Forward-Backward Asymmetries of Lepton Pairs in Events with a Large-Transverse-Momentum Jet at Hadron Colliders
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We discuss forward-backward charge asymmetries for lepton-pair production in association with a large-transverse-momentum jet at hadron colliders. The lepton charge asymmetry relative to the jet direction $A_{\text{FB}}^l$ gives a new determination of the effective weak mixing angle $\sin^2\theta_{\text{eff}}(M_Z^2)$ with a statistical precision after cuts of $10^{-3}$ (8 x 10^{-3}) at LHC (Tevatron). This is to be compared with the current uncertainty at LEP and SLD from the asymmetries alone, 2 x 10^{-4}. The identification of $b$ jets also allows for the measurement of the bottom-quark–Z asymmetry $A_{\text{FB}}^b$ at hadron colliders, the resulting statistical precision for $\sin^2\theta_{\text{eff}}(M_Z^2)$ being $9 \times 10^{-4}$ (2 x 10^{-2} at Tevatron), also lower than the reported precision at $e^+e^-$ colliders, $3 \times 10^{-4}$.
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The possibility of using hadron colliders to perform precision tests of the electroweak standard model (SM) is a challenge for the Fermilab Tevatron and the CERN Large Hadron Collider (LHC) experiments [1,2]. Indeed, the large neutral gauge boson production cross section can allow for a precise determination of the effective weak mixing angle $\sin^2\theta_{\text{eff}}$; the optimum observable being the forward-backward charge asymmetry of lepton pairs $A_{\text{FB}}^l$ in the Drell-Yan process $q\bar{q} \to \gamma, Z \to l^-l^+$, with $l = e$ or $\mu$ [3,4]. The Collider Detector Facility (CDF) Collaboration has reported a measurement of $A_{\text{FB}}^l = 0.070 \pm 0.016$ for $e^-e^+$ pair invariant masses between 75 and 105 GeV at the Fermilab Tevatron Run I [5]. The expected precision to be reached at Run II with an integrated luminosity of 10 fb^{-1} has been estimated to be $\sim 0.1\%$, corresponding to a precision for $\sin^2\theta_{\text{eff}}$ of $\sim 0.05\%$ [6]. At LHC with an integrated luminosity of 100 fb^{-1}, the asymmetry precision will be further improved by a factor $\sim 6$ and the weak mixing angle one by a factor $\sim 3$. This is comparable to the current global fit precision, 0.00014, but, for instance, a factor $\sim 2$ better than the effective weak mixing angle precision obtained from the bottom forward-backward asymmetry at Large Electron-Positron (LEP) collider at CERN and SLAC Large Detector (SLD) at Stanford, 0.00031 [7].

The associated production of a neutral gauge boson $V = \gamma, Z$ (with $V \to l^-l^+$) and a jet has also a large cross section, especially at LHC, thus can also allow for a precise determination of the effective weak mixing angle. This next to leading order (NLO) correction to $V$ production is a genuine new process when the detection of the extra jet is required. In particular, gluons can be also initial states, and the large gluon content of the proton at high energy tends to make the $V$ and $Vj$ production cross sections of similar size. A neutral gauge boson with an accompanying jet is produced at tree level by $q\bar{q}$ and $g$ collisions, amounting the latter to $\sim 83\%$ ($\sim 48\%$) of the total $Vj$ cross section at LHC, $\sqrt{s} = 14$ TeV (Tevatron, $\sqrt{s} = 2$ TeV), for the cuts below. In this Letter we point out that the forward-backward charge asymmetry of the lepton pairs can be measured in this process either relative to a direction fixed by the initial state $A_{\text{FB}}^l$ as in the Drell-Yan case, or relative to the final jet direction $A_{\text{FB}}^j$. The former is adapted to obtain the asymmetry from the events $q\bar{q} \to Vg \to l^-l^+g$, and the latter from $gq \to Vq \to l^-l^+q$. Both asymmetries give similar precision for $\sin^2\theta_{\text{eff}}$ at LHC but not at Tevatron, where $A_{\text{FB}}^j$ gives a precision almost 1 order of magnitude higher. However, $A_{\text{FB}}^l$ also allows for the measurement of flavor asymmetries. Thus, if we require the final jet to be a $b$ quark, we can make a new measurement of $A_{\text{FB}}^b$. This is especially interesting given its observed deviation at the $Z$ pole from the SM prediction, 2.9σ [7]. Although the corresponding effective weak mixing angle precision in principle expected at LHC, $8.9 \times 10^{-4}$, is lower than the one reported by LEP and SLD, $3.1 \times 10^{-4}$, it is similar to the difference between the central values resulting from $A_{\text{FB}}^b$ at the $Z$ pole and the global fit to all data [7].

