-use alternative for the recording of the chest movement during a respiratory protocol. Secondly, we aim to derive the RR from respiratory activity and compare it to RR extracted from the airflow signal in healthy subjects performing a respiratory protocol based on increments in the inspiratory load.

II. MATERIAL AND METHODS

A. Subjects and respiratory protocol

Five healthy, non-smoking male subjects with no medical history and free of cardiorespiratory diseases were recruited to participate in the study (mean ± standard deviation: age 34.80 ± 4.76 years, height 1.77 ± 0.08 m, weight 82 ± 7.21 kg). The study was conducted with the subject’s written consent, and with the approval of the Institutional Review Board of the Institute for Bioengineering of Catalonia (IBEC), Barcelona, Spain. Subjects underwent an inspiratory load protocol in which they initially inhaled via a mouthpiece without any obstruction (quiet breathing) followed by an attached handheld inspiratory muscle training device (Threshold IMT, Philips Respironics, Amsterdam, The Netherlands) in sitting position and wearing a disposable nose clip to prevent air from escaping. The threshold IMT device imposes an adjustable inspiratory load which limits air to pass through
the device to the lungs. The expiration remains unloaded. The use of the IMT device was set to 9 cmH₂O and thereafter to 17, 25 and 33 cmH₂O of inspiratory load. The inspiratory load protocol without and with the use of the IMT device was performed during 1.5-minutes of work and with 3-minutes of rest. Furthermore, the subjects breathed without any specific breathing pattern.

B. Recording of the signals

Signals were recorded using the built-in triaxial accelerometer (LMS330DLC, ST Microelectronics) of a smartphone (Samsung Electronics Co., Seoul, South Korea, Galaxy SIII, model GT-I9300) running an Android operating system (version 4.3). This device records the acceleration components accx, accy and accz along the orthogonal x, y (gravity acceleration) and z directions, respectively, during the breathing motion. The smartphone was securely fastened in a upright position and facing outward to an elastic belt worn at the level of the right chest, between the midaxillary line and the anterior axillary line, and above the costal margin, to the best signal capture, as depicted in Fig. 1. Raw acceleration data was captured employing an Android application (AndroSensor) [8] up to a maximum frequency of 200 Hz. Simultaneously, a reference acceleration signal (A_ref), the inspiratory mouth pressure (P_mouth) and the respiratory airflow (AF) were recorded. A_ref was recorded using an uniaxial capacitive accelerometer (K-Beam 8305A, Amherst, USA), adhered using double-sided tape to the lower left side of the smartphone (Fig. 1) and coupled with an interface module (UlM100C, Biopac Systems, Inc, Santa Barbara, CA, USA). P_mouth was measured by a differential pressure transducer (TSD160, Biopac Systems, Inc.). AF was recorded with a pneumotachograph (TSD107B, Biopac Systems). P_mouth and AF signals were amplified using modular differential amplifiers (DAC100C, Biopac Systems, Inc.) with a gain of 50 and an analog low-pass filter with a cut-off frequency of 300Hz. Data were sampled at a frequency of 2000 Hz using a data acquisition system (MP100, Biopac Systems, Inc.). Moreover, data were transferred to a personal computer to be displayed in real time on a video screen, allowing us to monitor the breathing and stored to further be off-line processed using the associated software (AcqKnowledge software v.3.2, Biopac Systems, Inc.). Synchronization between equipments was carried out manually. Afterwards, P_mouth, AF and A_ref signals were subsampled to a frequency of 100Hz. AF signal was digitally band-pass filtered using a zero-phase second-order Butterworth filter with a cut frequency of 0.05 and 1 Hz. All data was analyzed offline using MATLAB (v. R2011b, Natick, MA, USA). Fig. 2 shows an example of the P_mouth, AF and accelerometer signals.

C. Preprocessing of the smartphone accelerometer signal

The sampling frequency of the smartphone is non-constant. Taking the above into consideration, we first estimated the sampling frequency along all the accelerometer data, being found in the range of 199.99 ± 105.00 Hz (mean ± standard deviation). Subsequently, the acceleration data of the smartphone were resampled to a fixed frequency of 100 Hz using interpolation. We have chosen the accx signal as the most representative component of the acceleration, although, some of the respiratory activity was also recorded in the accy and the accz components of the acceleration.

