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**Summary:** This paper develops a fault detection and isolation (FDI) and active fault tolerant control (FTC) of pitch actuators in wind turbines (WTs). This is accomplished combining a disturbance compensator with a controller, both of which are formulated in the discrete-time domain. The disturbance compensator has a dual purpose: to reconstruct the actuator fault (which is used by the FDI technique) and to design the discrete-time controller to obtain an active FTC. That is, the actuator faults are reconstructed and then the control inputs are modified with the reconstructed fault signal to achieve a FTC in the presence of actuator faults with a comparable behavior to the fault-free case. The proposed techniques are validated using the aeroelastic wind turbine simulator FAST. This software is designed by the U.S. National Renewable Energy Laboratory and is widely used for studying wind turbine control systems.

1. **INTRODUCTION**

Fault detection and isolation (FDI) techniques (also called fault diagnosis) can be classified into two categories: signal processing based and model-based [1]. In the latter case, which is the approach used in this work, it is typical that a fault is said to be detected based on a residual signal. It must be a signal that is close to zero in the absence of a fault, and significantly affected in the presence of faults [2]. The main components of a fault detection system are the following: residual generator signal, residual evaluation method, and prescribed threshold to decide whether a fault occurs or not [2]. It is then the task of fault isolation to categorize the type of fault and its location. Recently, there has been a lot of interest in FDI in wind turbines (WTs). For example, observer based schemes are provided in [3], support vector machine based schemes are used in [4], data driven methods are used in [5], and [6] is based on a generalized likelihood ratio method.

In control systems for wind turbines, robustness and fault-tolerance capabilities are important properties which should be considered in the design process, calling for a generic and powerful tool to manage parameter-variations and model uncertainties. In this paper, an active
fault tolerant control (FTC) is provided capable to handle the parameter variations along the nominal operating point and robust to the faults in the pitch system. In passive FTC systems, controllers are predetermined and are designed to be robust against a class of presumed faults. This approach needs neither FDI schemes nor controller reconfiguration, but it has limited fault-tolerant capabilities. In contrast, active FTC reacts to the system component failures actively by reconfiguring control actions so that the stability and acceptable performance of the entire system can be maintained [7]. A successful active FTC design relies heavily on real-time FDI schemes to provide the most up-to-date information about the true status of the system [7]. The main goal in this work is to design a controller with a suitable structure to achieve stability and satisfactory performance, not only when all control components are functioning normally but also in case of (tolerable) faults. While still being a relatively new research topic, recent years have seen a growing number of publications in wind turbine FTC. For example, a set value based observer method is proposed in [8], and [9] proposes a control allocation method for FTC of the pitch actuators. A virtual sensor/actuator scheme is applied in [10]. Reference [11] presents an active FTC scheme based on adaptive methods and a model predictive control scheme is used for FTC in [12].

In terms of control, the wind turbine works in two distinct regions. One is below the rated wind speed, in the partial load region, where the turbine is controlled to maximize the power capture. This is achieved by adjusting the generator torque to obtain an optimum ratio between the tip speed of the blades and the wind speed. The other one is above the rated wind speed, in the full load region, where the main task of the controller is to adapt the aerodynamic efficiency of the rotor by pitching the blades into or out of the wind to keep the rotor speed at its rated value. Blade control pitching is activated only in the full load region, while in the partial load region the blades are kept by the controller at zero pitch angle [13]. In this paper, operation in the full load region, where the blade pitch control is acting, is considered.

Nowadays, pitch actuators are basically divided into two types: electric and hydraulic. Hydraulic actuators change the blade pitch angle through a hydraulic system. The method offers rapid response frequency, large torque, convenient centralization and is widely applied in WT [14]. However, hydraulic systems may suffer from oil leakage, high air content in the oil, pump wear and pressure drop [15]. These faults are studied in this paper. In fact, the pitch actuators have the highest failure rate in WT [15]. Thus, WT pitch sensors and actuators are often the topic of the FDI and FTC research focus. For example, an H-infinity- based FDI technique to detect and estimate the magnitude of blade bending moment sensor and pitch actuator faults is given in [16]; blade root bending measurements are used to detect pitch misalignment in [17]; model-based and system identification techniques are used for pitch actuator faults in [18].

