# Study of Resonance in Wind Parks

Lluis Monjo [(1)], Luis Sainz [(1)*], Jun Liang[(2)]

[(1)] Department of Electrical Engineering, ETSEIB-UPC, Av. Diagonal 647, 08028 Barcelona, Spain
[(1)*] Tel.: +34-93-4011759. Fax: +34-93-4017433. Mail: sainz@ee.upc.edu
[(2)] School of Engineering, Cardiff University, CF24 3AA, Cardiff, U.K.

**Abstract**

Wind turbine harmonic current emissions are a well-known power quality problem. These emissions flow through wind park impedances, leading to grid voltage distortion. Parallel resonance may worsen the problem because it increases voltage distortion around the resonance frequency. Hence, it is interesting to analyze the parallel resonance phenomenon. The paper explores this phenomenon in wind parks and provides analytical expressions to determine parallel resonances.

**Nomenclature**

| | |
|---|---|
| $N_r$, $N_c$ | number of rows and columns of the WP collection grid |
| $R_S$, $X_S$ | grid resistance and reactance |
| $R_{TH}$, $X_{TH}$ | HV/MV transformer resistance and reactance |
| $R_{TL}$, $X_{TL}$ | MV/LV transformer resistance and reactance |
| $R_L$, $X_L$ | MV cable longitudinal resistance and reactance |
| $X_C$ | MV cable transversal reactance |
| $R_{L,D}$, $X_{L,D}$ | MV cable per-unit length longitudinal resistance and reactance |
| $X_{C,D}$ | MV cable per-unit length parallel reactance |
| $X_{CB}$ | capacitor bank reactance |
| $f_{p1}$, $f_{p2}$ | frequencies of the first and second parallel resonances |

$k_{p1}$, $k_{p2}$      harmonics of the first and second parallel resonances

$\eta_{N_c}$, $\beta_{N_c}$.      coefficients of the second parallel resonance expression

$AF_{kpi}$      amplification factor at the resonance frequency

$Z_{E,kpi}$, $Z_{E,kpi}^{NC}$      harmonic impedances with and without the WP capacitors at the resonance frequency

$R_{f1}$, $R_f$      Resistance values at the fundamental frequency and any frequency

$\alpha_c$, $\alpha_t$      MV cable and transformer skin-effect exponents

$f_1$      fundamental frequency of the grid supply voltage

$f$      frequency

$k$      harmonic

pu      per unit

## 1. Introduction

Wind parks (WPs) comprising high power wind turbines (WTs) are increasing in number worldwide [1]. This leads to power quality problems such as WP and main grid current and voltage waveform distortion due to harmonic emissions of WTs equipped with power electronics [1] − [8]. Several studies have been conducted on these emissions based on actual measurement, [1], [3] − [6], and probabilistic procedures because WT behavior varies stochastically with time, [1] − [3]. They conclude that dominant WT emissions belong to low-order harmonics (below 1 kHz). Moreover, a high-order harmonic pattern is recognized in the current spectrum between 1.0 and 7.5 kHz due to WT power electronic converter switching frequencies [7]. Recent studies show that WT harmonic emissions are also rich in interharmonics, which are measured and statistically characterized in [8], [9].

The impact of WT voltage and current emissions on WPs and the main grid can be increased by series and parallel resonances in the collection grid, respectively. Most research works model converters as harmonic current sources and analyze the influence of WP parameters on parallel resonance [7], [8], [10] − [15]. These studies are mainly based on frequency scan simulations which allow the frequency range and peak impedance values of parallel resonances to be established. Some studies point out analytical expressions to determine the frequency of the first parallel resonance, which can be close to low- and high-order harmonic emissions of WT power electronic converters [12], [14]. Studies [7] and [12] also present a summary of the most important WP harmonic and resonance issues. The variables affecting resonances are discussed but their influence is not widely analyzed. In order to investigate this influence further, it is necessary to examine WP resonance frequencies in depth and provide analytical expressions for determining resonance frequencies close to the WT harmonic emission spectrum as a function of WP parameters. Recent works also point out that the WT harmonic model as ideal current source traditionally used to perform frequency scan studies could be inappropriate and provide misleading results because the converter control may affect resonance [??]. According to this, WT frequency-dependent models as Norton equivalent sources are claimed to be used in WP resonance studies. This WT frequency-dependent model would allow considering the influence of WT control on the resonance frequencies and also approaching analytical studies on this issue.

This paper presents analytical expressions to calculate parallel resonance frequencies in onshore WPs and offshore WPs close to shore and thus detect power quality concerns due to WT current emissions. These expressions are obtained from Matlab/Simulink simulations [16] of a generic WP considering WT behavior as ideal current sources.