D. Denoising of accelerometer signals with the Ensemble Empirical mode decomposition

Due to its nature, the accelerometer signals of the smartphone can be denoised by applying the empirical mode decomposition (EMD), an adaptive technique suitable for non-linear and non-stationary data analysis [9]. EMD decomposes a signal into a sum of zero-mean oscillating components referred to as intrinsic mode functions (IMFs). These IMFs are obtained in decreasing order of frequency, with the first IMFs containing high frequency components (related to the muscle vibratory activity) and the last IMFs containing the low frequency components (more associated to the breathing activity) [10]. However, a major drawback of the EMD is the mode-mixing phenomenon, that is, a single IMF either including signals of dramatically disparate scales, or a signal of the same scale appearing at different IMFs, and thus, with the consequence of losing its physical interpretation [11]. To overcome this undesired effect in the original EMD, the Ensemble Empirical Mode Decomposition (EEMD), a noise data assisted method, was developed [11]. In EEMD, white Gaussian noise is added to the original signal and then it is decomposed by the classical EMD algorithm into IMFs. This process is repeated for a certain number of trials. The added noise is suppressed in the ensemble averaged of the corresponding IMFs. We generated 100 noisy trials and the Gaussian noise was added with a
signal to noise ratio of 30dB. The added noise was low-pass filtered using a zero-phase eight-order Butterworth filter with a cut frequency of 40 Hz. The main challenge when the EMD-based methods are used as denoising techniques is to adopt a criterion for the adequate selection of those IMFs with relevant information and reject the noise. The EMD was calculated using the routine developed in [12]. On the other hand, in [13] it has been suggested to use the Detrended Fluctuation Analysis (DFA) as a metric to identify noisy IMFs from EMD (DFA-EMD denoising). In this work, we extended the use of DFA to EEMD. DFA is a scaling analysis method for the study of long-range correlations in nonstationary time series data under the presence of noise [14]. Briefly, DFA first integrates the time series after mean subtraction. Then the integrated time series is split into equally sized boxes. In each box, a least-squares line is fitted to the data, which represents the local trend in the box. We calculate the detrended fluctuation function by subtracting the integrated time series and the local trend in each box. The root mean square (RMS) of the detrended fluctuation function is calculated. This procedure was repeated for different box sizes to provide a relationship between the average fluctuations and the box size. We use a box size ranging from 100 to 1000 in equals steps of 100. Finally, to reveal the presence of self-similarity scale in the time series, we estimate the scaling exponent \( \alpha \) evaluating the slope of the RMS detrended fluctuation function and box sizes in a log-log plot. The correlations of the time series, characterized by the scaling exponent \( \alpha \), can be interpret as follows: \( \alpha < 0.5 \) is anti-correlated, \( \alpha = 0.5 \) is uncorrelated or white noise, \( 0.5 < \alpha < 1 \) is correlated, \( \alpha = 1 \), is pink noise, \( 1 < \alpha < 1.5 \) is non-stationary or random walk, \( \alpha = 1.5 \) is Brownian noise. The \( \alpha \) exponent was calculated for each IMF in order to distinguish between noise and noise free IMFs. Those IMFs whose \( \alpha \) value was greater than or equal to 0.5 (correlated data) were related to the respiration while the rest of IMFs were considered as noise. The last mode (residue) of the EEMD was excluded from the study. Afterward, the accelerometer signal was reconstructed by summing the IMF identified with respiratory information.

