General Analysis of the Three-Phase Asynchronous Motor with Spiral Sheet Rotor.
Operation, Parameters and Characteristic Values

Mujal-Rosas, Ramon and Orrit-Prat, Jordi

Abstract—The objectives of this article arise through the investigation of a motor with a high starting torque although with limited intensities, weak intensity variations depending on the load, controlled losses in no-load tests, and an acceptable performance in steady state and with a good response in transient periods. All these requirements would have to be obtained without the help of power electronics, in this way the motor would be more robust, longer lasting and without the need for auxiliary equipment. In this article, the operation principle, the characteristic parameters and the obtained results for the spiral sheet motor are analyzed for three speed regimes 1000, 1500 and 3000 rpm. Also, at the end of the paper the spiral sheet motor is compared with the squirrel cage rotor, the solid rotor with rings, the wound rotor with additional resistances in the rotor circuit, and the hollow diamagnetic rotor.

Index Terms—Electric machines, Three-Phase Asynchronous motor, Spiral sheet rotor, Special machine.

I. INTRODUCTION

Nowadays it has been widely used the industrial processes that require not only numerous start and stop cycles but also operation with load variations, i.e. textile industry, electrical household appliances or washing processes. Squirrel cage motors [1] [2] without auxiliary electronic devices have operating problems at high current values in different modes of operation, which implies inadmissible over-heating in their windings. Moreover, these motors usually work at very specific speed regimes and they lose most of their electrical, thermal or mechanical properties when the slip is modified (see fig. 1).

Solid rotor motors [3] [4] can work at different operating regimes, without having their current highly varied. Then, they can maintain their electrical and thermal properties more constant, but these properties are always lower than the ones of squirrel cage rotors.

The proposed asynchronous motor with spiral sheet rotor must be adapted to the different operating regimes without being assisted by power electronic devices. To achieve this goal, the current has little variation in start or stop cycles, even though these motors are working in any other regime.

Moreover, the torque, performance and other electrical magnitudes must have high values and remain constant at different speeds. What is more, the proposed motor must present good thermal and mechanic performance during all the time they are working.

To do this, eight variants of rotors with spiral sheets were constructed, all the same size, but with differences in the number of sheets, thickness, curvature radius, material used, position of the rings, etc. These rotors were tested with three equal stators but wound to 1000, 1500 and 3000rpm. As well as this, the tests were validated using the Matlab-Simulink and FEMM program simulations. To complete the analysis, several more variants were constructed: a solid rotor, hollow diamagnetic rotor [5] [6] [7], winding rotor [8]; and the results were compared to those of a commercial squirrel cage rotor.

For the purposes of this article and having considered the tests undergone (electrical, thermal, mechanical and magnetic) we wish to adopt the most balanced overall, spiral sheet Type A. Moreover, its sheet’s curvature is the most appropriate to pile up all of its sheets and the material that has been considered presents lower losses and a normal saturation. This rotor has 270 no-isolated magnetic sheets which are 0.25mm in thick and with losses of 4.6W/kg at 1.5T. The paper is focused in the motor with sheet rotor, and the general operation, the general equations and parameters, and the obtained results for the three operation regimes (1000, 1500 and 3000rpm), are exposed and briefly compared with other induction motors more conventional that already have been studied in previous papers [9] [10] [11].

II. PROTOTYPES DESCRIPTION

As an example, the basic mechanical characteristics of some tested rotors [12] [13] [14] and [15], are presented in table I and fig 2. These rotors used have the same dimensions and they are assembled to stators of 1000, 1500 and 3000 rpm, the latter having the same constructive parameters.
### TABLE I

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rotor types</th>
<th>Mass (kg)</th>
<th>Inertia (Kgm²)</th>
<th>Rotor surface</th>
<th>Rings Num.</th>
<th>Curve sheets</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Squirrel cage</td>
<td>3.440</td>
<td>2.717</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solid with ring</td>
<td>3.580</td>
<td>2.828</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>solid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hollow rotor</td>
<td>3.025</td>
<td>2.384</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winding rotor</td>
<td>3.787</td>
<td>2.984</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spiral sheet, A</td>
<td>3.236</td>
<td>2.550</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>270 1º</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spiral sheet, B</td>
<td>3.359</td>
<td>2.647</td>
<td>N.M.</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>270 1º</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spiral sheet, C</td>
<td>3.486</td>
<td>2.747</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>270 1º</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spiral sheet, D</td>
<td>3.080</td>
<td>2.427</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>S+C</td>
<td>270 1º</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spiral sheet, E</td>
<td>3.142</td>
<td>2.476</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>160 1º</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spiral sheet, F</td>
<td>3.063</td>
<td>2.414</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>118 1º</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spiral sheet, G</td>
<td>3.185</td>
<td>2.510</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>270 2º</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spiral sheet, H</td>
<td>3.094</td>
<td>2.438</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>270 3º</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Legend:** Rotors dimensions: 79.5x71mm; 1=Inertia (Kgm² x 10^-3) M=mechanized, N.M.=no mechanized, S=side, C=central.