They are validated by several WP studies where parallel resonances are numerically and analytically identified. These studies also analyze WP parameter influence on resonances.

**FIGURE 1**

## 2. Wind parks

Fig. 1(a) shows a generic WP layout where WTs are supplied through low voltage (LV) underground cables and medium to low voltage (MV/LV) transformers and are interconnected with an $N_r$ x $N_c$ collection grid of medium voltage (MV) underground cables from the MV collector bus [7], [8], [10] – [12], [14], [15]. Capacitor banks in onshore WPs can also be connected to this bus and harmonic filters are usually installed on the line side of WT converters to mitigate frequency switching harmonics. The MV collector bus is connected to the main grid with a high to medium voltage (HV/MV) transformer and a high voltage (HV) overhead line or underground cable in onshore or offshore WPs, respectively.

The harmonic current emissions of WT converters are generally low, and therefore voltage distortion usually remains below EN 50160 limits [2], [3], [17]. However, the presence of parallel resonance in the WP collection grid may increase voltage distortion above these limits and also affect WP harmonic emissions to the main grid [7], [8]. Several works analyze the resonance problem at WT terminals by the frequency scan method and a few points out expressions to calculate the first parallel resonance. In the next Sections, WP harmonic behavior is studied to find analytical expressions for identifying the parallel resonance frequencies closest to the WT harmonic emission spectrum. Although a frequency scan provides more accurate results, it requires high computational effort to simulate different WP configurations with system modeling

software and plotting of results obtained [7]. On the other hand, analytical expressions

can be a fast, simple and useful engineering tool to analyze resonance frequencies prior

to WP design.

## 3. Wind park harmonic analysis

For harmonic steady-state studies, WPs are modeled by their equivalent circuit

(Fig. 1(b)), and the harmonic behavior of the passive set observed from the WTs is

studied to identify resonance frequencies. The models of the main grid, HV/MV

transformer, MV/LV transformer, MV underground cables and capacitor bank harmonic

impedances in Fig. 1(b) (i.e., $\underline{Z}_{S,k}$, $\underline{Z}_{TH,k}$, $\underline{Z}_{TL,k}$, $\underline{Z}_{L,k}$, $\underline{Z}_{C,k}$ and $\underline{Z}_{CB,k}$, respectively) are as

follows [8], [11]:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\underline{Z}_{S,k} = R_S + jk \cdot X_S = \frac{U_O^2}{S_S} \cdot \left(\frac{U_{N,M}}{U_{N,H}}\right)^2 \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \tan^2 \varphi_{Scc}}} (1 + j \cdot k \cdot \tan \varphi_{Scc}) \\
&\underline{Z}_{TH,k} = R_{TH} + jk \cdot X_{TH} = \varepsilon_{THcc} \cdot \frac{U_{N,M}^2}{S_{THN}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \tan^2 \varphi_{THcc}}} (1 + j \cdot k \cdot \tan \varphi_{THcc}) \\
&\underline{Z}_{TL,k} = R_{TL} + jk \cdot X_{TL} = \varepsilon_{TLcc} \cdot \frac{U_{N,M}^2}{S_{TLN}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \tan^2 \varphi_{TLcc}}} (1 + j \cdot k \cdot \tan \varphi_{TLcc}) \quad (1) \\
&\underline{Z}_{L,k} = R_L + j \cdot k \cdot X_L = D \cdot (R_{L,D} + j \cdot k \cdot X_{L,D}) \\
&\underline{Z}_{C,k} = -j \frac{X_C}{k} = -j \frac{1}{k} \cdot \frac{1}{D} \cdot 2 \cdot X_{C,D} \\
&\underline{Z}_{CBk} = -j \frac{X_{CB}}{k} = -j \frac{1}{k} \cdot \frac{U_{N,M}^2}{Q_C},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $k = f_k/f_1$ (being $f_k$ and $f_1$ the harmonic and the fundamental frequencies,

respectively) and, according to Fig. 1(a),

- $U_O$, $S_S$ and $\tan\varphi_{Scc}$ are the main grid open-circuit voltage, short-circuit power and

  $X_S/R_S$ ratio at the point of coupling.

- $U_{N,H} / U_{N,M}$, $S_{THN}$, $\varepsilon_{THcc}$ and $\tan\varphi_{THcc}$ are the HV/MV transformer rated voltages and

power, per-unit short-circuit impedance and $X_{TH}/R_{TH}$ ratio.

- $U_{N,M}$ / $U_{N,L}$, $S_{TLN}$, $\varepsilon_{TLcc}$ and $\tan\varphi_{TLcc}$ are the MV/LV transformer rated voltages and power, per-unit short-circuit impedance and $X_{TL}/R_{TL}$ ratio.

