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Abstract—The optimization of the base station (BS) assignment problem in mobile access networks is a primary task towards enabling efficient utilization of network resources. So far, this problem has been mainly studied in terms of air interface optimization. In this paper, we present a novel BS assignment strategy that integrates backhaul constraints in the user assignment criterion. The motivation of this strategy is the fact that in some scenarios the backhaul can become the network bottleneck. The BS assignment problem or user allocation problem is formulated using a utility-based framework. We take into consideration key aspects such as the revenue associated to each type of service along with the resource consumption in terms of both radio and backhaul resources. Results are given in a multi-service scenario for guaranteed rate services.

I. INTRODUCTION

The growing popularity of data services in mobile networks requires more advanced strategies to manage the scarcity of radio resources efficiently. Enhanced methods to control users’ connectivity to the network play an important role in the radio resource management (RRM) framework as they facilitate an efficient use of radio resources.

This paper addresses the base station (BS) assignment problem that is in charge of determining the serving BS to each user in the system. This problem has been addressed so far mainly from the radio perspective. This is the case of [1]-[3] that deal with the combined problem of BS assignment and resource allocation, where the primary idea is to determine the assignment of base stations that either minimize the total transmitted uplink power [1], [2] or minimize the sum of the powers of BSs [3]. Furthermore, the problem can be extended to perform power and rate allocation tasks in conjunction with the BS assignment and assuming a maximum transmission power constraint of BSs [4].

The underlying idea of existing BS assignment techniques is that the main limitation in mobile networks is on the air interface and therefore the BS assignment problem has been mainly studied in terms of air interface optimization due to factors like the time-varying system environment and the demand to accommodate mobiles with diverse service requirements make this task challenging. Nevertheless, less attention has been paid to the fact that with the increasing demand of data services and the adoption of enhanced radio technologies backhaul requirements per cell site are drastically growing [5]. Accordingly, and considering that overprovisioning in the backhaul is not an economically feasible option, in some cases the mobile backhaul can become the network bottleneck rather than the air interface.

Thus, an assignment procedure which is based on radio parameters may assign users to base stations with an overloaded backhaul since its assignment criteria do not consider the backhaul load of BSs. Under this context, in a previous work [6] we proposed an assignment method that allocates users to BSs taking into account the availability of resources in the air interface and the backhaul network. Illustrative results, obtained assuming a single class of mobiles with a fixed transmission rate constraint, indicate that a backhaul-aware strategy lead to a higher number of feasible user assignments.

In this paper we extend the analysis reported in [6] by evaluating the proposed user allocation algorithm in more complex scenarios where data users have varied quality of service (QoS) requirements. We focus on multiservice mobile networks able to guarantee different data rates to users and where bottlenecks can appear in both radio and backhaul segments. The problem consist in assigning users to base stations so that its rate requirement can be fully satisfied, subject to radio and backhaul constraints of each BS. Users that demand different rates are differentiated by means of a profit associated to the corresponding service class. An utility function is defined to quantitatively translate user/operator benefits in terms of the amount of radio resources each user requires and the profit that it will provide to the operator.

The BS assignment problem is formulated as an optimization problem, mapped into a Multiple-Choice Multidimensional Knapsack Problem (MMKP), and then solved using a heuristic search algorithm. The performance of the proposed algorithm is compared to a classical minimum path loss strategy and to a more elaborated strategy that is aimed to optimize the usage of air interface resources but does not account for backhaul resource occupation.

The paper is organized as follows. Next section introduces the system model. Section III presents the formulation of the problem and the utility function defined. The algorithm used to solve the formulated BS assignment problem is described in section IV. Simulation results are provided in section V, and concluding remarks are detailed in section VI.

II. SYSTEM MODEL

The problem of assigning data users of different service classes is addressed considering a cellular WCDMA system. Due that high speed services are asymmetric by nature, i.e. bandwidth demand in the downlink is higher than in the uplink, we concentrate in the downlink as it is normally
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viewed as the most restricted link. The considered downlink of
a cellular WCDMA system consists of \( N \) BSs serving \( M \) active
users in the entire system. A user assigned to a BS is
contained in the matrix \( B = \{b_{ij}\} \), where the indicator variable
\( b_{ij} = 1 \) if user \( i \in \{1,...,M\} \) is assigned to BS \( j \in \{1,...,N\} \),
and zero otherwise. It is assumed that base stations are
constrained by the maximum downlink transmission power
and the capacity in the backhaul. Therefore, each user requires
a percentage of radio and backhaul resources from its serving
BS to fulfill its transmission rate requirement. In order to
model the amount of resources been allocated to each user we
adopt a cost-based approach as detailed in following
subsections.

