



**UNIVERSITAT POLITÈCNICA DE CATALUNYA  
BARCELONATECH**

---

**Escola Tècnica Superior d'Enginyeria  
de Telecomunicació de Barcelona**

**POSITION ESTIMATION AND GAP MEASUREMENT OF A  
POINT MACHINE USING AN ELECTRONIC DEVICE WITH  
EMBEDDED ARTIFICIAL VISION FIRMWARE**

**A Master's Thesis**

**Submitted to the Faculty of the**

**Escola Tècnica d'Enginyeria de Telecomunicació de  
Barcelona**

**Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya**

**by**

**Rafel Mormeneo Melich**

**In partial fulfillment**

**of the requirements for the degree of**

**MASTER OF SCIENCE IN RESEARCH ON INFORMATION  
AND COMMUNICATION TECHNOLOGIES**

**Advisor: Javier Ruiz Hidalgo**

**Barcelona, June 2015**



UNIVERSITAT POLITÈCNICA  
DE CATALUNYA  
BARCELONATECH



**Title of the thesis:** Position estimation and gap measurement of a point machine using an electronic device with embedded artificial vision firmware

**Author:** Rafel Mormeneo Melich

**Advisor:** Javier Ruiz Hidalgo

## **Abstract**

An electronic device based on a microcontroller and an image sensor is designed and developed. The device will be used in the railway sector to monitor the current position of a point machine and the gap between two mechanical parts inside it. It has to improve and resolve some issues of other devices in the market that perform the same task but with different technologies. Embedded firmware for the device has been developed to process images to estimate the position of the point machine and the above mentioned gap. The device will transmit the information and, eventually, images to a central server which stores the information of all devices in the system. Accuracy and precision of the measurements are presented. We will also compare the measures between the new device and the previous one to validate the improvement.



I dedicate this dissertation to my family who has encouraged me all the time, specially to my wife, Elisabeth who has helped me a lot in the final sprint.

## **Acknowledgements**

Thank you very much to all the team of Thinking Forward XXI with whom I have been working side by side during the last four years. All together we have done a great work that has allowed us to develop and produce this new device.

I also want to acknowledge Marc because he has given me some drawings that I have used in this document and everybody who has helped me to review it: Aida, Jessica, Ezio and Žaneta.

My wife, Elisabeth, also merits some acknowledgment words not only by giving me emotional support but also by understanding me in those moments when I was absorbed and I could not devote her all the attention she deserves.

Finally, I want to acknowledge Javier, who gave me some valuable advice, supervised my work was always pushing me to finish this work.

## **Revision history and approval record**

Revision	Date	Purpose
0	23/03/2015	Document creation
1	06/05/2015	Preliminary Revision
2	08/06/2015	Complete Revision
3	22/06/2015	Final Revision

Written by:		Reviewed and approved by:	
Date	14/06/2015	Date	22/06/2015
Name	Rafel Mormeneo Melich	Name	Javier Ruiz Hidalgo
Position	Project Author	Position	Project Supervisor

## **Table of contents**

Abstract.....	1
Acknowledgements .....	3
Revision history and approval record.....	4
Table of contents .....	5
List of Figures .....	7
List of Tables .....	9
1. Introduction.....	10
1.1. Summary.....	10
1.2. Field of application .....	11
1.3. Goal.....	13
1.4. Planning .....	14
2. State of the art.....	16
2.1. Computer vision in industrial applications .....	16
2.2. Embedded computer vision .....	16
2.3. Previous work .....	17
3. Fundamentals .....	20
3.1. Optimal threshold in binarization .....	20
3.2. Mathematical Morphology .....	21
3.3. Connected components.....	24
4. Methodology / project development.....	27
4.1. System specifications .....	27
4.2. Hardware Design .....	28
4.2.1. System architecture.....	28
4.2.1.1. Microcontroller .....	29
4.2.1.2. Image Sensor .....	30
4.2.1.3. Light Pattern .....	30
4.2.1.4. Schematic.....	31
4.2.1.5. Layout Design .....	35
4.2.2. Optical element.....	36
4.2.3. Housing.....	37
4.3. Software Design and development .....	37
4.3.1. Firmware architecture.....	38
4.3.2. Image processing .....	39

4.3.2.1. Image binarization .....	40
4.3.2.2. Morphological filtering .....	42
4.3.2.3. Image labeling .....	44
4.3.2.4. Geometrical filtering .....	46
4.3.2.5. Position estimation .....	47
4.3.2.6. Gap measurement .....	48
4.3.3. Custom communication protocol over CAN.....	53
4.3.3.1. Protocol description.....	53
4.3.4. Installation Program .....	57
4.3.5. Web Client Integration.....	58
5. Results.....	60
5.1. Sensitivity .....	60
5.2. Accuracy .....	60
5.3. Precision .....	62
6. Budget .....	64
7. Conclusions and future development .....	67
7.1. Goal and specifications review .....	67
7.2. Future work .....	68
Bibliography.....	70
Glossary.....	72



## **List of Figures**

Figure 1. Points in a railroad switch. Top: Normal position; Bottom: Reverse position ....	11
Figure 2. Parts of a point machine .....	12
Figure 3. Parts of a real point machine .....	13
Figure 4. Gap to be measured. Left: Lower lock bar. Right: Upper lock bar.....	14
Figure 5. Planning. Gantt Chart Summary.....	15
Figure 6. Task Detail. The tasks where I have been actively involved are marked in red	15
Figure 7. Embedded system for image processing. ....	17
Figure 8. Monitoring system infrastructure .....	18
Figure 9. Binarization mapping function .....	20
Figure 10. Linear superposition principle.....	21
Figure 11. Linear superposition in images.....	21
Figure 12. Erosion and dilation with a diamond structuring element .....	23
Figure 13. Opening (left) and closing (right) of a binary image .....	24
Figure 14. Morphological filter.....	24
Figure 15. Image containing 10 objects.....	25
Figure 16. Neighbors of pixel $p$ .....	25
Figure 17. Different types of path between two pixels.....	26
Figure 18. Protective element where the device can be hung. ....	28
Figure 19. System architecture .....	29
Figure 20. DOE Patterns and pattern measures .....	31
Figure 21. Schematics. CAN Transceiver.....	32
Figure 22. Schematics. External Sensors, vibration and light.....	32
Figure 23. Schematics. Microcontroller.....	33
Figure 24. Schematics. Image Sensor.....	33
Figure 25. Schematics. External Memories and USB interface .....	34
Figure 26. Schematics. Power Supply circuit .....	34
Figure 27. Final Layout Design, top and bottom.....	35
Figure 28. Final Printed Circuit Board, top and bottom .....	36
Figure 29. Device Housing 3D .....	37
Figure 30. Firmware architecture .....	38
Figure 31. Image algorithm .....	39
Figure 32. Region of interest in Normal and Reverse position.....	40
Figure 33. Two examples of laser beam image, histogram and binary image .....	42

Figure 34. Morphological filtering diagram. Classical and implemented approaches.....	44
Figure 35. Label neighbors .....	45
Figure 36. Example of labeling connected components.....	46
Figure 37. Laser lines and regions of interest.....	47
Figure 38. Laser line in the lateral of the hammer .....	49
Figure 39. Images with augmented reality .....	50
Figure 40. Top and elevation of the lower bar .....	51
Figure 41. Camera position diagram.....	51
Figure 42. Left: Geometrical correction. Right: Absolute error .....	52
Figure 43. Special case when the gap is 0mm .....	52
Figure 44. Image Transmission data frames .....	55
Figure 45. Custom communication protocol diagram .....	56
Figure 46. Installation Program .....	57
Figure 47. Dashboard of a point machine.....	59
Figure 48. Installed device in a real point machine .....	60
Figure 49. Measures and mean .....	61
Figure 50. Absolute error.....	61
Figure 51. Standard deviation of the measures.....	63
Figure 52. BOM Cost distribution .....	65
Figure 53. Remote installation program.....	68

## **List of Tables**

Table 1. Example of calibration points .....	19
Table 2. DOE Pattern angles and computed pattern size at 150mm distance .....	31
Table 3. Implemented erode function .....	43
Table 4. Implemented dilate function .....	43
Table 5. Errors of the measurements in millimeters. u=upper bar, l=lower bar .....	62
Table 6. Standard deviation .....	63
Table 7. Bill of Materials .....	65
Table 8. Personal Costs .....	66

# 1. Introduction

## 1.1. Summary

The present project has been developed in a company called Thinking Forward XXI (TF). I have been working in TF during the last four years and at the same time I was coursing the Master MERIT.

In TF we developed a monitoring device to build a failure predictive system for electric engines in the railway sector which has been patented with patent number ES2374465(B1), [3]. One of the sensors of this device monitors a gap between two mechanical parts by using magnets and magnetic field sensors. We have to monitor the gap in one degree of freedom, but the magnetic field sensor is sensible to a 3D magnetic field. This causes the system to give bad measurements quite often. When we analyzed this problem I was taking an image processing course of the Master MERIT and I proposed to use a computer vision based device to substitute the magnetic field sensor. After studying the proposal we found that it was the best solution to avoid the problems of the previous device.

This project contains the whole design and development of an image based sensor. This sensor monitors a gap between two mechanical parts of a point machine that operates a railway switch. A railway switch and a point machine description and functionality can be found in Section 1.2. The device has been integrated in the monitoring system developed in TF with other devices which monitor electric and environmental parameters of point machines.


Basically, the device captures and analyses an image to determine the position of the point machine, it can be in two positions as we will see in the next section, and the gap between these two parts which also will be explained in the next section. The device has a microcontroller with an embedded firmware that processes the image to perform the analysis. First, the image is binarized using a statistical method. Then the binary map is filtered with a morphological and a geometrical filter taking into account the geometry of the monitored area. Then we extract objects from the image by labeling the connected components. After that, the position of the point machine is determined by looking at some regions of interest (ROI) in the image and finally the gap is estimated directly over the image and transformed to a measure in millimeters using a known element in the image.

The document is structured as follows. In Section 1.2 we expose the field of application of the developed device and then in Section 1.3 we explain the goals and specifications of the project. A brief look at the project planning can be found in Section 1.4. In Chapter 2 we can find the state of the art regarding industrial applications which use computer vision to perform some tasks, embedded systems that performs image processing tasks and finally the previous work done in Thinking Forward XXI with the monitoring and predictive system. In Chapter 3 we will expose the fundamentals of the image algorithms used in this project. Next, in Chapter 4 we can find the design and development of the device and its integration in the system. In Chapter 5 we present some results of the device. In Chapter 6 there is a summary of the costs of the project. Finally in Chapter 7 we present the conclusions and future work that can be done to improve the developed device.

## 1.2. Field of application

This project relates to an industrial monitoring system. More specifically the main application of the developed device is to monitor, in real time, the position of a point machine.


A point machine is an electric motor driven switch that enables an operator to switch a train from one railroad track to another. The main elements of a switch are the points. Figure 1 shows the points in normal position (top) and in reverse position (bottom). The train, which goes from left to right in this diagram, continues by the same track when the points are in normal position. In the other hand, it changes from one track to another when the points are in reverse position.



**Figure 1. Points in a railroad switch. Top: Normal position; Bottom: Reverse position**

Nowadays point machines are typically operated from a remote location. Due to their imperative closure it has a device to inform the operator about its current position. The most common realization of this device consists of two locking bars or detector bars, as we can see in Figure 2. The engine is connected to the stretcher (or throw bar) through gears. At the other end of the bar there are two points, or switch rails, attached to it. Each point has a lock bar attached to it. These lock bars go from the points to the engine closing. In the engine side there are two holding elements, called lock hammers, which are used to lock the lock bars. Each lock bar has a notch that allows the hammer to lock it in the current position. When the points are in normal position the first hammer locks one bar and when the points are in reverse position the second hammer locks the other bar.

The gap between the edge of the hammer and the notch when they are attached is proportional to the gap between the corresponding point and stock rail. The hammer activates an electric circuit when it falls into the notch. This circuit sends a signal to the operation center to inform the operator about the position of the PM. In case that the hammer does not fall inside the notch, it means that the gap between the point and stock rail is unknown and trains are not allowed to pass through the point machine.



**Figure 2. Parts of a point machine**

Figure 3 shows a real point machine engine. We can see that lock hammers are one in front of the other and the lock bars are one above the other. Figure 4 shows a detail of the hammers and the notch of the lock bars. Images are taken at different time instants and at different positions of the point machine. In the image on the left the hammer on the top fits the notch of one lock bar. In the other position, the hammer on the bottom fits the notch of the other bar.

When the operator moves the motor, it causes the lineal and perpendicular movement of the drive bar. In turn, this causes the points to move and change their position. The points drag the lock bars which they are attached to. Inside of the engine housing, the hammer locks the lock bar corresponding to the side where the points are. This produces an electrical signal that informs the remote operator that the switch has been successfully completed. When the lock bar does not arrive to its final position the electric circuit remains opened so the position of the switch is unknown and the operator cannot operate the switch. Security rules establish that a train cannot pass through an intersection where the point machine is in an unknown position. This affects directly the railway traffic in a high demand network like a subway or the suburban train.

Although the position of the point machine is the only information required from the point of view of railway safety it is not enough from the point of view of the maintenance of a big number of point machines. There exist devices like the one described in [1] which uses inductive proximity sensors or [2] which uses a transformer with two coils to detect the position of the bar.

There exists another device developed in the framework of a Master Thesis at UPC at the department of "Enginyeria de Sistemes, Automàtica i Informàtica Industrial". This device monitors all the signals available in the point machine including the exact position of the

lock bars using magnetic field sensors. As it is part of the prior work of the present Thesis it will be further explained in Section 2.3 in page 17.



**Figure 3. Parts of a real point machine**

### **1.3. Goal**

The goal of the present project is to develop a device to monitor the exact position of the lock bars. The task of the monitor device can be divided in two parts. The first one consists in detecting the position of the point machine, this is, which lock bar is currently locked. The second one consists in measuring the real gap between the lock bar notch and the edge of the lock hammer as we can see in Figure 4.