- $R_{L.D}$, $X_{L.D}$ and $X_{C.D}$ are the MV cable per-unit-length longitudinal and parallel impedances and $D$ is the MV cable length.

- $Q_C$ is the capacitor bank reactive power consumption (i.e., the capacitor bank size). Note that the following assumptions are made to develop the study from Fig. 1(b):

- Although, in order to consider the influence of WT control on consumed harmonic currents, WTs are better characterized as Norton equivalent sources [7], the typical WT harmonic model as ideal current source is used in the study because it is commonly chosen to perform frequency scan studies [1], [8], [10] − [13], [15].

- A distributed parameter model of the cables could be required for more accurate WP resonance analysis [7], [15], but a concentrated parameter model is assumed because it provides an acceptable problem insight and is commonly used in WP resonance studies [1], [7], [8], [12], [14].

- Only onshore WPs and offshore WPs close to shore (i.e., connected to the main grid through a short length underground cable of a few kilometers [1]) are considered in the study because the transversal impedance of the HV overhead line and underground cable is not considered (the longitudinal impedance is included in the impedances of the main grid and HV/MV transformer).

- The LV underground cables are omitted because their length is short, and therefore the capacitance values are very small and their longitudinal impedance can be included in the impedance of the MV/LV transformer.

- The WT harmonic filters are not considered because they are only rated about 50

kvar per WT, and therefore only aggregation of filters for many WTs will shift the natural resonance of the WP [7]. Nevertheless, their influence on resonance frequencies is discussed in Section 6.3.

**FIGURE 2 and Table 1**

Frequency scan analysis makes it possible to numerically determine resonances observed from any wind turbine. As an example, Fig. 2 shows the frequency response of the system equivalent impedance without capacitor banks (i.e., $Q_C = 0$, and therefore $X_{CB} = \infty$) at bus $N_r1$ (i.e., from $WT_{Nr1}$) for four different WP layouts (labelled as Examples #1). This response was numerically obtained by Matlab/Simulink simulation [16] considering the WP parameters in Table 1 (data #1 [6]) and it is referred to the MV level. Fig. 2 illustrates that two parallel resonances, $k_{p1} \approx 28, 34, 49, 56, 72$ and $106$ (i.e., $f_{p1} = k_{p1} \cdot f_1 = k_{p1} \cdot 50 \approx 1.4, 1.7, 2.45, 2.8, 3.6$ and $5.3$ kHz) and $k_{p2} \approx 110$ and $165$ (i.e., $f_{p2} = k_{p2} \cdot f_1 = k_{p2} \cdot 50 \approx 5.5$ and $8.25$ kHz), can appear close to harmonic emission frequencies of WT converters, and therefore they should be analyzed in order to prevent harmonic power quality problems [7] − [9], [12]. It must be noted that these resonances depend not only on WP electrical parameters, but also on WP configuration (i.e., number of rows $N_r$ and columns $N_c$ of the WP collection grid in Fig. 1(a)). Two additional frequency scan simulations are also shown in Fig. 2 in order to check the assumptions made about WP resistances and MV/LV transformers in the study,

- Examples #2: The system frequency response with WP resistances fifty times higher is shown in gray lines to illustrate that they damp the system harmonic response but do not affect resonance frequency identification significantly; hence, they can be neglected [8]. This damping phenomenon, which is discussed in Section 6, is mainly produced by the frequency-dependent resistance of the WP cables and transformers

due to the skin effect [8].

- Examples #3: The system frequency response without the MV/LV transformers is shown in dashed black lines to illustrate that these transformers can be omitted in the study of the parallel resonance observed from wind turbines because they do not affect significantly these resonances. The MV/LV transformers influence series resonance and the equivalent impedance values of frequencies beyond the parallel resonance point.

## 4. Harmonic resonance without capacitor banks

As pointed out in the previous Section, the harmonic of the parallel resonances observed from any WT mainly depends on the WP reactances (resistances are neglected) and rows $N_r$ and columns $N_c$ of the collection grid. To study the identification of the first and second parallel resonances, Matlab/Simulink extensive simulations were made by varying the WP rows and columns from 1 to 20 (i.e., $N_r = 1$ to 20 and $N_c = 1$ to 20) and considering data #1 in Table 1 without capacitor banks (i.e., $Q_C = 0$, and therefore $X_{CB} = \infty$).