### A. Air Interface Costs

Let \( P_j \) be the maximum transmission power of BS \( j \) and \( P_i \)
the required transmitted power of user \( i \) to have a service
transmission rate \( R_i \). The cost associated with the air interface
can be expressed as:

\[
\alpha_i = \frac{P_i}{P_j} (R_i)
\]  

(1)

Note that this definition entails that the radio cost \( \alpha_i \) is
coupled with the assignment of all users in the system. This
means that the interference reduction associated with the
change of the serving BS of one user may result in greater
interference to other users \[3\], causing an increase on their
power levels to maintain the transmission rate required. In
order to decouple the power computation from the assignment
of all users, we can assume that BSs transmit at maximum
power. This implies that the power of the interfering BSs for
user \( i \) is known and therefore the variable factor affecting the
perceived interference level is the channel gain of user \( i \) with
respect to a BS \( j \). This simplification is valid if we assume a
fully loaded system and that costs related to the air interface
are constant.

### B. Backhaul Costs

In order to model backhaul costs we assume that each BS
has a fixed capacity on its backhaul link. In a realistic network
deployment such capacity would correspond to the available
bandwidth in the path connecting BS \( j \) to the upper node (e.g.
RNC in 3GPP-based networks), responsible of transporting
the aggregated traffic of users being served by BS \( j \). The cost
associated with the backhaul network is computed as the ratio
of the bit rate required by user \( i \) to the available backhaul
capacity of its serving BS, denoted as \( C_j \), as follows:

\[
\beta_i = \frac{R_i}{C_j}
\]  

(2)

This cost does not depend on the conditions of the rest of
users because each user should receive the same transmission
rate regardless of its serving BS.

### III. Problem Formulation

The problem of determining the BS assignment solution
that satisfies user demands and fulfill network constraints can
be expressed in the form of the following optimization
problem.

\[
\max_y \left( \sum_{i=1}^{M} \sum_{j=1}^{N} u_{ij} b_{ij} \right) 
\]  

(3)

s.t. \[
\sum_{i=1}^{M} \alpha_i b_{ij} \leq 1 \quad j = 1,...,N  
\]  

(4)

\[
\sum_{j=1}^{N} \beta_i b_{ij} \leq 1 \quad j = 1,...,N  
\]  

(5)

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{M} b_{ij} \leq 1 \quad i = 1,...,M  
\]  

(6)

\[
b_{ij} \in \{0,1\}
\]  

(7)

were \( u_{ij} \) in (3) is the utility of user \( i \) being assigned to BS \( j \).
The set of constraints in (4) and (5) assures that no more
resources than available are assigned to each BS. As \( \alpha_i \) and \( \beta_i \)
represent normalized values, the maximum power/backhaul
cost supported by each BS is 1. The third set of constraints in
(6) prevents multiple assignments of each user. Finally, in
order to avoid splitting or partial assignment of users,
constraint (7) is used, which however leads to the
combinatorial nature of the problem with exponentially
growing complexity in the degrees of freedom.

As argued in \[6\], the formulated optimization problem is
equivalent to a Multiple-Choice Multidimensional Knapsack
Problem (MMKP) \[7\] and a valid option to solve it is through
heuristic approaches. The algorithm used to solve the above
optimization problem is described in section IV.

#### A. Utility Function

Dependent on the location in the service area a user could
have a choice of several available BSs. The method to
determine which BS should be assigned to each user is based
on the notion of utility. The idea is to quantitatively translate
user or operator benefits into a utility function that can be seen
as a metric taken into account in the assignment process.

Some alternatives to model the utility function are
discussed in the following. Assume that the objective is to
maximize the total number of assigned users that meet the
requested transmission rate. This can be achieved by
considering a step function to model the user utility in (3).
Hence, \( u_{ij} = 1 \) if the transmission rate of user \( i \) is satisfied by
assigning it to BS \( j \), or zero otherwise. Under this approach if
several feasible solutions exist, any of them is valid as they
provide the same maximum value in the objective function,
i.e. the total number of users. On the other hand, among the
feasible solutions we might want to choose the one that
optimize a given network aspect, which in turn should be
captured by the utility function. Moreover, in case that no
feasible solution exist, the problem is what users should be
served, and then aspects like resource costs or the service
profit can be included into the utility function to serve the most appealing users.