In the following, we discuss these asymmetries and estimate the expected statistical precision at LHC and Tevatron. Here we present tree level results with no detailed detector simulation, although any attempt to fit real data demands including electromagnetic and strong as well as electroweak radiative corrections [6]. Although large, these corrections, which are expected to modify the predicted asymmetries appreciably, are NLO. Particularly worrisome is a priori the production of a neutral gauge boson with two jets. The phase space region where one of them is too soft or collinear dominates the total cross section. The corresponding logarithmic behavior.
The corresponding asymmetry which is suited to $q\bar{q}$ collisions does not vanish because the proton contains many more quarks than antiquarks. However, in $p\bar{p}$ collisions there are produced as many quarks as antiquarks, and this asymmetry vanishes unless some difference is made between them. Hence, $\cos\theta$ is defined with an extra sign factor $\frac{|p_\perp|}{p_\perp} : p = p^r + p^* + p^i$, which corresponds to assume that the largest rapidity parton is an $(anti)$-quark if it is along the $(anti)$-proton direction. Besides, $Vj$ events also allow for measuring a flavor asymmetry if the final jet is identified and its charge determined, as in the case of $Vb$ production and $A_{FB}^b$. For these events $A_{FB}$ is less significant. In order to obtain $A_{FB}$ in $pp$ or $p\bar{p}$ colliders, one must use $\cos\theta$ in Eq. (4) but multiplied by a $+(-)$ sign for $(anti)$-quarks, $-\text{sgn}(Q_b)$ with $Q_b$ the b charge.

Let us present our numerical results for $l^-l^+j$ and $l^-l^+b$ at LHC and Tevatron in turn. We work in the effective Born approximation [2] and use the Martin-Roberts-Stirling-Thorne parton distribution functions [10]. The $K$ factors for LHC and Tevatron, 1.1 and 1.2, respectively [11], are not included. Otherwise, they would slightly improve our statistical precision estimates. Besides, we count only electron pairs. For muons the main differences would be the pseudorapidity coverage [12,13] and the size of the radiative corrections involving the lepton mass [6], which are not considered here anyway. A realistic simulation should include the detector acceptances and efficiencies. We imitate the experimental setup at LHC (Tevatron), smearing the lepton and jet energies using values based on the CDF specifications [14]

\begin{align}
\frac{\Delta E^e}{E^e} &= 10(20)\% \div 0.3(2)\%, \\
\frac{\Delta E^j}{E^j} &= 50(80)\% \div 3(5)\%,
\end{align}

with $E$ in GeV, and requiring that the momenta $p$, pseudorapidities $\eta$, and separation in the pseudorapidity-azimuthal angle plane $\Delta R$ satisfy

\begin{align}
p_i^e = \sqrt{p_{T}^e} > 20 \text{ GeV}, & \quad p_i^j = \sqrt{p_{T}^j} > 50(30) \text{ GeV}, \\
|\eta^{e,j}| < 2.5, & \quad \Delta R_{e,j} > 0.4,
\end{align}

respectively, unless otherwise stated. In Fig. 1(a) we plot the $pp \to Vj \to e^-e^+j$ cross section, with $V = \gamma, Z$ and the cuts above for LHC, as a function of $M_{e^-e^+} = \sqrt{\left(p^r + p^*\right)^2}$ (upper curves). The distributions with (solid line) and without (dashed line) smearing are over-imposed, no difference being apparent. In Fig. 2(a) we show the corresponding charge asymmetries, $A_{FB}$ relative to the initial parton and $A_{FB}^b$ to the final jet. Both give similar results, although the former is adapted to the $q\bar{q}$ collisions and the latter to the $gq$ ones. We do not include hadronization neither detector simulation which, as the smearing, mainly affect the asymmetries, in particular, due to the fact that the directions of the jets are related but not equal to the directions of the parent partons. In the figures we also show the $pp \to Vb \to e^-e^+b$ cross section, assuming a $b$-tagging efficiency of 50% [12], and the corresponding asymmetry $A_{FB}^b$, assuming no charge misassignment (thick lines). The cross section is
a factor of 30 smaller in this case, but the asymmetry is much larger because only $g^\perp$ collisions contribute. As explained, NLO corrections are not included but they are eventually needed to describe the data. In Fig. 1 we also plot the top pair background, $p^\perp \rightarrow \ell \rightarrow W^+ W^- b\bar{b} \rightarrow \nu_\ell \ell^+ e^- b\bar{b}$ [15], and consider the case of losing one $b$.