There are some requisites that this device has to accomplish.


1. Easy to install. In the railway sector there is a very limited period of time to do the maintenance tasks during the night where there is no operation of the service, therefore it is very important that the developed device be very easy and quick to install.
2. Robustness. Sometimes point machines are outdoors subject to bad weather, dust and humidity. Although the device will be installed inside of the motor housing it has to be robust to these unfavorable conditions.
3. Accuracy and precision. It is very important that the developed solution gives exact measurements with high repetitiveness. The gap to be measured in the lock bar is of few millimeters, so the desired precision of the measurements is between 0.1mm and 0.5mm.
4. Reduced execution time. As it will be explained in Chapter 4 there are two modes of operation. In normal operation a measure every 10 seconds is



needed. In continuous operation the device has to be able to give at least one measure per second.

5. Reduced manufacturing costs. In a railway infrastructure there are hundreds of engines to monitor, therefore, the unit price of the monitoring device must be low. The system should reduce the cost of infrastructure maintenance. Potential customers of the system are public or semi-public companies so the budget is considered at most for four years. All investments must have its pay-back less than this period of time. A point machine costs about 3,000€. In order to have a competitive monitor device, its costs should not exceed 10% of the cost of the point machine, that is 300€.

We will have these points in mind in order to do the design of the device in Chapter 4 and we will review the specifications in Chapter 7 to analyze which of them have been fulfilled.



**Figure 4. Gap to be measured. Left: Lower lock bar. Right: Upper lock bar**

#### **1.4. Planning**

Figure 5 shows a summary of the Gantt Chart of the project and Figure 6 shows a more detailed planning of the phases and tasks. There are 4 people in the design and development team. This has been taking into account to establish the duration and planning of the tasks.

Projects where both, hardware and software, are involved are very hard to plan because sometimes there are several iterations in the hardware design. At the beginning of this project there is a first prototype with a basic functionality that consists in taking pictures and sending them to the Server. I have been involved in the hardware design, schematic and layout, and also in the firmware development of this prototype. Because of this, the planning of this project is more realistic and the hardware design is shorter than in other projects.

All members of the team are multidisciplinary but mainly the hardware team includes 2 people and the software and firmware team consists of 2 people. My tasks are mainly in the software and firmware development although, as I have previously explained, I have also been involved in the hardware development of the prototype which will be taken as



the starting point of the final device. In order to make it clearer, I have marked in red the tasks where I have been actively involved in Figure 6.




Figure 5. Planning. Gantt Chart Summary

	Task Name	Duration	Start	Finish	% Contribution
1	Project	95 days?	Mon 15/12/14	Sat 25/04/15	
2	Documentation	7 days	Mon 15/12/14	Tue 23/12/14	
3	Prior Art	5 days	Mon 15/12/14	Fri 19/12/14	100
4	Fundamentals	3 days	Fri 19/12/14	Tue 23/12/14	100
5	Design	32 days	Wed 24/12/14	Thu 05/02/15	
6	Specifications	1 day	Wed 24/12/14	Wed 24/12/14	25
7	Hardware	17 days	Thu 25/12/14	Fri 16/01/15	
8	PCB	15 days	Thu 25/12/14	Wed 14/01/15	
9	Schematic	10 days	Thu 25/12/14	Wed 07/01/15	20
10	Layout	5 days	Thu 08/01/15	Wed 14/01/15	0
11	Mechanics	2 days	Thu 15/01/15	Fri 16/01/15	0
12	Software	24 days	Mon 05/01/15	Thu 05/02/15	
13	Communications Protocol	4 days	Mon 05/01/15	Thu 08/01/15	50
14	Image processing prototype	20 days	Fri 09/01/15	Thu 05/02/15	80
15	Web client integration	1 day	Mon 05/01/15	Mon 05/01/15	50
16	Installation program	1 day	Tue 06/01/15	Tue 06/01/15	50
17	Development	50 days	Mon 19/01/15	Fri 27/03/15	
18	Hardware	15 days	Mon 19/01/15	Fri 06/02/15	
19	PCB manufacturing	10 days	Mon 19/01/15	Fri 30/01/15	0
20	Housing manufacturing	15 days	Mon 19/01/15	Fri 06/02/15	0
21	Firmware	35 days	Mon 09/02/15	Fri 27/03/15	
22	Image processing library	25 days	Mon 23/02/15	Fri 27/03/15	100
23	Image sensor configuration	15 days	Mon 09/02/15	Fri 27/02/15	100
24	Communications Protocol	20 days	Mon 02/03/15	Fri 27/03/15	50
25	Software	12 days	Mon 16/02/15	Tue 03/03/15	
26	Web client integration	2 days	Mon 02/03/15	Tue 03/03/15	0
27	Installation program	5 days	Mon 16/02/15	Fri 20/02/15	50
28	Test	13 days	Mon 30/03/15	Wed 15/04/15	
29	Laboratory measurements	3 days	Mon 30/03/15	Wed 01/04/15	100
30	First installation	10 days	Thu 02/04/15	Wed 15/04/15	50
31	Writing	80 days	Mon 05/01/15	Sat 25/04/15	100

Figure 6. Task Detail. The tasks where I have been actively involved are marked in red

## **2. State of the art**

### **2.1. Computer vision in industrial applications**

Computer vision is becoming widely used in industry and many applications have appeared in recent years because it enables the automation of a wide range of processes.

Industrial computer vision applications can be classified in two groups. The first and most extended one consists in using computer vision to visual inspection. We can find many examples in the literature that use image processing for this purpose. In [4] a method for locating and inspecting integrated circuit chips is described. Another application consists in automatic verification of the quality of printed circuit boards like in [5], fabric quality [7] or industrial plastic components [6].


The second group comprise the control of robots by artificial vision systems. One example of this application is robot guidance to a precise position or trajectory planning like in [8] and obstacle avoidance [9]. In [10] a more sophisticated method of an industrial application with two CCD sensors for spray painting of a general three dimensional surface is described.

In [11] a measurement technique using computer vision is described. We will explain a little more this article because it relates more directly to the task that we want to perform in this project. The goal of the work presented in this article is to measure the area of leafs. In order to do so, the first step consists in obtaining binary images performing a segmentation of color images with an Otsu approach using the hue information. Otsu gives the optimal gray level in the range of  $[0,255]$  for image binarization. This will be further explained in Chapter 3. Due to some colors in background are close to the color of the leafs noise appears in the binary image. An opening is applied in the binary image to delete this kind of noise. Once they have filtered binary images they look for connected components using a two-scan algorithm. After the labeling step they use geometric characteristics of the a leaf in order to filter residual noise. Finally they count the foreground pixels and multiply this number by a precomputed constant to compute the leaf area. This constant is initialized with a calibration card of a known area. Similarly in this project we will follow more or less the same strategy to determine the exact position of the lock bar as it will be explained in Chapter 4.

### **2.2. Embedded computer vision**

Since image processing require lots of memory resources and processor time a variety of applications are still using ordinary computers to perform these tasks. Some examples are video surveillance applications, car license plate number identification, face recognition applications, etc. Another approach is to use an embedded system for this kind of applications.

Figure 7 shows a diagram of an embedded system. It consists mainly of an image sensor, some signal processing Integrated Circuit (IC) like an Application-Specific Integrated Circuit (ASIC), Digital Signal Processor (DSP), Field Programmable Gate Array (FPGA), Micro Controller Unit (MCU) or Reduced Instruction Set Computer (RISC) and optionally some external memory, other sensors, communications IC and interfaces. The only part of the different approaches that can be changed is the signal processing unit.



**Figure 7. Embedded system for image processing.**

The main advantage of this type of systems is that they are smaller than the computer approach so they can be installed in many other places. The drawbacks are the constraints in power consumption, memory, and processing speed. The emergence of more powerful microprocessors and microcontrollers has led to the gradual appearance of embedded systems for image processing.


In [12] an image sensor, a MCU and some laser diodes are used to measure object dimensions. They also use an object with known dimension to calibrate the system and translate pixel information extracted from the laser lines in the image to real dimensions of the object. In [13] the authors have developed an embedded system based on a DSP to do fingerprint detection. The fingerprint database is stored in an external SDRAM memory. They also use a keyboard and a display as the human machine interface. Another application of embedded systems can be found in [14]. This application consists in finding and recognizing car license plate numbers. An ARM processor is the core of this system that also contains an external memory, a keyboard, an LCD and different communication interfaces. In this application there are two different tasks. The first one consists in locating the car plate in the image. If this task is successful they extract the characters and perform a single-character processing to recognize the license number. Finally the recognized license number is shown in an LCD. Finally, in [15] an embedded system has been developed for face detection. In this approach authors use an FPGA as the core of the system. FPGA provides higher computational power but in the other hand they are much more expensive than MCU or DSP.

### **2.3. Previous work**

Thinking Forward XXI has been working in a monitoring system for point machines since 2009. A collaboration between TMB and UPC allowed the development of such a system

and the patent [3]. Students involved in the development founded a start-up in order to implant the system in TMB and in other potential customers.

Figure 8 represents the system. The core element is a monitor device placed inside the point machine housing. This device captures a lot of parameters of the engine. Some of this parameters are the current, voltage, temperature, operation time, vibration, position of the lock bar and gap between the lock bar notch and the lock hammer. The parameters are packed and send over a communication CAN bus to a communication concentrator (ECON) which collects data from all the devices in the station and send the data to a central server placed in the control center through an Ethernet network. The Server stores all data in a database. The data of different devices can be accessed using a web client. It establishes a connection to the central server to retrieve the desired data.



**Figure 8. Monitoring system infrastructure**

As we have previously explained, one of the parameters is the gap between the edge lock bar notch and the edge of the lock hammer . This measure is estimated with a magnetic field produced by two magnets and two hall effect sensors. The magnets are fixed in lock bars, one in each bar. Hall effect sensors are fixed in the interior of the point machine housing. When the point machine is in normal position one sensor detects the magnetic field produced by the magnet fixed in the corresponding lock bar and produces an analog signal which is a function of the intensity of the magnetic field. This analog signal is translated with an Analog to Digital Converter (ADC) in a microcontroller. In this position, the other sensor is not detecting any magnetic field because the magnet which is fixed in the other lock bar is far away from the sensor.

This approach has several problems. The first one is that the analog signal from the sensor has to be calibrated in order to give a measure of the gap in millimeters. The calibration is done during the installation of the sensor. It consists in placing a collection of gauges of different known measures and annotate the correspondence between the ADC value and the gauge. In normal operation, when the microcontroller reads the ADC input it interpolates linearly the values to estimate the gap. For example, Table 1 shows a typical calibration for a sensor.

ADC Value	Gauge size (mm)
1120	0
1158	1
1174	2

1193	3
1205	4
1215	5

**Table 1. Example of calibration points**

If the ADC gives a measure of 1185 the system estimates the real gap to be 2.58mm as we can see in the following equation.

$$gap^* = 2 + (3 - 2) \times \frac{1185 - 1174}{1193 - 1174} = 2.58mm$$

The second problem is also related with the calibration. When operators perform the maintenance tasks on the point machine the calibration process has to be done again. Usually, maintenance consists in changing some arrangements on the rails and this changes produce variations in the magnetic field measure. Sometimes maintenance tasks consists in changing the lock bars. In these cases the magnets have to be fixed again in new bars and, obviously, the calibration must be done again.

Another problem is that the magnetic sensors are placed in the engine housing and connected with wires to the monitoring device. Sometimes the wires or the sensors themselves are broken during maintenance tasks.

Finally there is another issue that affects the actual measure of the gap. The magnetic field sensor measures the absolute value of the magnetic field in a particular axis. Usually, lock bars have more than one degree of freedom because of wear by friction. The movement caused by these additional degrees of freedom introduces errors in measures. Due to this fact it is possible that the lock bar does not move in the correct direction but in another one and this produces a variation in the magnetic field that hits the sensor.

In order to solve the above mentioned problems a new device has to be developed. In the following chapters a device based on an image processing technique will be designed and developed.


### 3. Fundamentals

In this chapter we will expose the theory of the methods we are going to use in the image processing algorithms. We can see a diagram of the proposed algorithm in Figure 31 in page 39. The first step of the algorithm consists in image binarization. Then, the binary image is filtered using a morphological filter. Finally the connected regions are found with a two scan algorithm.

#### 3.1. Optimal threshold in binarization

The first step in most image processing algorithms consists in binarizing the image in order to extract objects from the background. Image binarization belongs to the group of the range transform operators. It is a non-reversible operation because it is based on two clippings.

To binarize an image, we have to clip all the values below a given threshold to 0 and all values above the threshold to 1. It is also commonly known as thresholding. Figure 9 shows an example of a binarization mapping function  $S(r)$  where  $S$  is the value of the pixel after applying the function and  $r$  is the original intensity value of the pixel.



**Figure 9. Binarization mapping function**

In order to perform a good binarization it is very important to select an adequate threshold. We will use the Otsu method [17] to select the threshold. This method is based on the image histogram and it selects the optimum threshold by maximizing the inter-class variance of two classes. Classes are defined as  $C_0$  which contains all pixels with a gray level between  $[0, 1, \dots, k]$  and  $C_1$  which contains all pixels with gray level  $[k+1, \dots, L]$  where  $L$  is the maximum gray level of the image and  $k$  is the threshold. In order to find the optimum threshold  $k^*$  it uses one discriminant criterion measure used in the discriminant analysis

$$\eta = \frac{\sigma_B^2}{\sigma_T^2}$$

where

$$\sigma_B^2 = \omega_0(\mu_0 - \mu_T)^2 + \omega_1(\mu_1 - \mu_T)^2 = \omega_0\omega_1(\mu_1 - \mu_0)^2$$

and



$$\sigma_T^2 = \sum_{i=1}^L (i - \mu_T)^2 p_i$$

$p_i$  is the probability of a pixel to have gray level  $i$  and  $\omega_i$  and  $\mu_i$  are the zeroth-order and first-order cumulative moments of classes defined with  $k$ th level.  $\sigma_B^2$  and  $\sigma_T^2$  are the between class variance and the total variance of levels respectively. Maximizing the discriminant criterion  $\eta$  is equivalent to maximizing  $\sigma_B^2$  because  $\sigma_T^2$  does not depend on threshold  $k$ . Therefore the optimal threshold  $k^*$  is found using a sequential search of the maximum of  $\sigma_B^2$  for all possible values of  $k=[0, \dots, L]$

### 3.2. Mathematical Morphology

In signal processing we use the linear superposition principle. It is based on the unit impulse and the impulse response which can be computed with the convolution operation. Figure 10 illustrates the linear superposition principle.