**FIGURE 3 and 4**

The harmonics of the parallel resonances observed from bus $N_r1$ for all the row and column combinations were numerically identified by simulation. Fig. 3(a) shows harmonics $k_{p1}$ and $k_{p2}$ of the first and second parallel resonances as a function of the collection grid rows $N_r$ and for different values of the collection grid columns $N_c$. The harmonics of the parallel resonances in Fig. 2 are labeled with black dots in Fig. 3(a). From these results, it must be noted that

- Harmonic $k_{p1}$ of the first parallel resonance depends on the WP electrical parameters and the $N_r$ x $N_c$ layout. The expression to determine $k_{p1}$ can be deduced by

considering that $k_{p1} \cdot X_L$ is much lower than $k_{p1} \cdot (X_S + X_{TH})$ and $X_C / k_{p1}$. From this assumption, the equivalent circuit without capacitor banks in Fig. 1(b) can be simplified to the circuit in Fig. 4(a) with $X_{CB} = \infty$, and the expression of the harmonic of the first parallel resonance observed from any WT is

$$k_{p1} \cdot (X_S + X_{TH}) = \frac{X_C}{2} \frac{1}{N_r \cdot N_c} \frac{1}{k_{p1}} \quad \Rightarrow \quad k_{p1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \cdot (N_r \cdot N_c)^{-0.5} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{X_C}{X_S + X_{TH}}}. \tag{2}$$

To validate (2), the harmonic $k_{p1}$ calculated with the above expression and data #1 in Table 1 is compared with the simulation results in Fig. 3(a). It is numerically verified that the error with respect to the frequency scan results is below 10%.

- Harmonic $k_{p2}$ of the second parallel resonance depends on the WP electrical parameters and the $N_r$ x $N_c$ layout. However, this resonance is independent of the collection grid columns for $N_c \neq 1$. The expression to determine $k_{p2}$ is deduced by considering that $k_{p2} \cdot (X_S + X_{TH})$ is much higher than $k_{p2} \cdot X_L$ and $X_C / k_{p2}$. From this assumption, the equivalent circuit without capacitor banks in Fig. 1(b) can be simplified to the circuit in Fig. 4(b) and the expression of $k_{p2}$ is a function of the MV underground cable ratio $X_C/X_L$ and the collection grid layout. This expression could be deduced from the study of the equivalent circuit in Fig. 4(b) but it is no easy task. For this reason, it is determined with an empirical formula which is a function of the MV underground cable ratio $X_C/X_L$ and the number of rows $N_r$ and columns $N_c$ of the collection grid. To deduce this formula, harmonic $k_{p2}$ in Fig.3(a) is divided by the ratio $(X_C/X_L)^{1/2}$, and the obtained curves in Fig. 3(a) are fitted with a power function of $N_r$:

$$k_{p2} = \eta_{N_c} \cdot N_r^{-\beta_{N_c}} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{X_C}{X_L}}$$

$$\eta_{N_c=1} = 1.979 \quad \beta_{N_c=1} = 0.929 \qquad \eta_{N_c \neq 1} = 1.087 \quad \beta_{N_c \neq 1} = 0.992. \tag{3}$$

To validate (3), the harmonic $k_{p2}$ calculated with this expression and data #1 in Table 1 is compared with the simulation results in Fig. 3(a). It is numerically verified that the error with respect to the frequency scan results is below 5%.

In addition to the frequency, parallel resonance is also characterized by its impedance amplification factor which determines the severity of the resonance consequences. For this reason, the amplification factors of the parallel resonances observed from bus $N_r1$ for all the row and column combinations were numerically determined by simulation. These factors were defined as the ratio between the value of the harmonic impedance with and without the WP capacitors ($Z_{E,kpi}$ and $Z_{E,kpi}^{\mathrm{NC}}$, respectively) at the resonance frequency $f_{pi} = k_{pi} \cdot f_1$ ($i = 1, 2 \ldots$), i.e.

$$ AF_{kpi} = \frac{Z_{E,kpi}}{Z_{E,kpi}^{\mathrm{NC}}} \qquad (i = 1, 2). \tag{4} $$

Fig. 3(a)!!!! shows the attenuation factors of the first and second parallel resonances (i.e., $AF_{kp1}$ and $AF_{kp2}$) as a function of the collection grid rows $N_r$ and for different values of the collection grid columns $N_c$. It can be observed that …!!

## 5. Harmonic resonance with capacitor banks

The connection of capacitor banks to the MV collection bus affects parallel resonances. To study the identification of these resonance frequencies, extensive Matlab/Simulink simulations were made varying the WP rows $N_r$ and columns $N_c$ from 1 to 20 for ten steps of the capacitor bank size $Q_C$ (i.e., $0.1 \cdot Q_C$ to $Q_C$) and considering data #1 in Table 1.