The main contribution of this paper is twofold. First, a controller based on a disturbance compensator is proposed to face with tolerable faults. Second, a fault-diagnosis algorithm is developed. The disturbance compensator and the controller are both formulated in the discrete-time domain using the variable structure concept [19]. The actuator faults are estimated from the disturbance compensator and the control inputs are then modified (with the estimated fault signal) to achieve fault-tolerant control in the presence of pitch actuator faults. The proposed
Table 1. Gross Properties of the Wind Turbine [21].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference wind turbine</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rated power</td>
<td>5MW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of blades</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotor/Hub diameter</td>
<td>126m, 3m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hub Height</td>
<td>90m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cut-In, Rated, Cut-Out Wind Speed</td>
<td>3m/s, 11.4m/s, 25m/s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rated generator speed ($\omega_{ng}$)</td>
<td>1173.7rpm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gearbox ratio</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

techniques are validated using the aeroelastic wind turbine simulator software FAST [20]. This simulator is designed by the U.S. National Renewable Energy Laboratory’s (NREL) National Wind Technology Center and widely used for studying wind turbine control systems. Since FAST is used by wind turbine researchers around the world, results based on this platform are more likely to be used by the wind industry than those based on a simpler model.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, the onshore reference WT used in the simulations is introduced. In section 3 the baseline control strategy, that will be used for comparison, is recalled. In section 4 the control and disturbance estimation techniques are stated. The simulation results are presented in Section 5. Finally, Section 6 brings up the conclusions.

2. REFERENCE WT

Several FAST models of real and composite wind turbines of varying sizes are available in the public domain. In this work, the onshore version of a large WT that is representative of real utility-scale land- and sea-based multi-megawatt turbines described by [21] is used. This WT is a conventional three-bladed upwind variable-speed variable pitch controlled turbine. In fact, it is a fictitious 5MW machine with its properties based on a collection of existing wind turbines of similar rating since not all turbine properties are published by manufacturers. The main properties of this turbine are listed in Table 1. This work deals with the full load region of operation: that is, the proposed controller main objective is that the electric power follows the rated power.

Here, the generator-converter and the pitch actuators are modeled and implemented externally; i.e., apart from the embedded FAST code. The next subsections present these models as well as the wind model used in the simulations.

2.1 Wind modeling

In fluid dynamics, turbulence is a flow regime characterized by chaotic property changes. This includes low momentum diffusion, high momentum convection, and rapid variation of
pressure and velocity in space and time [22]. In the simulations, new wind data sets are generated in order to capture a more realistic turbulent wind simulation and, thus, to test the turbine controllers in a more realistic scenario. The turbulent-wind simulator TurbSim [23] developed by NREL is used. TurbSim generates a rectangular grid which holds the wind data. It can be seen from Fig. 1 that the wind speed covers the full load region as its values range from 12.91 m/s up to the maximum of 22.57 m/s.

Figure 1. Hub-height wind speed for simulation tests. It is noteworthy the simulated wind gust from 350s to 400s (approximately) where wind speed moves from 12.91 m/s up to the maximum of 22.57 m/s and followed by an abrupt decrease in the next 100s.

2.2 Generator-converter actuator model

The dynamics of the generator-converter can be modeled by a first-order differential system [24], which is given by

$$\dot{\tau}_r(t) + \alpha_{gc}\tau_r(t) = \alpha_{gc}\tau_c(t),$$

where $\tau_r$ and $\tau_c$ are the real generator torque and its reference (given by the controller) respectively, where we set $\alpha_{gc} = 50$ [21]. And the power produced by the generator, $P_g(t)$, can be modeled using [24]

$$P_g(t) = \eta_g\omega_g(t)\tau_r(t),$$

where $\eta_g$ is the efficiency of the generator and $\omega_g$ is the generator speed. In the numerical experiments $\eta_g = 0.98$ is used [24].

2.3 Pitch actuator model

The hydraulic pitch system consists of three identical pitch actuators, which are modeled as a linear differential equation with time-dependent variables, pitch angle $\beta(t)$ and its reference $u(t)$. In principle, it is a piston servo-system which can be expressed as a second-order
differential system \[24\]
\[
\ddot{\beta}(t) + 2\xi \omega_n \dot{\beta}(t) + \omega_n^2 \beta(t) = \omega_n^2 u(t),
\]
where \(\omega_n\) and \(\xi\) are the natural frequency and the damping ratio respectively. For the fault-free case, the parameters \(\xi = 0.6\) and \(\omega_n = 11.11\) rad/s are utilized. \[24\].