### IV. Discussion

In this study, we evaluated the use of the built-in triaxial accelerometer of a smartphone placed on the chest wall for recording the breathing activity and deriving the RR during an inspiratory load respiratory protocol. Gupta and Dantu proposed the use of the accelerometer inside the cell phones to evaluate the patient’s breathing in emergency situations [4]. They evaluated the use of the cellphone in vertical and...
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**Table I. Correlation Coefficients between the Raw \( A_{res} \) and the \( acc \) Signals at Different Levels of Inspiratory Load**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>( A_{res} )</th>
<th>9 cmH( \text{O} )</th>
<th>17 cmH( \text{O} )</th>
<th>25 cmH( \text{O} )</th>
<th>33 cmH( \text{O} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>meanSD</td>
<td>0.90±0.06</td>
<td>0.92±0.04</td>
<td>0.92±0.07</td>
<td>0.91±0.06</td>
<td>0.91±0.06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

QB: quiet breathing, SD: standard deviation.
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**Figure 3.** Comparison between the mean \( RR_F \) and \( RR_Z \) values. (a) Correlation plot and (b) Bland-Altman plot statistics. Each marker represents the measurement in an individual subject each 30-sec across the inspiratory load protocol. bpm: breaths per minute.

**Figure 4.** Box plot of the root mean square error between \( RR_F \) and \( RR_Z \) at different levels of inspiratory load. bpm: breaths per minute.
horizontal orientation at different locations on the torso to determine the best acceleration component that can be used to measure the RR [4]. In the same line, Pechprasarn and Pongnumkul monitored the RR from a smartphone placed on the chest of a patient lying down [5]. They applied and algorithm based on smoothing and detrending the recorded signals and then estimating the RR by finding the highest peak of the power spectrum. In the present work, the chosen anatomical region to place the smartphone was the right chest, based on a previous study that used an uniaxial accelerometer [10]. Among the three acceleration components of the smartphone we selected the $a_{cc_y}$ signal as it gives the most representative information of the chest excursion. However, we also observed some residual respiratory components in the $a_{cc_x}$ and the $a_{cc_z}$ acceleration signals. This suggests that more information can be extracted using more than one acceleration axis. Moreover, we evaluated the raw signals collected from the smartphone to derive the RR in healthy subjects. As a denoising technique, we applied the EEMD, a noise data assisted algorithm for processing nonlinear and nonstationary signals, as in the case of breathing. EEMD breaks down a signal into IMFs and makes no prior assumption on it. Recently, Mert and Akan have demonstrated that the use of DFA thresholded EMD based denoising (DFA-EMD) method is a powerful tool to separate those oscillatory components that are noisy [13]. They tested the DFA-EMD in synthetic and real epileptic electroencephalographic signals. We extended the use of this technique to the EEMD as the EMD is prone to the mode mixing effect. The $\alpha$ scaling component from DFA method was employed as a threshold to distinguish between noisy and not noisy IMFs and then to reconstruct the denoised signal.

As expected, the use of DFA-EEMD provided an alternative to reduce the inherent noise from the collected raw smartphone accelerometer signals. However, the quality of the recorded signals requires more research in other settings outside the lab as in movement conditions as well as the effect of other noise that can be generated. On the other hand, to validate the use of the smartphone, we compared its recordings with the signals from the reference accelerometer attached over the smartphone. This comparison resulted in a higher correlation, which indicates how well the signals correlated with each other and thus ensured that the smartphone collects adequately the excursion of the chest. A strong correlation and a good agreement were found between the RR derived from the airflow signal (our gold standard) and the accelerometer signal. Furthermore, the 94.67% of the reported RR were inside the Bland-Altman limits of agreement. We have also observed that the interquartile range of the RMSE was low but it increased with the inspiratory load, from the quiet breathing to the 25 cm H$_2$O. We argued that this occurs because the higher the inspiratory load is, the higher is the challenge to maintain the breathing and in consequence the RR detection can be more difficult.

V. CONCLUSION

Our preliminary findings suggest the use of the smartphones as potential tools for recording the breathing movement using the built-in acceleration sensors. The use of the smartphone accelerometer signal allows deriving the respiratory rate. Contact and non-contact devices with the patients are being used currently but their use is limited by high costs. The use of mobile devices can become a promising technology for the non-invasively monitoring of physiological data with the associate benefits of being an accessible product of large scale consumption and an easy-of-use. More studies will be needed to evaluate the breathing at different patterns, conditions and compare them across male and female populations.
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