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Abstract

This paper presents a new empirical equation relating horizontal visibility and PM10 dust concentrations. The new empirical equation (IZO-Eq) is derived from observations performed at the Izaña Atmospheric Observatory (IZO, 28.30°N, 16.49°W, 2367 m a.s.l., Tenerife, Spain), recorded during Saharan dust outbreaks from 2003 to 2010. A filter based on relative humidity, present-weather and aerosol optical properties is applied to identify dust events. IZO-Eq is validated in the Sahel region during the dry and wet seasons (2006-2008) using data from two PM10 monitoring stations from the African Monsoon Multidisciplinary Analysis (AMMA) International project, and data from the nearest meteorological synoptic stations. The estimated PM10 derived from IZO-Eq is compared against that obtained by other empirical equations and dust surface concentrations from NMMB/BSC-Dust model. IZO-Eq presents better performance than the other equations in both dry and wet seasons when compared with observed PM10 at two Sahelian sites. IZO-Eq is also able to reproduce the surface concentration variability simulated by NMMB/BSC-Dust. Above 10 km of horizontal visibility, empirical equations cannot be used to estimate PM10, since above this threshold equations estimate a nearly constant PM10 value, regardless of the visibility range. A comparison between the PM10 spatial distributions derived from visibility SYNOP observations through IZO-Eq, the modelled values from the NMMB/BSC-Dust model and aerosol optical depth (AOD) retrieved from MODIS is performed for the 2006-2008 period. The different spatial distributions present a rather good agreement among them as well as to reproduce the characteristic seasonal dust features over North Africa.
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1. Introduction

Mineral dust has a strong interaction with the climate system through direct and indirect impacts (IPCC, 2007, 2014). Directly, mineral dust influences the Earth’s radiative budget by affecting the processes of absorption and scattering of solar and infrared radiation (Tegen 2003; Perez et al. 2006; Balkanski et al. 2007; Heinold et al., 2008) and indirectly, it affects the clouds condensation nuclei (Hoos et al. 2008) and ice nuclei (Klein et al., 2010), optical properties and lifetime of clouds, causing an indirect radiative forcing (Ramanathan et al. 2001; IPCC, 2007). Mineral dust can be transported in large plumes many thousands of kilometers away from their source regions causing changes in the biogeochemical processes of terrestrial and marine ecosystems (Maher et al. 2010) and, being an important source of primary nutrients such as nitrogen, phosphorus or potassium (Jinkells, 2005).

Mineral dust emissions into the atmosphere have a negative impact on human health, causing or aggravating allergies, respiratory diseases and eyes infections (Griffin and Kellogg, 2004, WHO, 2005). During Saharan dust intrusions in Spain, Díaz et al. (2012) observed effects of PM$_{10}$ (mass concentration of particulate matter with aerodynamical diameter less than 10 μm) on mortality due to respiratory causes in the cold season and to circulatory causes in the warm one. Griffin (2007) summarized the current state of knowledge of desert dust microbiology and the health impact that desert dust and its microbial constituents may have on downwind environments, both close and far from their sources. Saharan dust seems to be related with meningitis epidsemics in the Sahel region (Thomson et al. 2006, Cuevas et al. 2011, Pérez et al. 2014) and increased incidence of pediatric asthma crisis in the Caribbean region (Gyan et al. 2005). Stefanski and Sivakumar (2009) summarized the negative impacts of sand and dust storms on agriculture. High dust concentrations increase significantly light extinction affecting negatively aircraft operations and ground transportation. Ohde and Siegel (2012) and Schroedter-Homscheidt et al. (2012) assessed the impact of Saharan dust on solar irradiance in concentrated photovoltaic plants.

There is an increasing interest in quantifying the mineral dust concentration over North Africa, which is the most important dust source of the world (Prospero et al. 2002; Washington et al., 2003; Shao et al. 2011; Ginoux et al., 2012, Hsu et al., 2012). However, air quality monitoring stations in rural sites or near dust sources over Northern Africa are almost inexistent. A variety of global and regional dust models have been used to characterize the dust cycle over main source regions (Nickovic et al., 2001; Morcrette et al. 2009; Pérez et al. 2011), but there are significant differences in the results among models (Uno et al., 2006; Todd et al., 2008; Huneeus et al. 2011). Satellite remote sensing has been used for dust detection, but the high reflectivity of the desert ground in the visible channels supposes a severe limitation to retrieve quantitative aerosol data from satellite (Hsu et al. 2004; de Paepe and Dewitte 2009). The AErosol RObotic NETwork, (AERONET, Holben et al. 1998) has also been used to derive dust content in the atmospheric column and to characterize the optical properties of mineral dust near source regions. However, AERONET observations are very scarce in near-dust source regions, and the available time series are relatively short, presenting many gaps. It is worthly to note that column aerosol data often do not have a clear correspondence with surface concentration. Moreover, such measurements integrate different types of particles.
In order to overcome this strong observational limitation, horizontal visibility obtained from meteorological reports (SYNOP) has been used to infer surface dust concentration (D’Almeida, 1986; Ben Mohamed et al. 1992; Ozer et al. 2006; Mahowald et al. 2007; Dayan et al. 2008). The horizontal visibility is an indication of the intensity of attenuation of solar radiation by the suspended particles (N’Tchayi et al., 1997). It is strongly influenced by dust particle size distribution (Tegen et al. 2003 Mahowald et al., 2013) and also has a clear dependence on the ambient humidity (Shao et al. 2006; Cabello et al. 2012). However, many studies have shown that horizontal visibility is a good indicator of dust storms (e.g N’Tchayi et al., 1997; Shao et al., 2003; Shao et al., 2006; Mahowald et al., 2007; Klose et al. 2010; Hamidi et al., 2014). Several empirical equations relating surface dust concentrations and visibility have been proposed in dust regions, such as North America (Chepil and Woodruff, 1957; Patterson and Gillete, 1977), Australia (Tews, 1996; Leys et al. 2002), Asia (Shao et al. 2003; Wang et al. 2008, Jugder et al., 2014), West Asia (Dayan et al. 2008) and in West Africa (D’Almeida, 1986; Ben Mohamed et al. 1992).

In the present study we propose a new empirical equation relating horizontal visibility and PM$_{10}$ using high quality data obtained at the high-mountain Izaña Global Atmospheric Watch (GAW) observatory (IZO, Tenerife, The Canary Islands), located at the subtropical eastern North Atlantic, near Africa and under environmental conditions ensuring that practically all PM$_{10}$ recorded corresponds to mineral dust coming from the Sahara. Results are validated through the comparison of independent in-situ measurements at two PM$_{10}$ stations located in the Sahel with visibility observations, with surface dust concentration from the NMMB/BSC-Dust model and with PM$_{10}$ estimations from other referenced empirical equations derived for West Africa, Middle East and East Asia.