---

**III. THREE PHASE ASYNCHRONOUS TORQUE MOTOR**

“Torque Motors” [16] were the motors on which we based the construction of our sheet prototype. These motors have a classically built stator, but the rotor is made up of a solid iron cylinder with small hysteresis cycle. With this type of rotor a greater useful flow passage section is obtained, due to the lack of slots, but with the disadvantage that their lines of magnetic field are deeper, creating weaker emfs which is in turn due to the smaller distance to the axis of the machine, as well as having greater reactance which lowers the power factor, although the losses in copper remain constant. Figure 3, shows how the deep currents are weaker, and therefore held up in the direction of displacement [17].

---

**IV. MOTOR WITH SPIRAL SHEET ROTOR**

To construct the sheet rotors [10] [11], [18] and [19], a completely different procedure is followed from that of the squirrel cage rotor [18]. The sheets are placed longitudinally with the edges applied directly over the rotor shaft, completely covering the rotor shaft’s outer cylindrical surface. So as to remove the free spaces that would appear as the radial distance was increased, given that the sheet thickness is constant, the sheets are inclined, sweeping one over another, which results in a rotor made up of a set of spiral sheets as seen in figure 4.

These newly incorporated sheets do not have any slots, and therefore in order that they are perfectly connected and that there is not any air space between them, their silhouette has to show a well-defined profile as it is the evolving curve of a circumference, as it is shown in the trigonometric relations in figure 5 and equations (1) and (2). This profile is obtained by making adjustments using a machine tool or mold that bends each plate in a precise way.

Thus, if we consider a rotor with a inner radius $R_{RI}$ made up of $n$ sheets with a thickness $e$, where this thickness is very small in comparison with $R_{RI}$, and an outer radius $R_{RE}$ we will obtain the following relations which will allow us to find the sheet length $L_{eng-sheet}$ (equation 1) and the curvature radius $\rho$, by integrating equation (2) given in polar coordinates.

\[
L_{eng-sheet} = \frac{R_{RE} - R_{RI}}{2\cdot R_{RI}} \left( R_{RI}^2 - \rho^2 \right) \tag{1}
\]

\[
d\rho \approx \frac{\rho}{\sin \alpha} = \frac{R_{RI} \cdot \rho}{\sqrt{R_{RI}^2 - \rho^2}} = \rho' \tag{2}
\]
The configuration of the sheet drawn in figure 6 shows that it is possible that the magnetic fields created in the stator are more confined to the periphery of the rotor inducing more peripheral emfs and currents along the sheets, which are only active on the periphery. In this way, the revolving magnetic field generated in the stator windings, have an inclined way with respect to the sheets, which in turn produces parasitic currents in the sheets and when circulating with the flow presence already mentioned, generate antagonistic torque. The outside torque is greater than the inside torque, because it takes place at a greater radial distance, which results in a net moment of rotation of an equal value to the difference between the torques generated. So, with this sheet position, the peripheral currents have a greater area in which to circulate compared to currents in a squirrel cage rotor [19][20].

Figure 7, shows a developed representation of the sheet’s position in spiral shape, outlining the differences in two areas; the first where the active currents pass, feeding the second where the possible return currents are received. This return is normally established by the rings as a short circuit, and as a consequence the only important rotary resistance, and which corresponds to the outer areas of the magnetic sheets that make up the rotor, given that the rings display an insignificant resistance.

V. MAGNETIC FIELD OF THE STATOR AND THE ROTOR

The magnetic field created in the rotor, which depends on the value and depth in which the rotor currents circulate, will affect, if it is more superficial, the total magnetic field with greater current. The magnetic field created by the stator will follow a more conventional form crossing the space occupied by air and following a perpendicular trajectory when it crosses a sheet [21].
Fig. 10. Magnetic simulation with FEMM. Line “a” represents the section of simulation of the fig 11.