Figure 10. Linear superposition principle

Linear superposition is not good for images as we can see in Figure 11. Applying linear superposition means that we have to linearly combine different objects in the image. This is as if the objects were partially transparent. This is not true since objects near the camera occlude objects in the background.




Figure 11. Linear superposition in images

Mathematical Morphology is used in the field of image processing to analyze images from the geometrical point of view. It is based on set and lattice theory. Lattice is a mathematical structure, like the vector space in linear superposition, which is characterized because it has partial order relation,  $\leq$ , and two dual operators, supremum,  $\vee$ , and infimum,  $\wedge$ .

The infimum of a subset  $S$  of a partially ordered set  $T$  is the greatest element of  $T$  that is less than or equal to all elements of  $S$ . The infimum is also known as the greatest lower bound. Formally, the infimum of a subset  $S$  of a partially ordered set  $T$  is an element  $a$  of  $T$  such that:

- $a \leq x \forall x \in S$ .  $a$  is a lower bound, and

- $\forall y \in T, \text{if } \forall x \in S, y \leq x \Rightarrow y \leq a$ .  $a$  is larger than any other lower bound.

The supremum is the dual concept of infimum. The supremum of a subset  $S$  of a partially ordered set  $T$  is the lowest element of  $T$  that is more than or equal to all elements of  $S$ . It is also known as the least upper bound. Formally, the supremum of a subset  $S$  of a partially ordered set  $T$  is an element  $a$  of  $T$  such that:


- $x \leq a, \forall x \in S$ .  $a$  is an upper bound, and
- $\forall y \in T, \text{if } \forall x \in S, x \leq y \Rightarrow a \leq y$ .  $a$  is smaller than any other upper bound.

One important property of the supremum and infimum is that they are dual. Because of this, all morphological operators will appear in pair.

$$x \wedge y = -[(-x) \vee (-y)]$$

Similarly to the unit impulse in the linear space, the point is the basic sequence in the lattice structure.

$$\delta_L[n] = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } n = 0 \\ -\infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$



Any function in the lattice space can be decomposed using the point. In order to recover the original function we have to take the supremum at each point.

$$x[n] = \bigvee_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} (x[k] + \delta_L[n - k])$$

This allows to define the basic operator in the lattice structure which is the dilation operator and it is similar to a nonlinear convolution. It is characterized by  $b[n]$  which is called the "structuring element". The dilation is denoted as

$$\delta_b\{x[n]\} = x[n] \oplus b[n] = \bigvee_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} (x[k] + b[n - k])$$

The erosion operator is the dual of the dilation. Erosion is similar to a nonlinear correlation and it is also characterized by the structuring element  $b[n]$ . Formally, the erosion is

$$\varepsilon_b\{x[n]\} = x[n] \ominus b[n] = \bigwedge_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} (x[k] - b[k - n])$$


In order to compute erosion and dilation in image processing we use a flat structuring element. This means that the possible values of  $b[n] \in \{0, -\infty\}$ . Flat structuring element allows us to perform simple computations, only the min or max of the signal. Furthermore the output is one of the input samples, this means that the dynamic range of the output is exactly the same as the dynamic range of the input. Another advantage is that it preserve the contrast around edges.

The locations where  $b[n]=0$  define a window and the dilation consists in computing the maximum of gray level values below the window. Dually, the erosion consists in computing the minimum of gray level values below the window.

Figure 12 shows an example of applying the dilation and erosion operators to a binary image. For this example, the structuring element is a diamond of size 7x7 pixels with the



origin in the center of the structuring element. As we can see in this example the dilation thickens the objects on the foreground and it removes dark components (min) inside the foreground objects. In the other hand, erosion flattens the objects in the foreground and removes bright components (max) in the background. We can observe that both operators preserve the form of the edges of the image. This represents a great difference between morphological operators and frequency domain operators.



**Figure 12. Erosion and dilation with a diamond structuring element**


The combination of dilation and erosion allows us to build new morphological operators. As we have stated previously, the erosion operation is useful for removing small objects in the background. However, it has the disadvantage that all the remaining objects shrink in size as we have seen in Figure 12. This effect can be avoided by applying a dilation after the erosion with the same structuring element. This combination of operations is called an opening:

$$\gamma_b(x) = (x \ominus b) \oplus b$$

The dual operator of the opening is the closing operator. It consist in concatenating a dilation with an erosion with the same structuring element:

$$\varphi_b(x) = (x \oplus b) \ominus b$$

The main application of the opening consists in removing small objects in the image while preserving the contours of the objects that remain in the image. The main application of closing is background simplification. Closing fills small gaps in the objects and gather together close objects in the image. Figure 13 illustrates these concepts.



**Figure 13. Opening (left) and closing (right) of a binary image**

Opening and closing are increasing and idempotent. These two properties allow us to define the concept of morphological filter. As they are increasing, they preserve the lattice structure and furthermore, the filtering effect is controlled because we have to apply the filter just once. Combinations of openings and closings are also morphological filters. Figure 14 shows the previous image filtered with an opening after a closing. The effect is that we have deleted small objects in the image, we have filled the holes of the object and we have simplified the background by gathering together two close objects.



**Figure 14. Morphological filter**

### **3.3. Connected components**

Although binarization and morphological filtering take into account neighbor pixel values, they actually apply transformations on individual pixels. Usually, an image contains objects and therefore we need some technique to identify the pixels corresponding to these objects. Connected components theory tries to identify and separate pixels according to the real world object they belong to.

For instance, in Figure 15 we can distinguish 10 objects corresponding to 6 letters and 4 geometrical shapes. This is a binary image, that is, all pixels corresponding to an object (foreground) have the value 1 and the other pixels corresponding to the background have value 0.




Figure 15. Image containing 10 objects

A pixel  $p$  at coordinates  $(x, y)$  has 4-neighbors denoted as  $N_4(p)$ . This set is formed by the pixels at locations  $(x + 1, y)$ ,  $(x, y + 1)$ ,  $(x - 1, y)$  and  $(x, y - 1)$ . We can define another set of 4 pixels denoted as  $N_D(p)$  which contains the diagonal neighbors of  $p$  whose coordinates are  $(x - 1, y - 1)$ ,  $(x + 1, y - 1)$ ,  $(x - 1, y + 1)$  and  $(x + 1, y + 1)$ . The union of sets  $N_4(p) \cup N_D(p)$  is the set  $N_8(p)$  which represent the 8-neighbour of pixel  $p$ . Two pixels  $p$  and  $q$  are said to be 4-adjacent if  $q \in N_4(p)$ . Similarly  $p$  and  $q$  are 8-adjacent if  $q \in N_8(p)$ . Figure 16 represents neighbors of pixel  $p$ .





Figure 16. Neighbors of pixel  $p$

We can define a *path* between  $p_1$  and  $p_n$  as a sequence of pixels  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_{n-1}, p_n$  such that  $p_k$  is adjacent to  $p_{k+1}$ , for  $1 \leq k < n$ . A path can be 4-connected or 8-connected depending on the definition of adjacency used.

Two foreground pixels  $p$  and  $q$  are said to be 4-connected if there exists a 4-connected path between them consisting completely of foreground pixels. They are 8-connected if there exists an 8-connected path between them. Figure 17 illustrates these concepts. Gray pixels represent the background while white pixels are part of the foreground. On the left there exists a 4-connected and 8-connected path between  $p$  and  $q$ . In the central one there is only a 8-connected path because the 4-connected condition is not fulfilled in the central pixel. On the right image there exists a 4-connected path and two 8-connected paths.



**Figure 17. Different types of path between two pixels.**

A connected component  $C_c$  is the set of all foreground pixels that are connected to each other, which means that there exists a path between any pair of  $p, q \in C_c$ . Note that connected component is defined in terms of a path, and the definition of the path depends on adjacency, therefore we need to define the type of adjacency to find the connected components of an image.

## 4. Methodology / project development

In this chapter we will explain the key aspects of the design. We will start by establishing the system specifications that fulfill the goals presented in Section 1.3. Then we will continue explaining the hardware design which involves not also the electronics but the optical element and the housing of the device. After that, a communication challenge will be presented and we will explain a custom communication protocol to solve it. Finally the image processing algorithms will be discussed.

### 4.1. System specifications

The device must be designed taking into account the goals stated in Section 1.3 and the size constraints.

- First of all the device must be easy to install. There are only 3 daily hours to perform the maintenance tasks and maintenance teams are small according to the size of the infrastructure to maintain. The easier to install the device the better. This should be taken into account in the hardware, especially in the box design, and in the firmware design.
- The device needs to be robust in two senses. The first is directly related to the hardware design because it has to be installed inside a point machine which is subject to vibration, temperature changes, dust and humidity. The box of the device must resist this unfavorable conditions. The other sense refers to the repeatability and confidence of the measurements and it is related with the software design and implementation. The error rate of the device should be less than 5%, including bad measures and unknown measures when the lock bar is in its correct position.
- The minimum total gap to measure is of 5 millimeters. Maintenance operators want to know where the gap is less than 10% in order to plan a task to correct the position of the bars. The precision of the measurement should be less than 0.5mm and it is desirable to be 0.25mm. This should be taken into account to determine the resolution of the CCD and image processing algorithms.
- Another constraint of the system is the execution time that consists in the image capture plus the image processing times. The image capture time is determined by the hardware (the image sensor, the microcontroller and the memory latency). The image algorithm determines the processing time. As it has been explained in Section 1.3 the device has two modes of operation. In normal operation it gives a measure every 10 seconds. In general, the execution time could be up to 10 seconds. In continuous operation the device must provide at least one measure per second. This has to be considered in the design of the image processing algorithm.
- Power consumption has also been taken into account. The wire length between the control cabin and the point machine location can sometimes be quite long, up to 1Km. The device is powered by a DC voltage up to 24V with a cable of 1.5mm<sup>2</sup>. The resistivity of the copper is

$$\rho = R \frac{S}{l} = 1.71 \times 10^{-8} \Omega m$$

as we can see in [16].  $S$  is the section of the conductor in squared meters and  $l$  is the wire length in meters. We can compute the total wire resistance as

$$R = \rho \frac{l}{S} = 11.86\Omega$$

If we take, for instance, that the device consumes 150mA, the total drop of potential at the device is

$$E = I \times 2R = 3.56V$$

Typically the same cable is used to power more than one device so the power consumption represents a constraint on the design. This constraint relates not only with the hardware but also with the firmware of the device. If the execution time is so long that the device is always running then the power consumption increases and it probably does not fulfill the power consumption constraint.

- Size constraints. The device has to fit inside the engine housing. There is a protective element which can be used to hang it. Its position is the best for the device because it is just above the region of interest and the vertical distance from the bars to the position of this element is suitable. If we design the device to be hung from this element there is a size constraint. Figure 18 shows this element. First of all, we want the device to be as small as possible because it should not interfere with the visual inspection of the gap. Furthermore this device could be used in another kind of engine and the smaller the better to find a suitable position for it. Secondly the space between the protective element and the cover of the engine is about 10 cm. The cover is not fixed to the engine housing so it has some freedom when an operator opens or closes it. If the device is too close to the cover the likelihood of breaking it increases. So we must design it to be at least 2cm away from the cover.




Figure 18. Protective element where the device can be hung.


## 4.2. Hardware Design

### 4.2.1. System architecture

The most important element of the product is the image sensor and a suitable lens. The key point in the hardware design is that we have to develop a product within the minimum possible time and with the available resources in Thinking Forward XXI. In order to simplify the development, the first option to evaluate is to buy a module which includes the optical sensor and the lens. We have rejected this approach because we have found that manufacturers like SHARP or TOSHIBA are not interested in selling little quantities of

their integrated modules and furthermore almost all available modules in the market have a CCTV output that it is not useful for our application.

The second option we have evaluated is to use an image sensor with a microcontroller. There are some reasons why we are going to develop this device using this option. First of all, the team in Thinking Forward XXI which is going to design and develop the device has some expertise in developing hardware based on microcontrollers. Secondly, microcontrollers are cheaper than FPGA or ASICs. And finally we have a first prototype designed with this approach. In addition, the microcontroller approach has been used by Pauli et al in [12] so we know in advance that it is a feasible solution. Figure 19 shows a diagram of the system architecture. In the following subsections we are going to explain each of the modules.



**Figure 19. System architecture**

#### 4.2.1.1. Microcontroller

The microcontroller must have a CAN interface and a Camera interface. The CAN interface is compulsory because the device has to be installed inside the engines. Usually there are free wires from the control point to the engine which are the only way to establish the communication. The new device has to be integrated in a system which uses these wires to make a CAN bus and send information over it. The best choice is to use the same bus to send the engine position, gap measurements and images. The camera interface is also compulsory to connect the microcontroller with the image sensor. The microcontroller we have selected is an ARM Cortex-M4 from ST. More specifically it is the STM32F407 which goes up to 168MHz. We can see the electrical diagram of the component in Figure 23.

#### 4.2.1.2. Image Sensor

In order to select the image sensor we have to take into account the resolution we want to achieve. The area we have to analyze is more or less a square of side  $w=12\text{cm}$  side. The resolution we want to achieve is about  $0.25\text{mm}$  as we have said in Section 4.1. We can compute the minimum resolution of the sensor in pixels to be

$$\min res = \frac{120}{0.25} = 480px$$

Nor the maximum resolution neither the number of channels are important parameters for this application. Although the maximum resolution should be taken into account because it is related to the processing time, it is not a restrictive parameter in this application because usually image sensors can be configured to the desired resolution. Despite the application only requires one channel images (gray level images), color sensors have the same price as gray sensors.