The paper is structured as follows: data and methods used to derive the empirical equation at IZO and to validate it over the Sahel region are described in Section 2, results are presented in Section 3, and in Section 4 the main conclusions are summarized.

2. Data and methods

2.1 PM$_{10}$ and TSP

The present analysis includes PM$_{10}$ and Total Suspended Particles (TSP) ($\mu$g/m$^3$) measured at IZO (28.30°N, 16.49°W, 2,367 m a.s.l.,) during the period spanning from January 2003 to January 2010. IZO station is managed by the Izaña Atmospheric Research Center (IARC), from the Meteorological State Agency of Spain (AEMET). Quasi-permanent subsidence conditions in the free troposphere together with frequent trade winds flow in the lowest troposphere resulting in a strong and stable temperature inversion (located at 1400m a.s.l. on average) that separates a dry free troposphere from a relatively fresh and humid oceanic boundary layer (Torres et al., 2002). Given its close proximity to the western Africa coast, IZO is an excellent site to study and characterize almost pure mineral dust released from African source regions and its transport over the subtropical North Atlantic (Alonso-Pérez et al., 2007; 2011; Basart et al., 2009; Rodríguez et al., 2011). Details of the methodology to determine PM$_{10}$ and TSP mass concentrations at IZO station can be found in Rodríguez et al. (2009; 2011; 2012). Simultaneous PM$_{10}$ measurements and visibility observations from 06 to 18 UTC
every 3 hours have been used to obtain daily means and to derive the empirical relationship between both parameters. Since some empirical equations considered in this paper use TSP instead of PM10, an averaged PM10/TSP ratio has been computed from a set of simultaneous values of these variables.

The empirical equation obtained at IZO (IZO-Eq) has been validated using daily PM10 averages at two monitoring stations from the African Monsoon Multidisciplinary Analysis (AMMA) International Project (Marticorena et al., 2010) in the Sahel region from January 2006 to December 2008. The AMMA stations are M’Bour (Senegal, 14.39°N, 16.96°W, 13 m a.s.l.), located near the Atlantic coast and Banizoumbou (Niger, 13.54°N, 2.66°E, 191 m a.s.l.), representative of the inland Sahel. Both stations are aligned around the parallel of 14° N along the east-west Sahelian dust corridor (Figure 1). PM10 concentrations at the AMMA sites were measured using a Tapered Element Oscillating Microbalance (TEOM 1400A from Thermo Scientific) ambient air monitor with an acquisition time of 5 min (Marticorena et al., 2010).

TSP measurements taken at Banizoumbou station during AMMA field campaigns have been used to compute an averaged PM10/TSP ratio representative for the Sahel region. The period for sampling TSP mass concentrations corresponds to Special Observing Periods (SOP) 0, 1 and 2 of the AMMA intensive field campaigns held from 12 January to 13 February, 2006 and from 1 June to 17 July 2006 (Rajot et al. 2008; Lebel et al. 2010; Desboeufs et al. 2010). The methodology used in the measurements can be found in Rajot et al. (2008).

2.2 Horizontal visibility and meteorological data

Horizontal visibility and meteorological data are retrieved from the BDM meteorological database created and maintained by AEMET. The three SYNOP stations located close to the PM10 monitoring stations that have been selected are IZO, Dakar (Senegal, 14.73°N, 17.15°W, 24 m a.s.l.) and Niamey (Niger, 13.48°N, 2.17°E, 227 m a.s.l.) (Figure 1). Daily visibility values are obtained for Dakar and Niamey after averaging 3-hourly observations. In contrast, visibility observations coincident with measurements of mass concentration have been considered for IZO station.

Visibility data associated to the presence of hydrometeors, such as fog, mist or rain, are discarded using relative humidity (RH) and present weather as filters. On the one hand, observations with RH above 75% are not considered. On the other hand, the following values of present weather are used to identify those visibility observations whose reduction is only attributable to dust-related phenomena: 1) dust in suspension (SYNOP codes 05-06), 2) blowing dust (SYNOP code 07), 3) dust storm (SYNOP codes 09, 30-32) and 4) severe dust storm (SYNOP codes 33-35 and 98). In addition, values of present weather denoting clear skies, clouds dissolving, state of sky unchanged, and clouds developing at the time of the observation (SYNOP codes 00-03; hereafter referred to clear sky conditions) are also considered.

2.3 AERONET data

In the derivation of the empirical equation at IZO station, sunphotometer data from a collocated AERONET site have been used to ensure visibility is exclusively reduced by the presence of mineral dust. However, in the Sahel region, AERONET data have been utilized only to assess the visibility, RH and present weather, statistics at each AMMA
station. The AERONET stations used in the present study are: IZO, Dakar (Senegal, 14.39°N, 16.95°W, 0 m a.s.l.) and Banizoumbou (Niger, 13.54°N, 2.66°W, 250 m a.s.l.) (Figure 1). Quality-assured AERONET data (Level 2.0) in the 440-870nm wavelength range are used to calculate the AOD at 550nm, from the Ångström’s equation, and the Ångström exponent (AE). The contribution of fine and coarse particles is analyzed using the fine mode fraction, (FMF) retrieved with the spectral deconvolution algorithm (O’Neill et al. 2003). Only AERONET measurements within ± 30 min of visibility observations have been used. We assume that desert dust events correspond to AOD ≥ 0.15 and AE ≤ 0.75 (Basart et al. 2009), and following Toledano et al. (2011), we establish the additional condition of FMF ≤ 0.30 to identify those cases with almost pure mineral dust.

![Figure 1](image.png)

**Figure 1.** Location of the PM10 monitoring sites (blue circles), meteorological stations (red starts) and AERONET stations (black triangles) near PM10 monitoring sites used in the present analysis.

### 2.4 Comparison with other empirical equations

The empirical equation relating PM10 and horizontal visibility obtained at IZO has been compared with empirical equations derived by other authors within the broad “dust belt” area (Prospero et al. 2002; Ginoux et al., 2012) which extends from the west coast of Northern Africa, over the Middle East, Central and South Asia to China.