2.4 Fault description

Faults in a WT have different degrees of severity and accommodation requirements. A safe and fast shutdown of the WT is necessary for some of them, while to others the system can be reconfigured to continue electrical power generation [25]. Variable structure controllers can be applied in the case of failures that gradually change system’s dynamics [26]. In this work, pitch actuator faults are studied as they are the actuators with highest failure rate in WT [15]. A fault may change the dynamics of the pitch system by varying the damping ratio and natural frequencies from their nominal values to their faulty values in Equation 1. The parameters for the pitch system under different conditions are given in Table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Faults</th>
<th>(\omega_n) (rad/s)</th>
<th>(\xi)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fault-Free (FF)</td>
<td>11.11</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High air content in oil (F1)</td>
<td>5.73</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pump wear (F2)</td>
<td>7.27</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydraulic leakage (F3)</td>
<td>3.42</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. BASELINE CONTROL STRATEGY

The three-bladed 5MW reference WT given by FAST contains a torque and pitch controllers for the full load region, see [21]. In this section we recall these controllers and refer to them as the baseline torque and pitch controllers as their performance in the fault-free scenario will be used for comparison with the proposed FTC technique stated in Section 4.

The torque control and the pitch control, both, will use the generator speed measurement as input. To mitigate high-frequency excitation of the control systems, we filtered the generator speed measurement for both the torque and pitch controllers using a recursive, single-pole low-pass filter with exponential smoothing as proposed in [21].

In the full load region, the torque controller maintains constant the generator power, thus the generator torque is inversely proportional to the filtered generator speed, or,
\[
\tau_c(t) = \frac{P_{\text{ref}}}{\dot{\omega}_g(t)},
\]
where \(P_{\text{ref}}\) is the reference power and \(\dot{\omega}_g\) is the filtered generator speed. This controller will be referred as the baseline torque controller. As the generator may not be able to supply the desired
electromechanic torque depending on the operating conditions, and in the case of overshooting, the torque controller is saturated to a maximum of 47402.9 Nm and a maximum rate limit of 15000 Nm/s, see [21].

To assist the torque controller with regulating the WT electric power output, while avoiding significant loads and maintaining the rotor speed within acceptable limits, a collective pitch controller is added to the rotor speed tracking error. The collective blade pitch gain scheduling PI-controller (GSPI) is one of the first well-documented controllers and it is used in the literature as a baseline controller to compare the obtained results [15]. This work will follow the same steps and use the baseline GSPI controller to study the blade pitching system in the fault-free scenario. The GSPI is a collective pitch controller that employs a gain-scheduling technique to compensate for the nonlinearity in the turbine by changing the controller gain according to a scheduling parameter. This controller was originally developed by Jonkman for the standard land-based 5MW turbine [21]. The GSPI control has the generator speed as input and the pitch servo set-point, \( \beta_r(t) \), as output. That is,

\[
\beta_r(t) = K_p(\theta)(\hat{\omega}_g(t) - \omega_{ng}) + K_i(\theta) \int_0^t (\hat{\omega}_g(\tau) - \omega_{ng}) \, d\tau, \quad K_p > 0, \quad K_i > 0, \quad (3)
\]

where \( \hat{\omega}_g(t) \) is the filtered generator speed, \( \omega_{ng} \) is the nominal generator speed (at which the rated electrical power of the WT is obtained) and the scheduling parameter \( \theta \) is taken to be the previous measured collective blade pitch angle. As the three pitch angles are measured, the collective pitch angle is obtained by averaging the measurements of all pitch angles. The scheduled gains are calculated following [21]. Finally, a pitch limit saturation to a maximum of 45° and a pitch rate saturation of 8°/s is implemented, see [21].

4. FAULT TOLERANT CONTROL

This section details the design of the FTC strategy based on a control plus disturbance estimator in the time-discrete domain. The control objective is the tracking of the reference signal \( \beta_r(t) \) (given by the baseline pitch controller, see Equation 3) and its corresponding velocity even in the case of pitch actuator fault. The block diagram in Figure 2 shows the connections between the WT (simulated using FAST), the FTC system, the pitch actuator and the torque and pitch controllers. To discretize continuous signals, a conventional sampler is used. As can be seen in the block diagram in Figure 2, a switch closes to admit an input signal every sampling period \( T_s \). The sampler converts the continuous-time signal into a train of pulses occurring at the sampling instants \( kT_s \) for \( k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \). Traditionally, a discrete-time signal is considered to be undefined at points in time between the sample times. In this work, discrete-time signals remain defined between sample times by holding on the value at the previous sample time. That is, when the value of a discrete signal is measured between sample times, the value of the signal at the previous sample time is observed. This is known as a zero-order hold or staircase generator as the output of a zero-order hold is a staircase function [27]. In this paper, the notation \([k]\) is used for these discrete-time signals.
Taking the pitch actuator system given in Equation 1, the state space representation in discrete-time, using Euler approximation \(^1\), leads to