Another important aspect to take into account is the availability of the sensor. After talking with some sensor manufacturers and distributors we have found that the best choice is Aptina. Although you have to sign a non disclosure agreement (NDA), the sensors can be bought in any component provider like RS or Farnell. After signing the NDA Aptina provides useful datasheets and developer guides that allows you to configure the sensor.

Taking this into account we have requested information about an Aptina and an Omnivision sensor and finally we have selected the MT9M131C12STC sensor from Aptina because the documentation is much better. It is a  $1.3\text{Mpx}$  color sensor and it can be configured through an I2C interface which is also available in the selected microcontroller. In Figure 24 we can see the electrical diagram of the device and the signals connected to it.

#### 4.2.1.3. Light Pattern

In Section 4.3.2.5 and 4.3.2.6 we will explain how we estimate the position and compute the gap. The solution with a better performance and that allows us to reduce the processing time is to use some kind of structured light.

We have considered using a single laser with a Diffractive Optical Element (DOE). DOE's allows to control the beam's shape of the laser light allowing us to have different patterns with the same laser component.

We have tested 3 different DOE elements whose patterns can be found in Figure 20. In this figure we can also see the measures of the patterns produced by the elements. In Table 2 we can find the pattern angles for each element.

The DOE have to be placed, approximately, at  $150\text{mm}$  of the plane we have to measure. The computed size of the projected pattern at this distance can be also found in Table 2. To compute the pattern size we have applied the following trigonometric relation considering that the laser beam goes from a single dot to the interest plane following a straight line.

$$a = 2 \times \tan\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right) \times h$$

The same computation has been applied to the other measures  $b$ ,  $c$  and  $d$ . The region of interest is about  $d=60\text{mm}$  and  $b=80\text{mm}$ . Taking into account this measures the DOE which fits better for our application is the 11 lines one.






Figure 20. DOE Patterns and pattern measures

DOE Item	Description	$\alpha$	$\beta$	$\gamma$	$\delta$	a (mm)	b (mm)	c (mm)	d (mm)
DE-R233	7 lines	30°	22°	3.6°	22°	80.4	58.3	9.4	58.3
DE-R213	11 lines	41.8°	30.3°	3°	30.3°	114.6	81.2	7.9	81.2
DE-R254	25 lines	36°	26°	1.09°	26°	97.5	69.3	2.9	69.3

Table 2. DOE Pattern angles and computed pattern size at 150mm distance

This solution has a main drawback which is the cost of the DOE. A single DOE element costs up to 40€ while a laser with a single line diffractive element costs less than 2€. As we have state in the goals (Section 1.3) one requirement of the system is that it has to be inexpensive. So we have decided that the best solution for this device is to mount two laser diodes with a single line pattern each one.

This solution has another advantage. The lasers can be placed symmetrically one at each side of the image sensor. Then, when an operator has to install the device he or she can center it only by looking at the projected lines. The device will be correctly installed if the two lines are centered in the lock bars.

#### 4.2.1.4. Schematic


Some aspects have to be taken into account to design the schematic.

- The device will be supplied with the same cable than the other monitor device and therefore the input voltage can be from 8V up to 24V. The input power of digital components must be conditioned according to their datasheets. Usually this means that decoupling capacitors must be placed between power supply and ground. Figure 26 shows the power supply circuit and the conditioning circuit. The LM2937IMP-5.0 is the first linear regulator. The input voltage of this component must be between 6V and 26V. If the input voltage is inside this range the output voltage is stable at 5V. The second low-dropout (LDO) regulator, TPS71828-30 is a dual regulator that gives two outputs. One at 3.0V and the other at 2.8V. The Aptina image sensor have to be powered with an input voltage of 2.8V. All the other components are powered with the 3.0V output.
- The communication has to be done through a CAN bus so we must use a CAN transceiver. Figure 21 shows the CAN transceiver circuit.
- The image sensor has a resolution of 1290x1024 pixels. The pixels are coded in YCbCr so each pixel needs 2 bytes to be stored. We need at least 2.5MB to store


the image. Microcontrollers have much less memory than this so we will need an external RAM memory to store one or more images. In Figure 25 we can see this external memory.

- A photodiode will be included in the design in order to detect external illumination. One of our customers wants to have information about when and how long the point machines are opened for maintenance. This sensor will allow us to detect this particular situation. Another vibration sensor will be also placed in the device. An important event for our customers is to monitor the reaction of the lock bars when a train passes over the point machine. We will use the vibration information in order to detect circulation of trains. When a train is detected the device enters in a special mode and analyses the images continuously.

The schematic has been designed taking into account these points and the previous ones stated in subsections 4.2.1, 4.2.1.1 and 4.2.1.2. Figure 21 to Figure 26 show the detail of the design.



**Figure 21. Schematics. CAN Transceiver**



**Figure 22. Schematics. External Sensors, vibration and light**




Figure 23. Schematics. Microcontroller




Figure 24. Schematics. Image Sensor




Figure 25. Schematics. External Memories and USB interface





Figure 26. Schematics. Power Supply circuit

#### 4.2.1.5. Layout Design


The design of the layout has to be done taking into account, basically, the size constraints and the electromagnetic compatibility of the device. Since there is not any expert in layout design in the project this has been outsourced.

For completeness of the documentation Figure 27 shows the final layout design and Figure 28 is an image of the final PCB with all the components. In the first design we had to make some adjustments.

- The position of the Flash has been changed. In the first design the LED was placed at the bottom of one of the laser diodes.
- The position of the photodiode has also been changed. At the beginning it was placed at the side of the board that points to the interior of the point machine. We have changed this component because it is better to place it in the top side of the board because in this side it receives more light when the housing top is opened.
- We have introduced a non-volatile Flash Memory in order to store some configuration parameters. At the beginning we considered the possibility of using the internal Flash of the microcontroller. We have decided to move it to an external component because of two reasons. The first one is that the writing to the internal flash is very slow. The second reason is because the external component is more reliable. Using a separate component we can also store the microcontroller firmware to reprogram it when necessary.







**Figure 28. Final Printed Circuit Board, top and bottom**

#### 4.2.2. Optical element

We are now focusing in the optical element. To select the lens we have to focus on the geometry of the problem. The device is going to be placed approximately  $D=15\text{cm}$  over the image plane. As we have previously said, the area we have to analyze is more or less a square of  $w=12\text{cm}$  side. We can compute the angle of view  $\alpha$  of the lens

$$\alpha = \text{atan}\left(\frac{w}{2D}\right)$$

The range of the angle of view can be defined to cover an area from  $w=10\text{cm}$  to  $w=20\text{cm}$ . With this range we have that  $\alpha$  must be compressed between  $20.48^\circ$  and  $37.43^\circ$ .

The angle of view determines the relation of the image sensor size  $d$  and the focal length  $f$  of the optical element with the equation

$$\alpha = 2 \times \text{atan}\left(\frac{d}{2f}\right)$$

Before computing the required focal length we must know the image sensor size. Usually image sensors have different width and height. We have to take the most restrictive size, which is the smaller one.

The size of the selected image sensor is  $4.6\text{mm} \times 3.7\text{mm}$ . So we can compute the minimum and maximum focal length to be  $5.46\text{mm}$  and  $10.24\text{mm}$  respectively.

Another parameter we have to take into account is the distance between the image sensor and the optical element. This parameter is also related with the focal length of the element with equation


$$\frac{1}{f} = \frac{1}{S_1} - \frac{1}{S_2}$$

This equation relates the focal length  $f$ , the distance between the optical element and the sensor  $S_1$  and the distance between the lens and the focused object  $S_2$ . Bigger focal length implies bigger distance between the sensor and the optical element.

We have found that a lens with a focal length of 6.1mm is adequate for the application we are dealing with. With this lens, the compromise between the covered area and the back distance of the optical element are both acceptable.

#### 4.2.3. Housing

I have not been involved in the mechanical design of the housing for the device but a 3D image is inserted here for completeness of the documentation.



**Figure 29. Device Housing 3D**

#### 4.3. Software Design and development

In this section we will explain various aspects about the software design and development. The project involves the development of an embedded firmware for the device microcontroller. The embedded firmware not only captures and processes images, but also implement the communication protocol.

We have used ChibiOS/RT to develop the firmware. This is an embedded, open source Real-Time Operating System (RTOS). Using an embedded RTOS allows us to develop the firmware faster. It has mechanisms such as multithreading that facilitates a lot the tasks of firmware development. In the first point of this section, 4.3.1, the architecture of the developed firmware is explained. After that, in subsection 4.3.2 we will explain the image processing algorithms that we have implemented. We have dedicated the subsection 4.3.3 to explain the communication protocol. The communication protocol is an important aspect of the development because, as we will see in this subsection, it represents an important challenge. In subsection 4.3.4 we expose the details of the desktop program that we have developed to configure the device during the installation. Finally, in 4.3.5 we expose the interface where final users can observe data and images collected by all the devices installed in the system.

#### 4.3.1. Firmware architecture

The firmware for the microcontroller will be implemented using the embedded real time operating system (RTOS) ChibiOS/RT. We have chosen this RTOS because it is free and open source, it has full support for ST microcontrollers and furthermore the discussion forum is very active and the questions are answered very quickly.

ChibiOS/RT is multithreading. This fact will allow us to perform some tasks at the same time. In order to exchange data among threads there are several mechanisms. There is a message mechanism that allows to send a message to a thread and wait for the response. There is another mechanism, called mailbox, that implements a queue of messages. A thread can post a message to a mailbox and another thread can fetch messages from this mailbox. Depending on the functionality we want to implement we will use one or the other.

Figure 30 shows a basic diagram of the threads we have implemented and mailboxes and messages between threads. All threads and mailboxes are initialized by the main Thread. Green arrows represent messages between threads. Message mechanism is a feature of the ChibiOS/RT to synchronize and send information from a thread to another. Yellow arrows represent message that are delivered to mailboxes. The mailbox mechanism is another feature of the ChibiOS/RT. It implements a queue of messages and it is also a mechanism to pass information between threads. One thread can be locked in a fetch operation of a mailbox until another thread posts a message on that mailbox.




Figure 30. Firmware architecture




The functionality of implemented threads and mailboxes is the following:

- **Main:** it initializes all drivers, mailboxes and other threads. When all threads are running it enters in an infinite loop.
- **Activity:** Every programmed period of time it sends a CAN Activity Message to the ECON to inform that the device is alive.
- **Get Gap Data:** this thread sends a message to the thread "Camera Shot" and waits for the image. After receiving the image data it estimates the position of the point machine and computes the gap.
- **Camera Shot:** this thread initializes the camera module to capture one frame.
- **Can Rx:** it listens to the CAN interface and receives messages from the ECON and other devices in the bus. Once it has received one data frame it puts a message in a mailbox for the thread "Process Message".
- **Can Tx:** it receives messages from other threads through a mailbox and sends those message through the CAN interface.
- **Process Message:** it receives messages through a Mailbox from the thread "Can Rx" and processes them.
- **SENDA:** this thread implements the custom communication protocol over CAN that will be discussed in Subsection 4.3.3.

#### 4.3.2. Image processing

The device we are developing has to perform two tasks. The first one consists in determining the current position of the point machine. If this task is completed successfully then the device has to measure the gap between the lock bar notch and the lock blade. In order to do this tasks we will use an algorithm similar to the one used by Kaiyan et al. in [11]. In Figure 31 there is a diagram of the algorithm.




**Figure 31. Image algorithm**

The first step of the algorithm consists in the image binarization. In order to perform this task we will use the Otsu method which has been explained in Section 3.1. Otsu method gives us the optimum threshold level, all pixels above this level are considered to be the foreground while the other ones are part of the background. After the binarization we apply an opening in order to filter the undesired noise. The most important step in the algorithm consists in extract the connected regions of the image foreground. In order to do so we apply the image labeling method explained in Section 3.3. Once we have found the different connected components in the image we can remove some objects with the geometrical information. We are looking for the laser lines in the image. These are

horizontal lines. In the side where the lock blade is present the line will be discontinued while in the other side the horizontal line goes from right to left. In both cases lines we are looking for are connected to the left and/or right margins of the image. Applying this prior information we can remove all the objects that are not connected to the side margins of the image. Once we have extracted laser lines we are able to estimate the current position of the point machine. This first task is performed by looking which line is connected to both, left and right, margins of the image. If we find an object that fulfills this condition we can determine the position of the engine. Once we know the current position we have to measure the existing gap. In order to do this task we will focus on the broken line. We will find the endings of both parts of the line in order to establish the number of pixels of the gap. To transform the number of pixels into a real measure we need some reference. We will use the lock blade as the reference because its size is always the same. In order to do this we have to measure this part of the point.

In order to increase the processing speed we have defined a region of interest (ROI). Figure 32 shows the region of interest when the point machine is in normal and reverse position. The ROI consists of 4 windows. Windows are placed in the borders of the lock hammers. In 4.3.2.5 and 4.3.2.6 we will explain how these windows are used to determine the position of the point machine and to compute the gap.



**Figure 32. Region of interest in Normal and Reverse position**

All the algorithms have been implemented in Matlab before and then port to C. This allows us to develop the software faster and begin developing the final firmware with tested algorithms. It is very hard to debug image algorithms in the microcontroller. Matlab prototypes help us to compare the results and assess that the firmware is performing correctly.