Fig. 11. Magnetic induction. Sheet rotor at 3000 rpm, $\sigma = 3$ MS/m, $\mu = 4000$ H/m, and $f = 3.33$ Hz.

The current induced by this EMF in sheets can be calculated using the respective electric circuits, remembering that current flows inside the sheets. The EMF distribution is shown in figure 12.

This distribution is owing to the EMF in the upper area of the sheets inducing a higher current in this section (see figure 13). The maximum current can be calculated ($r$ is the height of the sheets from the rotor axis center):

$$[E_1] = \int_{R_{\text{axis}}}^{R_{\text{sheet}}} \frac{k}{(A-r)} dr = k \ln \frac{A - R_{\text{min}}}{A - h}$$

$$[E_2] = \int_{R_{\text{rotor}}}^{R_{\text{sheet}}} \frac{k}{(A-r)} dr = k \ln \frac{A - h}{A - R_{\text{stator}}}$$

$$R_{\text{sheet}} = (R_1 + R_2) = \rho_{\text{sheet}} \frac{L_{\text{rotor}}}{\epsilon_{\text{sheet}}} \left( \frac{(R_{\text{stator}} - s)}{(h(R_{\text{stator}} - h - s))} \right)$$

$$E_1 - E_2 = I(R_1 + R_2) = k \ln \left[ \frac{(A - h)^2}{(A - R_{\text{stator}})(A - R_{\text{stator}})} \right]$$

Where the constants $A$, $K$, $y$, and $k$, are values that adjust the electromagnetic field equation; $s$ is a parameter that depends upon the current circulating through the upper sheet area. Also, $h$ is the sheet radius; $\epsilon_{\text{sheet}}$, the sheet thickness (mm); $\sigma$ is the sheet conductivity (S/m/mm²) and $L_{\text{rotor}}$ is the rotor length.

As the induction is a function of the radial position of the considered section, which is known; it is possible to calculate the constants $A$ and $K$:

$$B_{\text{max}} = \frac{K}{(A - R_{\text{stator}})} ; A = B_{\text{min}} \frac{B_{\text{rotor}} - B_{\text{stator}}}{B_{\text{min}} - B_{\text{max}}}$$

$$B_{\text{min}} = \frac{K}{(A - R_{\text{rotor}})} ; K = B_{\text{min}} (A - R_{\text{rotor}})$$

And $k$ is a constant function of maximum emf:

$$E = \frac{k}{(A - r)} ; k = E_{\text{max-2}} (A - R_{\text{stator}})$$

$$E_{\text{max-2}} = E_{\text{max-1}} \left( \frac{m_1}{m_2} \right) \left( \frac{Z_1^2 Z_2^2}{Z_1^2 Z_2^2} \right)$$
Fig. 14. Distribution of the active current along the radial sheet of the rotor.

Where:
- \( m_1 \) and \( m_2 \) = number of phases of stator and rotor.
- \( Z_2 \) and \( Z_1 \) = number of turns and sheets of stator and rotor.
- \( \zeta_1 \) and \( \zeta_2 \) = distribution factors for stator and rotor.

All the terms are known except the radial coordinate \( h \) which corresponds to a change of sense in current along the shape profile.

With the coordinate \( h \) known, it is possible to calculate the total sheet current, by integration of the current equation between the upper a lower limits of the sheet (the distribution of the active current along the radial sheet is shown in figure 14):

\[
I_{\text{upper}} = \int_{h_{\text{upper}}}^{h_{\text{lower}}} \left( -\frac{k \cdot e_{\text{sheet}}}{\rho_{\text{sheet}} \cdot L_{\text{rotor}}} \right) \left( \frac{(A-h)^2}{(A-R_{\text{Stator}}) \cdot (A-R_{\text{Stator}})} \right) \left( h_{\text{Stator}} - h - s \right) \left( h_{\text{Stator}} - s \right) \, dh
\]

\[
I_{\text{lower}} = \int_{h_{\text{lower}}}^{h_{\text{upper}}} \left( -\frac{k \cdot e_{\text{sheet}}}{\rho_{\text{sheet}} \cdot L_{\text{rotor}}} \right) \left( \frac{(A-h)^2}{(A-R_{\text{Stator}}) \cdot (A-R_{\text{Stator}})} \right) \left( h_{\text{Stator}} - h - s \right) \left( h_{\text{Stator}} - s \right) \, dh
\]