Particularly, in northern Africa, D’Almeida (1986) established a widely known and used empirical relationship between horizontal visibility and aerosol mass concentration (hereafter referred as DA-Eq). However, D’Almeida (1986) established this relationship indirectly using experimental measurements with sunphotometers, assuming that horizontal visibility and aerosol turbidity parameters are often related to each other. Turbidity data was collected for a period of two years (1981 and 1982) from a network of eleven stations located relatively close to the major Saharan dust sources identified by D’Almeida (1986). However, visibility is reported from selected stations located one or two days travel distance from the real source location. Surface PM10 concentrations measurements used to develop DA-Eq were performed during a field campaign held at Agadez (Niger) in January and February 1982. PM10 concentrations ranged between 30 and 700 µg/m³, while the visibilities ranged from 200 m to 400 km during winter months. PM10 represents quite well the concentration of desert mineral dust because
Saharan dust size distributions exhibit a typical coarse mode diameter situated at about 3.5 μm, with an overall dust mean particle diameter of 1.5 μm (Sunnu et al., 2008).

*Ben Mohamed et al.* (1992) used horizontal visibility data varying from 100 meters to 20 kilometers in the synoptic station located at Niamey Airport (Niger), over a period of 17 months (from February 1986 to June 1987), and associated Total Suspended Particles (TSP) mineral dust mass concentration measurements in order to establish a relationship between the two parameters (hereafter referred as BM-Eq). However, TSP is a parameter that is not commonly used today to express the surface aerosol concentration, and *Ben Mohamed et al.* (1992) did not establish a procedure to separate the contribution of biomass burning aerosols, from that of desert mineral dust.

Other studies have analyzed relationships between visibility and particulate matter concentration in non-African regions. In West Asia, Dayan et al. (2008) developed a PM$_{10}$-visibility empirical equation (hereafter referred as DAY-Eq) using visibility data together with co-located measurements of PM$_{10}$ dust concentration measured at the airport of Hazerim in northern Negev desert (Israel) for a period of three years (2001–2003). Dayan et al. (2008) used two criteria to select dust observations: visibility ≤5 km, and no weather phenomena, other than haze or dust, responsible for reduction in visibility.

Shao et al. (2003) obtained a relationship between visibility and TSP in East Asia (hereafter referred as SH-Eq). They obtained two empirical equations for visibility < 3.5 and ≥ 3.5 km, respectively, in the period March-May 2002 during northeast Asian dust events caused by low-pressure frontal systems, referred to as Mongolian cyclones. However, the impact of air moisture on visibility was not included and the uncertainties in the empirical coefficients might be large (Shao et al., 2003).

Jugder et al. (2014) obtained empirical equations relating visibility and PM$_{10}$ at four dust monitoring sites located at Dalanzadgad, Sainshand and Zamyn-Uud in the Gobi Desert during the period from January 2009 to May 2013, and at Bayan Unjuul, a stepp zone of Mongolia, from April to May 2008 (hereafter referred as Ju-Eq). PM$_{10}$ concentrations were obtained by using light scattering technique. Visibility observations were performed with automated Meteorological Optical Range (MOR) sensors, with a maximum measurement range of 20 km. Jugder et al. (2014) established a criterion based on PM$_{10}$ concentrations to separate normal (≤ 50 μg/m$^3$) from hazy atmospheric conditions (≥ 50 μg/m$^3$).

These equations are summarized in Table 1.
**Table 1.** Empirical equations to estimate dust concentration (PM$_{10}$ or TSP in µg/m$^3$) using visibility (V in km) obtained by several authors within the dust belt.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Empirical equation</th>
<th>Dust concentrations and visibility data reported from SYNOP station</th>
<th>Analysis period to derive the empirical equation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D’Almeida (1986)</td>
<td>DA-Eq</td>
<td>PM$_{10} = 914.0V^{-0.73} + 19.03$</td>
<td>Turbidity network of eleven stations set up over the Sahara and the Sahelian belt.</td>
<td>From January 1981 to December 1982</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ben Mohamed et al. (1992)</td>
<td>BM-Eq</td>
<td>TSP = 1339.84 $V^{-0.67}$</td>
<td>TSP field campaign at Niamey (Niger) with visibility ranges from 0.1 to 20 km.</td>
<td>From February 1986 to June 1987</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shao et al. (2003)</td>
<td>SH-Eq</td>
<td>TSP $= 3480.29 V^{-0.86}; V &lt; 3.5$ $\text{km}$; TSP $= e^{-0.11V+7.62}; V \geq 3.5$ $\text{km}$</td>
<td>TSP measured at twelve monitoring sites located in China, Japan and Korea. Visibility data disaggregated in weak dust events and strong dust events.</td>
<td>March, April, and May 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dayan et al. (2008)</td>
<td>DAY-Eq</td>
<td>PM$_{10} = -505\ln(V) + 2264$</td>
<td>PM$_{10}$ monitoring site located at Negev Desert. Visibility ranges from 1 to 5 km at Hazerim airport (Israel).</td>
<td>From January 2001 to December 2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jugder et al. (2014)</td>
<td>JU-Eq</td>
<td>PM$_{10} = 485.67 V^{-0.776}$</td>
<td>PM$<em>{10}$ and visibility data were observed at Zamyn-Uud site in the Gobi desert. PM$</em>{10}$ $\geq 50$ µg/m$^3$ threshold was established for hazy atmospheric conditions. Visibility MOR sensors have a maximum measurement range of 20 km.</td>
<td>From January 2009 to May 2013</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 2.5 Mineral dust model

Daily mean dust surface concentrations (equivalent to PM$_{10}$ concentrations) simulated by NMMB/BSC-Dust v1.0 model reanalysis 1979-2010 run (Pérez et al. 2011; Haustein et al. 2012) at the Barcelona Supercomputing Center-Centro Nacional de Supercomputación (BSC-CNS) have been compared with daily mean PM$_{10}$ concentrations measured at the two AMMA stations and with PM$_{10}$ estimated from visibility observations within the period 2006-2008. Daily-averaged values of surface dust concentration from 3-hourly model outputs interpolated at each AMMA station. The NMMB/BSC-Dust model (http://www.bsc.es/earth-sciences/mineral-dust/nmmbbsc-dust-forecast/model-description/) has been developed by the Earth Sciences Department of BSC-CNS in collaboration with, the National Center for Environmental Prediction (NOAA/NCEP), NASA Goddard Institute for Space Studies and the International Research Institute for Climate and Society (IRI). The domain of the reanalysis covers Northern Africa, the Arabian Peninsula and southern and central Europe (0° to 65°N and 25°W to 60°E). The resolution of the model has been set to 0.5° x 0.5° with 40 hybrid sigma-pressure model layers. The simulations are reinitialized every 24 hours with NCEP Reanalysis-2 pressure level data and GLDAS data for soil moisture and temperature with a spin-up of 12 hours. A detailed description of the NMMB/BSC-Dust model can be found in Pérez et al. (2011).
2.6 MODIS