For the above reasons, in this work we consider a utility function that incorporates network related costs and the profit associated with each service. The objective is to mediate between the cost incurred by each user over the air interface and the profit it can provide to the operator, expressed by:

\[ u_i = \frac{p_i}{\alpha_i} \] (8)

where \( \alpha_i \) is the radio cost of user \( i \) being assigned to BS \( j \), and \( p_i \) is the profit of its requested service. In this sense, the utility represents the profit the operator earns per unit of radio resource cost required. The profit can be defined as function of the transmission rate. Consider the case where users \( i \) and \( i^* \) have the same propagation conditions and that \( R_i^*>R_{i^*} \). In this situation \( \alpha_i/\alpha_i^*>1 \) and in order to give more preference to the user with highest rate it is necessary to satisfy the expression \( p_i/p_i^*>\alpha_i/\alpha_i^* \). On the other hand, if \( R_i=R_{i^*} \) the prioritization of users will depend on their position in the system, which correspond to different radio resource cost.

IV. USER ALLOCATION ALGORITHM

Here we briefly describe the heuristic search algorithm employed to solve the BS assignment problem. We assume that the conditions seen by the algorithm do not change, as would be the case of a static system model in which channel gains are assumed to be time-invariant. For a given snapshot of the system the algorithm determines the BS to be assigned to each user so that rate requirements are achieved and radio/backhaul constraints of all BSs are fulfilled.

The user allocation algorithm is based on the Lagrange Multipliers Theorem proven by Everett [8]. According to this theorem, the constrained optimization problem in (3) can be expressed as an unconstrained optimization problem by associating a multiplier to each radio and backhaul constraint.

Figure 1 shows a schematic representation of the parameters and phases involved in the execution of the algorithm. The algorithm firstly initializes user utilities, radio and backhaul and phases involved in the execution of the algorithm. The associating a multiplier to each radio and backhaul constraint. Expressing the constrained optimization problem in (3) as an unconstrained optimization problem by the multipliers is a determining factor in the assignment process. The method to adjust the values of Lagrange multipliers is detailed in [6].

Note that the assignment of all users is in general not always possible, e.g. for a large number of users the system could not have enough resources to serve all of them. Thus, in the first phase some users are “dropped” and assigned to a “virtual” BS, corresponding to a utility and resource costs equal to zero. After the execution of Drop phase the assignments satisfies radio and backhaul constraints in all BSs. In the next phase, called Add, the algorithm improves the solution found in Drop phase by means of changing the assignments of those users which can improve the utility in another BS without violating its corresponding constraints. At this point some users could not be accepted to the network due to insufficient radio/backhaul resources. However, as we have assumed that BSs transmit at maximum power, the radio cost obtained for each assignment represents an upper bound. Thus, under real radio cost conditions there may be some space left in the radio constraint of BSs and some users can be allocated. In order to compute the real costs in Relaxation phase we make use of the solution delivered by the Add phase. Users allocated to the virtual BS are assigned to the BS with the highest weighting utility. Then, using the resulting assignment matrix, the power devoted to each user is computed considering the real power values of the interfering BSs, path loss conditions, noise power and user’s requirements. Finally, we compute the total aggregated radio and backhaul cost of all BSs and determine the percentage of user that can be serviced without violating both constraints.

V. RESULTS

The performance of the proposed strategy was analyzed considering a cellular deployment with 19 hexagonal cells. A standard wrap around technique is used to avoid border effects. All base stations have a maximum transmission power limited to 43 dBm and a backhaul capacity \( C_j \) fixed according to the downlink pole capacity \( C_{air} \) [9] by means of a multiplicative factor, denoted as \( \phi \in \{1,1.25,1.5,...,2.5\} \).

Hence, \( \phi_j = 1.5 \) means that the backhaul capacity of BS \( j \) is provisioned with an excess of 50% of bandwidth over the air interface pole capacity. Main simulation parameters are summarized in Table 1. The provided value of the downlink pole capacity \( C_{air} \) was computed assuming an average ratio of other-to-own interference \( f_{oai}=0.65 \) and an average orthogonality factor \( \rho = 0.5 \). In the simulations users are
uniformly distributed over the overall service area. The BS assignment problem is solved under static conditions (i.e. path loss and shadowing do not change) and repeated 200 times to obtain accurate average values of the percentage of the number of users that can be served while satisfying user’s rate requirements and BSs radio and backhaul constraints. The following three BS assignment strategies have been analyzed and compared:

1) **Backhaul-aware strategy.** This strategy maximizes the utility of all assigned users and guarantees that each user receives the requested transmission rate. If all users cannot be serviced, the strategy discards those users having the worst weighting utility till radio and backhaul constraints are satisfied.

2) **Radio-based strategy.** Assignments are performed without considering backhaul constraints and therefore the strategy optimize the usage of radio costs by means of user utility maximization. The method to discard users is based on the weighting utility of each user.

3) **Minimum Path Loss (MPL) strategy.** Users are assigned to the BS with the minimum radio path loss. Here, users are randomly taken out one by one till the BS can serve the remaining users without violating its constraints.