The ring per-sheet current and the total currents are:

\[
I_{\text{ring-per-sheet}} = I_{\text{upper}} - I_{\text{lower}}
\]

\[
I_{\text{total-ring}} = I_{\text{ring-per-sheet}} \sum_{n_{\text{stator-sheet}}} \frac{\pi}{2}
\]

The torque is related to this total current:

\[
\tau = \int_{R_{\text{rotor}}}^{R_{\text{rotor}}} L_{\text{rotor}} \cdot B(r) \cdot I(r) \cdot dh = \int_{R_{\text{rotor}}}^{R_{\text{rotor}}} L_{\text{rotor}} \left( \frac{K}{(A-h)} \right) \left( \frac{k \cdot e_{\text{sheet}}}{\rho_{\text{sheet}} \cdot L_{\text{rotor}}} \right) \cdot \ln \left( \frac{(A-h)^2}{(A-R_{\text{Stator}}) \cdot (A-R_{\text{Stator}})} \right) \cdot \left( h \cdot (R_{\text{Stator}} - h - s) \right) \frac{\rho_{\text{Stator}}}{\rho_{\text{rotor}}} \, dh
\]

VII. ELECTROMAGNETIC ANALYSIS OF SPIRAL SHEET ROTOR

TYPE A, AT 3000 RPM

The expressions in this section allow for the observation of magnetic induction, current density and voltage induced in the sheet motor at any point \( P \) of the air gap, rotor or stator. All the mathematic development of the following expressions can be consulted in Mujal et al. [22].

A) Electromagnetic field created by the slots in the stator \((B_j)\):

Taking in account that the currents will affect each slot of the stator in a different way, and each one will create magnetic fields in concordance, we have for the component in \( x \), and \( y \), of the magnetic field:

\[
\begin{align*}
F_{x-\text{slot}} &= \sum_{i=1}^{36} \sum_{j=1}^{30} \frac{1}{2} B_i \cos \gamma_i + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{36} \sum_{j=1}^{30} B_j \cos \gamma_j \\
F_{y-\text{slot}} &= \sum_{i=1}^{36} \sum_{j=1}^{30} \frac{1}{2} B_i \sin \gamma_i + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{36} \sum_{j=1}^{30} B_j \sin \gamma_j
\end{align*}
\]

Where \( B_i \) are the magnetic induction values for each slot of the stator, and \( \gamma_i, \gamma_j \) depend on geometric parameters (see Mujal et al [22]). Thus the expression of the total magnetic field created by the stator at a point \( P \) is:

\[
B_{\text{total}}(t) = \sqrt{B_{x-\text{total}}^2 + B_{y-\text{total}}^2}, \quad \alpha_{\text{total}}(t) = \arctan \frac{B_{y-\text{total}}}{B_{x-\text{total}}}
\]
**B) Electromotive force created by the magnetic field originated in the stator \((V)\)**

The magnetic field of the stator creates a sinusoidal magnetic flux, which induces an electromotive force at each point of the motor and sheets of the rotor (see Mujal et al [22]). This emf \(V\), will be determined for the current of the slot, i, by:

\[
\bar{V} = \frac{d}{d\bar{t}} \begin{bmatrix} B_{\text{Total}} \end{bmatrix} dS_{\text{flux}} + \int \begin{bmatrix} \bar{V}_{\text{rotor}} \times \bar{B}_{\text{Total}} \end{bmatrix} dL_{\text{rotor}} = \\
\int \frac{d}{d\bar{t}} \begin{bmatrix} B_{\text{Total}(x,y)} \end{bmatrix} \frac{L_{\text{rotor}}}{2\sqrt{(R_{\text{BE}} - x^2)}} (-2x) dx + \\
\begin{bmatrix} s \cdot W' \cdot \bar{B}_{y-\text{total}} \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} s \cdot W' \cdot \bar{B}_{x-\text{total}} \end{bmatrix} 
\]

(21)

Knowing emf \(V\) (in V) and the conductivity of the sheets \(\sigma\) (in S/m), it is possible to calculate the current density and the current which will pass through each sheet:

\[
\bar{J}_{\text{sheet}} = \sigma_{\text{sheet}} \left( -\nabla \bar{V} \right) = -\sigma_{\text{sheet}} \left( \frac{\partial V}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial V}{\partial y} \right) \\
\bar{I}_{\text{sheet}} = \int \bar{J}_{\text{sheet}} dS_{\text{sheet}} 
\]

(22)

(23)