#### **4.3.2.1. Image binarization**

The first step in image binarization consists in finding the optimal threshold to extract objects from the background. In order to perform this step we are using the Otsu's method which has been explained in Section 3.1. Algorithm 1 shows the algorithm steps. The first one consists in computing the image histogram. This is accomplished by initializing an array of length equal to the number of gray levels in the image to 0's. Then for every pixel in the image the counter corresponding to its gray level is increased by 1. At the end of the scanning the counter array contains the number of pixels with each gray level in the image  $n_i$ . Then the probability for each gray level is computed as

$$p_i = \frac{n_i}{T}, \quad i = [0 \dots L]$$

where  $T = M \times N$  is the total number of pixels in the image. It is important to note that

$$\sum_{i=0}^L p_i = 1$$

Remember that we are looking for maximizing the intra-class variance of two classes  $C_0$  and  $C_1$ . We can compute the first-order momentum of classes as

$$\omega_0(k) = \sum_{i=0}^k p_i$$

$$\omega_1(k) = \sum_{i=k+1}^L p_i$$

$\omega_1(k)$  can also be more efficiently computed taking into account that the sum of probabilities is equal to 1. Then we can compute it just by subtracting

$$\omega_1(k) = 1 - \omega_0(k)$$

Then at every iteration we only have to add the next  $p_k$  to  $\omega_0(k-1)$  and compute  $\omega_1(k)$  subtracting the previous result to 1.

We can accelerate the algorithm implementation using two ending conditions. The first one consists in going to the next iteration while  $\omega_0(k)$  is equal to 0. This means that there are not any pixels in  $C_0$  and the optimal threshold cannot be in that level because all pixels would be in  $C_1$ . The second one consists in ending the loop when  $\omega_1(k)$  is equal to 0. This means that there are not any pixels with a gray level greater than the current one so it is not necessary to continue computing.

---

**Algorithm 1. Otsu's method for optimal histogram threshold search**

---

1. Compute histogram and probabilities of each intensity level
  2. Set up initial  $\omega_i(\mathbf{0})$  and  $\mu_i(\mathbf{0})$ ,  $i = [0, 1]$ , and set  $\max(\sigma_B^2) = \mathbf{0}$
  3. Step through all possible thresholds  $k=1 \dots L$ 
    - a. Update  $\omega_i(k)$  and  $\mu_i(k)$  at every threshold level
    - b. If  $\omega_0(k) = \mathbf{0}$  go to the next iteration
    - c. If  $\omega_1(k) = \mathbf{0}$  stop the loop
    - d. Compute  $\sigma_B^2(k) = \omega_0(k)\omega_1(k)(\mu_1(k) - \mu_0(k))^2$
    - e. If  $\sigma_B^2(k) > \max(\sigma_B^2)$  update it and set  $k^* = k$
  4. Desired threshold  $k^*$  corresponds to the maximum  $\sigma_B^2(k^*)$
- 

Figure 33 shows an image corresponding to the laser beam, its histogram with the Otsu's level marked with a green line and the binary image extracted with this threshold.




Figure 33. Two examples of laser beam image, histogram and binary image

#### 4.3.2.2. Morphological filtering

We have implemented the basic morphological operators which are the dilation and the erosion. In order to reduce the number of operations the output image is smaller than the input one. Using this trick we do not need to check whether the structuring element (SE) is completely inside the image or not. For instance, for a square structuring element of size 5, the output image will be 4 pixels smaller in width and height, two from each margin.

Figure 34 in page 44 shows a diagram of the filtering process. In this diagram the structuring element is a square diamond of size 5 with the center of the SE at location 3x3, this is the center of the SE. In the classical approach, we will produce the output pixel (0,0) by placing the center of the SE at location (0,0). This means that there are some pixels of the SE outside the input image and we need to take this into account in some way. When implementing the filtering process we need a loop for placing the SE at every location of the input image. With the classical approach we have to check if the SE is completely inside the image at every iteration. This produces a significant increase in the computational cost of the algorithm.

In the implemented approach we begin by placing the SE at location (2,2) in order to compute the output pixel at location (0,0). The last position of the SE will be (M-3, N-3) to produce the output pixel at location (M-5, N-5). Using this approach we do not need to check the position of the SE at every location because it is always inside the input image. We can compensate the reduction in size by taking bigger initial windows to estimate the position of the point machine and the gap measure. As we have said in 4.3.2 all the computations are done inside the region of interest consisting in 4 windows. When cropping this windows we can take into account that some processing steps reduce the size of the final image. Table 3 and Table 4 show the code of the implemented functions in C to perform the erosion and the dilation. An opening and a closing has also been implemented by concatenating erosions and dilations as we have explained in the section 3.2, Mathematical Morphology.

**Table 3. Implemented erode function**

---

```
void erode(uint32_t width_in, uint32_t height_in, uint8_t *_img_in, uint32_t
*width_out, uint32_t *height_out, uint8_t *_img_out){
    uint8_t se_dim = 2;
    //Pointer conversion to access as a matrix
    uint8_t (*img_in)[width_in] = _img_in;
    uint8_t (*img_out)[width_in-2*se_dim] = _img_out
    int16_t x,y;
    for(y=se_dim;y<height_in-se_dim;y++){
        for(x=se_dim;x<width_in-se_dim;x++){
            if ( img_in[y-2][x]==0 || img_in[y-1][x-1]==0 || img_in[y-1][x]==0 ||
                img_in[y-1][x+1]==0 || img_in[y][x-2]==0 || img_in[y][x-1]==0 ||
                img_in[y][x]==0 || img_in[y][x+1]==0 || img_in[y][x+2]==0 ||
                img_in[y+1][x-1]==0 || img_in[y+1][x]==0 || img_in[y+1][x+1]==0 ||
                img_in[y+2][x]==0)
            {
                img_out[y-se_dim][x-se_dim] = 0;
            } else {
                img_out[y-se_dim][x-se_dim] = 1;
            }
        }
    }
    *width_out = width_in - 2*se_dim;
    *height_out = height_in - 2*se_dim;
}
```


---

**Table 4. Implemented dilate function**

---

```
void dilate(uint32_t width_in, uint32_t height_in, uint8_t *_img_in, uint32_t
*width_out, uint32_t *height_out, uint8_t *_img_out){
    uint8_t se_dim = 2;
    // Pointer conversion to access as a matrix
    uint8_t (*img_in)[width_in] = _img_in;
    uint8_t (*img_out)[width_in-2*se_dim] = _img_out;
    int16_t x,y;
    for(y=se_dim;y<height_in-se_dim;y++){
        for(x=se_dim;x<width_in-se_dim;x++){
            if ( img_in[y-2][x]==1 || img_in[y-1][x-1]==1 || img_in[y-1][x]==1 ||
                img_in[y-1][x+1]==1 || img_in[y][x-2]==1 || img_in[y][x-1]==1 ||
                img_in[y][x]==1 || img_in[y][x+1]==1 || img_in[y][x+2]==1 ||
                img_in[y+1][x-1]==1 || img_in[y+1][x]==1 || img_in[y+1][x+1]==1 ||
                img_in[y+2][x]==1)
            {
                img_out[y-se_dim][x-se_dim] = 1;
            } else {
                img_out[y-se_dim][x-se_dim] = 0;
            }
        }
    }
    *width_out = width_in - 2*se_dim;
    *height_out = height_in - 2*se_dim;
}
```

---



**Figure 34. Morphological filtering diagram. Classical and implemented approaches**

#### 4.3.2.3. Image labeling

The next step, after filtering the image, is to label the connected components. We want to detect the laser lines. This lines are like an object in our image so we need some mechanism to extract this information. In order to extract objects from an image we have to find the connected components as we have seen in Section 3.3.

We have implemented a two-scan image labeling algorithm similar to the one presented in [19]. A detailed description of the algorithm can be found in Algorithm 2.

#### Algorithm 2. Two-scan labeling algorithm

1. Initialize a *correspondence* matrix of size MAX\_LABELS x 2 to -1
2. Initialize an output labels map of the input image size to -1
3. Initialize a vector of size MAX\_LABELS to 0 to store the area of each label
4. Initialize var *num\_labels* = 0
5. For each pixel in the input image except the first and last row and column:
  - a. If  $in(x,y) == in(x-1,y-1)$  and  $out(x-1,y-1)$  has not initial value then assign  $out(x,y)$  same label as  $out(x-1,y-1)$
  - b. If  $in(x,y) == in(x,y-1)$  and  $out(x,y-1)$  has not initial value then
    - i. If  $out(x,y)$  has initial value assign  $out(x,y)$  same label as  $out(x,y-1)$
    - ii. Otherwise insert a correspondence  $[out(x,y), out(x,y-1)]$
  - c. If  $in(x,y) == in(x+1,y-1)$  and  $out(x+1,y-1)$  has not initial value then
    - i. If  $out(x,y)$  has initial value assign  $out(x,y)$  same label as  $out(x+1,y-1)$
    - ii. Otherwise insert a correspondence  $[out(x,y), out(x+1,y-1)]$
  - d. If  $in(x,y) == in(x-1,y)$  and  $out(x-1,y)$  has not initial value then
    - i. If  $out(x,y)$  has initial value assign  $out(x,y)$  same label as  $out(x-1,y)$
    - ii. Otherwise insert a correspondence  $[out(x,y), out(x-1,y)]$
  - e. If  $out(x,y)$  has initial value then assign *num\_labels* to  $out(x,y)$  and increase



$num\_labels$  by 1

6. Initialize a vector *label* of size  $num\_labels$  to -1 to store the final label value.
7. For each *correspondence* as *cor* in the correspondence matrix:
  - a. If  $label(out(cor[0]))$  and  $label(out(cor[1]))$  has initial value then assign both  $label(out(cor[0]))$  and  $label(out(cor[1]))$  value  $out(cor[0])$
  - b. Otherwise if  $label(out(cor[0]))$  and  $label(out(cor[1]))$  has not initial value and  $label(out(cor[0]))$  is different from  $label(out(cor[1]))$  then reassign  $label(out(cor[0]))$  to all labels which has value equal to  $label(out(cor[1]))$
  - c. Otherwise if  $label(out(cor[0]))$  has not initial value then assign value of  $label(out(cor[0]))$  to  $label(out(cor[1]))$
  - d. Otherwise assign value of  $label(out(cor[1]))$  to  $label(out(cor[0]))$
8. For each position  $[x,y]$  in the initial label map, *out*, substitute the original value,  $out[x,y]$  by the corresponding  $label(out[x,y])$  and add 1 to the area of such label in the *area vector*.

In the first scan we assign a label to each pixel in the output label map taking into account 8-connectivity. As we scan the image from top to bottom and left to right we only have to check 4 neighbors as we can see in Figure 35. As in the previous step, morphological filtering, we reduce the output image by 1 pixel in order to reduce the computational cost of the algorithm by avoiding to check at every iteration if we are at the first or last row or column of the input image.

	$p$	-1
-1	-1	-1

**Figure 35. Label neighbors**

When two connected pixels belong to the same object but they have different labels, a correspondence is inserted in a correspondences matrix. Once we have concluded the first scan we construct a vector of labels taking into account all the correspondences we have found. In the second scan, for each label in the initial label map we change the label according to this new vector of labels.

In Figure 36 we can see two examples of the labeling process. In the left we can see 3 objects in the foreground while in the right there is only one connected component.




Figure 36. Example of labeling connected components

#### 4.3.2.4. Geometrical filtering

Once we have objects identified and extracted from the background we can apply the prior knowledge. As far as we are observing laser lines inside 4 particular windows what we can expect are horizontal lines, more or less, in the middle of the window. The geometrical filtering we apply to the images consists in preserving the zones connected to the lateral borders of the image with maximum area and deleting all the objects connected to the bottom and/or top edges of the windows.

To perform this kind of filtering we scan the left and right boundaries of the image and we mark the labels that are connected to those margins to be saved. After that, we scan the bottom and top margins and we mark the labels that are connected to these margins to be deleted. This process deletes noisy objects in the bottom or in the top of the image that are connected to the lateral edges of the ROI. Then we look for the label in the left with maximum area among those labels which are marked to be maintained. The same process is preformed for the label in the right. Finally we scan the image and assign the background label to all labels except the label connected to the left and/or the right with maximum area.

##### Algorithm 3. Geometrical filtering

1. Initialize two vectors of size *num\_labels* to 0. These two vectors store the labels to be maintained.
2. For each row of the labels map:
  - a. If the first label ( $x=0$ ) do not belong to the background then mark the label to be maintained in the left.
  - b. If the last label ( $x=\text{width}-1$ ) do not belong to the background the mark it to be maintained in the right.
3. For each column of the labels map:
  - a. If the first label ( $y=0$ ) do not belong to the background then unmark it to be maintained in the left and in the right.
  - b. If the last label ( $y=\text{height}-1$ ) do not belong to the background then unmark it to be maintained in the left and in the right.




4. Initialize  $max\_area\_left$  and  $max\_area\_right$  to 0 and  $label\_left$  and  $label\_right$  to -1.
5. For each label:
  - a. If the label has to be maintained in the left and its area is bigger than  $max\_area\_left$  then set  $max\_area\_left$  equal to the area of the current label and set  $label\_left$  equal to the current label.
  - b. The same as 5.a in the right.
6. For each position (x,y) in the label map:
  - a. If the current label is not equal to  $label\_left$  or  $label\_right$  then assign the background label to it.

#### 4.3.2.5. Position estimation

The algorithm to estimate the point machine position is quite simple with the data we have after binarizing, labeling and simplifying the images.

Once we have horizontal labels in the images we only have to look for lines that cross the entire region of interest (ROI). After the geometrical filtering process ROIs can only have one or two labels different from the background. To determine the point machine position we have to analyze ROIs by pairs:  $ROI_{11}$  and  $ROI_{12}$  form a group and  $ROI_{21}$  and  $ROI_{22}$  form the other group. In the side where the lock hammer is inside the notch we will find two labels in each ROI of the group. In the other side we will only find one object that goes from one side to the other in both ROIs of the group.