\[
x[k + 1] = (A + \Delta A)x[k] + bu[k] = Ax[k] + \Delta Ax[k] + bu[k]
\]

where

\[
x[k+1] = \begin{pmatrix} \beta[k + 1] \\ \dot{\beta}[k + 1] \end{pmatrix}, \quad A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & T_s \\ -\omega_n^2 T_s & 1 - 2\xi\omega_n T_s \end{pmatrix}, \quad x[k] = \begin{pmatrix} \beta[k] \\ \dot{\beta}[k] \end{pmatrix}, \quad b = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ T_s\omega_n^2 \end{pmatrix}
\]

where \(\Delta A\) accounts for a fault in the system, and thus \(\Delta Ax[k]\) is a disturbance term that will be estimated.

In order to design the control law \(u[k]\), the control objective is that, even in a faulty case, the real pitch angle \(\beta\) follows the commanded reference pitch angle \(\beta_r\) (given by the pitch controller), as well as the velocity \(\dot{\beta}\) follows the commanded reference \(\dot{\beta}_r\). That is, the objective is to ensure the asymptotic convergence of the tracking error vector to zero. The error vector is defined as

\[
e[k] = (e_1[k], e_2[k])^T = (\beta[k] - \beta_r[k], \dot{\beta}[k] - \dot{\beta}_r[k])^T.
\]

Following the results in [19], the switching function is defined with the error vector and a column vector \(c\) as follows:

\[
s[k] = c^T e[k],
\]

\(^1\)For the ordinary differential equation \(\dot{z} = f(z)\), the Euler discretization is defined as \(z_{k+1} = z_k + T_s f(z_k)\), such that \(z_{k+1} = z_k + T_s f(z_k)\) where \(T_s\) is the sampling time [28].
and then, for system 5, the sliding surface 6 gives the asymptotic convergence of tracking error vector to zero designing vector \( c \) such that the matrix

\[
I - b \left( c^T b \right)^{-1} c^T A
\]  

(7)

is contractive (eigenvalues inside the unit circle). When using a sample time \( T_s = 0.0125 \) (see [21]) and the fault-free values for the parameters \( \omega_n \) and \( \xi \), it is found that vector \( c = (1, 0.25)^T \) ensures that matrix 7 is contractive (with one eigenvalue equal to zero as in the application example given by [19]). Finally, to achieve the sliding mode, a new control law with a disturbance estimation law is proposed [19], as follows:

\[
u[k] = -\hat{d}[k] + \left( c^T b \right)^{-1} \left[ c^T \left( \frac{\beta_r[k]}{\beta_r[k]} \right) - c^T A x[k] + q s[k] - \eta \text{sgn}(s[k]) \right],
\]  

(8)

\[
\hat{d}[k] = \hat{d}[k - 1] + \left( c^T b \right)^{-1} g \left[ s[k] - q s[k - 1] + \eta \text{sgn}(s[k - 1]) \right],
\]  

(9)

where \( 0 \leq q \leq 1, 0 < g < 1, \) and \( \eta > 0 \) and being \( \hat{d}[k] \) the fault estimator or also called the disturbance estimator. In the numerical simulations: \( q = g = 1/2 \) and \( \eta = 100. \) As can be seen in Equation 8, the proposed discrete controller for active FTC is dependent on a fault estimate, \( \hat{d}[k] \), provided by the fault diagnosis system.

The pitch controller used by the FTC strategy is the baseline GSPI controller, see Section 3. On the other hand, the used torque controller is the chattering control proposed in [29], which is recalled here to be

\[
\ddot{\tau}_c(t) = \frac{-1}{\omega_g(t)} \left[ \tau_c(t)(a\dot{\omega}_g(t) + \dot{\omega}_g(t)) - aP_{\text{ref}} + K_\alpha \text{sgn}(P_e(t) - P_{\text{ref}}) \right],
\]  

(10)

where \( P_{\text{ref}} \) is the reference power and \( P_e \) is the electrical power considered here (only for the control design) to be described as [30]

\[
P_e(t) = \tau_c(t)\dot{\omega}_g(t),
\]  

(11)

where \( \tau_c(t) \) is the torque control and \( \dot{\omega}_g(t) \) is the filtered generator speed. This chattering controller, Equation 10, has several advantages (see [29]):

- Ensures that the closed-loop system has finite-time stability of the equilibrium point \( (P_e(t) - P_{\text{ref}}) \) and the settling-time can be chosen by properly defining the values of the parameters \( a \) and \( K_\alpha \).