We assume the same $b$-tagging efficiency and that the second $b$ jet is missed if $p^\perp_b < 50$ GeV. We also require that the total transverse momentum $p_t < 20$ GeV, $p = p^e + p^{\ell^+} + p^b$. The resulting distribution is rather flat and the smearing makes no difference. In the $M_{e^- e^+}$ interval between 75 and 105 GeV the signal is 200 times larger, $\sigma^{vb} = 1.7$ pb, whereas $\sigma^{\ell\ell} = 0.008$ pb. This background is further reduced by a factor of 1.25 if the $b$ jet is only missed for $p^\perp_b < 20$ GeV. So, we neglect it in the following. In any case, its mixed $e\mu$ decays can also provide a further handle on $\ell\ell$. In Figs. 1 and 2(b) we plot the same cross sections and asymmetries but for Tevatron. At 2 TeV the $qq$ collisions dominate and the asymmetry adapted to these events $A^b_{FB}$ is much larger. The applied smearing and cuts are given in Eqs. (5) and (6). In particular, for the $\ell\ell$ background we mimic the missed $b$ by demanding $p^\perp_b < 30$ GeV and also require $p_t < 20$ GeV. In such conditions, we find that the $Vb$ signal is 700 times larger in the $M_{e^- e^+}$ range between 75 and 105 GeV, $\sigma^{vb} = 58$ fb, whereas $\sigma^{\ell\ell} = 0.08$ fb. Other $W$ pair backgrounds such as $p^\perp \rightarrow W^+ W^- j$, $W^+ W^- b\bar{b}$, or $W^+ W^- jj$, $W^+ W^- b\bar{b}$ with only one jet detected, which can be large a priori, can be further reduced, requiring small total transverse momentum.

Near the Z pole, $M_{e^- e^+} \sim M_Z$, the asymmetries can be approximated by [3]

$$A = b[a - \sin^2\theta^{lep}_\ell(M_Z^2)],$$

(7)

translating then their measurement into a precise determination of $\sin^2\theta^{lep}_\ell(M_Z^2)$. In Table I we collect the asymmetry estimates and their statistical precision, the corresponding $b$ and $a$ values in Eq. (7) and the precision reach $\delta\sin^2\theta^{lep}_\ell$ of LHC and Tevatron for $M_{e^- e^+}$ in the range [75, 105] GeV and two sets of cuts. The first set has been used throughout this Letter and is given in Eq. (6), whereas the second one requires a smaller minimum jet transverse momentum, $p^\perp_j > 20(10)$ GeV at LHC (Tevatron). These less stringent cuts increase the number of events and then improve the statistical precision by 10\% to 50\% depending on the asymmetry and collider. We have not tried to optimize them at this stage, but it will have to be done when dealing with real data and the experimental inefficiencies are known. The cross sections are also gathered in Table I. All the estimates include the smearing in Eq. (5). The results without smearing are very similar, except for the $A^l_{FB}$ asymmetry and the second set of cuts for which $A^l_{FB}$ is 20\% smaller (larger) at LHC (Tevatron).

We have assumed throughout this Letter a $b$-tagging efficiency $\epsilon$ of 50\%. This is too optimistic, especially
because we assume no contamination \( \omega \), and, in particular, no charge misidentification. The statistical precisions \( \delta A \) and \( \delta \sin^2 \theta_{\text{eff}} \) are proportional to \( \varepsilon^{-1/2} \), and the asymmetries \( A \) and coefficients \( b \) in Eq. (7) to \( 1 - 2\omega \). This means, in particular, that the contamination multiplies \( \delta \sin^2 \theta_{\text{eff}} \) by \( (1 - 2\omega)^{-1} \). Hence, if we only consider semileptonic \( b \) decays, implying \( \varepsilon \sim 0.1 \) and \( \omega \sim 0 \), \( \delta A \) and \( \delta \sin^2 \theta_{\text{eff}} \) increase by a factor \( \sim 2 \). In practice, we must try to maximize the quality factor \( Q = \varepsilon (1 - 2\omega)^2 \) [16]. The statistical precisions given in Table I are certainly optimistic for systematic errors are also sizeable. To approach the quoted precisions will be an experimental challenge.

In summary, we have pointed out that the large \( Vj \) production cross section at hadron colliders and the possibility of measuring the lepton asymmetries relative to the final jet allow for a precise determination of the effective electroweak mixing angle. If there is an efficient tagging and charge identification, these events with a \( b \) jet also allow for a new determination of \( A_{FB}^b \). The corresponding statistical precisions are collected in Table I. As in Drell-Yan production [17], this process is also sensitive to new physics for large \( M_{e^- e^+} \), especially to new gauge bosons.
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