Daily AOD retrievals from the MODerate resolution Imaging Spectrometer (MODIS; http://disc.sci.gsfc.nasa.gov/giovanni) travelling on-board the Aqua satellite have been used to compare with corresponding maps of PM$_{10}$ estimated from visibility and surface dust concentrations simulated by the NMMB/BSC-Dust model over Northern Africa during the dry and wet seasons in the Sahel region (2006-2008). We used a combination of the AOD data retrieved using dark-target and deep blue (DB) algorithms. Daily level-3 products (collection 5.1), consisting of daily global gridded data of aerosol parameters at a resolution of 1° x 1° (~110 km) have been used. The dark-target aerosol algorithm retrieves AOD data over vegetated surfaces and dark-soil regions under clear-sky (non-cloudy), in which the surface reflectance in the visible (0.47 and 0.65 μm) and near infrared (2.1 μm) channels presents low values (Levy et al., 2010). The DB algorithm retrieves AOD over visibly bright surfaces by taking advantage of dark-surface properties at blue channels (0.412, 0.47 μm) and weak absorption of dust at the red channel (0.65 μm) (Hsu et al., 2004; Shi et al., 2013).

2.7 Statistical parameters

The mean bias (MB), the modified normalized mean bias (MNMB), the fractional gross error (FGE), the root mean square error (RMSE), and the Pearson correlation coefficient (r) have been used as skill scores to validate the performance of the empirical equations. The MB captures the average deviations between estimated and observed values. The MNMB is selected as it treats both model deficiencies (over- and underestimation with respect to observed values) in a symmetric manner. It is bounded by the values ±2. Similarly, FGE is a measure of the overall model error and is bounded by the values 0 and 2. The RMSE metric estimates the spread of the individual errors of each dataset. It is strongly dominated by the largest values, due to the squaring operation. Especially in cases where prominent outliers occur, the usefulness of RMSE is questionable and the interpretation becomes difficult. The correlation coefficient captures the degree of match between estimated and observed values. The statistical scores are summarized in Table 2. They are computed using daily mean values. Datasets from the Sahelian stations have been split into two periods: the dry season (from October to April 2006-2008) and the wet season (from May to September 2006-2008) in order to quantify the performance of each empirical equation and the dust PM$_{10}$ concentrations simulated by the NMMB/BSC-Dust model in two different meteorological scenarios.

Table 2. Statistical scores used for the validation of estimated PM$_{10}$ from visibility with observed PM$_{10}$ at IZO and AMMA stations, where $n$ is the number of data used in the analysis, $f_i$ is the estimated PM$_{10}$ value for each empirical equation and $obs_i$ is the observed PM$_{10}$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Equation</th>
<th>Range</th>
<th>Perfect score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean bias (MB)</td>
<td>$MB = \frac{1}{n} \sum f_i - obs_i$</td>
<td>$-\infty$ to $+\infty$</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modified, normalized mean bias (MNMB)</td>
<td>$MNMB = \frac{2}{n} \sum \frac{f_i - obs_i}{f_i + obs_i}$</td>
<td>-2 to 2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3. Results

3.1 Determination of the PM$_{10}$ – visibility empirical equation

IZO is normally under free troposphere conditions. Thus, the AOD is usually low: approximately 85% of the background values are below 0.15 (Basart et al., 2009). Hence, a reduced number of hourly data pairs of PM$_{10}$-visibility observations are found when aerosol criteria to select dust events are applied (AOD ≥ 0.15, AE ≤ 0.75 and FMF ≤ 0.30).

Once no-dust cases are ruled out, daily means of PM$_{10}$, TSP and visibility are calculated from hourly observations. Most observations (83.87%) used to derive the empirical equation correspond to the summer season (July-September), when the major Saharan high-level dust intrusions are reported at IZO (Basart et al., 2009; Rodriguez et al., 2011). Another significant part (9.67%) corresponds to spring (March-June), when Saharan low-level dust intrusions over the Canary Islands occur (Alonso-Pérez et al., 2007). The best fit between visibility and PM$_{10}$ concentrations is the following potential equation (r = 0.68 and p-value ≤ 0.005):

\[ PM_{10} = 1772.24 V^{-1.1} \]  

where V is the horizontal visibility in km. The empirical equation 1 (henceforth referred to as IZO-Eq) is obtained using a total of 93 daily pairs of observations (~5% of total PM$_{10}$-visibility pairs in the period 2003-2010), in which daily PM$_{10}$ means ranged between 10 and 315 $\mu g/m^3$ and daily visibility means ranged between 4 and 75 kilometers.

PM$_{10}$ daily means versus visibility daily means recorded at IZO, and the best fits using different equations, are shown in Figure 2. The PM$_{10}$/TSP ratios ranged from 0.57 to 0.80, being 0.65±0.08 the overall mean ratio. Estimated TSP concentrations from BM-Eq and SH-Eq are converted to PM$_{10}$ using the minimum, maximum and average value of the PM$_{10}$/TSP ratio found experimentally, in order to assess the impact of the PM$_{10}$/TSP ratio uncertainty on PM$_{10}$ derived from these two equations. In the case of JU-Eq we have used the empirical relationship obtained at Zamyn-Uud station by Jugder et al. (2014), which showed the best agreement with the rest of the equations. DAY-Eq has not been analyzed at IZO station because it was derived for visibilities ≤ 5 km, a very low threshold for this station.

Figure 2. Daily mean PM$_{10}$ ($\mu g/m^3$) versus daily mean visibility (km) recorded at IZO (black dots). Best fit found at IZO (IZO-Eq), and estimated PM$_{10}$ from DA-Eq, BM-Eq,
and SH-Eq. The error bars represent ±σ of estimated PM$_{10}$ using the IZO-Eq. Since BM-Eq and SH-Eq provide TSP, the estimated values are converted to PM$_{10}$ using an averaged TSP/PM$_{10}$ ratio of 0.65 obtained at IZO station.

**Figure 2.** Daily mean PM$_{10}$ (μg/m$^3$) versus daily mean visibility (km) recorded at IZO (black dots). Best fit found at IZO (IZO-Eq), and estimated PM$_{10}$ from DA-Eq, BM-Eq, SH-Eq and JU-Eq. The error bars represent ±σ of estimated PM$_{10}$ using the IZO-Eq. Since BM-Eq and SH-Eq provide TSP, the estimated values are converted to PM$_{10}$ using an averaged TSP/PM$_{10}$ ratio of 0.65 obtained at IZO station. These lines are confined within the dashed lines computed with the minimum (0.57) and maximum (0.80) PM$_{10}$/TSP values, respectively.