Figure 37 shows an example of an image taken by the device. ROIs are marked and labeled. Two laser lines are projected in the scene where the lock hammer, in this particular case, is attached in the notch of the upper lock bar. To determine the position of the point machine we have to look the two ROIs, 11 and 12 or 21 and 22, that have a complete horizontal line. In this case, this happens in  $ROI_{21}$  and  $ROI_{22}$ .  $ROI_{11}$  and  $ROI_{12}$  have two labels each one, one connected to the left border of the ROI and the other connected to the right border. This means that the hammer is in the top side.



**Figure 37. Laser lines and regions of interest**

In order to make the algorithm more robust we can decide at which side the point machine is if we have any of these 2 situations:

- Both ROIs of the group have complete horizontal lines.

- One ROI of the group has a complete horizontal line and any ROI of the other group do not have complete horizontal line.

We can apply this redundancy in order to improve the robustness of the algorithm taking into account that it is impossible to have both ROIs with complete horizontal lines when the hammer is inside the notch. In the other hand, it could be possible that in the other group of ROIs the horizontal lines were broken due to lack of illumination or dirt on the lock bar that produce a bad reflection of the laser light.

#### 4.3.2.6. Gap measurement

The last step after detecting the point machine position is to compute the gap between the lock hammer and the notch of the lock bar. This task is done with Algorithm 4.

##### Algorithm 4. Gap measurement

- 
1. Compute the laser slope in the image.
  2. Look for the end of the laser line on the lock hammer in ROI<sub>11</sub> or ROI<sub>21</sub> depending on the point machine position.
  3. Look for the end of the laser line on the lock hammer in ROI<sub>12</sub> or ROI<sub>22</sub> depending on the point machine position.
  4. Compute the size in pixels of the hammer and establish the size of the pixel in mm taking into account that we know the actual lock hammer size.
  5. Look for the end of the laser line on the lock bar in ROI<sub>12</sub> or ROI<sub>22</sub> depending on the point machine position.
  6. Compute the size of the gap in pixels and transform it to millimeters taking into account the size of the pixel that we have computed in step 4.
  7. Apply a geometrical correction to the measurement if needed.
- 

The first step in the algorithm consists in computing the laser slope in the image. The slope is needed for the next step where we have to look for the end of the laser line. We have to compute the slope of both lasers, the first one in ROI<sub>11</sub> and ROI<sub>12</sub>, and the other one in ROI<sub>21</sub> and ROI<sub>22</sub>. In order to explain the algorithm we will focus on ROI<sub>11</sub> and ROI<sub>12</sub>. To compute the laser slope we have to compute the mean in the Y axis of the pixels which label belongs to the foreground object connected to the right border in ROI<sub>11</sub> and the object connected to the left border in ROI<sub>12</sub>. To accelerate the process we will compute the mean of the pixels in ROI<sub>11</sub> with  $x = [w - M, w - 1]$  and in ROI<sub>12</sub> with  $x = [0, M - 1]$  where  $w$  is the width of the ROIs. We can define the subset of pixels  $P_0 = [x_{0k} = (x_{0k}, y_{0k}) \in C_0 | w - M \leq x_k < w]$  where  $C_0$  is the set of pixels in ROI<sub>11</sub> which label belongs to the object connected to the right border of the ROI. Similarly we can define the subset  $P_1 = [x_{1k} = (x_{1k}, y_{1k}) \in C_1 | 0 \leq x_k < M]$  where  $C_1$  is the set of pixels in ROI<sub>12</sub> which label belongs to the object connected to the left border of the ROI. Then we can compute


$$\bar{y}_0 = \frac{1}{N_0 - 1} \sum_{k=0}^{N_0-1} y_{0k} \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{y}_1 = \frac{1}{N_1 - 1} \sum_{k=0}^{N_1-1} y_{01}$$

$N_0$  and  $N_1$  are the size of subsets  $P_0$  and  $P_1$  respectively. The laser slope is computed taking into account that the mean value of  $Y$  corresponds to the center of the  $X$  values that we have used to compute that mean. So the slope is computed as

$$m = \frac{\bar{y}_1 - \bar{y}_0}{\frac{M}{2} + D_x + \frac{M}{2}}$$

where  $D_x$  is the horizontal distance in pixels between  $ROI_{11}$  and  $ROI_{12}$ . The slope for the second laser is computed with the same algorithm but using  $ROI_{21}$  and  $ROI_{22}$ .

The laser slope is used to look for the end of the laser lines. In order to perform this part of the algorithm we will find the equation of the line that is in the middle of the laser. We will follow this line until we find a background pixel and then we will come back through the line until we have the same number of foreground pixels corresponding to the laser above and under the line. This is done because sometimes the laser is projected in the lateral of the hammer or the lock bar as we can see in Figure 38. If we do not use this technique the edge is found in an incorrect position.



**Figure 38. Laser line in the lateral of the hammer**

Once we have found the end of the laser line in both edges of the hammer we compute the size of the hammer  $s_h$  in pixels to establish the size of a pixel in millimeters. The size of the hammer is known to be 25mm, therefore we compute the size of the pixel to be

$$s_p = \frac{25}{s_h} \left( \frac{mm}{px} \right)$$

Finally we compute the size of the gap. To perform this step of the algorithm we have to compute the distance between the parallel lines that marks the edge of the hammer and the edge of the notch in the lock bar as we can see in Figure 39. Measuring the distance between two lines is the same as measuring the distance between a point in one of the lines to the other line. We know a point in the edge of the hammer and another one in the edge of the notch in the lock bar. We will compute the distance between the point in the edge of the hammer and the line that passes through the point in the edge of the notch. This line is computed taking into account that we know the angle of this edge. This angle is configured during the installation of the device and it remains constant. The distance between a point and a line can be computed using the standard form of the line equation

$$d((x_0, y_0), Ax + By + C = 0) = \frac{|Ax_0 + By_0 + C|}{\sqrt{A^2 + B^2}}$$

As far as we know the slope of that line and one point we compute the slope equation of the line,  $y = mx + b$ , to compute  $A, B$  and  $C$ .

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} Ax + By + C = 0 \\ y = mx + b \end{array} \right\}$$


We can arrange the first equation to be similar to the second one and identify the terms.

$$y = -\frac{A}{B}x - \frac{C}{B}$$

Taking  $B = 1$  we have that  $A = -m$  and  $C = -b$ . We compute  $b$  computing the equation of the line for the point in the edge of the notch  $(x_1, y_1)$  as  $b = y_1 - mx_1$ . Using all these elements, the gap in pixels is computed as


$$g = \frac{|m(x_0 - x_1) + (y_1 - y_0)|}{\sqrt{m^2 + 1}}$$

Figure 39 shows two images with augmented reality that illustrate the process of the gap measurement when the point machine is in normal and inverse position. ROIs are marked in white, labels of the objects in the ROIs, whose corresponds to the laser lines, are marked in green and computed lines in the middle of laser lines and in edges of the hammer and notch are marked in blue.




**Figure 39. Images with augmented reality**

Lock bars are positioned one below the other. For the upper bar, the lock hammer and the bar surface are in the same plane. For this case we do not need to do any correction to the measurement of the gap. For the lower bar, the lock hammer surface is in a plane closer to the camera than the bar surface. We have to take this distance into account in order to make a geometrical correction to the gap measurement. Figure 40 shows a diagram of the top and elevation of the lower bar and its corresponding lock hammer.



**Figure 40. Top and elevation of the lower bar**

The device housing has been designed such that the optical element is positioned in the right side of the hammer edge to avoid an occlusion of the bar produced by the hammer as shown in Figure 41. The geometry of the system produces an error in the gap measurement due to the image we are processing is a 2D projection of the real world and the edges we are detecting are not in the same plane in the real world. The real gap that we have to measure is  $g$  but the gap we are measuring in the image is represented by  $g'$  which is the projection of the bar in the plane of the lock hammer surface.  $c_h$  is the distance from the lock hammer surface to the optical element,  $c_{dx}$  is the horizontal distance between the edge of the lock hammer and the optical element,  $m_h$  is the distance between the planes of the lock bar and the lock hammer which is half of the height of the lock hammer, and  $\beta$  is the angle between a vertical and the line from the edge of the notch and the center of the camera.



**Figure 41. Camera position diagram**

$c_h$ ,  $c_{dx}$  and  $m_h$  are known because they are the same for all point machines. We can compute the actual gap applying some trigonometric relations. The value of angle  $\beta$  can be computed with two similar triangles:


$$\left. \begin{aligned} \tan \beta &= \frac{c_{dx} - g'}{c_h} \\ \tan \beta &= \frac{g' - g}{m_h} \end{aligned} \right\}$$

If we match these two equations we can find the real gap measure as:

$$g = g' \left( 1 + \frac{m_h}{c_h} \right) - \frac{c_{dx} m_h}{c_h}$$


When the engine is in reverse position, the lower bar is locked and therefore the geometrical correction have to be applied after computing the gap measure.

At the left of Figure 42 it is shown the measure estimated by the device and the measure after applying the geometrical correction. As we can see, the corrected measure is closer to the function  $y = x$  which is the ideal case. At the right of this figure we can see the absolute error of the measure before and after applying the geometrical correction. As we can expect the error after applying the correction is much lower and very close to the desired value as we will explain in Chapter 5.



**Figure 42. Left: Geometrical correction. Right: Absolute error**

There is a special case to take into account. This is when the gap is 0mm. As we can see in Figure 43. When this happens the ROIs where the gap should be contains a horizontal line from left to right of the ROI. In this case the estimation of the measure is said to be 0mm directly without performing further computations.



**Figure 43. Special case when the gap is 0mm**

#### 4.3.3. Custom communication protocol over CAN

The device we are developing has to be placed inside the point machine engine housing. Furthermore it has to be integrated in the current monitoring system explained in Section 2.3. The easiest way to integrate the new device into the system is using the same communication bus to transfer the computed data and the captured images when necessary. This bus is a two pair CAN bus. The CAN specification can be found in [18]. The most important points are that a CAN data frame can have up to 8 bytes and CAN specification does not provide neither reliable nor ordered delivery of data frames.

The transmission of the point machine position estimation and the gap measurement can be done within one single data frame so it does not require any special protocol. A simple ACK mechanism is used to ensure that the data arrives to its destination.

On the other hand, one data frame it is not enough to send the entire image to the server. We must send a large number of CAN frames. We cannot send an ACK for every single data frame so we must implement a custom protocol over the CAN layer to ensure that all the image bytes arrive to their destination.

The custom protocol must ensure that all frames arrive to the communications concentration device and that they arrive in the right order. Figure 45 in page 56 shows the diagram of this protocol. The key aspects of the protocol are the following:

- The transmission has an identification number. Every data frame corresponding to the same transmission contains one byte with this identification number.
- The first frame of the protocol contains the number of bytes that will be sent.
- Each data frame with image data bytes contains a sequence number indicating the position of the data.
- ACK is used in order to ensure that all frames arrive to the receiver.
- NACK is sent to the transmitter to say that some frames have been lost. The transmitter must send again the lost frames.

##### 4.3.3.1. Protocol description

Figure 44 shows the structure of the data frames with the information that each one contains. The protocol, which can be seen in Figure 45, is as follows.

1. The protocol can start by two different ways:
  - a. Some device in the system sends a message (*Send Data Request*) indicating to the device that it has to send an image. In this case, the device sends a message indicating that it has received the request and will take and send the image (*Wait Data*). Then the device takes a picture, performs the corresponding computations and it sends the data and/or the image.
  - b. The device has detected an abnormal situation and it decides to send the image.
2. In both cases, when the device has an image ready to send to the server, it sends a data transfer request (*Image Properties*) to the communication concentration device (ECON). In the data transfer request there is information about the type and length of the data. When the ECON is ready to receive the



image it sends an *ACK Start Image* to the device. Then the device starts the transmission of the image. The device has a timeout mechanism to send as many times as needed the *ACK Start Image*. If the ECON has not enough memory to store the entire image it sends a message to the device (*Abort Tx*) indicating that it cannot transmit the data. If the image properties do not match the ones that the ECON has previously stored it sends a message indicating that it is receiving another transmission and the device has to wait to send its image (*Wait Tx*).

3. Data frames containing image bytes, *Image Frame*, are grouped in blocks of 40 frames and each frame contains a frame sequence number. The device will send the first block of 40 frames and then an *ACK End Block*. When the ECON has received the *ACK End Block*, it checks whether it has correctly received the 40 data frames of the current block. If this condition is fulfilled it sends an *ACK* to the device indicating that it can send the next block of 40 frames. In a noisy environment with many devices connected to the same bus is usual that some data frame gets lost during the transmission. When the ECON receives the *ACK End Block* and there are some data frames missing or when the *ACK End Block* is lost, it sends a *NACK Frames Block*. The *NACK* contains 5 bytes indicating which packets have been lost. Each bit of these bytes indicates with a 1 that the corresponding data frame has been lost. For instance, the code ...00010010 means that frames 2 and 5 have been lost and they need to be sent again.
4. The last *ACK End Block* sent by the device contains one bit indicating that the transmission is complete. If the ECON has received as many data frames as the first *Image Properties* message has indicated it sends the image to the server through the Ethernet interface. The Ethernet protocol is not described here because it is not within the scope of this project.