Finally, as the electrical resistance \(R_{\text{elec}}\) is known, it is also possible to determine the rest of the sheet’s electrical parameters (impedance \(Z_{\text{elec}}\); inductive reactance \(X_{\text{elec}}\))

\[
\bar{Z}_{\text{elec-sheet}} = \frac{V_{\text{sheet}}}{\bar{I}_{\text{sheet}}} \\
R_{\text{elec-sheet}} = \rho_{\text{sheet}} \frac{L_{\text{eng-sheet}}}{S_{\text{sheet}}} \bar{Z}_{\text{elec-sheet}} = \frac{X_{\text{elec-sheet}}}{2\pi f} 
\]

(24)

(25)

**C) Electromagnetic field created by the rotor \((P)\)**

The process undertaken to determine the magnetic field created by the currents of the stator in the point \(P\) of the rotor, should be repeated again for another point \(Q\) of the rotor, in order to obtain the emf between these two points (see Mujal et al [22]). By this way, it is possible to calculate the magnetic field that this emf generates in the rotor. In order to do so, the curvature of the sheets to straight segments \(L_i\) that pass through their centre is assimilated. As the spiral rotor type \(A\) contains 270 sheets, there is a turn of 1.4º for each sheet, which is the contribution of current \(k_i\) which each segment will give based on the \((r,f)\):

\[
\bar{P} = \sum_{i=1}^{150} \sum_{j=4}^{2} \left[ \frac{K_1 L_1}{2\pi^2} \left( -\cos \alpha_1, \sin \alpha_1 \right) + \frac{K_2 L_2}{2\pi^2} \left( -\cos \alpha_2, \sin \alpha_2 \right) \right] \\
\frac{\bar{B}_r}{\mu_0 \mu_{\text{sheets}}} 
\]

(26)

Where:

\[
x_1 = \left( \frac{R_{\text{shaft}} - L_2 \cos \alpha_2}{\cos \alpha_1} - x \right) \cos \alpha_1 \\
x_2 = \left( \frac{R_{\text{shaft}} - x}{\cos \alpha_2} \right) 
\]

(27)

**VIII. MAGNETIC RELUCTANCE OF THE ROTOR SHEETS**

The relative permeability of the rotor sheets is an influence at several electrical magnitudes. Thus, if the sheets have high permeability, the reluctance of the magnetic circuit will be low, and the magnetic flow will be more superficial [11] [23].

As well as that part, as shown in figure 15, with low values of permeability the value of torque and magnetic induction increase suddenly, while with high values of permeability the magnetic induction becomes stabilized and the torque drops smoothly. Although normal values of sheets permeability do not exceed 8000 H·m\(^{-1}\), the simulation has been carried out for values up to 15000 H·m\(^{-1}\) in order to better visualize the evolution of such magnitude.
IX. ANALYSIS OF CONDUCTIVITY

Figure 16 shows the simulations that have been obtained with FEMM program, using the same 3000 rpm motor, working at a frequency of 5Hz. Modeling for different conductivity and permeability values of the rotor sheets (p) and a slip value of 3.33 % is obtained the torque and induction.

From these graphs and with different conductivities and relative permeability of the sheets it is possible to obtain a representation with the optimum values of conductivity-permeability, which lets us to obtain the highest values of torque and performance (see fig. 17) [24] [25].

X. SHEET MOTOR BEHAVIOR

All the analyses have been carried out for the 3000 rpm motor with a slip of 3.33 Hz at full load.

A) Effect of the rotor mechanization (edge effect)

Two identical rotors have been built to study this effect. The type A rotor have the sheet edges mechanized whereas in the rotor type B the edges are unmechanized (see fig. 18). In principle, sheet edges cause a variable air gap, introducing modifications in the magnetic field and weakening its total value, which has repercussions in the rest of the electromagnetic values [18] [22] [24].

As it can be seen in figure 19, this effect depends on the conductivity in such a way that up to a conductivity of 3MS/m (sheets standard value) the torque in the air gap increases, resulting in values of 0.94 and 0.84 N.m for the A and B rotors, respectively. Over this conductivity value the torque decreases for all the rotors.

On the other hand, the magnetic induction (Fig. 19) in the air gap decreases from the beginning with values of 0.81 and 0.70T for the motors A and B at a conductivity of 3MS/m. Finally, for high sheet conductivity values, differences in torque and induction in relation to rotor mechanization type are minimal.

B) Rings effect on the sheet rotors

To analyze this effect, three identical rotors have been built. Type A rotor has side rings, type C motor does not have such rings whereas type D rotor also has a central ring [10] [11] [19].