Basic descriptive statistics of observed and estimated PM$_{10}$ for each empirical equation at IZO station are shown in Table 3. IZO-Eq provides the best scores. JU-Eq shows very similar basic statistics to IZO-Eq, and the same behavior as the latter for visibility > 20 km. DA-Eq and BM-Eq tend to overestimate, whereas SH-Eq shows a poor performance (Table 3, Figures 2 and 3). The highest differences respect the observed values are found for SH-Eq, probably because it was derived in Eastern Asia, where the occurrence of severe dust storms is more frequent than in the Canary Islands.

**Table 3.** Basic descriptive statistics of daily PM$_{10}$ concentrations in μg/m$^3$ (mean, median, percentile 25$^{th}$ (P25) and 75$^{th}$ (P75), and RMSE) at IZO station for each empirical equation under dust conditions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>P25</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>P75</th>
<th>RMSE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Observed PM$_{10}$</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IZO-Eq</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DA-Eq</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BM-Eq * 0.57</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BM-Eq * 0.65</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BM-Eq * 0.80</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SH-Eq * 0.57</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IZO-Eq</td>
<td>DA-Eq</td>
<td>BM-Eq</td>
<td>SH-Eq</td>
<td>JU-Eq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SH-Eq * 0.65</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SH-Eq * 0.80</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>223</td>
<td>224</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JU-Eq</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 3.** Scatter plot of observed PM$_{10}$ daily means versus estimated PM$_{10}$ daily means at IZO station for IZO-Eq (black triangles), DA-Eq (red diamonds), BM-Eq (blue dots), SH-Eq (green squares), and JU-Eq (pink dots). The lines are the corresponding best fits of IZO-Eq (black), DA-Eq (red), BM-Eq (blue), SH-Eq (green), and JU-Eq (pink). Since BM-Eq and SH-Eq provide TSP, the estimated values are converted to PM$_{10}$ using an averaged TSP/PM$_{10}$ ratio of 0.65 obtained at IZO station. These lines are confined within the dashed lines computed with the minimum (0.57) and maximum (0.80) PM$_{10}$/TSP values, respectively.

### 3.2 Analysis of SYNOP observations over the Sahel region

In the Sahel region, most of highest daily PM$_{10}$ concentrations are observed from October to April, that is during the dry season (Yahi et al. 2013), when the northeasterly trade winds (Harmattan winds) drive dust transport from the Saharan desert towards the Gulf of Guinea (Prospero et al. 2002; Engelstaedter et al. 2006; Engelstaedter and Washington 2007; Marticorena et al. 2010; Formenti et al., 2011). The minimum dust surface concentrations are recorded at AMMA stations during the wet season, from May to September, under the influence of the monsoon flow from South-West (Flamant et al., 2007; Janicot et al., 2008; Flamant et al., 2009; Nicholson 2009; Marticorena et al. 2010; Desboeufs et al. 2010; Nicholson 2013). The monsoon flow loaded with abundant humidity often produces intense rainfall in the Sahelian belt that inhibits local dust aerosol emission into the atmosphere and activates aerosol particles scavenging (Engelstaedter and Washington 2007). At the beginning of the monsoon season, before the growing vegetation, strong winds generated by mesoscale convective systems (MCS) raise large amounts of mineral dust into the atmosphere. Then, the strong updrafts associated to these meteorological systems transport the particles up to...
altitudes favorable for long-range transport. (Sterk, 2002; Flamant et al. 2007; Bou Karam et al. 2008; Flamant et al 2009; Bou Karam et al. 2009; Desboeufs et al. 2010).

Meteorological reports from SYNOP stations that include present weather compatible with dusty conditions (clear sky conditions, dust in suspension and blowing dust) are analyzed during the dry and wet seasons together with PM$_{10}$ and AERONET data from nearby stations. Daily data with RH above 75% or with reported precipitation are discarded. Dust storm and severe dust storm events have not been analyzed due to their low occurrence ($\leq 0.05 \%$). The relative frequency of each present weather type and the average visibility, relative humidity and aerosols optical properties during the dry and wet seasons are shown in Table 4. Observations mostly correspond to clear conditions and dust in suspension, whereas blowing dust and dust storms occur with a very low frequency.

During the dry season, the average humidity is lower than 40%, as a consequence of the influence of the Harmattan winds, which increase the dust transport reducing the visibility. The relative humidity is lower in blowing dust conditions than in dust in suspension and clear sky conditions. The humidity is larger during the wet season for all present weather types due to the monsoon flow. The AOD is higher in the wet than in the dry season, whereas the AE and FMF are higher during the dry than in the wet season. It suggests a large contribution of coarse particles in the wet season as a consequence of the MCS activity. Although there is not a simple significant correspondence between visibility and AOD, which represents the vertically-integrated dust load, relatively high values of horizontal visibility have been often found with high AOD and low AE, suggesting that in the wet season the dust layer might be located in upper atmospheric levels. This agrees with results found by Yahi et al. (2013) when they compared surface dust concentration and AOD in the Sahel region during this season.

A striking result is the fact that the mean AOD values associated with reported clear skies conditions, although lower than those for dust conditions, are always higher than 0.33 in both seasons. Moreover, the highest average value of AE (0.46) associated with clear skies is lower than the threshold established by some authors to indicate that mineral dust is the dominant aerosol (i.e., Basart et al., 2009).). This suggests that SYNOP reports of clear sky often correspond to hazy atmospheric conditions, in which mineral dust might constitute the dominant aerosol fraction. On the other hand, during the dry season, clear-sky days present high values of AE and FMF. This indicates that the column aerosol content could be a mixture of mineral dust with finer aerosols, likely biomass burning, typical of winter months in the Sahel region (Engelstaedter and Washington 2007; Rajot et al. 2008).

Table 4. Relative frequency (%) of clear sky conditions, dust in suspension, and blowing dust during the dry and wet seasons for the period 2006-2008, and averaged values of visibility, RH, AOD, AE and FMF.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(HR≤ 75, no Precipitation)</th>
<th>Dry season</th>
<th>Wet season</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Clear sky conditions</td>
<td>43.26 %</td>
<td>31.86 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AOD</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AE</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FMF</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visibility (km)</td>
<td>10.49</td>
<td>11.44</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.3 Validation over the Sahel region

The performance of each empirical equation at the two AMMA stations and the NMMB/BSC-Dust model was quantified for both seasons with statistical scores. Hourly visibility observations corresponding to clear sky conditions, dust in suspension, blowing dust and dust storms were used to compute daily averages at each AMMA station. Results are summarized in Table 5 (dry season) and Table 6 (wet season). In case of DAY-Eq only those PM$_{10}$-visibility pairs corresponding to visibility range less than 5 km have been compared, since this empirical equation is only valid for visibility ≤ 5 km (Dayan et al. 2008). In order to compare TSP from BM-Eq and SH-Eq with PM$_{10}$ observations, we used the minimum (0.60), maximum (0.98) and average value (0.80±0.09) of the PM$_{10}$/TSP ratio found experimentally at Banizoumbou station, similarly as was done for the equations intercomparison at IZO. The correlation coefficients between the observed PM$_{10}$ in the AMMA sites and the PM$_{10}$ obtained from IZO-Eq, DA-Eq, BM-Eq, SH-Eq and JU-Eq are ≥ 0.78 for dry and wet season except for Banizoumbou at the dry season (r > 0.40) (see Tables 5 and 6). This indicates that, in general, the visibility database is able to reproduce the daily particulate matter concentration variability observed in the air quality stations.