The first and second harmonics of the parallel resonances observed from bus $N_r1$ for all the row and column combinations were numerically identified by simulations. Fig. 3(b) shows harmonic $k_{p1}$ of the first parallel resonance as a function of the

collection grid rows $N_r$ and for two different values of the collection grid columns $N_c$ and three capacitor bank sizes. Fig. 3(b) shows harmonic $k_{p2}$ of the second parallel resonance as a function of the collection grid rows $N_r$ and for any number of WP columns and capacitor bank size (resonances are approximately independent of both variables). From these results, it must be noted that

- Harmonic $k_{p1}$ of the first parallel resonance depends on the WP electrical parameters, the capacitor bank reactance and the $N_r$ x $N_c$ layout, with the capacitor bank reactance being the most influential variable. The expression to determine $k_{p1}$ can be deduced by considering that $k_{p1} \cdot X_L$ is much lower than $k_{p1} \cdot (X_S + X_{TH})$, $X_C / k_{p1}$ and $X_{CB} / k_{p1}$. From this assumption, the equivalent circuit with capacitor banks in Fig. 1(b) can be simplified to the circuit in Fig. 4(a), and the expression of the harmonic of the first resonance observed from any WT is

$$k_{p1} \cdot (X_S + X_{TH}) = \frac{X_{CEq}}{k_{p1}} \quad \Rightarrow \quad k_{p1} = \sqrt{\frac{X_{CEq}}{X_S + X_{TH}}}$$

$$X_{CEq} = \frac{X_{CB} \cdot \dfrac{X_C}{2} \dfrac{1}{N_r \cdot N_c}}{X_{CB} + \dfrac{X_C}{2} \dfrac{1}{N_r \cdot N_c}}. \tag{5}$$

To validate (5), the harmonic $k_{p1}$ calculated with this expression and data #1 in Table 1 is compared with the simulation results in Fig. 3(b). It is numerically verified that the error with respect to the simulations of the frequency scan results is below 2%.

- Harmonic $k_{p2}$ of the second parallel resonance only depends on the WP electrical parameters and the collection grid rows $N_r$. Beyond this, it can be observed that this resonance in Fig. 3(b) is produced at the same harmonic values as the second parallel resonance without capacitor banks and $N_c \neq 1$ in Fig. 3(a). Thereby, harmonic $k_{p2}$ can

be determined by the empirical formula in (3) with $N_c \neq 1$. To validate this, the harmonic $k_{p2}$ calculated with this expression and data #1 in Table 1 is compared with the simulation results in Fig. 3(b). It is numerically verified that the error with respect to the frequency scan results is below 6.5%. The expression of $k_{p2}$ can be analyzed by consider that $X_{CB}/k_{p2}$ is much lower than $k_{p2} \cdot (X_S + X_{TH})$, $X_C/k_{p2}$. From this assumption, the equivalent circuit with capacitor banks in Fig. 1(b) can be simplified to the circuit in Fig. 4(c), and the expressions of $k_{p2}$ only depend on the MV underground cable ratio $X_C/X_L$ and the collection grid rows $N_r$. Actually, the circuit in Fig. 4(c) is the same as that derived from Fig. 4(b) if the case $N_c \neq 1$ is analyzed.

In addition to the resonance frequencies, Fig. 3(b)!!! shows the impedance amplification factor (4) of the parallel resonances observed from bus $N_r 1$ as a function of the collection grid rows $N_r$ and for different values of the collection grid columns $N_c$ and capacitor bank sizes $Q_C$. It can be observed that …!!

## 6. Impact of WP characteristics on resonance

Previous studies are performed for symmetrical $N_r$ x $N_c$ WPs (i.e., WPs with the same number of WTs, $N_r$, for each of their $N_c$ columns) and without considering the resistances and the MV/LV transformers. In the following subsections, the influence of these assumptions is analyzed.

### 6.1. Deviations from the WP row and column symmetry

It is checked from simulations that, according to Fig. 4(b), the expressions of the first parallel resonance in (2) and (5) can be generalized for any deviation from the WP symmetry (i.e., for any number of WTs for each column) as follows

$$k_{p1} = \sqrt{\frac{X_{CEq}}{X_S + X_{TH}}}$$

$$X_{CEq} = \frac{X_{CB} \cdot \sum_{n=1}^{N_c} X_{C,n}}{X_{CB} + \sum_{n=1}^{N_c} X_{C,n}} \qquad X_{C,n} = \frac{X_C}{2} \frac{1}{N_{r,n}},$$

(6)

where $X_{C,n}$ is the equivalent capacitor of the $n^{\text{th}}$ column ($n$ = 1 to $N_c$) and $N_{r,n}$ is the number of WTs (or rows) for each of the $n^{\text{th}}$ columns. It can be observed that (2) and (5) can be derived from (6) if $N_{r,n} = N_r$ ($n$ = 1 to $N_c$) and there is not connected any capacitor bank (i.e., $Q_C = 0$, and therefore $X_{CB} = \infty$) and if $N_{r,n} = N_r$ ($n$ = 1 to $N_c$), respectively.