Besides its mechanical properties which increase the rigidity of the rotor structure, the side rings constitute a way back for the external currents that generate torque. This avoids these currents to close in the inner half of the sheets, which implies not generating opposite torques. For this reason the final net motor torque is greater in motors with side rings rotor.

If comparing the two first rotors (types A and C), it can be seen that torque, intensity, absorbed power and efficiency maximum values are greater for the type A rotor (5.75 N·m, 3.15A, 1790W and 20.2%) than for the type C (4.5 N·m, 2.6A, 1610W and 17.1%). Such torque increase (21%) is consistent with that of the sheets effective length when rings are incorporated, and that is of 20% when calculating by the following expressions:

\[
\frac{\tau_{\text{without-rings}} - \tau_{\text{with-rings}}}{\tau_{\text{with-rings}}} = \Delta L \%
\]

\[
\Delta L \% = \left(1 - \frac{L_{\text{rotor}}}{L_{\text{rotor}} + \Delta L_{\text{rotor}}}\right) \times 100 \quad (28)
\]
Fig. 20. Evolution of different electrical magnitudes in the spiral sheet motor, type A and F.

\[ \Delta L_{\text{sheets-rotor}} = \frac{D_{\text{rotor}}}{2} \frac{S_{\text{effective-sheets}}}{\pi} \left( \frac{p}{\rho_{\text{sheets}}} - \frac{\rho_{\text{rings}}}{\rho_{\text{rings}} - \rho_{\text{sheets}}} \right) \]

\[ S_{\text{effective-sheet}} = \varepsilon_{\text{sheet}} L_{\text{effective}} \]

\[ R = R_{\text{stator}} - h_{\text{ring}} \]

Where:
- \( P \) = pairs of poles.
- \( D_{\text{rotor}} \) = rotor diameter (mm).
- \( S_{\text{effective-sheet}} \) = effective surface of the sheet (mm\(^2\)).
- \( S_{\text{ring}} \) = surface of the rotor ring (mm\(^2\)).
- \( \rho_{\text{ring}} \) and \( \rho_{\text{sheets}} \) = ring and sheet material resistivity (Ω·mm\(^2\)/m).

However, as it can be seen in fig. 20, incorporating a central ring (A and D rotors) causes a decrease of the maximum obtained values. The new values for the torque, intensity, absorbed power and efficiency are 5.10 N·m, 2.85A, 1715 and 18.8%, respectively. This results in a 11% decrease of the torque, and in a 10% sheet effective length decrease if applying the former expressions. This suggests that a linear dependency between the sheets effective length and the obtained values of the net torque exists.

C) Effect of the sheets number on the sheet rotor

Three identical rotors with different number of sheets have been constructed to study this effect: rotor type A, with 270 sheets and 0.25 mm thick; E rotor with 160 sheets and 0.5 mm thick; and F rotor with 118 sheets and 0.7 mm thick [9] [19] [22].

When sheets thickness is increased sheets compaction becomes more difficult and therefore the air gap between them also increases. Such air gaps between sheets hinder the magnetic flux, increasing the rotor reluctance and deepening the torque generating currents (this depth is radially measured from the air gap to the rotor axis). For this reason the resulting torque and the induction in the motor air gap decrease. On the other hand, if the sheets conductivity and permeability increase, the effect of the differences on the sheet thickness
becomes less important due to the fact that the reluctance drops and the magnetic flux increases consequently. This implies the flux lines remaining closer to the surface and magnifying the field created by the rotor, which results in a weaker net magnetic field. Such phenomenon is only possible if a large phase shift between the field created by the stator and the field created by the sheet rotor exists. This phase shift originates a change in the reluctance and reactance values of the rotor. In this way a higher conductivity implies a more inductive charge, which creates more power reactive. The optimization in the selection of the materials goes through evaluate the product of the primary intensity and the power factor of the motor, being this parameter extremely important as it is applicable to all motor components, which allows optimizing the materials.