Daily-mean values of PM$_{10}$ and visibility under selected present weather types for each AMMA station and corresponding nearest SYNOP station during the dry and wet seasons for the period 2006-2008 are shown in Figure 4. The estimated PM$_{10}$ from each empirical equation is also depicted. In Figures 5 and 6, the performance of IZO-Eq, DA-Eq and the NMMB/BSC-Dust model at each AMMA station is shown with estimated-observed PM$_{10}$ daily means scatter plots for the wet and dry seasons, respectively. In general, the empirical equations overestimate PM$_{10}$, especially the SH-Eq because it was developed under severe dust storms during the spring season, exceeding in most of the cases four orders of magnitude (Table 5 and 6) which are more common in East Asia than in the Sahel region. A high variability of PM$_{10}$ values, especially for visibilities lower than 10 km (see Figure 4).
Figure 4. \( PM_{10} \) daily means (µg/m\(^3\)) versus visibility daily means (km) for each AMMA site during the dry (a) and wet (b) seasons for the period 2006-2008. Banizoumbou and M’Bour are represented by grey dots and blue triangles, respectively. Lines represent the estimated \( PM_{10} \) by IZO-Eq (black), DA-Eq (red), BM-Eq (blue), SH-Eq (green), DAY-Eq (yellow) and JU-Eq (pink). Since BM-Eq and SH-Eq provide TSP, the estimated values are converted to \( PM_{10} \) using an averaged TSP/\( PM_{10} \) ratio of 0.80 obtained at Banizoumbou station. These lines are confined within the dashed lines computed with the minimum (0.60) and maximum (0.98) \( PM_{10}/TSP \) values, respectively.

During the dry season, the daily mean visibility of both AMMA stations was around 8 km (see Table 5). IZO-Eq is in good agreement with NMMB/BSC-Dust model and with observed PM10 concentrations at M’Bour and Banizoumbou stations, showing a good performance. We must emphasize the fact that, although IZO-Eq was obtained at Izaña...
Observatory under conditions such that the maximum PM$_{10}$ was just over 300 µg/m$^3$, and visibility was always above 4 km, presents excellent performance for high dust concentrations (PM$_{10}$ > 1000 µg/m$^3$) and visibility ranges well below 4 km.

NMMB/BSC-Dust shows a significant scatter and overestimation, especially at Banizoumbou station. In order to compare the behavior of IZO-Eq with the other equations, we performed a detailed analysis dividing the datasets into three ranges of visibility: < 4 km, 4-10 km, and > 10 km (not shown here for the sake of brevity) for the dry season. For visibility < 4km, there is a reasonably good agreement between IZO-Eq, BM-Eq and DAY-Eq, and in-situ observations. SH-Eq significantly overestimates, while DA-Eq underestimates slightly, and Eq-JU significantly underestimates.

In Figure 5, IZO-Eq shows a rather good performance while DA-Eq tends to overestimate. The overall underestimation of DAY-Eq (MB < -64 µg/m$^3$ for both AMMA sites) might be explained by the fact that it was obtained with very low visibilities (≤ 5 km). This agrees with the fact that DAY-Eq shows the best performance for visibility < 4 km, with similar results to those of IZO-Eq. For visibility ranges between 4 and 10 km we find a good agreement between IZO-Eq and observations, a slight underestimation of JU-Eq, slight overestimation of DA-Eq and BM-Eq, and significant overestimation, again, of SH-Eq. For visibility ranges higher than 10 km, JU-Eq is the equation with the best results, followed by IZO-Eq, with a clear overestimation of the rest of the equations.

Table 5. Statistics scores (MB, MNMB, FGE, RMSE and $r$) for each empirical equation and for surface dust concentrations from NMMB/BSC-Dust at M’Bour and Banizoumbou stations for the dry season (October - April 2006-2008). Median, Mean and standard deviation for visibility, and observed/estimated/simulated PM$_{10}$. Since BM-Eq and SH-Eq provide TSP, the estimated values are converted to PM$_{10}$ using an averaged TSP/PM$_{10}$ ratio of 0.80 obtained at Banizoumbou station.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>M’Bour</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>MB</th>
<th>MNMB</th>
<th>FGE</th>
<th>RMSE</th>
<th>r</th>
<th>n</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Observed PM$_{10}$ (µg/m$^3$)</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visibility (km)</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>8.11</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMMB/BSC-Dust</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>-60</td>
<td>-0.68</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>296</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IZO-Eq</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>296</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DA-Eq</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>296</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BM-Eq</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>296</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SH-Eq</td>
<td>736</td>
<td>781</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>595</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>603</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>296</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAY-Eq</td>
<td>414</td>
<td>443</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>-176</td>
<td>-0.22</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JU-Eq</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>-87</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>296</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Banizoumbou</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>MB</th>
<th>MNMB</th>
<th>FGE</th>
<th>RMSE</th>
<th>r</th>
<th>n</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Observed PM$_{10}$ (µg/m$^3$)</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>334</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visibility (km)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>8.11</td>
<td>3.03</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMMB/BSC-Dust</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>286</td>
<td>364</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>329</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>622</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IZO-Eq</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>258</td>
<td>291</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>622</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DA-Eq</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>622</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BM-Eq</td>
<td>246</td>
<td>308</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>233</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>622</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
During the wet season, high values of PM$_{10}$ coincide with high visibilities (Figure 4), which mostly correspond to observations at Banizoumbou station. The empirical equations mostly overestimate, being IZO-Eq the one which shows the best performance. IZO-Eq behaves well at Banizoumbou, and JU-Eq shows an excellent agreement with observations at M’Bour. The highest differences between estimated and