On the other hand, it is also numerically verified that the expressions of the second parallel resonance in (3) cannot be generalized for any deviation from the WP symmetry because it mainly depends on the MV cable parameters (see Fig. 4(b)) and it is difficult obtaining a general analytical expression of these resonance for any WP configuration.

*6.2. Frequency-dependent resistances*

According to Fig. 2, WP resistances damp the system harmonic response but do not affect resonance frequency. The damping phenomenon, mainly produced by the frequency-dependent resistance of the WP cables and transformers due to the skin effect, is analyzed in this Section. This effect is modeled as [8]

$$R_f = R_{f_1} \cdot \left(\frac{f}{f_1}\right)^{\alpha_d} \qquad (d = c, t),$$

(7)

where $R_{f1}$ and $R_f$ are the resistances at the fundamental frequency $f_1$ and the analyzed frequency $f$ and $\alpha_d$ with $d = c$ and $t$ are the cable and transformer skin-effect exponents which usually range between 0 to 0.4 and 0 to 0.8, respectively.

Considering (7) in the resistances of the MV underground cables and WP

transformers (1), Fig. 5 shows the influence of the skin-effect on the frequency response of the 15x5 system equivalent impedance in Fig. 3. It is observed that the frequency-dependent resistance has no impact on the resonance frequencies, but damps the equivalent impedance at these frequencies (for frequency beyond the resonance point, impedances are not much affected), and the higher the resonance frequency, the higher the damping phenomenon is [8].

*6.3. Passive harmonic filters*

Shunt filters are installed at the WT bus (between the WT and the MV/LV transformer) as harmonic mitigation technique of large WTs. In order to observe the influence of these filters on the frequency response of the WP equivalent impedance, three examples with different filters connected at all the WT buses are performed in the 15x5 system in Fig. 3:

- Filter #1: Notch filters tuned at the $7^{th}$ harmonic order.

- Filter #2: Notch filters tuned at the $25^{th}$ harmonic order.

- Filter #3: Second-order high-pass filters tuned at the $13^{th}$ harmonic order.

Fig. 5 shows the results (the 15x5 system without filters is labelled as Filter #0). Notch filters produce a valley around the tuned frequency and it can shift or damp the natural parallel resonance of WPs if this frequency is far or close from the resonance point, respectively. However, a significant peak still appears in the equivalent impedance which is basically provoked by the filter and the WP impedances. Second-order high-pass filters damp resonance above the tuned frequency.

It must be noted that, the study has been performed for a particular cases and further research should be made in order to understand the WT influence on WP resonance.

**FIGURE 5**

## 7. Application of harmonic resonance identification

The parallel resonances of a 4x6 WP with data #2 in Table 1 (called Case #1) are numerically and analytically obtained from Matlab/Simulink simulations and the expressions in the paper, respectively. The cable and transformer skin-effect (7) is also considered in the simulations with skin factors $\alpha_c = 0.2$ and $\alpha_c = 0.4$, respectively. The resonances of the following four cases are also studied to illustrate the influence of the main grid short-circuit power, MV cable length, WP size and capacitor bank connection on resonance:

- Case #2: A weak grid with a short-circuit power of 2500 MVA (i.e., with $S_S/S_N = 20$) is considered.

- Case #3: WTs are up to 1 km away from each other.

- Case #4: WP size is reduced to a 2x2 layout.

- Case #5: A capacitor bank of 40 MVA is connected.

   **FIGURE 6**

Fig. 6 plots the frequency response of all cases studied and Table 2 shows the numerical (N) and analytical (A) results of the parallel resonances. The accuracy of the analytical expressions with a maximum error of 2% is worth noting. The following conclusions can be drawn:

- Case #2: Weak grids with low short-circuit power can shift the first resonance to the 1.0 to 2.0 kHz frequency range of the WT high-order harmonic emission pattern due to the large values of the grid reactance $X_S$ (see $Z_{S,k}$ expression in (1)) and lead to low $k_{p1}$ resonance values in (2), (5) and (6). According to (3), the second resonance is not affected by the main grid short-circuit power.