Send Data Request	Description	SID	EID	Byte 1	Byte 2	Byte 3	Byte 4	Byte 5	Byte 6	Byte 7	Byte 8
	Value	SID SLAVE	SOCEID Device ID	Msg To SOC Type 55	170	63	[0-255]				
ACK Start Image	Description	SID	EID	Byte 1	Byte 2	Byte 3	Byte 4	Byte 5	Byte 6	Byte 7	Byte 8
	Value	SID SLAVE	SOCEID Device ID	Msg To SOC Type 55	171	[0-255]	Image size in frames Number of frames				
ACK Frame Data Block	Description	SID	EID	Byte 1	Byte 2	Byte 3	Byte 4	Byte 5	Byte 6	Byte 7	Byte 8
	Value	SID SLAVE	SOCEID Device ID	Msg To SOC Type 55	175	[0-255]	Block Sequence Number				
NACK Frames Block	Description	SID	EID	Byte 1	Byte 2	Byte 3	Byte 4	Byte 5	Byte 6	Byte 7	Byte 8
	Value	SID SLAVE	SOCEID Device ID	Msg To SOC Type 55	172	[0-255]	Frame Error Mask 1 bit for frame (40 frames)				
Abort Image Tx	Description	SID	EID	Byte 1	Byte 2	Byte 3	Byte 4	Byte 5	Byte 6	Byte 7	Byte 8
	Value	SID SLAVE	SOCEID Device ID	Msg To SOC Type 55	173	[0-255]					
Image Properties	Description	SID	EID	Byte 1	Byte 2	Byte 3	Byte 4	Byte 5	Byte 6	Byte 7	Byte 8
	Value	S_TO_E Ox00B	SOCEID Device ID	ID Image Type 70	[0-255]	Image size in frames Number of frames					
Image Frame	Description	SID	EID	Byte 1	Byte 2	Byte 3	Byte 4	Byte 5	Byte 6	Byte 7	Byte 8
	Value	S_TO_E Ox00B	SOCEID Device ID	ID Image Type 71	[0-255]	Frame # Dataframe Sequence Number			Image data		
ACK END BLOCK	Description	SID	EID	Byte 1	Byte 2	Byte 3	Byte 4	Byte 5	Byte 6	Byte 7	Byte 8
	Value	S_TO_E Ox00B	SOCEID Device ID	ID Image Type 75	[0-255]	Block Number Block Sequence Number					
Abort Data Rx	Description	SID	EID	Byte 1	Byte 2	Byte 3	Byte 4	Byte 5	Byte 6	Byte 7	Byte 8
	Value	S_TO_E Ox00B	SOCEID Device ID	ID Image Type 72	[0-255]						
Wait Data	Description	SID	EID	Byte 1	Byte 2	Byte 3	Byte 4	Byte 5	Byte 6	Byte 7	Byte 8
	Value	S_TO_E Ox00B	SOCEID Device ID	ID Image Type 73	63						

ECON TO SOC

SOC TO ECON

Figure 44. Image Transmission data frames




Figure 45. Custom communication protocol diagram

#### 4.3.4. Installation Program

A desktop program has been developed to configure the device during its installation. The program allows the operator to configure the following information:

- Position of the ROIs: The ROIs have to be placed at the edges of the lock hammers.
- Angle of the hammer in normal and reverse position: The angle of the lock hammer edge have to be configured. It is configured by clicking in a point of the edge and moving the cursor towards the edge. A line is displayed to help the operator to configure this angle.
- Power of lasers: This is configured from 1% to 100%. This control is needed because the reflection of the light is different in every point machine. Furthermore we have realized that the reflection of the lasers is very different in both parts of the lock hammer. This is due to the position of the lasers. The device is just above the right edge of the hammer and the reflection of the laser in the vertical is higher than in the right edge of the image. We have created a control to configure two different powers for the laser and the camera takes two shots. In the first shot it captures the right part of the image up to the middle of the ROIs and in the second one it captures the rest of the image. The device combines these two shots to build a single image as we can see in Figure 46.
- Manual exposition: It allows to configure the exposition value of the image sensor.
- Maximum gap value: Some point machines have the maximum gap value bigger than the standard of 5mm.
- Take picture: The device takes a picture, computes the gap, draws the augmented reality and sends the image through the USB port to the PC. It is also possible to take the picture without the augmented reality or taking only the minimum window which contains the four ROIs.




Figure 46. Installation Program

#### 4.3.5. Web Client Integration

All information is collected by a central server and stored in a database. Final clients can access data using a web client. The web client is a dashboard based application. We developed such an application because it is customizable by every user. There is a home dashboard which each user can configure with different widgets according to its specific role in the organization.

In the home dashboard there is general information about the whole system. When a user wants to analyze a specific point machine, he or she can access to the dashboard of the point machine. This dashboard, showed in Figure 47, displays specific information about the point machine. The point machine position, the gap measurement and images from the device will be displayed in some widgets of this dashboard.

In the point machine dashboard we can find real time information that is updated every second like the widget with the lock bar and lock hammer diagram in the right of the dashboard. Below this widget there is another real time widget that displays the position of the point machine, number of operations, temperature and the current gap. Data from the developed device has been added to these two widgets. In the first one, the lock bar moves from right to left indicating the current gap. When the gap is below a configured threshold, for instance 0.5mm, the diagram is displayed in red indicating that the position of the lock bar is not appropriate. In the second widget the measure is also displayed as text.

At the side of these widgets there is another one that displays the data collected after an operation. In this widget we find information about operation time, current consumption, voltage, power, vibration... The gap measurement just after the operation has also been added to this widget.

Finally we have developed a widget that contains an image gallery with all images taken by the device in this specific point machine. At the bottom of the image we have added the date and time where the image was received by the central server and the detected position. We have added controls to this widget to navigate through images and to take a new picture. When a user presses the 'Take Picture' button a message is sent to the device which captures a new image, computes the gap and sends the data and the image to the server. The image is automatically updated when it has been completely received. The process of taking an image and sending it over the network to the central server takes about 30 seconds.




Figure 47. Dashboard of a point machine.

## 5. Results

In this chapter real measurements taken by the device are presented. After manufacturing, assembling and programming it we have tested its functionality in the laboratory. We have installed a pilot product in a real point machine of the infrastructure of TMB. Figure 48 shows the device, which is currently running and taking real measurements.



Figure 48. Installed device in a real point machine

### 5.1. Sensitivity


The sensitivity of a device is the minimum measure change it can read. As far as we are computing the gap with image processing and we are not applying any sub-pixel technique, the sensitivity of the device is determined by the pixel size.

In order to establish the sensitivity of the developed device we can compute the number of pixels of a known object in the image. As we have said in Section 4.3.2.6 the size of the hammer is 25mm. We have computed the number of pixels of the hammer resulting that it has, in mean, 324px. Therefore the sensitivity of the developed device is 0.08mm.

### 5.2. Accuracy

To assess the accuracy of the device we will perform a test using gauges of different sizes. We will take measures from 0mm to 5mm with a step of 1mm. We will also save the measurements done with the previous system based on magnetic fields to compare the results between the two systems. We have taken about 100 measures for each step of the measurement.

Figure 49 shows all the points we have taken with both systems at the same time. In red are shown measures taken by the device we have developed and in blue we show the measures taken by the previous sensor based on magnetic field. We have also marked with a square of the same color the mean measure for each gap. In the left we have the measures when the point machine is in normal position, upper bar, and in the right we can see the measures when the point machine is in reverse position, lower bar.




**Figure 49. Measures and mean**

As we can see in the previous figure, the results are quite good but we need to perform some measures to assess its actual quality. We take the gauge size as the real gap. It is important to note that sometimes it is very difficult to put the gap exactly as the gauge size because when it is taken away it produces a small undesired movement of the lock bar.

We compute the absolute error for each measure  $k$  as:

$$e_a[k] = g_m[k] - g_r[k]$$

where  $g_m$  is the measure of the gap and  $g_r$  is the size of the gauge we have used to take the measure. Figure 50 shows measurement errors for the developed device and the magnetic field sensor.



**Figure 50. Absolute error**

In general, absolute error tends to be bigger when the real measure is high. It is for this reason that relative error, usually, is more useful than absolute error. Despite of this, it seems that for devices under study this effect is not relevant. This is because the error is constant all over the range of the measure. In the case of the image sensor, the error is always produced by one or, at most, two pixels. The pixel size remains always constant



because the device is fixed in its position so the error is the same when measuring small gap and large gaps.

The error when the gap is 0mm is a special case because the estimation of the gap is different as we have seen at the end of Section 4.3.2.6. Another special case is when the real gap is 5mm. As far as we know that the maximum gap is 5mm, because it is configured during the installation process, when a measure is higher than this value the device crops it to 5mm.

Table 5 shows the error of the measures we have taken in the test. The first thing to notice is that the mean of the error is approximately 0. This means that the error is unbiased. This is important because it means that we are not introducing a systematic error.

The maximum error of the developed device and the magnetic field sensor are practically the same. It is important to notice that the magnetic field device gives very accurate measurements because it has been calibrated just before the test and furthermore the point machine in the laboratory do not have mechanical disturbances due to the rails. Furthermore, maximum error is much lower than the 0.5mm that was initially specified. Although maximum error is an important point to take into account it is not the usual case. The error of the measures will be closer to the mean of the absolute error which is better than it was expected.

Sensor	$\bar{e}_u$	$\bar{e}_l$	$ \bar{e}_u $	$ \bar{e}_l $	$\max( e_u )$	$\max( e_l )$
Image	0.004	0.009	0.038	0.034	0.10	0.10
Magnetic Field	0.013	-0.016	0.068	0.031	0.098	0.109

**Table 5. Errors of the measurements in millimeters. u=upper bar, l=lower bar**


### 5.3. Precision

The precision of a device is the ability to give the same measure multiple times. In order to assess the precision of the developed device we have computed the standard deviation of the measures which is an unbiased estimator of the variance of the dataset. The lower the variance the better the precision of the device. This means that the device will give the same estimated measure when the real gap is the same.

The standard deviation have been computed using the following equation

$$s = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} (g_m[k] - \bar{g})^2}$$

Figure 51 shows the results for the magnetic field sensor and the developed device for both, the upper and the lower bars. We have computed the standard deviation for each gap step. The precision of the developed device is higher at 0mm due to the different estimation. We can say that this estimation is better in this sense. The precision is lower for the developed device compared to the magnetic field sensor. As we have previously explained, the measures of the magnetic field sensor when it is installed in a real point machine are not so precise nor accurate.



**Figure 51. Standard deviation of the measures**

Finally we have computed the standard deviation of all measures. To perform this computation we have taken into account that the mean value  $\bar{g}$  is different for every gap step of the dataset. So we have divided the dataset in subsets according to the real gap and computed the mean value for every subset. We have used these means to subtract them from the measure in standard deviation equation. Results are presented in Table 6. For the upper bar the standard deviation is 0.0232mm, this means that with a probability of 99.7% the measure will be in the range  $\pm 0.0696$ mm. For the lower bar we have a worse result, the 99.7% of the measures will be in the range  $\pm 0.2088$ mm.

Sensor	$s_u$	$s_l$
Image	0.0232	0.0502
Magnetic Field	0.0093	0.0101

**Table 6. Standard deviation**

In general, results for the lower bar are slightly worse than results for the upper bar. This is probably due to the geometrical correction that we apply to this measure as we have explained in Section 4.3.2.6. To compute this correction we use the position of the device relative to the lock hammer. Small errors in the position determination are propagated to the final gap measure.

## 6. Budget

In this chapter we present the total amount in materials and personal costs of the project. One specification for the device is that it has to be inexpensive. We present here this information in order to check whether this specification is fulfilled or not.


In Table 7 we can see the Bill of materials (BOM) for one prototype. We have also computed the cost of manufacturing the PCB and mounting it. We have added this in the BOM because it will be externalized.

Parts	Description	Unit Price	Qty	Subtotal
R16, R30	Resistor	0,0099	2	0,020 €
R1	Resistor	0,0445	1	0,045 €
C1, C2, C3, C4, C5, C6, C7, C8, C9, C12, C13, C17, C18, C19, C20, C25, C26, C33, C35, C36, C37, C38, C39, C40, C41	Capacitor SMD	0,333	25	8,325 €
C23	Capacitor SMD	0,333	1	0,333 €
R20, R34	Resistor	0,045	2	0,090 €
C21	Capacitor SMD	0,481	1	0,481 €
R17, R18, R21, R25	Resistor	0,0334	4	0,134 €
R22, R32	Resistor	0,0421	2	0,084 €
R3, R4	Resistor	0,0345	2	0,069 €
D3		0,199	1	0,199 €
C10, C11, C14, C15, C16, C27, C28, C29	Capacitor SMD	0,0382	8	0,306 €
C32, C34	Capacitor SMD	0,232	2	0,464 €
R5, R6, R7, R8, R9, R10, R11, R12, R13, R14, R15, R26, R28	Resistor	0,0102	13	0,133 €
C30, C31	Capacitor SMD	0,578	2	1,156 €
R19	Resistor	0,135	1	0,135 €
C24, C42	Capacitor SMD	0,315	2	0,630 €
C1H1, C1L1, C2H1, C2L1	Capacitor SMD	0,06	4	0,240 €
R2, R23, R24	Resistor	0,012	3	0,036 €
C22	Capacitor SMD	0,134	1	0,134 €
R29, R31	Resistor	0,011	2	0,022 €
Y1		1,43	1	1,430 €
SWD	Connector 1.5 mm, 6 pin	0,351	1	0,351 €
L2	Coil	4,07	1	4,070 €
Q1, Q2, Q3	Transistor NPN BC817	0,272	3	0,816 €
L1	Ferrita	0,0918	1	0,092 €
LD1	Coil	0,169	1	0,169 €
D2	Led bicolor Red Green	0,373	1	0,373 €
U5	3.0V PSRAM	4,92	1	4,920 €

LED1	LED	0,049	1	0,049 €
IC3	Regulator	1,24	1	1,240 €
U6	CAN TRANSCEIVER	1,12	1	1,120 €
D1	MRA4003T3G	0,364	1	0,364 €
U2	Optical Sensor CMOS	17,38	1	17,380 €
SENS1	Vibration Sensor	5,76	1	5,760 €
DP2	NXP	0,208	1	0,208 €
J1	Connector	0,291	1	0,291 €
F1	PolySwitch (TM) Resettable	0,511	1	0,511 €
U1	ARM Cortex-M4 32b	12,68	1	12,680 €
U3	Power switch	0,878	1	0,878 €
L_SNS	Fototransistor NPN	0,939	1	0,939 €
U4	DC/DC 3v and 2.8v 200mA	1,61	1	1,610 €
J3	Connector	0,94	1	0,940 €
	Housing	25	1	25,000 €
	Lens Holder	0,05	1	0,050 €
	Lens	3,56	1	3,560 €
	Laser	2,78	2	5,560 €
	PCB Manufacturing (prototype)	250	1	250,000 €
Total Amount				353,40 €

**Table 7. Bill of Materials**

The total cost of the components and manufacturing the PCB is 353.40€. As we can see in Figure 52, the cost of the PCB manufacturing and mounting, which is externalized, represents the 71% of the total cost of the device. In fact, this cost is higher for the prototypes than for the final devices due to the ordered quantity. So the cost of the final device is expected to be lower than the cost of the prototype.