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>697</th>
<th>848</th>
<th>448</th>
<th>618</th>
<th>1.32</th>
<th>1.33</th>
<th>669</th>
<th>0.82</th>
<th>622</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SH-Eq</td>
<td>506</td>
<td>582</td>
<td>249</td>
<td>-64</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>439</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAY-Eq</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>-113</td>
<td>-0.28</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>297</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>622</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 5.** Scatter plot of observed PM$_{10}$ daily means versus estimated PM$_{10}$ daily means at M’Bour (a) and Banizoumbou (b) during the dry season for IZO-Eq (black triangles), DA-Eq (red diamonds) and NMMB/BSC-Dust surface dust concentration (blue dots). Lines correspond to the observed PM$_{10}$/estimated PM$_{10}$ best fits for IZO-Eq (black), DA-Eq (red) and NMMB/BSC-Dust (blue).
observed dust concentrations are provided by SH-Eq with a RMSE ≥ 514 μg/m³ (Table 6). The empirical equations showed a high correlation (r ≥ 0.78) at M’Bour. However, at Banizoumbou station the empirical equations showed relatively low correlation (r ≤ 0.45), coincident with reported visibilities ≥ 10 km. Above 10 km visibility the empirical equations show a flat behavior with low PM₁₀ variability (see Figure 4-b), indicating that 10 km is the upper limit, above which the empirical equations are not valid. IZO-Eq and DA-Eq tend to overestimate the low PM₁₀ observations at each AMMA station (see Figure 6). However, a larger underestimation is observed at Banizoumbou (see Table 6 and Figure 6). This significant underestimation is related to the strong influence of local dust episodes during the MCS activity, which produce high PM₁₀ records at Banizoumbou, but they are not registered by the nearby Synop stations. This apparent contradiction is explained by the fact that in the wet season the monsoon favors strong local dust events associated to MSC activity, which occur preferably during the night period and early morning hours (Bou Karam et al. 2009; Marticorena et al. 2010), while progressive dust removal and clearing up of the atmosphere takes place during daylight period at the lowermost levels (Cavalieri et al. 2010), resulting in relatively high visibility daily means since they are obtained with observations performed at daytime hours (9, 12, 15 and 18 UTC). For this reason we record a very low visibility/PM₁₀ correlation at Banizoumbou station, and a clear underestimation during this season. Meanwhile, NMMB/BSC-Dust also underestimated during this season.

Understanding the causes that account for differences in results from the analyzed equations is a very complex task since we are comparing experimental equations that have been determined using different methodologies. Although this is outside the scope of this article, we can point out the most important factors in these equations that could explain the differences found between them under different conditions of visibility and dust content. Some of the equations (DA-Eq) establish the relationship between visibility and aerosol mass concentration indirectly using experimental measurements of aerosol turbidity with suphotometers, while others establish a direct relationship (IZO-Eq, BM-Eq, SH-Eq, DAY-Eq, JU-Eq). Some equations use PM₁₀ data measured in the same synoptic stations where visibility is reported (IZO-Eq, DAY-Eq, JU-Eq), but other equations use data from PM₁₀ or TSP sites and meteorological stations that are few kilometers away (DA-Eq, BM-Eq, SH-Eq), so that during certain events they might not sample the same air masses. On the other hand, the visibility ranges used are not the same for all stations. Some stations use visibility measurements performed with automated sensors (JU-Eq), which can be very different from those reported by human observers. The latter are subject, in turn, to subjective errors in estimating distances, as well as to protocols that may be different in each country, especially regarding the criteria of maximum range of visibility reported. In addition, not all stations use the same physical parameters related to aerosol mass concentration. Some of them used PM₁₀ (IZO-Eq, DA-Eq, DAY-Eq, and JU-Eq) and others, TSP (BM-Eq and SH-Eq). Moreover, some equations were obtained without taking into account any criteria to separate the contribution of other aerosols, for example, those from biomass burning (BM-Eq), or to discard the events with high moisture content (SH-Eq). All this wide variety of factors has different impacts in the estimated PM₁₀, which depend, in turn, on the atmospheric aerosol content and visibility range.
**Table 6.** Statistics scores (MB, MNMB, FGE, RMSE and r) for each empirical equation and for surface dust concentrations from NMMB/BSC-Dust at M’Bour and Banizoumbou stations for the wet season (May - September 2006-2008). Median, Mean and standard deviation for visibility, and observed/estimated/simulated PM$_{10}$. Since BM-Eq and SH-Eq provide TSP, the estimated values are converted to PM$_{10}$ using an averaged TSP/PM$_{10}$ ratio of 0.80 obtained at Banizoumbou station.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>MB</th>
<th>MNMB</th>
<th>FGE</th>
<th>RMSE</th>
<th>r</th>
<th>n</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>M’Bour</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observed PM$_{10}$ ($\mu$g/m$^3$)</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visibility (km)</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>9.46</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMMB/BSC-Dust</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>-55</td>
<td>-0.97</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IZO-Eq</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DA-Eq</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BM-Eq</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>241</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SH-Eq</td>
<td>660</td>
<td>670</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>580</td>
<td>1.57</td>
<td>1.57</td>
<td>583</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAY-Eq</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JU-Eq</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>-4</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>MB</th>
<th>MNMB</th>
<th>FGE</th>
<th>RMSE</th>
<th>r</th>
<th>n</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Banizoumbou</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observed PM$_{10}$ ($\mu$g/m$^3$)</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>271</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visibility (km)</td>
<td>11.25</td>
<td>11.18</td>
<td>2.13</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMMB/BSC-Dust</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>-53</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>311</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IZO-Eq</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>249</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>311</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DA-Eq</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>257</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>311</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BM-Eq</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>266</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>311</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SH-Eq</td>
<td>544</td>
<td>570</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>444</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>514</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>311</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAY-Eq</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JU-Eq</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>-48</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>263</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>311</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.4 Estimation of PM$_{10}$ spatial distribution over Northern Africa

Spatial maps of estimated PM$_{10}$ from visibility observations over Northern Africa have been produced for the periods corresponding to the dry and wet seasons in the Sahel region. A large number of SYNOP stations are operational in Northern Africa. However, not all of them report visibility every three hours. SYNOP stations with less than 100 SYNOP observations for the entire period have been excluded from the analysis. All significant observations with the present weather codes 01-03, 05-09, 30-35 and 98 are considered.