- Case #3: WTs far away from each other can also shift resonances to the 1.0 to

2.0 kHz frequency range of the WT high-order harmonic emission pattern in WPs without capacitor banks because the first parallel resonance (2) is inversely proportional to the square root of the cable length:

$$k_{p1} = (N_r \cdot N_c)^{-0.5} \sqrt{\frac{1}{D}} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{X_{C,D}}{X_S + X_{TH}}}. \tag{7}$$

and the second parallel resonance (3) is inversely proportional to the cable length:

$$k_{p2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2} \cdot D} \cdot \eta_{N_c} \cdot N_r^{-\alpha_i} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{X_{C,D}}{X_{L,D}}}. \tag{8}$$

According to the above comments, it can be verified in the examples that $51 \cdot (0.5/1)^{1/2} = 36.1$ and $260 \cdot (0.5/1) = 130$ which approximately matches with the simulation results in Case #1 and #3.

- Case #4: From the analytical expressions of the parallel resonances, it can be observed that these resonances are closer to low-order harmonics in large WPs than in small WPs because parallel resonance frequencies move to lower order harmonics when the columns and rows of WPs increase.

- Case #5: In WPs with capacitor banks, these banks modify parallel resonances but only the first is directly affected (the larger the capacitor size, the lower the harmonic order of the first parallel resonance). This resonance mainly depends on the main grid and HV/MV transformer reactance and the capacitor bank size (5). Thus, in these WPs, only parallel resonance $k_{p2}$ is affected by cable length and is inversely proportional to this length, as in WPs without capacitor banks (8).

Note that the expressions in the paper are useful to determine that, in the studied cases, the frequency of resonance $k_{p2}$ is greater than WT harmonic emissions.

The voltage distortions at bus $N_r1$ (i.e., at $WT_{Nr1}$ terminals where the resonance is

analysed) and at collector bus are also numerically obtained from Matlab/Simulink

simulations considering WT harmonic current emissions (magnitude and phase angle).

The harmonic limits in the German Electricity Association (VDEW) Standard for

generators connected to a medium voltage networks are set as the WT current emission

magnitudes [A]. Although the standard is for medium voltage networks, it provides

specific magnitude values of the generator (or WT) harmonic limits based on the grid

short-circuit ratio *SCR* which can be easily included in the simulation program and they

are quite similar (in particular harmonics below 1.5 kHz), for a short-circuit ratio of 20

(pu grid impedance of 5%), to the distortion limit requirements in IEEE Standard 15471

[B]. Regard to the harmonic current phase angles, there is a lack of data about them but

it is commonly accepted that they are considered uniformly distributed over the [0, 2·$\pi$)

interval (in particular the high-order harmonics). Thus, the harmonic voltage distortions

are obtained from the 95% percentile value of 10000-shot Monte Carlo Matlab

simulations where the harmonic phase angles of each WT are randomly set with the

distribution $U(0, 2 \cdot \pi)$. Fig. 7(a) plots the VDEW current emission requirements used in

the simulations and Fig. 7(b) plots the voltage distortion results for Cases #1, 3 ad 5. It

must be noted that the voltage distortion pattern obtained in both buses matches with the

parallel resonances although the distortions at the collector bus are slightly lower (or

even much lower as in Case #3) because parallel resonance is more damped at this bus.

Comparing Case #1 and #3, it can be observed the consequence of an exact (kp1 = 51)

and a close (kp1 = 7.3) resonance to some frequencies of the WT harmonic current

emissions. Higher and more dangerous harmonic voltage distortions can be raised when

the resonance matches exactly with these frequencies. The performed study is a

particular example and further research should be made for accurately evaluating the

impact of resonance on WP power quality because WP data (e.g., magnitudes and phase angles of WT harmonic current emissions or cable and transformer skin-effect exponents) can significantly affect the value of these distortions.

## 8. Conclusions

This paper presents analytical expressions to determine parallel resonance frequencies in onshore WPs and offshore WPs close to shore with and without capacitor banks. These expressions are useful to detect power quality problems due to WT harmonic current emissions and to analyze the influence of grid short-circuit power and WP parameters on resonance. The analytical expressions are validated by analyzing the frequency response of several WP simulations. Although WT behavior as ideal current source is considered in the study, they offer a useful insight about parallel resonances. However, further studies should be conducted in order to analyze the influence of WT control on these resonances. Offshore WPs far from shore could also be investigated in future works to study the influence of long-length HV underground cables on parallel resonance based on the proposed resonance study framework. Moreover, other WP layouts could be analyzed to determine the impact of WT distribution on resonance.

## Acknowledgments

## References

[1] L. H. Kocewiak, harmonics in large offshore wind farms, Thesis for the PhD Degree in Electrical Engineering, Dep. of Energy Technology, Aalborg University, Denmark (http://vbn.aau.dk/files/62660098/lukasz_kocewiak.pdf).

