

## List of figures

Figure 1.1. Global CO <sub>2</sub> production [Adapted from WBCSD, 2005].....	1
Figure 1.2. Recovery percentage of wastes in European cement industry [Gaminde, 2009].....	2
Figure 1.3. SCMs addition in cement manufacture [Adapted from Scrivener, 2010].....	3
Figure 1.4. Cement types produced by Holcim 1995-2009 [Schneider et al, 2011]. ....	4
Figure 3.1. Cement manufacture process.....	12
Figure 3.2. Clinker phases appearing along its manufacture process [Scrivener, 2010]. ....	13
Figure 3.3. C <sub>3</sub> S X-Ray diffraction pattern [Chen et al, 2007]. ....	15
Figure 3.4. Rate of C <sub>3</sub> S hydration as function of time by isothermal calorimetry measurements [Bullard et al, 2010].....	17
Figure 3.5. Possible of hydration rate-determining steps: hydration (a) at the surface involving diffusion of ions through a product layer; (b) through a solution involving dissolution – diffusion – crystallization [Bye, 1999]. ....	19
Figure 3.6. Gel model of cement hydration [Yousuf et al, 1995]. ....	21
Figure 3.7. Crystal model of cement hydration [Yousuf et al, 1995].....	21
Figure 3.8. (Above) clusters of CSH (tobermorite) and (below) the molecular model of C-S-H: oxygen and hydrogen (blue and white spheres, respectively) of H <sub>2</sub> O molecules, inter and intra-layer calcium ions (green and gray spheres, respectively) and silicon and oxygen atoms (yellow and red sticks) in silica tetrahedral [Pellenq et al, 2009].....	22
Figure 3.9. Schematic diagram showing dreierkette chains present in tobermorite, with “paired” tetrahedra (P) and ‘bridging’ tetrahedra (B) [Richardson, 2008]. ....	23
Figure 3.10. Schematic diagram showing <i>dreierkette</i> chains present in 1.4 nm tobermorite projected along. [Adapted from Richardson, 2008]. ....	24
Figure 3.11. Differences between the interlayer composition of (a) tobermorite and (b) jennite. ....	24
Figure 3.12. XRD instrument [CRnE – UPC]. ....	25
Figure 3.13. X-ray diffraction mechanism scheme [Adapted from Institute of Physics - TAP]. ....	26
Figure 3.14. Diffractograms of 28 days old C <sub>3</sub> S hydrated pastes (C: calcite, P: portlandite) [Chen et al, 2007]. ....	26
Figure 3.15. SEM infrastructure [CRnE – UPC]. ....	27
Figure 3.16. BSE SEM images: (left) a cement particle showing (a) gel, (b) portlandite and (c) unhydrated C <sub>3</sub> S; (right) an anhydrous cement. [(left) Mijno et al, 2004; (right) Kocaba, 2009]. ....	28
Figure 3.17. TGA infrastructure [EPSEIB – UPC]. ....	29
Figure 3.18. TGA for C <sub>3</sub> S pastes of several hydration times. Grey representation corresponds to a differential TGA (DTA) for the C <sub>3</sub> S paste with 28 days of hydration time [Lin et al, 2003]. ...	29
Figure 3.19. Concentration ranges (by mass) of main, secondary, minor and trace clinker elements [Bhatta, 1995]. ....	31
Figure 3.20. High-content vanadium SCM [Adapted from Ye, 2006]. ....	33
Figure 3.21. Part of steel making process, highlighting V-rich slags production [Adapted from Green et al, undated].....	33
Figure 3.22. (a) Adsorption and (b) absorption mechanisms. ....	35
Figure 3.23. Precipitation mechanism.....	36
Figure 3.24. Eh-pH diagram scheme. ....	37

Figure 4.1. Mixer used for samples preparation. ....	39
Figure 4.2. Sizes of hydrated C <sub>3</sub> S samples. ....	40
Figure 4.3. Hydrated C <sub>3</sub> S samples placed in the humidity room. ....	40
Figure 4.4. Characteristic graphic obtained from TGA measurements. ....	42
Figure 4.5. Examples of plastic vessels filled with 500 ppm or 1000 ppm vanadium solutions and (left) CaO (detailed in next section) and (right) CSH. ....	48
Figure 5.1. XRD pattern for hydrated C <sub>3</sub> S samples [Lin et al, 2003]. ....	51
Figure 5.2. XRD pattern for portlandite [Pananalytical X'pert High Score software]. ....	52
Figure 5.3. XRD pattern for CaCO <sub>3</sub> . [CrystalDiffract™ software]. ....	52
Figure 5.4. XRD spectrums for hydrated C <sub>3</sub> S samples with identified peaks [OriginLab 8.0 software]. ....	53
Figure 5.5. TGA curves for all the C <sub>3</sub> S samples hydrated for 28 days (both dried and not dried samples). ....	55
Figure 5.6. TGA curves for C <sub>3</sub> S samples hydrated for 28 days, only the previously dried ones. ....	55
Figure 5.7. 28-day-hydration SEM image. ....	57
Figure 5.8. 28-day-hydration SEM image. ....	57
Figure 5.9. Unhydrated C <sub>3</sub> S grains SEM images. ....	58
Figure 5.10. TGA curves for commercial CH samples. ....	58
Figure 5.11. TGA curves for <i>insitu</i> CH samples of different hydration times (60, 120 and 180 minutes). ....	60
Figure 5.12. pH evolution for vanadium-portlandite system. ....	61
Figure 5.13. Removal percentage of vanadium from the solution (500 and 1000 ppm V) over time. ....	62
Figure 5.14. Main soluble species under the experimental conditions of this work [Adapted from Cornelis et al, 2008]. ....	63
Figure 5.15. TGA curves for CaO hydrated with vanadium solution, highlighting the portlandite amounts difference for several time, including the characterisation of CaO hydrated with water (control sample). ....	64
Figure 5.16. pH evolution for vanadium-hydrating CaO system. ....	66
Figure 5.17. Vanadium elimination kinetics (for 1000 ppm V solution) on portlandite and hydrating CaO. ....	67
Figure 5.18. pH evolution for vanadium-CSH system. ....	68
Figure 5.19. Removal percentage of vanadium from the solution over the time. ....	68
Figure 5.20. Ca/Si ratio evolution over time. ....	69
Figure 5.21. Ca/Si ratio evolution over time adapted to the usual Ca/Si ratios from literature. ....	69
Figure 5.22. Outline of mechanisms related to Ca/Si ratio evolution with CSH as substrate. ....	71
Figure 5.23. Charge dispersal generalised model representation. ....	73
Figure 5.24. Vanadium affinity on CSH surface when pH>11.5. ....	74
Figure 5.25. Removal kinetic comparison for CSH, <i>insitu</i> portlandite and commercial. ....	76
Figure 8.1. General release representation including usual terms [Van der Sloot et al, 2003]. ....	87
Figure 8.2. Generic leaching behaviour of regular metals, oxyanions and soluble salts (obtained from pH dependence tests on different crushed cement mortars) [Van der Sloot et al, 2008]. ....	89
Figure 8.3. Plastic screw bottles where leaching tests are carried out. ....	89
Figure 8.4. Ca/Si molar ratio evolution in time for the leaching experiments (in duplicate). ....	92
Figure 8.5. Ca <sup>2+</sup> concentration evolution in time. ....	93
Figure 8.6. Si <sup>4+</sup> concentration evolution in time. ....	93