IZO-Eq is used to convert visibility observations to PM$_{10}$ concentrations. The Kriging method with spherical semivariogram model is used to perform a seasonal averaged map of estimated PM$_{10}$. Visibility data from 176 SYNOP stations located in Northern Africa were used to compute the spatial PM10 distributions. Regions with significant gaps of SYNOP stations were masked (see Figure 7-1).
The spatial distributions of seasonal mean PM$_{10}$ concentrations estimated from visibility observations, simulated dust concentration from NMMB/BSC-Dust and AOD from MODIS from, for October-April 2006-2008, and for May-September 2006-2008, respectively, are shown in Figure 7. In Northern Africa, the Bodélé Depression in Chad (region A), northern part of Nigeria and the southern Niger (region B), Tunisia and Northeast Algeria (region C) Mali, Mauritania, and Western Sahara (region D), the eastern Libyan desert and the Egyptian sources (region E) are the regions with the highest PM$_{10}$ concentrations. Those regions correspond to the main dust-source regions identified by Prospero et al. (2002) and Ginoux et al. (2012).

Estimated PM$_{10}$ and NMMB/BSC-Dust surface dust concentration maps show that the major dust sources are located over the Bodélé depression in Chad (region A in Figure 7), and the northern part of Nigeria and the southern Niger (region B in Figure 7) from October to April (2006-2008), in agreement with the averaged AOD from MODIS. NMMB/BSC-Dust reproduces the high dust concentrations over the Bodélé Depression in Chad (region A in Figure 7) observed by MODIS. PM$_{10}$ estimated by IZO-Eq is lower than NMMB/BSC-Dust. A significant disagreement between AOD from MODIS and estimated PM$_{10}$ is found in these regions from May to September, likely due to the fact that the dust layer is observed in upper levels while there is good horizontal visibility at ground (daily mean visibility 13.30 ± 3.0 km) and the scarcity of SYNOP observations in this region.

The dust area located at northwest of Tombouctou (in Mali, region C in Figure 7), which is an active source region all year long (Prospero et al., 2002), extending to areas of southeast Mauritania (region C in Figure 7) is well captured by both estimated PM$_{10}$ and AOD from MODIS in both periods. However, NMBB/BSC-Dust shows surface dust concentration underestimation, mainly in summer period, partly because dust sources in Mali and Mauritania are omitted by the topographic preferential source used in the model (Pérez et al. 2011).

The area immediately south of Chotts region abutting the Atlas Mountains to the west and the region located between Tunisia and northeast Algeria (32-35°N, 5–10 °E) (region D (Figure 7) is well captured by estimated PM$_{10}$, NMMB/BSC- Dust surface dust concentrations and AOD from MODIS in the two study periods. This dust source region shows maximum activity from April to September (Prospero et al., 2002) which is modulating by the hydrological cycle in this region (Mahowald et al., 2003; Guinoux et al., 2012).

The main dust source areas located between the eastern Libyan and the Egyptian dust sources (region E in Figure 7) are underestimated by IZO-Eq and NMBB/BSC-Dust in comparison with AOD from MODIS. Particularly, the high dust area observed over the west bank of the Nile River affecting Cairo, with maximum values from March to October, is reproduced by the IZO-Eq, NMMB/BSC-Dust and MODIS. High dust distribution over south Gulf of Sidra (in Libya) and the Qattara Depression is observed similarly by the IZO-Eq, NMMB/BSC-Dust and MODIS. However, the estimated PM$_{10}$ by IZO-Eq shows a significant underestimation, likely due to scarcity of SYNOP stations.
Figure 7. Spatial distribution of PM10 (µg/m$^3$) from IZO-Eq (1), surface dust concentrations from NMMB/BSC-Dust (µg/m$^3$) (2), and AOD from MODIS (3), for October-April 2006-2008 (left column) and for May-September 2006-2008 (right column). Locations of the SYNOP stations used in the spatial interpolation are shown as black dots (1). A, the Bodélé Depression; B, northern part of Nigeria and southern Niger; C, Tunisia and northeast Algeria; D, Mali, Mauritania, and Western Sahara; E, the eastern Libyan desert and the Egyptian sources.

4. Summary and conclusions

A new empirical equation relating horizontal visibility and PM$_{10}$ concentration (referred as IZO-Eq) is obtained using observations at the Izaña high mountain GAW station under Saharan dust outbreaks. To derive the empirical equation, AERONET and SYNOP filters are applied to PM$_{10}$-horizontal visibility pairs of observations in order to ensure the visibility reduction is caused by suspended dust particles, discarding other weather conditions. This new empirical equation, IZO-Eq, is validated using visibility
and PM$_{10}$ data recorded at two AMMA stations and the nearby synoptic stations in the Sahel region from January 2006 to December 2008. An analysis of AERONET data showed that clear sky conditions reported by SYNOP reports corresponded to background conditions normally characterized by the presence of haze. Therefore, visibility-PM$_{10}$ pairs corresponding to clear sky conditions are used in the validation.

The IZO-Eq is compared against empirical equations reported in the literature and with surface dust concentrations from NMMB/BSC-Dust model. The IZO-Eq showed, in general, better scores than the other tested empirical equations during the wet and the dry seasons in the Sahel region from the comparison with measured PM$_{10}$ and the NMMB/BSC-Dust model. The fact that the IZO-Eq is obtained with a large range of visibilities, from 4 to 75 km, might explained its good performance in the Sahel region during both seasons in comparison with the other tested empirical equations that mostly overestimated the observed values. In the range of high horizontal visibilities ($\geq$ 10 km), IZO-Eq showed a flat behavior with low variability of PM$_{10}$, indicating that 10 km is its limit of validity.

Additionally, the IZO-Eq is used to derive an estimated PM$_{10}$ spatial distribution over Northern Africa, which was compared with NMMB/BSC-Dust surface dust concentrations and MODIS AOD from October to April and May to September 2006-2008. The IZO-Eq has demonstrated to be a useful tool for characterizing dust surface distribution over Northern Africa using interpolation methods. In fact, a high spatial consistency is observed with simulated PM$_{10}$ concentrations from NMMB/BSC-Dust and AOD from MODIS. The estimated PM$_{10}$ spatial distribution derived from visibility observations shows the main dust source regions previously identified by other authors (e.g., Prospero et al. 2002), such as the Bodélé depression in Chad, northern part of Nigeria and the southern Niger, Mali, Mauritania, and Western Sahara, Tunisia and Northeast Algeria, and eastern Libyan and Egyptian desert. The comparison of estimated PM$_{10}$ and NMMB/BSC-Dust surface dust concentration with MODIS AOD shows a rather good agreement when the dust layer is observed at ground level.

To conclude, the present work demonstrates how the horizontal visibility reported by SYNOP stations might be useful for different applications as: 1) a first rough estimation of dust PM$_{10}$ concentrations where there are no in-situ PM$_{10}$; 2) to estimate main features of atmospheric mineral dust geographical distribution and seasonal variations; and 3) to perform trend analysis of intense dust storms causing reduced visibility to less than 10 km.
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