By supposing little variations in rotor reactance, as it occurs when slip frequency has a low value, the phase variation between rotor intensity and electromotive force is estimated by means of the next equation:

\[ |\chi| \propto \frac{1}{X_{\text{rotor}}} = \frac{1}{2X_{\text{rotor}}^3} R_{\text{rotor}}^2 \]  

(32)

As it can be appreciated in fig. 21, taking the reference conductivity of 3MS/m as the standard value of the sheets, the torque values in the air gap are 1.3, 0.9, and 0.4 N·m for thickness values of 0.25, 0.5, 0.7 mm, respectively. On the other hand, the optimum conductivity from which torque decreases is not the same for all the sheet thickness values, being of 4, 8 and 12 MS/m for the aforementioned cases. The magnetic induction and the torque generating currents depth decrease with increasing thickness. But whereas there are important differences in the magnetic induction values depending on the sheet thickness (0.95, 0.75 and 0.62T for thickness of 0.25, 0.5 and 0.7 mm at 3Ms/m), such differences are negligible when analyzing the torque generating currents depth at 50% of the maximum induction, being 2 mm for all the thickness values.

**D) Effect of the sheet curvature on the sheet rotor**

Three identical rotors have been simulated and tested to study this effect (types A, G, and H) (see fig. 22). The A rotor has the curvature of an enveloping circle (perfectly piled sheets). The H rotor has a very open curvature (with a greater separation in the outer side of the sheets). Finally, the G rotor has an intermediate curvature [10] [19] [24].

The containing air sections between rotor sheets are decisive in the creation of the motor characteristic reluctance. If happening at small scale (A rotor), this phenomenon is positive since it facilitates a quick response in the transients, which is highly interesting. However, if such high reluctance is accompanied by low inertia, it results in low electrical and mechanical constants.

But with larger spaces between sheets (G and H rotors), as it happened with the effect of sheet thickness, the magnetic flux path is hindered. This increases the rotor reluctance and the torque generating currents depth so the resulting torque and the induction in the air gap decrease. Also in this occasion, if sheet conductivity or permeability are increased, the effect of the sheet curvature becomes less relevant due to the fact that the reluctance is lower and consequently the magnetic flux is greater, remaining the flux lines close to the surface and increasing the field created by the rotor, which results in a weaker net magnetic field.

As it can be seen in fig. 23, by taking the reference conductivity of 3MS/m as the standard value of the sheets, the torque values in the air gap are 0.93, 0.68, and 0.35 N·m for the A, G and H rotors, respectively.
However, the optimum conductivity from which torque decreases is not the same for all the sheet curvatures, being of 5, 10 and 14 MS/m for the $A$, $G$ and $H$ rotors. For low conductivities the magnetic induction decreases with increasing sheet curvature. And for a conductivity of 3MS/m, its values for the rotors $A$, $G$ and $H$ are 0.75, 0.63 y 0.52 T, respectively. Finally, the torque generating currents depth at 50% of the maximum induction increases from the beginning, being 2.5, 3.2 and 3.7 mm for the aforementioned rotors.

XI. EXPERIMENTAL VALUES

A) Electrical values:
The graphs in fig. 24 compare the evolution of several electrical magnitudes for the motor with sheet rotor type $A$, in three speed regimes. What can be inferred from the analysis is that efficiency increases considerably when the speed regime increases, but this variation is more considerable beyond 1000 rpm and up to 1500 rpm. Also, for the 3000 rpm regime there is a better torque curve since, despite displaying lower absolute values, it has a reduced slope and therefore a larger interval of speeds with optimal torque values. The intensity curve is favorable to the 3000 rpm regime since, although it displays an initial peak, its values quickly decrease, showing lower levels in a great interval of speeds. From the analysis of the remaining magnitudes represented, the motor’s best performance is confirmed at high speed regimes.

It could be considered, with reference to the graphs, that these motors show good performance, but this occurs with elevated slip values, when compared with the squirrel cage motor. Nevertheless this is not a problem since these motors can work perfectly with these slip values as proven by the mechanical and thermal analysis [10] [11] [24].

B) Motors comparison:
Table II shows the characteristic values for the sheet motor type $A$ in comparison with other conventional motors, that have been compared in previously published papers [10] [11] and [26] by the same authors. The values are given for the 3000 rpm motor, and two operation regimens: rated, and maximum.

C) Mechanical inertias and losses:
Studies have been undertaken of mechanical losses due to bearings, fan and air friction and the results are completely acceptable and compatible with those obtained for other classic motors such as the cage motor or solid rotor (see Table III) [24] [26].