In the first set of simulations we study the performance of the strategies in partially backhaul limited scenarios. That is, a percentage of the BSs is provisioned with a low backhaul capacity, i.e. the ratio of their backhaul capacity to the air interface capacity is $\phi = \{1, 1.5\}$, while the rest of BSs do not present backhaul limitations (i.e. $\phi = 3$). The number of users for each service rate (128K or 384K) is the same and the profit of both services is set such that $p_{i(128K)} = p_{i(384K)}$.

In Fig. 2 we show the gain that radio-based and backhaul-aware strategies can achieve over a traditional user allocation method such as MPL. The gain represents the percentage increase of users that these strategies can allocate to the network so that both radio and backhaul constraints are satisfied in the 95% of the cases. As more BSs have insufficient backhaul capacity, the gain of the backhaul-aware assignment strategy is more pronounced with respect to the benchmark strategies. In such case, the radio-based strategy may assign a user to a BS with exhausted backhaul resources, although there is available backhaul capacity in neighboring BSs. Thereby, an overload situation can take place and consequently rate demands from users could not be fully satisfied. On the other hand, the backhaul-aware strategy take advantage of available backhaul capacity and steer users to neighboring cells with low loaded backhaul/radio constraints.

For instance, when 30% of the system (about 6 base stations) has limited backhaul capacity the proposed method achieves an improvement of 15% respect radio-based strategy. When the backhaul of all BSs have sufficient capacity, both radio-based and backhaul-aware approaches behaves similar achieving a gain around 10% with respect to MPL strategy. In such cases, the major constraint is on the air interface since the load of backhaul constraints does not normally exceed the maximum capacity of any BS in the system.

In the second set of simulations we study the impact on the performance of the strategies when the distribution of rates required by the users is changed. In this sense, Fig. 3 depicts the total number of users that can be assigned versus the number of users that need to be serviced with a downlink data rate of 384K. Six BSs in the system have backhaul capacity limitations ($\phi = 1$).

In Fig. 2, the percentage increase of Radio-based and Backhaul-aware strategies respect to the MPL strategy. Two backhaul restrictions are modeled by means of $\phi = 1$ and $\phi = 1.5$.

**Fig. 3. User assignments’ percentage increase respect to MPL versus percentage of total users that request a downlink transmission rate of 384K. Six BSs in the system have backhaul capacity limitations ($\phi = 1$).**
backhaul constraints in 95% of the cases.

The impact of the profit value within the utility function over the total number of assigned users per service type is shown in Fig. 4. The distribution of users is set to 50% for each transmission rate requirement. Clearly, users requiring high transmission rates need more resources from BSs to fulfill their request and they are likely to have low priority in the assignment process. However, we can change this behavior through the profit value assigned to the service requested by a user. In particular, we define the profit ratio $\delta$ of users with $R=384K$ and $R=128K$ as $\delta = \frac{p_1(384K)}{p_1(128K)}$. If $\delta=1$ the resulting utility of users is exclusively influenced by the radio resource cost. By increasing the profit ratio to 3 we can treat users equally since the radio resource cost incurred by a user with $R=128K$ is around 1/3 of the air interface cost of a user requesting 384K. Thus, the utility of both services is almost equal and assignments will depend mostly on the BS constraints each strategy considers.

Simulations were carried out for 72 users in the system, in which MPL strategy can successfully assign 128K users in 95% of the cases. Six BSs in the scenario have backhaul capacity limitations. As one might expect, as the profit value of 384K users increase, the acceptance ratio of these users is increased as well. For radio-based strategy the increase of 384K users comes at the price of a drastically reduction in the percentage of assigned users with transmission rate of 128K because backhaul constraints are not taken into account. On the contrary, the backhaul-aware strategy is able to find backhaul capacity in neighboring BSs so that 128K users can still be served. This behavior can also be observed in terms of the total revenue the operator can obtain from an assignment strategy. The total revenue is calculated adding the profit of all assigned users and we have seen it increases linearly with the profit ratio. In Fig. 5 we plot the percentage of the expected revenue that can be achieved by each assignment strategy. The percentage of the expected revenue slightly decays as the profit of 384K users increase. This is due that the reduction of 128K users is more significant over the percentage of revenue than the increase of the profit ratio.

VI. CONCLUSION

This paper has presented a BS assignment algorithm that takes into account radio and backhaul constraints in allocating users from different service classes. We concentrate in scenarios where few base stations have limited backhaul capacity. A user from each service class requires to be served with a fixed data rate transmission in the downlink. We use a utility-based framework to quantitatively translate user (e.g. resource consumption) or operator benefits (i.e. revenue) into a utility function. It has been shown that with the presented algorithm users are likely to be attracted towards the BS with less loaded radio and backhaul constraint and the number of user assignment is increased.

1 The revenue that would be obtained if all users are assigned.
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