- Does not require information from the turbine total external damping or the turbine total inertia. It only requires the filtered generator speed and reference power of the WT.

In the numerical simulations the values \( a = 1 \) and \( K_\alpha = 1.5 \cdot 10^5 \) have been used and a first order approximation of \( \dot{\omega}_g(t) \) is computed.

This torque controller is saturated to a maximum of 47402.91Nm and a maximum generator torque rate saturation of 15000Nm/s, similarly to the baseline one.
5. SIMULATION RESULTS

The results compare the performance of the contributed FTC technique under different faulty scenarios with respect to the fault-free case with the baseline torque controller. When testing the FTC technique, the faults given in Table 2 are introduced only in the third pitch actuator (thus \( \beta_1 \) and \( \beta_2 \) are always fault-free) in the following way:

- From 0s to 100s, it is fault-free.
- From 100s to 200s, a fault due to high air content in oil (F1) is active.
- From 200s to 300s, it is fault-free.
- From 300s to 400s, a fault due to pump wear (F2) is active.
- From 400s to 500s, it is fault-free.
- From 500s to 600s, a fault due to hydraulic leakage (F3) is active.
- From 600s to 700s, it is fault-free.

The response of the generator velocity and electrical power are analyzed in terms of the normalized integral absolute error through the following performance indices:

\[
J_w(t) = \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t |\omega_g(\tau) - \omega_{ng}| \, d\tau.
\]

\[
J_P(t) = \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t |P_g(\tau) - P_{ref}| \, d\tau.
\]

As can be seen in Figure 3 (left) the three types of faults are detected by the disturbance estimator \( \hat{d} \) given in Equation 9. To finally setup the fault detection and isolation strategy, the proposed residual signal, \( r(t) \), is computed as described in Figure 4 and its results shown in Figure 3 (right). This residual is close to zero when the system is fault-free. On the other hand, when a fault appears it is significantly affected and allows to isolate the type of fault (among the three studied pitch actuator faults stated in Table 2). The used thresholds to pinpoint the type of fault are:

- When the signal is smaller than 400 then F2 is detected. This can be seen in the zoom in Figure 3 (right)
- When the signal is between 400 and 5000 then F1 is detected.
- When the signal is above 5000 then F3 is detected.
José Rodellar, Leonardo Acho, Christian Tutivén, Yolanda Vidal

Figure 3. Disturbance estimator (left) and the residual signal (right).

Figure 4. Computation of the continuous residual signal, $r(t)$. Note that the Simulink® dead zone block is used (start of dead zone value equal to 0 and end of dead zone value equal to 2000).

It can be seen from Figures 5 and 6 that the system behavior (electrical power and generator speed) with active fault compensation is similar to the behavior of the fault-free case, as the performance indices $J_P(t)$ and $J_w(t)$ values for the fault-free baseline and for the FTC (with faults) are very close. Moreover, the $J_w(t)$ performance index shows that the generator speed is closer to the nominal one during the faults F1 and F2 for the FTC than for the (fault-free) baseline controller. This can be seen in Figure 6 (right), as the values of the index, during the faults F1 and F2, are smaller for the FTC strategy.

Figure 7 (left) shows that the first pitch angle ($\beta_1$), which is always fault-free, has a slightly different behavior with the FTC than with the baseline control. This is due to the fact that with the FTC technique a fault is introduced in the third pitch actuator ($\beta_3$) as can be seen in Figure 7 (right). Although higher oscillations are present in the FTC, the pitch control signal is regulated within the authorized variation domain. That is, none of the variations exceed the mechanical limitations of the pitch actuator.

6. CONCLUSIONS

A WT fault-tolerant control scheme for pitch actuator faults is presented in this paper based on direct fault estimation by means of a disturbance compensator. With the proposed FTC
strategy, the system behavior in FAST simulations with faults is close to the behavior of the
baseline controllers in the fault-free case. Meanwhile, the proposed residual signal detects in short time the appearance of the faults. This is in itself a benefit for the development of fault diagnosis schemes for WT. Finally, note that the resulting FTC strategy can also be easily implemented in practice due to low data storage and simple math operations (at each sampling time, sums and products between scalars).
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