Figure 25 shows the results for the test to determine the current and torque versus speed in a stop-start cycle, for the squirrel cage motor, the winding motor and the type $A$ sheet motor. From this comparison it can be seen that torque values are 6.50 N·m, 4.35N·m, and 5.75N·m for the squirrel cage, solid rotor and sheet-A motors, respectively, and 9.68A, 4.46A and 3.16A in the case of intensity. This confirms that sheet motors are specially indicated to stop-start cycles, almost matching the cage motor torque values but with intensities 2.5 times lower. In comparison to the winding motor, the intensity results are similar but the torque is significantly greater in sheet motors, proving its better behavior for this regimes.

Acceleration tests have been undertaken in a vacuum, no important deviations with respect to the cage motors can be perceived, although in a worst case scenario, a slight increase was observed in the sheet motors when the foils were not superficially mechanized on the outside of the rotor.

Finally, there are no mechanical resistance problems or prolonged operational deformations for the sheet motors, and although these motors have not been designed for such prolonged usage but rather for frequent stop-start regimes, they have perfectly surpassed the tests carried out running continuously for more than 4 hours.

D) Thermal values:
Thermal experiments demonstrate that the motors present different characteristics with respect to conventional squirrel cage motor, since the current, that is the main cause of the increase of the temperature in the stator winds, practically does not change when changing the load, as shown in figure 26. This makes these motors able to work in regimes with high slip without changing their thermal conditions. This fact is almost impossible in squirrel cage motors [24] [27] [28] and [29] [30].

Also it can be seen that the slip does not influence the temperature when the motor works at low speed regimes (1000 and 1500 rpm), whereas differences exist at high regimes (3000 rpm) although without being considered important.
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### Table II

**Main Electrical Characteristics of Motors at 3000rpm**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Electrical parameters</th>
<th>Squirrel cage rotor</th>
<th>Solid rotor with rings</th>
<th>Winding rotor</th>
<th>Diamagnetic hollow rotor</th>
<th>Rotor with spiral sheets type A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maximum torque (N·m)</td>
<td>7.59</td>
<td>6.42</td>
<td>2.66</td>
<td>5.72</td>
<td>70.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum power (W)</td>
<td>5051</td>
<td>4230</td>
<td>1403</td>
<td>1790</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum current (A)</td>
<td>9.64</td>
<td>7.63</td>
<td>5.30</td>
<td>3.13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum efficiency (%)</td>
<td>77.8</td>
<td>73.6</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>70.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum useful power (W)</td>
<td>1766</td>
<td>1365</td>
<td>303</td>
<td>788</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Torque/Current (N·m/A)</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>1.84</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Torque/Power (N·m/W)</td>
<td>0.00264</td>
<td>0.00276</td>
<td>0.0019</td>
<td>0.0032</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power Factor</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vacuum current (A)</td>
<td>0.728</td>
<td>1.168</td>
<td>0.565</td>
<td>1.346</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short-circuit current (A)</td>
<td>9.803</td>
<td>8.060</td>
<td>5.845</td>
<td>3.630</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rated current (A)</td>
<td>2.510</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>1.620</td>
<td>1.410</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rated torque (N·m)</td>
<td>3.84</td>
<td>2.76</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rated Power (W)</td>
<td>1492</td>
<td>1180</td>
<td>267</td>
<td>480</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rated efficiency (%)</td>
<td>75.2</td>
<td>68.6</td>
<td>48.4</td>
<td>57.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 24. Experimental results using motor with spiral sheet rotor type A at different speeds.
TABLE III
VALUES OF MECHANICAL LOSSES OF THE ROTORS TESTED

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rotor Type</th>
<th>Losses (W) at 1000 rpm</th>
<th>Losses (W) at 1500 rpm</th>
<th>Losses (W) at 3000 rpm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Squirrel cage</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solid rotor</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winding rotor</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hollow rotor</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spiral sheets A</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 25. Torque-current vs speed in a stop-start cycle: a) Squirrel cage rotor, b) Solid rotor with rings, c) Spiral sheet rotor type A

Range: Torque: 1.45N·m/div, Current: 6.15A/div

XII. CONCLUSIONS

Motors with spiral sheet rotors, display a high starting torque in relation to the short circuit current, and are also able to work in a wide slip range without thermal or mechanical problems. This presumably makes them suitable for actions requiring a high number of stop-starts, as well as very variable regimes. Presently there are innumerable operations with these characteristics, among which we can highlight small spinning motors in textile industries, the operations involved in domestic electrical appliances, machines tools, etc. therefore representing a wide market range.

Also, it has been shown that these motors work better at high speeds and reduced sizes (textile spinning motors) while their main drawback is the high cost of machine tooling required to create the rotor sheets, thus requiring mass production techniques.
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