[2] S. A. Papathanassiou, M. P. Papadopoulos, Harmonic analysis in a power system with wind generation, IEEE Trans. on Power Del. 21 (Oct. (4)) (2006) 2006-2016.

[3] S. T. Tentzerakis, S.A. Papathanassiou, An investigation of the harmonic emissions of wind turbines, IEEE Trans. on Energy Convers. 22 (March (1)) (2007) 150-158.

[4] D. Schulz, R. E. Hanitsch, Investigation of the current harmonic parameters of wind energy converters, in: Proceedings of the IEEE Power Tech. Conference (2003), Bologna, Italy, June 2003, vol. 3.

[5] T. Thiringer, T. Petru, C. Liljegren, Power quality impact of a sea located hybrid wind park, IEEE Trans. on Energy Convers. 16 (Jun. (2)) (2001) 123-127.

[6] J. I. Herrera, T. W. Reddoch, J. S. Lawler, Harmonics generated by two variable speed wind generating systems, IEEE Trans. on Energy Convers. 3 (Jun. (2)) (1988) 267-273.

[7] IEEE PES Wind Plant Collector System Design Working Group, Harmonics and resonances issues in wind power plants, in: Proceedings of the IEEE Power and Energy Society General Meeting, 2012, pp. 1-8.

[8] K. Yang, On Harmonic Emissions, Propagation and Aggregation a Wind power Plants, Doctoral Thesis, Dep. of Engineering Sciences and Mathematics, Lulea University of Technology, Sweden (http://pure.ltu.se/portal/en/).

[9] K. Yang, M. Bollen, M. Wahlberg, Measurement at two different nodes of a windpark, in: Proceedings of the IEEE Power and Energy Society General Meeting, 2012, pp. 1-7.

[10] U. Axelsson, U. Holm, M. Bollen, K. Yang, Propagation of harmonic emission from the turbines through the collection grid to the public grid, in: Proceedings of

the 22$^{nd}$ International Conference and Exhibition on Electricity Distribution (CIRED 2013), Stockholm, Sweden, June 2013, pp. 1-4.

[11] R. Zheng, M. Bollen, J. Zhong, Harmonic resonances due to a grid-connected wind farm, in: Proceedings of the 14$^{th}$ International Conference on Harmonics and Quality of Power (ICHQP 2010), Bergamo, Italy, September 2010, pp. 1-7.

[12] J. Li, N. Samaan, S. Williams, Modeling of large wind farm systems for dynamic and harmonics analysis, in: Proceedings of the IEEE/PES Transmission and Distribution Conference and Exposition, April 2008, pp. 1-7.

[13] J. Balcells, D. Gonzalez, Harmonics due to resonance in a wind power plant, in: Proceedings of the 8$^{th}$ IEEE Int. Conf. on Harmonics and Quality of Power (ICHQP 1998), Athens, Greece, Nov. 1998, pp. 896-899.

[14] S. Schostan, K.-D. Dettmann, D. Schultz, J. Plotkin, Investigation of an atypical sixth harmonic current level of a 5 MW wind turbine configuration, in: Proceedings of the Int. Conf. on Computer as a tool (EUROCON), September 1998.

[15] F. Ghassemi, K-L. Koo, Equivalent network for wind farm harmonic assessments, IEEE Trans. on Power Del. 25 (July (3)) (2010) 1808-1815.

[16] Matlab 7.9 (R2009b) and Simulink, The MathWorks, Natick, MA: 2009.

[17] EN Standards, Voltage characteristics of electricity supplied by public electricity networks, EN 50160 Ed. 3, 2003.

**Table Captions**

Table 1. Wind park parameters.

Table 2. Application results.

**Figure Captions**

Fig. 1. Wind park: a) Typical wind park layout. b) Wind park equivalent circuit.

Fig. 2. Wind park harmonic response.

Fig. 3. Wind park parallel resonances (data #1 in Table 1): a) Parallel resonance without capacitor banks. b) Parallel resonance with capacitor banks.

Fig. 4. Wind park equivalent circuits: a) Equivalent circuit at harmonic $k_{p1}$ with and without capacitor banks (i.e., $X_{CB} \neq \infty$ and $X_{CB} = \infty$, respectively). b) Equivalent circuit at harmonic $k_{p2}$ without capacitor banks. c) Equivalent circuit at harmonic $k_{p2}$ with capacitor banks.

Fig. 5. 15x5 wind park harmonic response: (a) Influence of the skin effect. (b) Influence of the wind turbine harmonic filters.

Fig. 6. Wind park harmonic response.

Fig. 7. Wind park harmonic distortions.