**Figure 52. BOM Cost distribution**

In Table 8 there is the total amount of hours dedicated by each person in the project. The rate that has been taken into account to compute personal costs is 14€/hour. Total cost regarding to the execution of the project is 13.650€.

Task Name	Sub-Task Name	Sub-Task Name	Person	Hours	Cost
Design	Specifications		Marc	4	56,00 €
			Ezio	4	56,00 €
			Victor	4	56,00 €
			Rafel	4	56,00 €
	Hardware	Schematic	Ezio	96	1.344,00 €
			Rafel	24	336,00 €
		Layout	Ezio	35	490,00 €
		Mechanics	Marc	16	224,00 €
		Software	Ezio	40	560,00 €
			Rafel	40	560,00 €
		Image processing prototype	Victor	40	560,00 €
		Web client integration	Rafel	120	1.680,00 €
			Victor	4	56,00 €
		Installation program	Rafel	4	56,00 €
			Ezio	4	56,00 €
			Rafel	4	56,00 €
Development	Hardware	PCB manufacturing	Ext.		- €
		Housing manufacturing	Ext.		- €
	Firmware	Image processing library	Victor	40	560,00 €
			Rafel	160	2.240,00 €
		Image sensor configuration	Rafel	80	1.120,00 €
		Communications Protocol	Ezio	80	1.120,00 €
			Rafel	80	1.120,00 €
	Software	Web client integration	Victor	32	448,00 €
		Installation program	Rafel	48	672,00 €
Test	Laboratory measurements		Rafel	8	112,00 €
			Ezio	2	28,00 €
	First installation		Rafel	2	28,00 €
Total amount				975	13.650,00 €

**Table 8. Personal Costs**

## **7. Conclusions and future development**

In this project we have designed and developed an electronic device based on an image sensor and a microcontroller with embedded image processing software to determine the position of a point machine and estimate the gap between the lock hammer and the notch in the lock bar. This device has been developed according to the specification stated in Section 1.3.

In Chapter 4 we have exposed the design and development of the device and in Chapter 5 we have showed the results obtained with it. Finally, in this chapter we will review the goals and specifications to see whether the developed device fulfills them or not.

### **7.1. Goal and specifications review**

The goal of the project was to develop a device to determine the position of the point machine and estimate a mechanical parameter that is very useful for the maintenance team of the railway infrastructure. As we have seen in the Chapter 5, the goal have been achieved. In all measures taken during the test the device has correctly given the position of the point machine.

If we review the specifications presented in Section 1.3 we found that:

1. Easy to install. All the mechanical elements of the device, lens and laser position, can be adjusted before the installation. This accelerates the installation which consists in placing the device inside the point machine engine and connecting the 4 cables corresponding to the power and communications. After placing the device the operator have to configure it. The configuration is almost the same for all the point machines so this process is very quick. After installing the first device we have realized that the configuration of the laser power and exposition can differ from an engine to another and sometimes during the installation process it is not correctly adjusted. It will be necessary to adapt the installation program and the firmware of the device to allow to remotely configure it.
2. Robustness. The housing of the device has been developed to be very robust. There are neither movable parts nor external elements to the device housing that can be damaged. Furthermore we have put a packing in all the joints in order to prevent humidity to enter inside the housing and damage electronics.
3. Accuracy and precision. This specification has also been fulfilled. We needed an accuracy of 0.5mm. The maximum error of the test has been 0.1mm and the mean of the absolute error 0.034mm.
4. Reduced execution time. The execution time from the beginning of the image capture to the end of the gap measurement is about 1,1 seconds. This is enough for the normal operation of the device because it has to give a measure every 10 seconds. According to the specifications the device has a different operation mode when a train passes by the point machine. In this mode of operation it has to give at least 1 measure per second. This specification has not been fulfilled but a method to achieve this will be proposed in Section 7.2 (Future work).

5. Reduced manufacturing costs. As it has been exposed in the specifications the cost of the device should not exceed 300€. We have seen in Chapter 6 that the direct costs of the BOM and manufacturing the prototype are 353,40€. Despite of this, as we have explained in that chapter, the cost of the final device is expected to be lower. The 70% of the cost corresponds to manufacturing and assembling of the PCB. This is externalized and it will be cheaper for the final device than for the prototype because the cost depends on the ordered quantity.


Finally, the project has been successfully developed and the final device fulfills all the preliminary specifications. Specification 4 is the only one that has not been completely accomplished but a solution is given in next Section.

We have requested a Model of Utility, [20], at the "Oficina Española de Patentes y Marcas" that has been granted. The Model of Utility claims the use of an image sensor with embedded image processing software to monitor the point machine position and computing the gap.

## 7.2. Future work

Future work can be divided into two lines. The first one focuses on improving and making the installation of the device easier. The second line deals with new applications for the developed device.

In order to improve the installation we have seen that it is necessary that the device can be configured remotely. Configuration parameters are stored in a non-volatile EPROM memory in the device. When the device is initialized the parameters are read from the EPROM and loaded in a configuration array. As we have seen in Section 4.3.4 we have designed an installation program that allows the operator to configure the device. This program requires the operator to be in the point machine location because the device has to be attached to the PC using a USB cable. In order to configure the device remotely we need to modify the firmware of the device in the sense that configuration interface has to send and receive the parameters through the communications bus CAN. Furthermore the installation program has to be able to communicate to the device remotely. In order to do this, it has to be able to establish an UDP connection to the communications concentration device (ECON) which is placed in some location of the station. The ECON is connected through Ethernet to the local network so the installation program will be able to communicate to the device from any location with access to this network. Figure 53 illustrates the explained infrastructure.



**Figure 53. Remote installation program**



Other improvements to make the installation easier consists in avoiding some configuration parameters by letting the device to automatically compute them. For instance, ROIs will be in similar locations for all installed devices. One possibility should be to automatically look for the position of the windows. This could be done by, first, looking for the vertical position of the laser lines and then, once the vertical position is fixed, look for the best horizontal position. The best horizontal position could be found by looking when the laser line is broken and placing the gap in the middle of the ROI.

Another automatic configuration could be to find the best combination of the power of the lasers. Configuring the power of each laser is a tricky process. Sometimes when the power of one laser is too high or too low the binarization algorithm do not work properly.

Another improvement is related to the processing time. As we have explained in Section 7.1 this specification has not been achieved. The device is able to process one frame in 1.1 seconds. When the device operates in normal mode this is enough because we need one measure every 10 seconds. But, when a train passes over the switch it produces disturbances in the lock bars which eventually could break some part of the engine. This situation can be avoided by changing some parts of the engine and/or the rails. Therefore the maintenance manager needs information about the disturbances produced by passing trains. This information consists in giving the maximum gap when a train passes over the switch. In order to give the maximum gap we have empirically found with the previous device based on magnetic fields that 1 measure per second is enough. In this particular situation we know in advance the position of the point machine because an operator cannot move the switch when a train is passing over it for security reasons. We could take advantage of this prior knowledge to improve the processing time of the algorithm by jumping the position estimation step of the algorithm and looking directly to the ROI where the hammer is attached to the notch of the lock bar.

Another improvement for the device, also related to this special case, could be to record a short sequence of video while the train is passing over the switch. The device has not enough memory to store video sequences but, as far as it has an USB connector, a driver could be developed to connect an external Flash memory through this connector and store video sequences in such external device.

## **Bibliography**

- [1] R. C. Franke. "Railway Switch Machine Point Detection System". Patent US 6382567 B2. 25 Aug, 1999.
- [2] M. A. Hager, M. F. Towey, Jr. "Contactless point detection system for railroad switch". Patent US 6427949 B1. 23 Jan, 2001.
- [3] A. Girbau, M. Frigola, M. Gispert, E. Cappellino. "Sistema de predicción de fallos en redes ferroviarias". Patent ES 2374465 B1. 18 Dec, 2009.
- [4] M. L. Baird, "SIGHT-I: A Computer Vision System for Automated IC Chip Manufacture". Systems, Man and Cybernetics, IEEE Transactions on . Nov. 1976, pp. 3-7.
- [5] J. F. Jarvis. "A Method for Automating the Visual Inspection of Printed Wiring Boards". Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, IEEE Transactions on (Volume:PAMI-2 , Issue: 1 ). Jan. 1980, pp. 77-82.
- [6] A. Anzalone, G. Gugliotta, A. Machi', G. Sardisco. "Automatic Quality Control of Industrial Products for Irrigation". Image Analysis and Processing, 1999. Proceedings. International Conference on. Sep. 1999, pp. 588-593
- [7] Y. Rong, D. He, Y. Lin. "Rapid Detection Method for Fabric Defects Based on Machine Vision". Computer Application and System Modeling (ICCAS), 2010 International Conference on (Volume:10 ). Oct. 2010, pp. 662-666.
- [8] C.J.Zhao, G.Q. Jiang. "Baseline detection and matching to vision-based navigation of agricultural robot". Wavelet Analysis and Pattern Recognition (ICWAPR), 2010 International Conference on. July 2010, pp. 44-48.
- [9] F. Kunwar, B. Benhabib. "Rendezvous-Guidance Trajectory Planning for Robotic Dynamic Obstacle Avoidance and Interception" Systems, Man, and Cybernetics, Part B: Cybernetics, IEEE Transactions on (Volume:36 , Issue: 6 ). Dec. 2006, pp. 1432-1441.
- [10] A. Zaki, M. Eskander. "Spray Painting of a General Three-Dimensional Surface". Intelligent Robots and Systems, 2000. (IROS 2000). Proceedings. 2000 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on (Volume:3 ). Oct. 2000, pp. 2172-2177.
- [11] L. Kaiyan, W. JunHui, C. Jie, S. Huiping. "Measurement of Plant Leaf Area based on Computer Vision". Measuring Technology and Mechatronics Automation (ICMTMA), 2014 Sixth International Conference on. Jan. 2014, pp. 401-405.
- [12] N. Pauly, N.I. Ra\_a, "An Automated Embedded Computer Vision System for Object Measurement". Circuits and Systems (MWSCAS), 2013 IEEE 56th International Midwest Symposium on. Aug. 2013, pp. 1108-1111
- [13] M. Kamarajui, P.A. Kumar. "DSP based Embedded Fingerprint Recognition System". Hybrid Intelligent Systems (HIS), 2013 13th International Conference on. Dec. 2013, pp. 6-11
- [14] K. Zhang, W. Tang, H. Wei, R. Shi. "Study on the Identification System of Car License Plate Based on Imbedded Computer System". Education Technology and Computer (ICETC), 2010 2nd International Conference on (Volume:1 ). June 2010, pp. 146-149

- [15] L. Acasandrei, A.I Barriga. "Embedded Face Detection Implementation\_. Biometrics Special Interest Group (BIOSIG), 2013 International Conference of the. Sept. 2013, pp. 1-8
- [16] "Electrical resistivity and conductivity". [Online] Available: [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Electrical\\_resistivity\\_and\\_conductivity](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Electrical_resistivity_and_conductivity). [Accessed: 23 April 2015]
- [17] N. Otsu. "A Threshold Selection Method from Gray-Level Histograms". Systems, Man and Cybernetics, IEEE Transactions on (Volume:9). Jan. 1979, pp. 62-66
- [18] R. Bosch GmbH. "CAN Specification version 2.0". *Robert Bosch GmbH*, 1991. [Online] Available: <http://www.kvaser.com/software/7330130980914/V1/can2spec.pdf>. [Accessed: 7 May 2015].
- [19] L. He, Y. Chao, K. Suzuk. "A linear-time two-scan labeling algorithm". Image Processing. IEEE International Conference on (Volume:5). Sep. 2007, pp 241-244.
- [20] M.Gispert, V.Sanchez, E. Cappellino, R. Mormeneo. "Dispositivo de supervision para un cambio de aguja ferroviario". Utility Model ES 1134381(Y). 12 Nov, 2014. [Online]Available: [http://www.oepm.es/pdf/ES/0000/000/01/13/43/ES-1134381\\_U.pdf](http://www.oepm.es/pdf/ES/0000/000/01/13/43/ES-1134381_U.pdf) [Accessed: 30 May 2015]
- [21] R. C. Gonzalez, R. E. Woods, S. L. Eddins. "Digital Image Processing using Matlab". 1st edition, Pearson Prentice Hall, 2004
- [22] B. Jähne. "Digital Image Processing". 6th edition, Springer, 2005

## **Glossary**

ACK	Acknowledgement
ADC	Analog to Digital Converter
ARM	Advanced RISC Machine
ASIC	Application-Specific Integrated Circuit
BOM	Bill Of Materials
CAN	Controller Area Network
CCD	Charge-Couple Device
CCTV	Closed Circuit Television
DOE	Diffraction Optical Element
DSP	Digital Signal Processor
EPROM	Erasable Programmable Read Only Memory
FPGA	Field Programmable Gate Array
IC	Integrated Circuit
LCD	Liquid Crystal Display
LDO	Low-dropout
LED	Light Emitting Diode
MCU	Micro Controller Unit
NACK	Negative Acknowledgement
NDA	Non Disclosure Agreement
PC	Personal Computer
PCB	Printed Circuit Board
RAM	Random Access Memory
RISC	Reduced Instruction Set Computer
ROI	Region Of Interest
RTOS	Real-Time Operating System
Rx	Reception
SDRAM	Synchronous Dynamic Random-Access Memory
SE	Structuring Element
TF	Thinking Forward XXI
TMB	Transports Metropolitans de Barcelona
Tx	Transmission
UDP	User Datagram Protocol
USB	Universal Serial Bus